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Acronyms and Abbreviations

µ microgram, one
µg/L micrograms per liter
ATSDR Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
AWWA American Water Works Association
CASRN Chemical Abstract Services Registry Number
CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CCL Contaminant Candidate List
CCL 1 EPA’s First Contaminant Candidate List
CCL 2 EPA’s Second Contaminant Candidate List
CCL 3 EPA’s Third Contaminant Candidate List
CCL 4 EPA’s Fourth Contaminant Candidate List
CSTE Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists
CUS/IUR Chemical Update System/Inventory Update Rule
CWSs Community Water Systems
DRI Dietary Reference Intake
EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency
FDA United States Food and Drug Administration
FR Federal Register
g gram
HHBPs Human Health Benchmark for Pesticides
GAMA California State Water Resources Control Board’s Groundwater Ambient Monitoring Assessment
IARC International Agency for Research on Cancer
IOM Institute of Medicine
IRIS Integrated Risk Information System
kg kilogram
L liter
LOAEL Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level
MCL Maximum Contaminant Level
MCLG Maximum Contaminant Level Goal
MCM Manual of Clinical Microbiology
mg/kg milligrams per kilogram body weight
mg/kg/day milligrams per kilogram body weight per day
mg/L milligrams per liter
MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report
MRL Minimum Risk Level
NAWQA National Water Quality Assessment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCOD</td>
<td>National Contaminant Occurrence Database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDWAC</td>
<td>National Drinking Water Advisory Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAEL</td>
<td>No Observed Adverse Effect Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NREC</td>
<td>National Reconnaissance of Emerging Contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTP</td>
<td>National Toxicology Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OEHHA</td>
<td>Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPP</td>
<td>Office of Pesticide Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSRTI</td>
<td>Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCCL</td>
<td>Preliminary Candidate Contaminant List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDP</td>
<td>Pesticide Data Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPCPs</td>
<td>Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPRTVs</td>
<td>Provisional Peer Reviewed Toxicity Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWS</td>
<td>Public Water System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDAs</td>
<td>Recommended Dietary Allowances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED</td>
<td>Reregistration Eligibility Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RfC</td>
<td>reference concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RfD</td>
<td>reference dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RoC</td>
<td>Report on Carcinogens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDWA</td>
<td>Safe Drinking Water Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STORET</td>
<td>EPA's Storage and Retrieval System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWRCB</td>
<td>State Water Resources Control Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRI</td>
<td>Toxics Release Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULs</td>
<td>Upper Intake Levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>United States of America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA</td>
<td>United States Department of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS</td>
<td>United States Geological Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBDO</td>
<td>Waterborne Disease Outbreak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr</td>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.0 Introduction

Section 1412(b)(1) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), as amended in 1996, requires EPA to publish the Contaminant Candidate List (CCL) every five years. The SDWA specifies that the list must include contaminants that are not subject to any proposed or promulgated National Primary Drinking Water Regulations (NPDWRs), are known or anticipated to occur in public water systems (PWSs) and may require regulation under the SDWA. EPA uses this list of unregulated contaminants to help the agency identify priority contaminants for regulatory decision making and to prioritize research and data collection efforts. SDWA also requires the agency to consult with the scientific community, including the Science Advisory Board and provide notice and opportunity for public comment prior to the publication of the Final CCL. In addition, SDWA directs the agency to consider the health effects and occurrence information for unregulated contaminants to identify those contaminants that present the greatest public health concern related to exposure from drinking water.

EPA published the third CCL (CCL 3), which listed 116 contaminants on October 8, 2009 (74 FR 51850 (USEPA, 2009a)). In developing the CCL 3, EPA implemented a multi-step process to select contaminants, which included the following key steps:

1. The identification of a broad universe of potential drinking water contaminants (CCL 3 Universe);
2. Screening the CCL 3 Universe to a Preliminary CCL (PCCL) using screening criteria based on the potential to occur in PWSs and the potential for public health concern; and
3. Evaluation of the PCCL contaminants based on a more detailed review of the occurrence and health effects data using a scoring and classification system to identify a final list of 116 CCL 3 contaminants; and
4. Incorporating public input and expert review in the CCL 3 process.

Steps 1, 2 and 3 in the process are described in detail in the CCL 3 support documents:

- Final CCL 3 Chemicals: Identifying the Universe (USEPA, 2009b);
- Final CCL 3 Chemicals: Screening to a PCCL (USEPA, 2009c);
- Final Contaminant Candidate List 3 Chemicals: Classification of the PCCL to the CCL (USEPA, 2009d);
- Final CCL 3 Microbes: Identifying the Universe (USEPA, 2009e);
- Final CCL 3 Microbes: Screening to the PCCL (USEPA, 2009f); and
- Final CCL 3 Microbes: PCCL to CCL Process (USEPA, 2009g).

These documents can be found on the EPA web site at: [http://www2.epa.gov/ccl/contaminant-candidate-list-3-ccl-3](http://www2.epa.gov/ccl/contaminant-candidate-list-3-ccl-3) or at [http://www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) (docket ID: EPA-HQ-OW-2007-1189).

After a Final CCL is published, SDWA section 1412(b)(1)(B)(ii) as amended in 1996, requires EPA at five year intervals to make determinations of whether to regulate or not to regulate no fewer than five contaminants from the CCL in a process called regulatory determinations. This
is a separate process from the listing of contaminants on the CCL. The 1996 SDWA Amendments specify three criteria to determine whether a contaminant may require regulation:

- the contaminant may have an adverse effect on the health of persons;
- the contaminant is known to occur or there is a substantial likelihood that the contaminant will occur in PWSs with a frequency and at levels of public health concern; and
- in the sole judgment of the Administrator, regulation of such contaminant presents a meaningful opportunity for health risk reduction for persons served by PWSs.

If EPA determines that these three statutory criteria are met and makes a final determination to regulate a contaminant, the agency has 24 months to publish a proposed Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) and NPDWR. After the proposal, the agency has 18 months to publish and promulgate a final MCLG and NPDWR (SDWA section 1412(b)(1)(E)).

On February 11, 2011, as a separate action, the agency issued a positive regulatory determination for perchlorate, a chemical listed in CCL 1, CCL 2 and CCL 3 (76 FR 7762 (USEPA, 2011)). Recently, EPA has published preliminary regulatory determinations for five unregulated contaminants on the CCL 3 (79 FR 62716 (USEPA, 2014)). The five contaminants include: dimethoate; 1,3-dinitrobenzene; strontium; terbufos and terbufos sulfone. The agency is making preliminary determinations to regulate one contaminant (strontium) and to not regulate four contaminants (dimethoate; 1,3-dinitrobenzene; terbufos; and terbufos sulfone). Therefore, the agency is removing perchlorate and these five contaminants from the Draft Fourth CCL (CCL 4), pending the result of the final regulatory determinations for CCL 3.

