

Table of Contents	Estimated Economic Impacts on Pennsylvania of EPA 2010 Ozone Proposal
Executive Summary	p. 2
Introduction	p. 4
Methodology	p. 9
Results	p. 14
References	p. 24
Appendix: Lists of Control Measures	p. 25
Study Team	
NERA Economic Consulting: David Harrison, Andrew R Sierra Research: James Lyons and Jeremy Heiken	Foss, James Johndrow, David Nagler, and Samuel Grausz
NERA Economic Consulting	1



Executive Summary			Estimated	Economic Ir	npacts on P EPA 2010 C	ennsylvani Dzone Prop
Study Findings: Pennsylvania Controls	and Attainment Costs	6				
Where EPA "known" controls reduce reduce Pennsylvania NOx emissions remaining 78,000 tons are assumed	NOx emissions by 61,0 by an additional 86,000 to be achieved by "extra	00 tons, NERA tons, for a tota reductions.	/Sierra ident al reduction o	ified addition of 147,000	onal contro tons. The	ls to
<ul> <li>Estimated attainment costs for Penn NERA/Sierra "extra" reductions—are from 2020 to 2030 is \$347.0 billion. (<i>J</i></li> </ul>	sylvania—including EPA \$43.3 billion annually b All dollars in this report a	"known" contro eginning in 202 are in 2010 doll	ols, NERA/S 20. The estin lars.)	ierra "identi nated prese	ified" contr nt value of	ols, and f costs
Study Findings: Pennsylvania Econom	ic Impacts					
<ul> <li>The state-of-the-art and widely used impacts (taking into account attainmed)</li> </ul>	REMI Policy Insight mo ent costs in other states	del was used to ).	o estimate n	et Pennsylv	ania econ	omic
<ul> <li>A build pop standard in 2020 would for</li> </ul>	Pennsvivania: reduce i	000,025 ya sao	), a 5.1 perce	ent decreas	se relative i	10
baseline; reduce gross regional prod tax revenue by \$2.7 billion.	uct by \$31.4 billion; redu	uce disposable	income by \$	318.1 billion	; and redu	ce state
<ul> <li>On a present value basis from</li> </ul>	uct by \$31.4 billion; redu	uce disposable	income by \$	318.1 billion	; and redu	ce state
<ul> <li>On a present value basis from 2020 to 2030, the 60 pph standard</li> </ul>	uct by \$31.4 billion; redu	Units	2020	2025	; and reduce 2030	e state
<ul> <li>On a present value basis from 2020 to 2030, the 60 pp b standard would load to a 254 1 billion.</li> </ul>	uct by \$31.4 billion; redu Employment Gross regional product	uce disposable	income by \$ 2020 -339,000 -\$314	2025 -318,000 -\$31.9	; and reduce 2030 -275,000 -\$29.9	e state PV -3,463,000 -\$254
<ul> <li>On a present value basis from 2020 to 2030, the 60 ppb standard would lead to a \$254.1 billion</li> </ul>	uct by \$31.4 billion; redu Employment Gross regional product Disposable income	Units Jobs Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$	income by \$ 2020 -339,000 -\$31.4 -\$18.1	2025 -318,000 -\$31.9 -\$23.0	; and reduce 2030 -275,000 -\$29.9 -\$23.0	PV -3,463,000 -\$254. -\$174.
<ul> <li>On a present value basis from 2020 to 2030, the 60 ppb standard would lead to a \$254.1 billion reduction in Pennsylvania gross regional product.</li> </ul>	uct by \$31.4 billion; redu Employment Gross regional product Disposale income State tax revenue	Units Jobs Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$	2020 -339,000 -\$31.4 -\$18.1 -\$2.7	<b>2025</b> -318,000 -\$31.9 -\$23.0 -\$2.4	; and reduce 2030 -275,000 -\$29.9 -\$23.0 -\$2.3	PV -3,463,000 -\$254. -\$174.1 -\$20.0
