

Editorial Comments on Draft MRA Protocol

General: It would have been helpful for purpose of review and comment if there were line numbering in the document.

Page 1, paragraph 2, last sentence: Insert “assessment” after “food risk.”

Pages 12-13 should be flip-flopped.

Page 19, Figure 5. Need to add arrows between “Does the risk assessment adequately address the questions?” and the No and Yes boxes.

Paragraph 2, line 3: “Quantitative” is misspelled.

Page 35, paragraph 3, line 2: Insert “have” between “often” and “different.”

Page 39, last complete paragraph, second last line: Drop the “For” at beginning of line.

Page 43, paragraph 1, second last line: “Process” should be “processes.”

Page 49, paragraph 1, line 10: Insert “the” before “inhalation.”

Page 50, paragraph 1, last line: Should “affect” be “effect?”

Page 60, paragraph 2, line 3: Should it be “...dose-response **relationship models**....?”

Page 66, first paragraph under Bayesian Methods, line 1: Add “used” between “being” and “increasingly.”

Page 73, paragraph 2, line 1: Add “has” between “characterization” and “evolved.”

Paragraph 4, last line: The actual reference for this quote is NRC 1996, *Understanding Risk: Informing Decisions in a Democratic Society*.

Page 74, paragraph 1, line 3: The actual reference is EPA, 2000b.

Page 75, paragraph 1, last line: it should be EPA 2006a and b.

Page 76, paragraph 3, first line: The “U” is missing in “Understanding.”

Table 5, box 4, column 2: “Account” should be “accounts.”

Page 84, paragraph 4, line 9: “Analyses” should be “analysis.”

Page 87, last paragraph, line 5: “Analyses” should be “analysis.”

Page 89, paragraph, line 5: Should be “EPA 2006 a and b.”

Page 90, footnote: Shouldn’t the word “currently” be added to this footnote, as one might expect that when defaults are developed for MRA, Number 8 would be relevant?