EPA conducted an abbreviated evaluation and selection process for the CCL 4. This abbreviated CCL 4 process includes a three pronged approach: (1) carrying forward CCL 3 contaminants (minus those with regulatory determinations), (2) seeking and evaluating nominations from the public for additional contaminants to consider and (3) evaluating any new data for those contaminants with previous negative regulatory determinations from CCL 1 or CCL 2 for potential inclusion on the CCL 4.

As part of the process to develop the CCL 4, EPA published a Federal Register notice (77 FR 27057 (USEPA, 2012)) requesting that the public submit nominations for chemical and microbial contaminants to be considered for inclusion in the CCL 4. EPA also requested supporting information that has been made available since the development of the CCL 3 or existing information that was not considered in the development of the CCL 3, which shows that the nominated contaminant may have an adverse health effect on people and occurs or is likely to occur in public water systems. EPA reviewed the nominations and supporting information provided by nominators to determine if any new data were provided that had not been previously

---

1 The MCLG is the “maximum level of a contaminant in drinking water at which no known or anticipated adverse effect on the health of persons would occur, and which allows an adequate margin of safety. Maximum contaminant level goals are non-enforceable health goals.” (40 C.F.R. 141.2; 42 U.S.C. 300g-1)
2 An NPDWR is a legally enforceable standard that applies to public water systems. An NPDWR sets a legal limit (called a maximum contaminant level or MCL) or specifies a certain treatment technique (TT) for public water systems for a specific contaminant or group of contaminants. The MCL is the highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water and is set as close to the MCLG as feasible using the best available treatment technology and analytical methods and taking cost into consideration.
3 The statute authorizes a nine month extension of this promulgation date.
evaluated for CCL 3. The agency also collected additional data for the nominated contaminants, when it was available, from both CCL 3 data sources that had been updated and from new data sources that were not available at the time of CCL 3. A complete list of references provided by nominators can be found in the support document *Summary of Nominations for the Fourth CCL* (USEPA, 2015).

The purpose of this document is to provide a description of the data sources that EPA collected updated or new information for CCL 4 and to briefly summarize the process, which was developed under CCL 3, used to evaluate the new data sources.

### 2.0 Summary of the Process for Evaluating Chemical Data Sources

As previously described, EPA collected additional data for the nominated contaminants and contaminants with previous negative regulatory determinations (from Regulatory Determinations 1 & 2), when it was available, from both CCL 3 data sources that had been updated and from new data sources that were not available at the time of CCL 3. EPA used the same process developed under CCL 3 for evaluating new data sources for potential inclusion in the CCL 4 process. The process is summarized in this section, and a more detailed description of this process can be found in the CCL 3 supporting document: *Final CCL 3 Chemicals: Identifying the Universe* (USEPA, 2009b).

EPA used four assessment factors (relevance, completeness, redundancy and retrievability) to evaluate and identify data sources that would be used to make up the CCL 3 Chemical Universe. These assessment factors ensure that the data sources are: relevant to the CCL process, complete in basic documentation, not redundant with other data sources and are formatted for automated retrieval. These factors were based upon the National Drinking Water Advisory Council's (NDWAC) recommendation that data sources should:

1. Have data and information about actual or potential occurrence of contaminants in drinking water or source water and/or about health effects;
2. Focus on readily available data (e.g., those that could be automatically retrieved); and
3. Meet EPA’s minimum guidelines for documentation and quality.

The relevance assessment factor addresses the NDWAC and National Research Council principles for the CCL 3 Universe and evaluates whether a data source contains information on demonstrated or potential occurrence of contaminants in the environment and/or demonstrated or potential human health effects. The completeness assessment evaluates whether the data source provides complete, minimum documentation and quality requirements. NDWAC recommended that each source should include: 1) the name of a person to contact about the data source (or contact information); 2) a description of the data elements; 3) information on how the data were obtained; and 4) meaningfulness and relevance of the data. The “meaningfulness and relevance” NDWAC recommendation is addressed by the relevance assessment factor, so it is not included in the completeness assessment. Also, data sources that provide documentation of peer review are considered to satisfy the completeness criteria. The redundancy assessment factor evaluates whether data sources contain information that is identical to (i.e., duplicate information) other,
more comprehensive data sources. An example of a redundant source would be data contained in a state or regional data source that were copied from a more comprehensive or representative national data source. Therefore, to be considered redundant, a data source must contain data identical with respect to the identity of the original data gatherer, time, place, method, outcome and data manipulation or modification. The retrievability assessment factor is an evaluation of whether the data in a source are formatted for automated retrieval. For example, if data are stored in a tabular format, they may be extracted and formatted using software tools and imported directly into a database for further use.

Each data source was accessed online (or as provided by the source proprietor) and reviewed. Basic information about the data source, its purpose and the data elements it contained was compiled and documented. Every source was evaluated using all four assessment factors. For a complete list and description of the 40 primary data sources and 64 supplemental data sources used for CCL 3, please refer to Final CCL 3 Chemicals: Identifying the Universe (USEPA, 2009b).

3.0 Chemical Data Sources Evaluated for CCL 4

This section lists both the chemical data sources that were used for CCL 3 and were updated for CCL 4 and the new data sources evaluated for CCL 4 that were not available at the time of CCL 3. EPA evaluated each of the new data sources for CCL 4 according to the four assessment factors that are described in Section 2. A more detailed description of each of these data sources including an explanation of whether the new data sources met each of the four assessment factors can be found in Appendix 1.

Occurrence data was collected from updated CCL 3 data sources including:

- 2006 production data collected in the Chemical Update System (CUS) under the Inventory Update Rule (IUR),
- 2010 data from the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI),
- 2003-2009 data from the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s (USDA) Pesticide Data Program (PDP), and
- EPA’s Storage and Retrieval (STORET) data as of January 2013.