<ul> <li>On a present value basis from 2020 to 2030, the 60 ppb standard would lead to a \$254.1 billion reduction in Pennsylvania gross regional product.</li> </ul>	uct by \$31.4 billion; redu Employment Gross regional product Disposale income State tax revenue	Units Jobs Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Units	2020 -339,000 -\$31.4 -\$18.1 -\$2.7 2020	2025 -318,000 -\$31.9 -\$23.0 -\$2.4 2025	2030 -275,000 -\$29.9 -\$23.0 -\$2.3	PV -3,463,000 -\$254. -\$174. -\$20.
<ul> <li>On a present value basis from 2020 to 2030, the 60 ppb standard would lead to a \$254.1 billion reduction in Pennsylvania gross regional product.</li> </ul>	Employment Gross regional product Disposable income State tax revenue Employment	Units Jobs Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Units %	2020 -339,000 -\$31.4 -\$18.1 -\$2.7 2020 -5.1%	2025 -318,000 -\$23,0 -\$22,0 -\$22,4 2025 -4.7%	2030 -275,000 -\$29.9 -\$23.0 -\$2.3 <b>2030</b> -3.9%	PV -3,463,000 -\$254. -\$174. -\$20.
<ul> <li>On a present value basis from 2020 to 2030, the 60 ppb standard would lead to a \$254.1 billion reduction in Pennsylvania gross regional product.</li> </ul>	Employment Gross regional product Disposable income State tax revenue Employment Gross regional product	Units Jobs Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Units % %	2020 -339,000 -\$31.4 -\$18.1 -\$2.7 2020 -5.1% -4.7%	2025 -318,000 -\$31.9 -\$23.0 -\$2.4 2025 -4.7% -4.2%	2030 -275,000 -\$29.9 -\$23.0 -\$2.3 <b>2030</b> -3.9% -3.5%	PV -3,463,000 -\$254. -\$174.! -\$20.!
<ul> <li>On a present value basis from 2020 to 2030, the 60 ppb standard would lead to a \$254.1 billion reduction in Pennsylvania gross regional product.</li> </ul>	Employment Gross regional product Disposable income State tax revenue Employment Gross regional product Disposable income	Units Jobs Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Units % %	2020 -339,000 -\$31.4 -\$18.1 -\$2.7 2020 -5.1% -4.7% -2.9%	2025 -318,000 -\$31.9 -\$23.0 -\$2.4 2025 -4.7% -4.2% -3.2%	; and reduce 2030 -275,000 -\$29.9 -\$23.0 -\$2.3 2030 -3.9% -3.5% -2.9%	PV -3,463,000 -\$254. -\$174. -\$20.0
<ul> <li>On a present value basis from 2020 to 2030, the 60 ppb standard would lead to a \$254.1 billion reduction in Pennsylvania gross regional product.</li> </ul>	Employment Gross regional product Disposable income State tax revenue Employment Gross regional product Disposable income State tax revenue	Units Jobs Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Units % % %	2020 -339,000 -\$31,4 -\$18,1 -\$2,7 2020 -5,1% -4,7% -2,9% -2,4%	2025 -318,000 -\$31.9 -\$2.3 0 -\$2.4 2025 -4.7% -4.2% -3.2% -2.0%	2030 -275,000 -\$29.9 -\$23.0 -\$2.3 -3.9% -3.5% -2.9% -1.7%	PV -3,463,000 -\$254. -\$174.1 -\$20.1
<ul> <li>On a present value basis from 2020 to 2030, the 60 ppb standard would lead to a \$254.1 billion reduction in Pennsylvania gross regional product.</li> </ul>	Employment Gross regional product Disposable income State tax revenue Employment Gross regional product Disposable income State tax revenue Note: Present valu discounted th (') The PV ft Source: VERA analy	Units Jobs Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Billion 2010\$ Units % % % % es ("PV") are sum o 2020 using a rea or employment im or area playment for s as as explained in	2020 -339,000 -339,000 -331,4 -\$18,1 -\$2,7 2020 -5,1% -4,7% -2,9% -2,4% is of annual impal annual disco pacts is an uno t text	2025 -318,000 -\$319 -\$22.0 -\$2.4 2025 -4.7% -4.2% -3.2% -2.0% pacts from 20 iscounted sufficiency	2030 -275,000 -\$29,9 -\$23,0 -\$2,3 -3,9% -3,5% -2,9% -2,9% -2,9% -2,9% -2,9% -2,9% -2,00 -200 -200 -200 -3,9% -3,5% -2,9% -2,9% -2,0%	PV -3,463,000 -\$254. -\$174. -\$20.( years.

