

Dr. Jan Johnson's Scenario Comments 10/08/2007 6:21 pm

Dear Jack,

I have read the charge questions and, based on my experience and level of expertise, I would be most comfortable working on charge question 1.

In particular, I am interested in the implementability of the guidance in the field. Ken Duvall's breakdown of the implementation issues is really good and quite complete. At this time, I don't have anything substantive to add to it. I think it forms a very good basis for discussion.

Unfortunately I have not had time to read the document so perhaps the following comment is not relevant and the issue is adequately addressed in MARSAME. In practice, we have considered three scenarios for release of potentially contaminated equipment (particularly trucks, backhoes, scrapers, etc.) in determining the extent of the required survey (but in all cases meeting the same release criterion):

1. limited release on-site through an unrestricted area - e.g., trucks with contaminated materials passing from one restricted area to another over a road that is outside of the restricted area but still on site.

2. release of equipment to unrestricted areas on site or temporary release to a similar off-site facility (e.g., trucks transporting alternate feed materials from one uranium facility to another).

3. permanent release of equipment off-site including release to licensed and unlicensed facilities.

I will try to be on the line right away at 1 PM EDT tomorrow and will stay on until the airplane door is closed, presumably about 1:20 PM. I arrive in Spokane at 3:19 PM EDT and will get back on line as soon as I can. I apologize for the scheduling difficulty. Unfortunately I had only one option for a flight from Aspen to Spokane (through Salt Lake) on the 9th.

I'm looking forward to working with you again.

Jan