Additional occurrence data for the nominated contaminants were collected from data sources that are new since the CCL 3 including:

- USGS studies that focused on contaminant occurrence in source waters for public water systems (Hopple et al., 2009, and Kingsbury et al., 2008) and water quality in public-supply wells (Toccalino et al., 2010);
- Individual State public water supply data provided to EPA during the second Six-Year Review of regulated contaminants (for the time period covering 1998-2005) from States including: CA, FL, IL, NC, OH, Region 9 Tribes, SD, TX and WI;
- Data from the California State Water Resources Control Board’s Groundwater Ambient Monitoring Assessment (GAMA) program; and
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- New data from a literature review of published studies on pharmaceuticals, personal care products and other contaminants.

In addition to health effects data provided by the nominators, EPA searched for health effects data for the nominated contaminants from data sources used in CCL 3 that may have been updated including:

- EPA’s Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) program,
- EPA’s Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP),
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR),
- California EPA (Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment),
- Institute of Medicine (IOM),
- National Toxicology Program (NTP), and
- World Health Organization (WHO).

EPA also considered new or updated health effects information contained in the agency’s Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation (OSRTI) Provisional Peer Reviewed Toxicity Values (PPRTVs).

4.0 Microbial Data Sources Evaluated for CCL 4

This section outlines the data sources and approaches used to develop the microbial CCL from the Universe through the final CCL. Because of the inherent differences between microbes and chemicals and differences in the availability of tabulated data containing the data elements required for screening evaluations, it was necessary to rely upon text-based resources for processing microbes.

The Microbial CCL 3 Universe was defined as all known human pathogens and the compilation of Taylor et al. (2001) served as a practical starting point. This list includes 1,415 bacteria, viruses, protozoa, helminths and fungi known to be pathogenic for humans based upon literature review. This list was supplemented by adding six fungi isolated from drinking water distribution systems that did not appear on the Taylor list and with 2 nominations from the scientific community (from CCL 3). Since the publication of CCL 3, there have been no updates to Taylor et al. (2001), so the CCL 3 Universe is being carried forward to the Draft CCL 4.

During CCL 3, text-based resource materials, such as The Manual of Clinical Microbiology (MCM), 9th Edition, were used to inform the screening and scoring process. The MCM 10th edition was published in 2011 (MCM, 2011). The MCM is a two-volume peer reviewed reference that is written by an international team of subject experts. EPA reviewed the latest edition of the MCM for changes that would affect the outcome of the nominated microbes in CCL 4. No new data were found that would result in a different outcome for any of the nominated microbes.

For CCL 3, web searches were also conducted to identify authoritative online databases which could be used to document screening decisions (e.g., Universe to PCCL). While it is possible that
these sources may contain updated information regarding nomenclature or classification, new data detailing a fundamental change in characteristics were not found for use in CCL 4. Thus, the criteria used for exclusion of microbes during CCL 3 remain valid. For more information on the screening criteria developed under CCL 3 and used for CCL 4, please see Final Contaminant Candidate List 3 Microbes: Screening to the PCCL (USEPA, 2009f).

The MCM (2011) was one of the main sources of information used to inform the scoring of the PCCL microbes (both for CCL3 and CCL4). EPA also conducted a literature search covering the time period between CCL 3 and CCL 4 (2007-2012). The literature search focused on health effects and occurrence of the nominated microbial contaminants in water. No new data were found as a result of the literature search that would support a change to the CCL 3 scores, thus the scores remain the same for CCL 4. For more information on the scoring protocols developed under CCL 3 and used for CCL 4, please see Final Contaminant Candidate List 3 Microbes: PCCL to CCL Process (USEPA, 2009g).

For Waterborne Disease Outbreaks (WBDOs), the primary source for scoring data was the Center for Disease Control (CDC) Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Reports (MMWR). CDC, EPA and the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE) maintain a collaborative surveillance system for collecting and periodically reporting data related to occurrences and causes of WBDOs. These reports from the CDC are published periodically in the MMWR. For the CCL 3, EPA used CDC’s MMWR summaries from 1998-2004 as the source for the WBDO scoring protocol. The same process was used for CCL 4, however the data were updated through 2008 (CDC, 2008; CDC, 2011).
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6.0 Appendices

Appendix 1. CCL 4 Data Source Descriptions

Appendix 1 lists the data sources evaluated for CCL 4 including both CCL 3 data sources that had been updated and new data sources that were not available at the time of CCL 3. This appendix provides a brief description of each data source, gives the proprietor information, contact information, type of data elements contained in the data source, provides an explanation of whether each data source meets the four assessment factors and provides a source URL, if applicable.

Data Sources for Chemical Contaminants

**Data Source Name**  
12th Report on Carcinogens - NTP

**Data Source Description**  
The Report on Carcinogens (RoC) is a congressionally-mandated document that is prepared by the National Toxicology Program (NTP) for the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The RoC is updated periodically, and the 12th RoC (released in 2011) contains profiles for 240 substances, including 54 substances that are known to be human carcinogens and 186 substances that are reasonably anticipated to be human carcinogens. The substance profiles contain data regarding carcinogenicity, genotoxicity, mechanisms of action in people and/or in animals, the potential for human exposure to these substances, federal regulations to limit exposures, physical/chemical properties, use and production. (Description adapted from website.)

**Proprieter**  
National Toxicology Program, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

**Contact Information**  
Dr. Ruth M. Lunn  
Director, Report on Carcinogens Center  
National Toxicology Program  
Mailing Address: MD K2-14, P.O. Box 12233  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709  
Courier Address: 530 Davis Drive, Room 2006  
Morrisville, NC 27560 T  
Phone: (919) 316-4637  
Fax: (919) 541-0144  
Email: lunn@niehs.nih.gov

**Type of Data Elements**  
Name, CASRN, International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) cancer class, toxicological study data, molecular weight, specific gravity, vapor pressure, vapor density, Melting Point, Boiling Point, log Kow, dissociation constant, use, production, critical effect, exposure potential, releases, occupational exposure limits
Relevance Explanation: This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains data elements from toxicological studies.

Completeness Explanation: It meets considerations because it is peer reviewed.

Redundancy Explanation: This source is not redundant.

Retrievability Explanation: This source does not meet retrievability criteria because the data are not formatted for automated retrieval.