Estimated Economic Impacts on Pennsylvania of EPA 2010 Ozone Proposal

4

## Background on Proposed Ozone Standard

- Background on EPA Regulatory Impact Analysis
- Study objectives

Introduction

Study approach

NERA Economic Consulting

Intro Stand	luction: Background on Proposed Ozone ard	Estimated Economic Impacts on Pennsylvania of EPA 2010 Ozone Proposal
Juli	<ul> <li>In January 2010, the US Environmental Protection Agency (E the primary (8-hour) ozone National Ambient Air Quality Stanc <ul> <li>The current primary ozone standard is 75 ppb, set in Mai</li> <li>EPA proposed to tighten the primary ozone standard to t</li> <li>EPA invited comments on the proposal.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A tightening of the primary ozone standard would increase the US that would be designated as "nonattainment" counties. <ul> <li>Some areas of the US that are in attainment of the currer "nonattainment" areas under a tightened standard.</li> <li>Current "nonattainment" areas could be in a more severe under a tightened standard.</li> </ul> </li> <li>New and continuing nonattainment areas would need to achie (i.e., NOx and VOC) emission reductions (relative to baseline tightened standard. <ul> <li>Nitrogen oxides (NOx) and volatile organic compounds ( emissions—i.e., emissions that lead to ground-level ozor of sunlight.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Complications with Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PS (NSR) pre-construction permits could lead to project delays ar Nonattainment status under a tightened standard may be tied funding or business growth in nonattainment areas.</li> </ul>	PA) proposed to reconsider dard (NAAQS). rch 2008.* between 60 and 70 ppb. e number of counties in the nt standard would be e nonattainment category eve additional ozone precursor emissions) to meet a VOC) are ozone precursor he formation in the presence SD) and New Source Review nd/or deferrals. to restrictions on federal
NERA Economic C	* For an area to attain the standard, the 3-year average of the fourth-highest daily maximum at each monitor within the area (the area's ozone level) must not exceed the target ozone lev multing	8-hour average ozone concentration measured vel. 5



Introduction:	Study Objectives	Estimated Economic Impacts on Pennsylvania of EPA 2010 Ozone Proposal
• The imp – –	objective of this study is to provide estimates of attainmer acts in Pennsylvania of a potential 60 ppb ozone standard We model an attainment deadline of 2020 (as assumed b We model attainment costs and economic impacts relativ conditions that would achieve an ozone standard of 84 p assumed by EPA). We include the potential gains to some businesses from increased demand for low-emission technologies).	nt costs and economic by EPA). re to projected baseline pb (the baseline conditions attainment costs (e.g.,
-	We assume that it is possible to control emissions to the attainment with a 60 ppb standard and develop estimates controls as well as "extra" controls if necessary to achieve	low levels required for s for additional "identified" e attainment.
• We 	do not model the following: Economic effects associated with potential restrictions or growth in Pennsylvania due to non-attainment status; Project delays and/or deferrals resulting from complication construction permits; and Economic impacts on small businesses (since REMI doe size of business). uncertainties in the analysis include the following: Level of emission reductions required in 2020 to achieve Nature of control measures that are possible to reduce en Costs of these control measures.	n federal funding or business ons with PSD/NSR pre- s not develop information by a 60 ppb ozone standard; missions; and
NERA Economic Consulting		7







Methodo Attainme	logy: Estimating Emission Reductions and ent Costs	Estimated Economic Impacts on Pennsylvania of EPA 2010 Ozone Proposal
	<ul> <li>We use information on necessary emission reductions in Per We use the costs of EPA "known" controls from the EPA RIA: alternatives and their costs that EPA identified for 2020.</li> <li>NERA and Sierra develop a marginal abatement cost curve t 60 ppb ozone standard in the least-cost manner. This curve i NERA/Sierra "identified" controls, and NERA/Sierra "extra" co these other categories are not sufficient to achieve compliand NERA and Sierra identify the following additional controls tha Pennsylvania and elsewhere in the US to reduce emissions I</li> <li>Electric generating units: Retirement of existing coal uni natural gas combined cycle units.</li> <li>Onroad mobile: Retirement (scrapping) of pre-2015 mod replacement with more fuel-efficient vehicles.</li> <li>Commercial marine: Retrofit of local commercial marine catalytic reduction (SCR) technology.</li> <li>Area sources: Replacement of existing natural gas space efficient natural gas units.</li> <li>The appendix to this report lists the types of "known" controls additional controls identified by NERA/Sierra.</li> <li>The costs of "extra" NOx reductions (if necessary) are assumed controls.</li> </ul>	ansylvania from the EPA RIAs. Is that reflect the control o show the costs of attaining a ncludes EPA "known" controls, ontrols in situations in which ce. It potentially could be used in beyond EPA known controls. its and replacement with del year vehicles and e vessels with selective ce heaters with more fuel- is reported by EPA and the med to increase beyond the by EPA for "unknown"
NERA Economic Consulti	19	11