Source URL: http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/?objectid=03C9AF75-E1BF-FF40-DBA9EC0928DF8B15

Data Source Name: Agency for Toxics Substances and Disease Registry Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs)

Data Source Description: The ATSDR Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs) were developed as an initial response to Congressional mandate. Following discussions with scientists within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and the EPA, ATSDR chose to adopt a practice similar to that of the EPA's Reference Dose (RfD) and Reference Concentration (RfC) used for deriving substance-specific health guidance levels for non-neoplastic endpoints. An MRL is an estimate of the daily human exposure to a hazardous substance that is likely to be without appreciable risk of adverse noncancer health effects over a specified duration of exposure. These substance-specific estimates, which are intended to serve as screening levels, are used by ATSDR health assessors and other responders to identify contaminants and potential health effects that may be of concern at hazardous waste sites. It is important to note that MRLs are not intended to define clean-up or action levels for ATSDR or other Agencies.

During the development of toxicological profiles, MRLs are derived when ATSDR determines that reliable and sufficient data exist to identify the target organ(s) effected or the most sensitive health effect(s) for a specific duration for a given route of exposure to the substance. MRLs are based on noncancer health effects only and are not based on a consideration of cancer effects. Inhalation MRLs are exposure concentrations expressed in units of parts per million (ppm) for gases and volatiles or milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3) for particles. Oral MRLs are expressed as daily human doses in units of milligrams per kilogram per day (mg/kg/day). Radiation MRLs are expressed as external exposures in units of millisieverts.

ATSDR uses the no-observed-adverse-effect-level/uncertainty factor (NOAEL/UF) approach to derive MRLs for hazardous substances. They are set below levels that, based on current information, might cause adverse health effects in the people most sensitive to such substance-induced effects. MRLs are derived for acute (1-14 days), intermediate (>14-364 days), and chronic (365 days and longer) exposure durations, and for the oral and inhalation routes of exposure. Currently MRLs for the dermal route of exposure are not derived because ATSDR has not yet identified a method suitable for this route of exposure. MRLs are generally based on the most sensitive substance-induced end point considered to be of relevance to humans. ATSDR does not use serious health effects (such as irreparable damage to the liver or kidneys, or birth defects) as a basis for establishing MRLs. Exposure to a level above the MRL does not mean that adverse health effects will occur.
Proposed MRLs undergo a rigorous review process. They are reviewed by the Health Effects/MRL Workgroup within the Division of Toxicology; an expert panel of external peer reviewers; the agency wide MRL Workgroup, with participation from other federal agencies, including EPA; and are submitted for public comment through the toxicological profile public comment period. Each MRL is subject to change as new information becomes available concurrently with updating the toxicological profile of the substance. MRLs in the most recent toxicological profiles supersede previously published levels. As of the date last accessed for CCL update, 120 inhalation MRLs, 189 oral MRLs and 6 external radiation MRLs have been derived. (Description adapted from website.)

**Proprietor**
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

**Contact Information**
Dr. Selene Chou  
Division of Toxicology  
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry  
1600 Clifton Road, Mailstop E29  
Atlanta, Georgia 30333  
Telephone: 404-498-0705  
E-Mail: cjc3@cdc.gov

**Type of Data Elements**
Name, CASRN, MRL (chronic, intermediate, acute)

**Relevance Explanation**
This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains data elements (MRL) derived from toxicological studies.

**Completeness Explanation**
It meets considerations because it is peer reviewed.

**Redundancy Explanation**
These data are also represented in the ATSDR Toxicological Profiles; however, these data are tabular while the Profiles are monographic.

**Retrievability Explanation**
This source meets retrievability criteria because it is in tabular format.

**Source URL**

**Data Source Name**
Background document for development of WHO Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality

**Data Source Description**
The fourth edition of the World Health Organization’s Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality are intended to support the development and implementation of risk management strategies that will ensure the safety of drinking-water supplies through the control of hazardous constituents of water. WHO has been issuing drinking water guidelines for over 50 years.

WHO informs the public through the establishment of health-based targets, catchment-to-consumer water safety plans and independent surveillance. The first WHO document dealing specifically with public drinking-water quality was published in 1958 as International Standards for Drinking-water and was revised in 1963 and in 1971. In 1984–1985, the first edition of the WHO Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality (GDWQ) was published in three volumes. Second editions of these volumes were published in 1993, 1996 and 1997. Addenda to Volumes 1 and 2 of the second edition were published in 1998 addressing selected chemicals. An addendum on microbiological aspects reviewing selected microorganisms was published in 2002. The third edition of the GDWQ was published in 2004, the first addendum to the third edition was...
published in 2006 and the second addendum to the third edition was published in 2008. The fourth edition was published in 2011.

The GDWQ are subject to a rolling revision process. Through this process, microbial, chemical and radiological aspects of drinking-water are subject to periodic review and documentation related to aspects of protection and control of public drinking water quality is accordingly prepared and updated. (Description adapted from website and document introduction.)

**Proprietor**: World Health Organization

**Contact Information**
World Health Organization
Avenue Appia 20
1211 Geneva 27
Switzerland
Telephone: + 41 22 791 21 11
Facsimile (fax): + 41 22 791 31 11

**Type of Data Elements**
Name, CASRN, chemical properties, concentration data, guideline value, Tolerable Daily Intake, NOAEL

**Relevance Explanation**
This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains data elements from toxicological studies.

**Completeness Explanation**
It meets considerations because it is peer reviewed.

**Redundancy Explanation**
This source is not redundant.

**Retrievability Explanation**
This source does not meet retrievability criteria because the data are not formatted for automated retrieval.

**Source URL**
http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/guidelines/en/

**Data Source Name**: California EPA Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA)

**Data Source Description**
The Toxicty Criteria Database from California EPA OEHHA contains information on over 260 chemicals. The database reports information that includes the following: cancer potency information (oral/inhalation slope factors), chronic and acute Reference Exposure Levels (RELs), California Public Health Goals (CPHG), California Proposition 65 No Significant Risk Levels (NSRLs) and Maximum Allowable Daily Levels (MADLs). The "Technical Support Document for Describing Available Cancer Potency Factors (TSD)" contains cancer unit risks and potency factors for 121 of the 201 carcinogenic substances or groups of substances for which emissions must be quantified in the Air Toxics Hot Spots program. The purpose of this document is to provide a summary of the data supporting the carcinogenic potential of the substance or group of substances and to provide the calculation procedure used to derive the estimated unit risk and cancer potency factors. For the complete document, go to: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/air/cancer_guide/TSD2.html to download. No new data was identified for use in CCL 4. (Description adapted from website.)

**Proprietor**: California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

**Contact Information**
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
California Environmental Protection Agency
1515 Clay Street, 16th Floor
Oakland, California 94612
(510) 622-3200

**Type of Data Elements**
Critical effect, CAMCL, CAPHG, cancer risk, cancer groups, MADL, NSRL, REL, slope factor, unit risk

**Relevance Explanation**
This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains data elements derived from toxicological studies.

**Completeness Explanation**
It meets considerations because it is peer reviewed.

**Redundancy Explanation**
This source is not redundant.

**Retrievability Explanation**
This source meets retrievability criteria because it is in tabular format

**Source URL**
http://www.oehha.ca.gov/risk/ChemicalDB/index.asp

**Data Source Name**
Chemical Update System (CUS)/Inventory Update Reporting (IUR) rule

**Data Source Description**
The Chemical Update System (CUS) database contains confidential data reported by industry (approximately 1,200 companies) as a partial update of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Inventory. Data reported under the Inventory Update Reporting (IUR) rule were stored in the CUS database. Under the IUR, manufacturers and importers were required to report company information (e.g., plant site name, address and Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number) and chemical information (e.g., CAS registry number, Premanufacture Number (PMN)/Bonafide/Test Marketing Exemption Application (TMEA) or Confidential Chemicals Identification (CCID) System Assessment Number and production volume) for chemicals they manufactured or imported in excess of 25,000 pounds (up from 10,000 pounds in 2002) in the previous fiscal year. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) released the 2006 IUR rule (currently called the Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) rule) information on more than 6,200 chemicals in commerce. EPA had previously compiled the 1998 and 2002 IUR data for CCL 3. EPA downloaded the 2006 IUR data in August, 2010 for use in CCL 4. The CUS database contains comprehensive use and exposure information on the most widely used chemicals in the United States.

(Description adapted from website.)

**Proprietor**
EPA

**Contact Information**
E-mail: TSCA Hotline (tsca-hotline@epamail.epa.gov)
TSCA Hotline Phone: 202-554-1404
IUR/CDR Helpline Phone: 202-564-3012

**Type of Data Elements**
Production Volume

**Relevance Explanation**
This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains information on production volume, which may indicate potential occurrence.

**Completeness Explanation**
It meets considerations because it meets all NDWAC minimum data requirements.

**Redundancy Explanation**
This source is not redundant.
| **Retrievability Explanation** | This source meets retrievability criteria because it is in tabular format. |
| **Source URL** | http://www.epa.gov/cdr/ |

| **Data Source Name** | Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium, and Zinc - Institute of Medicine |
| **Data Source Description** | This volume is from a series issued by the National Academy of Sciences on dietary reference intakes (DRIs). This series provides recommended intakes, such as Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDAs) and Tolerable Upper Intake Levels (ULs), for maintaining nutritionally adequate diets for individuals in the United States and Canada based on factors such as age and gender. In addition, the ULs will help a consumer know how much is "too much" of a nutrient. Recommendations have been made for the following: vitamins A and K, iron, iodine, chromium, copper, manganese, molybdenum, zinc and other potentially beneficial trace elements such as boron to determine the roles, if any, they play in health. (Description adapted from website.) |
| **Proprietor** | National Academy of Sciences – Institute of Medicine |
| **Contact Information** | Geraldine Kennedo  
Administrative Assistant, Food and Nutrition Board  
Phone: 202-334-1732  
Fax: 202-334-2316  
E-mail: gkennedo@nas.edu  
Keck Center  
W700  
500 Fifth St. NW  
Washington, DC 20001 |
| **Type of Data Elements** | Name, DRIs, RDAs, ULs. |
| **Relevance Explanation** | This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains data elements from toxicological studies. |
| **Completeness Explanation** | It meets considerations because it is peer reviewed. |
| **Redundancy Explanation** | This source is not redundant. |
| **Retrievability Explanation** | This source does not meet retrievability criteria because the data are not formatted for automated retrieval. However, this source was only used for the UL for manganese, thus retrievability was not an issue. |

| **Data Source Name** | EPA Office of Water Literature Search for Supplemental Water Occurrence Data for Pharmaceuticals, Personal Care Products and Other Contaminants |
| **Data Source Description** | As part of its ongoing assessment of pharmaceuticals in the |
environment, and particularly in water, the USEPA Office of Water’s, Office of Science and Technology has been conducting a search and review of peer-reviewed, published journal literature for studies dealing with the occurrence of pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) in the environment. The literature review included 171 papers that were published between 2001 through 2010. For CCL 4, EPA reviewed the literature found in the search to identify papers that present supplemental occurrence data for ambient water or drinking water that were not previously considered under CCL 3. Many of the studies were highly localized in scope, so were only evaluated as supplemental data if other more comprehensive studies were not available. Many of the studies involved waste waters and media other than water and these were not used for CCL 4. The Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water, to supplement the journal literature, reviewed 14 studies published by the USGS since CCL 3 (since late 2008) on PPCPs and other contaminants for possible additional supplemental data (http://toxics.usgs.gov/).

Proprietor
U.S. EPA

Contact Information
Octavia Conerly
USEPA – Office of Science and Technology
Email: conerly.octavia@epa.gov
Meredith Russell
USEPA- Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
Email: russell.meredith@epa.gov

Type of Data Elements
Measured contaminant concentrations from water samples, number of samples and/or sites with samples, number of detections, type of sites and samples, etc.

Relevance Explanation
This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains data elements for supplemental contaminant occurrence in water, which may indicate potential occurrence in drinking water.

Completeness Explanation
It meets considerations because the studies were peer-reviewed.

Redundancy Explanation
This source is not redundant though some, but not all, data may overlap among papers by the same authors. This source may also overlap with prior published USGS reports and the NAWQA and NREC data, collected for CCL 3.

Retrievability Explanation
Data not retrievable. This source contains tabulated occurrence data that can be copied and formatted.

Source URL
Not applicable

Data Source Name
Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment (GAMA) Program

Data Source Description
The GAMA Program is California's comprehensive groundwater quality monitoring program conducted cooperatively with USGS. The GAMA data used in this analysis are from water samples from public drinking water supply wells (i.e., untreated “source water”). Major groundwater supply basins are a specific focus of the GAMA program. The main goals of the program are to improve statewide groundwater monitoring and to increase the availability of groundwater quality information to the public. GAMA collects data by testing the untreated or raw water in different
types of wells for naturally-occurring and man-made chemicals. In 2000 the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) created the ambient monitoring program to better understand California’s groundwater quality issues. The GAMA Program was later expanded, resulting in a plan to monitor and assess groundwater quality in basins that account for over 95% of the state’s groundwater use. GAMA Program projects have analyzed thousands of water samples for hundreds of chemicals – many of the chemicals at ultra-low detection limits requiring state-of-the-art facilities and methods. GAMA compiles these test results with existing groundwater quality data from several agencies into a publicly-accessible internet database, GeoTracker GAMA. There are four active GAMA projects: Priority Basin Project, Domestic Well Project, Special Studies Project, and GeoTracker GAMA.