Methodo	logy: Projected Baseline Economic Conditions	Estimated Economic Impacts on Pennsylvania of EPA 2010 Ozone Proposal
•	<ul> <li>The REMI model includes baseline projections for various ec (e.g., industry sector relative production costs, consumer pro product, employment by industry sector and occupation, and</li> <li>Baseline values in REMI are tailored to individually mod projected economic growth.</li> </ul>	onomic variables and outputs duct prices, gross regional income, among others). eled regions and incorporate
	<ul> <li>differences from projected baseline economic conditions.</li> <li>Inputs enter the REMI model as modifications to the baseconomic variables.</li> <li>The REMI model produces estimates of the effects of in economic variables and outputs relative to the baseline.</li> </ul>	seline values of relevant puts as impacts on other
NERA Economic Consulti	19	13









ozone standa	aru in 2020, 2025 a	anu 2030 reia	live to bas	enne proj	ections.		
Baseline		Units	2020	2025	2030		
Bussiins	Employment	Million Jobs	6.6	6.8	7.1		
	Gross regional product	Billion 2010\$	\$671	\$760	\$866		
	Disposable income	Billion 2010\$	\$631	\$709	\$803		
	State tax revenue	Billion 2010\$	\$111	\$123	\$137		
Change		Units	2020	2025	2030	PV	
5	Employment	Jobs	-339,000	-318,000	-275,000	-3,463,000*	
	Gross regional product	Billion 2010\$	-\$31.4	-\$31.9	-\$29.9	-\$254.1	
	Disposable income	Billion 2010\$	-\$18.1	-\$23.0	-\$23.0	-\$174.9	
	State tax revenue	Billion 2010\$	-\$2.7	-\$2.4	-\$2.3	-\$20.0	
% Change		Units	2020	2025	2030		
	Employment	%	-5.1%	-4.7%	-3.9%		
	Gross regional product	%	-4.7%	-4.2%	-3.5%		
	Disposable income	%	-2.9%	-3.2%	-2.9%		
	O1 - 1 - 1	0/	2 /0/	-2.0%	-1 7%		

















Lists of Control Measures: Non-EGU Point	Estimated Economic Impacts on Pennsylvania EPA 2010 Ozone Propos	
EPA "Known" Controls	NERA/Sierra "Identified" Controls	
<ul> <li>Biosolid Injection Technology</li> <li>LNB (Low NOx Burner)</li> <li>LNB + FGR (Flu Gas Recirculation)</li> <li>LNB + SCR (Selective Catalytic Reduction)</li> <li>NSCR (Non-selective Catalytic Reduction)</li> <li>OXY-Firing</li> <li>SCR</li> <li>SCR + Steam Injection</li> <li>SCR + Water Injection</li> <li>SNCR (Selective Non-catalytic Reduction)</li> <li>SNCR (Selective Non-catalytic Reduction)</li> <li>SNCR (Selective Non-catalytic Reduction)</li> <li>SNCR — Urea</li> <li>SNCR—Urea</li> <li>SNCR — Urea Based</li> <li>Permanent Total Enclosure (PTE)</li> <li>Work Practices, Use of Low VOC Coatings (Non-EGU Point Sources)</li> </ul>	• None	
NERA Economic Consulting	27	

Lists of Control Measures: Area	Estimated Economic Impacts on Pennsylvania of EPA 2010 Ozone Proposal
Lists of Control Measures: Area EPA "Known" Controls • RACT to 25 tpy (LNB) • Switch to Low Sulfur Fuel • Water Heater + LNB Space Heaters • CARB Long-Term Limits • Catalytic Oxidizer • Equipment and Maintenance • Gas Collection (SCAQMD/BAAQMD) • Incineration >100,000 lbs bread • Low Pressure/Vacuum Relief Valve • OTC Mobile Equipment Repair and Refinishing Rule • OTC Solvent Cleaning Rule • SCAQMD—Low VOC • SCAQMD Limits	<ul> <li>Estimated Economic Impacts on Pennsylvania of EPA 2010 Ozone Proposal</li> <li>NERA/Sierra "Identified" Controls</li> <li>Replace natural gas space heaters in residential buildings with more energy-efficient natural gas appliances</li> <li>Replace natural gas space heaters in commercial buildings with more energy-efficient natural gas appliances</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>SCAQMD Rule 1168</li> <li>Work Practices, Use of Low VOC Coatings</li> <li>Switch to Emulsified Asphalts</li> </ul>	
NERA Economic Consulting	28

Lists of Control Measures: Onroad Mobile	Estimated Economic Impacts on Pennsylvania of EPA 2010 Ozone Proposal
EPA "Known" Controls	NERA/Sierra "Identified" Controls
<ul> <li>Diesel Retrofits</li> <li>Reduce Gasoline Reid Vapor Pressure (RVP) to 7.0</li> <li>Elimination of Long Duration Idling</li> <li>Continuous Inspection and Maintenance</li> <li>Commuter Programs</li> <li>Additional Technology Changes in the Onroad Transportation Sector</li> <li>Increased Penetration of Onroad SCR and DPF from 25% to 75%</li> <li>Continuous Inspection and Maintenance (OBD)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Replace pre-2015 model year light- duty gasoline vehicles (passenger cars and light trucks) with more energy-efficient vehicles</li> </ul>
NERA Economic Consulting	29