Proprietor
California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)/U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)

Contact Information
John Borkovich
jborkovich@waterboards.ca.gov
GAMA Program Manager
916-341-5779

Type of Data Elements
Drinking water (source water) occurrence concentrations

Relevance Explanation
This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains measurements of contaminants in water, demonstrating occurrence.

Completeness Explanation
This source meets completeness considerations because it meets all NDWAC minimum data requirements.

Redundancy Explanation
This source is not redundant.

Retrievability Explanation
This source contains tabulated occurrence data that can be downloaded and analyzed.

Source URL
http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/gama/

Data Source Name

Data Source Description
As part of the NAWQA program, Hopple et al. (2009) conducted studies to analyze 258 anthropogenic organic compounds in groundwater used as source waters for community water systems (CWSs). Most of the 258 compounds analyzed are unregulated in drinking water. In Phase 1 of the sampling, source water samples were collected between October 2002 and July 2005 from 221 wells that withdraw from 12 aquifers across the U.S. In Phase 2 of the sampling, USGS collected source and finished water samples from 94 wells at selected CWSs between June 2004 and September 2005. These samples were analyzed for a smaller subset of compounds, which occurred most frequently, or were found at relatively high concentrations in Phase 1 of the sampling.

Proprietor
United States Geological Survey (USGS)

Contact Information
Director, USGS South Dakota Water Science Center
1608 Mt. View Rd.
Rapid City, SD 57702
Phone: (605) 394–3200
Web: http://sd.water.usgs.gov
Email: dc_sd@usgs.gov

Type of Data Elements
Measured contaminant concentrations from water samples, number of samples/sites with samples, number of detections, etc.

Relevance Explanation
This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains data elements for contaminant occurrence in source water obtained from ground water resources.

Completeness Explanation
It meets all considerations because it is peer reviewed.

Redundancy Explanation
This source is not redundant though some, but not all, data may overlap with Toccalino et al. and with the NAWQA database analyzed separately for CCL 3.

Retrievability Explanation
This source contains tabulated occurrence data that can be copied and formatted.

Source URL

Data Source Name
Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS)

Data Source Description
EPA’s IRIS Program is a human health assessment program that evaluates quantitative and qualitative risk information on effects that may result from exposure to chemical substances found in the environment. Through the IRIS Program, EPA provides the highest quality science-based human health assessments to support the agency's regulatory activities. The IRIS database contains information for more than 550 chemical substances that can be used to support the first two steps (hazard identification and dose-response evaluation) of the risk assessment process. When supported by available data, IRIS provides toxicity values and qualitative information for chronic cancer and noncancer health effects, including:

- Noncancer effects: Oral reference doses and inhalation reference concentrations (RfDs and RfCs, respectively) for effects known or assumed to be produced through a nonlinear (possibly threshold) mode of action. In most instances, RfDs and RfCs are developed for the noncancerous effects of substances.

- Cancer effects: Descriptors that characterize the weight of evidence for human carcinogenicity, oral slope factors, and oral and inhalation unit risks for carcinogenic effects. Where a nonlinear mode of action is established, RfD and RfC values may be used.

Government and private entities use IRIS by combining IRIS toxicity values with specific exposure information to help characterize public health risks of chemical substances and thereby support risk management decisions designed to protect public health.
**Proprietor**
EPA Office of Research and Development; ORD, National Center for Environmental Assessment

**Contact Information**
U.S. EPA Risk Information Hotline at telephone 1-301-345-2870, or fax to 1-301-345-2876, or by email to Hotline.IRIS@epamail.epa.gov

**Type of Data Elements**
Name, Synonyms, CASRN, RfC, RfD, SF(i,o), UR(i,o), NO(A)EL, LO(A)EL, Benchmark Concentration/ Dose (BMC/D), Benchmark Dose Limit (BMDL), Critical effect

**Relevance Explanation**
This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains data elements from toxicological studies.

**Completeness Explanation**
It meets all considerations because it is peer reviewed.

**Redundancy Explanation**
For CCL 4, IRIS is available electronically and retrievable. During CCL 3, the toxicological data for IRIS were available in tabular format from ITER (data source #110) and RAIS-Health Effects (data source #178) (see USEPA, 2009b. Final Contaminant Candidate List 3 Chemicals: Identifying the Universe.) Hence, there is some overlap and redundancy but each also provides additional information not available elsewhere.

**Retrievability Explanation**
For CCL 4, IRIS is available electronically and retrievable. During CCL 3 this source contained monographs that were not formatted for automated retrieval. However, the toxicological data from this source have been compiled for electronic retrieval in ITER and were obtained from there for CCL 3. IRIS monographs were used to confirm the IRIS/ITER data.

**Source URL**
http://www.epa.gov/iris/index.html

**Data Source Name**

**Data Source Description**
As part of the NAWQA program, Kingsbury et al. (2008) conducted studies to analyze 258 anthropogenic organic compounds in surface waters used as source waters for nine CWSs. In Phase 1 of the studies, source water samples were collected between 2002 and 2004 from nine CWSs served by streams across the U.S. In Phase 2 of the studies, USGS collected source and finished water samples at eight of the nine CWSs between 2004 and 2005.


**Proprietor**
United States Geological Survey (USGS)

**Contact Information**
Director, USGS South Dakota Water Science Center
1608 Mt. View Rd.
Rapid City, SD 57702
Phone: (605) 394–3200
Web: http://sd.water.usgs.gov Email: dc_sd@usgs.gov

**Type of Data Elements**
Water occurrence data elements, measured concentrations, number of
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sites, number of detections, etc.

**Relevance Explanation**
This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains data elements for contaminant occurrence in source water obtained from surface water resources.

**Completeness Explanation**
It meets all considerations because it is peer reviewed.

**Redundancy Explanation**
This source is not redundant (though some, but not all, data may overlap with the NAWQA database analyzed separately for CCL 3).

**Retrievability Explanation**
This source contains tabulated occurrence data that can be copied and formatted.

**Source URL**
http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2008/5208/

**Data Source Name**
Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Health Effects Data

**Data Source Description**
EPA’s Office of Pesticide Programs publishes health effects data in a variety of sources, including Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Documents and Health Assessments. "When EPA completes the review and risk management decision for a pesticide that is subject to reregistration (i.e., one initially registered before November 1984), EPA generally issues a RED document. The RED summarizes the risk assessment conclusions and outlines any risk reduction measures necessary for the pesticide to continue to be registered in the U.S."

There are REDs for over 176 pesticides currently. Two other types of documents – the Interim RED and Tolerance RED may also be issued. The IRED is issued when a pesticide is undergoing reregistration, requires a reregistration eligibility decision, and also needs a cumulative assessment. A TRED may be issued if no changes in the tolerances for a pesticide are required as a result of EPA's review.

EPA has also developed human health benchmarks (HHBPs) for approximately 363 pesticides to enable states, tribes, water systems, the public and other stakeholders to better determine whether the detection of a pesticide in drinking water or source waters for drinking water may indicate a potential health risk. The HHBP table includes pesticide active ingredients for which Health Advisories or enforceable National Primary Drinking Water Regulations (e.g., maximum contaminant levels) have not been developed. For pesticides with HHBPs, EPA checked the source document used to develop the HHBP to determine if there were any new or updated health effects data for CCL 4. These source documents can be found by clicking on the pesticide name at the following link: http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/hhbp

(Description adapted from website.)

**Proprietor**
EPA Office of Pesticide Programs

**Contact Information**
US Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Pesticide Programs
Washington, DC 20460
Telephone 703-308-8000

**Type of Data Elements**
Name, Synonyms, Drinking Water Level of Concern (DWLOC), Population Adjusted Dose (PAD), Reference Dose (RfD), Safety Factors, Lethal Concentration (LCx), Lethal Dose (LDx), Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level LO(A)EL, Margin of Exposure (MOE), No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NO(A)EL), highest dose tested (HDT)
Relevance Explanation

This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains data elements from toxicological studies.

Completeness Explanation

It meets considerations because it is peer reviewed.

Redundancy Explanation

This source is not redundant.

Retrievability Explanation

This source does not meet retrievability criteria because the data are not formatted for automated retrieval.

Source URL

http://iaspub.epa.gov/apex/pesticides/f?p=chemicalsearch:1

Data Source Name

Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER)

Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values for Superfund (PPRTV)

Data Source Description

The Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values (PPRTVs) currently represent the second tier of human health toxicity values for the EPA Superfund and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) hazardous waste programs. A PPRTV is a toxicity value derived for use in the Superfund Program when such value is not available in EPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). PPRTVs are derived after a review of the relevant scientific literature using the methods, sources of data and guidance for value derivation used by the EPA IRIS Program. All provisional peer-reviewed toxicity values receive internal review by EPA scientists and external peer review by independent scientific experts. PPRTVs differ from IRIS values in that PPRTVs do not undergo a multi-program internal agency review, inter-agency review, or public comment. Additionally, IRIS values are generally intended to be used in all EPA programs, while PPRTVs are developed specifically for the Superfund Program.

The development of PPRTVs enables OSWER to make informed clean-up decisions regarding the screening of chemicals of concern, conduct human health risk assessments and evaluate alternative clean-up actions at federal and state Superfund sites, which can lead to improvements in human and ecological health in the vicinity of Superfund sites, as well as improved economic conditions and quality of life for nearby communities. PPRTVs are also used by EPA's regions when making site specific clean-up decisions.

PPRTVs are prepared on an ongoing basis for those substances found at clean-up sites and for which no IRIS value is available. The purpose of PPRTV documents are to provide hazard and dose-response assessments pertaining to chronic and subchronic exposures to substances of concern, present the major conclusions reached in the hazard identification and derivation of the PPRTVs and characterize the overall confidence in these conclusions and toxicity values. PPRTV assessments are updated approximately on a 5-year cycle for new data or methodologies that might impact the toxicity values or characterization of potential for adverse human health effects and are revised as appropriate. (Description adapted from website.)

Proprietor

U.S. EPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER) / Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation (OSRTI) & U.S. EPA Office of Research and Development (ORD)/ National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA)
**Contact Information**

Annette Gatchett  
ORD/ NCEA  
Gatchett.Annette@epa.gov

Michele Burgess  
OSWER/ OSRTI  
Burgess.Michele@epa.gov

**Type of Data Elements**

Name, Synonyms, CASRN, RfC, RfD, LOAEL, Critical effect, Cancer classification

**Relevance Explanation**

This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains data elements from toxicological studies.

**Completeness Explanation**

This source meets all completeness considerations because it is peer reviewed.

**Redundancy Explanation**

This source is not redundant.

**Retrievability Explanation**

Data are retrievable by EPA but require special processing and analysis for CCL use.

**Source URL**

http://hhpprtv.ornl.gov/index.html

**Data Source Description**

The USDA Pesticide Data Program (PDP) has developed a national pesticide residue database. PDP was initiated in 1991 to collect data on pesticide residues in food with sampling conducted on a statistically defensible representation of pesticide residuals in the United States food supply. Sampling and testing are conducted on fruits and vegetables, select grains, milk and (as of 2001) drinking water. The PDP drinking water sampling program was initiated at community water systems (CWSs) in New York and California in 2001. Since then, the drinking water sampling program has expanded, though the States where sampling occurs may differ from year to year. At one time or another, CWSs in 27 states and Washington, D.C. contributed raw and/or finished water data to the program. The sampling frame is designed to provide coverage in regions of interest for at least two years, to reflect the seasonal and climatic variability during growing seasons. PDP works with EPA and the American Water Works Association (AWWA) to identify specific water treatment facilities to be monitored. EPA reviews the PDP data on the occurrence of select contaminants in untreated and treated water. The CWSs selected for sampling tend to be small- and medium-sized systems (primarily CWSs serving less than 50,000 persons), systems served by surface water, and systems located in regions of heavy agriculture. The number of sites and samples has varied during different sampling periods. Sampling of untreated water in addition to treated water began in 2004. EPA had previously compiled the 2001 and 2002 PDP data for CCL 3. EPA compiled the 2003 through 2009 PDP data used in CCL 4 in December, 2011. (Description adapted from website.)

**Proprietor**

USDA
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Contact Information

PDP Staff:
Agricultural Marketing Service
Science & Technology, Monitoring Programs Office
8609 Sudley Road, Suite 206
Manassas, VA 20110
Director: Martha Lamont
Phone: (703) 330-2300 ext. 17
Fax: (703) 369-0678

Deputy Director: Diana Haynes
Phone: (703) 330-2300 ext. 34
Fax: (703) 369-0678
E-mail: amsmpo.data@ams.usda.gov

Type of Data Elements

Total Samples Analyzed, Samples with Residues Detected, Percent of
Samples with Detections, Pesticides Detected, Residues Detected, Total
Residue Detections, % of Samples with Detects, Minimum Value
Detected (ppm), Maximum Value Detected (ppm), Number of Detections
of Pesticides in Drinking Water, Pesticides Detected Above Limit of
Quantification in Drinking Water

Relevance Explanation

This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it
contains measurements of pesticide residues in water, demonstrating
occurrence.

Completeness Explanation

It meets considerations because it meets all NDWAC minimum data
requirements (i.e., contact information for an administrator of the data
source, a list of data elements in the data source, and an explanation of
how the data were generated).

Redundancy Explanation

This source is not redundant.

Retrievability Explanation

This source meets retrievability criteria because it is in tabular format.

Source URL


Data Source Name

State Drinking Water Data Sets

Data Source Description

For EPA’s Second Six-Year Review of drinking water regulations, EPA
requested (through an ICR) that primacy agencies submit drinking water
compliance occurrence data to EPA that was collected during 1998-
2005. Eight states (CA, FL, IL, NC, OH, SD, TX, and WI) and the Region
9 tribes also submitted PWS occurrence data for unregulated
contaminants in addition to the data for regulated contaminants. EPA
was able to supplement these data on unregulated contaminants by
downloading additional publicly available monitoring data from State
Web sites (specifically, from Florida and Wisconsin). The result was a
collection of unregulated contaminant monitoring data from nine states
(eight states and one tribal entity; this support document uses the term
“state” for SDWA primacy entities for convenience) The nine data sets
vary in the range of monitoring dates (in some cases extending beyond
the 1998-2005 period of interest for Six-Year Review), the number of
contaminants monitored, the number of systems reporting monitoring,
and the number of samples taken. The data sets vary widely in the
number of PWSs sampled in each State relative to the total number of
PWSs in that State. Hence, these data are used only to augment and
complement any national drinking water data and to assess any unique occurrence that may suggest a need for further review.

**Proprietor**
EPA OGWDW; The Cadmus Group, Inc.

**Contact Information**
Erin Mateo  
The Cadmus Group  
100 5th Ave Suite 100  
Waltham, MA 02451  
Phone: 617-673-7000

**Type of Data Elements**
Drinking water occurrence concentrations, number of PWSs with samples, etc.

**Relevance Explanation**
This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains measurements of contaminants in water, demonstrating occurrence. Most data are available for regulated contaminants. Some data are available for unregulated contaminants.

**Completeness Explanation**
It meets considerations because it meets all NDWAC minimum data requirements.

**Redundancy Explanation**
This source is partially redundant, since data for contaminants that are regulated in drinking water are available as part of the National Contaminant Occurrence Database (NCOD) - Six Year.

**Retrievability Explanation**
Data are retrievable by EPA but require special processing and analysis for CCL use.

**Source URL**
Internet source not available

**Data Source Name**
STORET - STORage and RETrieval

**Data Source Description**
STORET is a water quality, biological, and physical property data warehouse, containing information collected from over 60 organizations including States, tribes, watershed groups, other Federal Agencies, volunteer groups and universities. The extent of national coverage and data completeness varies from parameter to parameter. Many (though not all) sample results are accompanied by information on sample location (e.g., latitude, longitude, state, county, Hydrologic Unit Code and a brief site identification), sample date, the medium sampled (e.g., water, sediment, fish tissue) and the name of the organization that sponsored the monitoring. In addition, there can be information on why the data were gathered; sampling and analytical methods used; the laboratory used to analyze the samples; the quality control checks used when sampling, handling the samples, and analyzing the data; and the personnel responsible for the data. All data supplied to EPA since January 1, 1999 have been placed in the STORET Data Warehouse. Data supplied to EPA before 1999 are stored in the Legacy STORET Data Center. EPA downloaded STORET data for use in CCL 4 in January, 2013. (Description adapted from website.)

**Proprietor**
EPA

**Contact Information**
STORET User Assistance: 1-800-424-9067 or STORET@epa.gov

**Type of Data Elements**
Water occurrence data elements, measured concentrations, number of sites, number of detections, etc.

**Relevance Explanation**
This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it
contains measurements of contaminants in water, demonstrating occurrence.

Redundancy Explanation
This source is not redundant.

Retrievability Explanation
Data are retrievable by EPA but require special processing and analysis for CCL use.

Source URL
http://www.epa.gov/storet/

Data Source Name

Data Source Description
As part of USGS’s National Water Quality Assessment (NAWQA) program, water samples were collected from source (untreated) ground water from 932 public water system wells located in parts of 40 NAWQA Study Units in 41 states. Each well was sampled once between 1993 and 2007. Water samples were analyzed for up to 215 regulated and unregulated contaminants and several water-quality properties (e.g., hardness). The public wells sampled in this study represented 629 unique PWSs, representing 0.5% of the approximately 140,000 ground water-supplied PWSs, but nearly 25% of the population served by ground water supplied PWSs in the United States.


Proprietor
United States Geological Survey (USGS)

Contact Information
Chief, National Water-Quality Assessment Program
U.S. Geological Survey
413 National Center
12201 Sunrise Valley Drive
Reston, Virginia 20192
http://water.usgs.gov/nawqa/studies/public_wells/

Type of Data Elements
Measured contaminant concentrations from water samples, number of samples/sites/PWSs with samples, number of detections, etc.

Relevance Explanation
This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains data elements for contaminant occurrence in source water obtained from public-supply wells.

Completeness Explanation
It meets all considerations because it is peer reviewed.

Redundancy Explanation
This source is not redundant though some, but not all, data may overlap with Hopple et al. and with the NAWQA database analyzed separately for CCL 3.

Retrievability Explanation
This source contains tabulated occurrence data that can be copied and formatted.

Source URL
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source Name</th>
<th>Data Source Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Toxics Release Inventory (TRI)</strong></td>
<td>TRI contains information from almost 20,000 U.S. companies and government facilities that report their air, land and water releases of industrial chemicals and other waste management activities. TRI also contains some information about source reduction efforts. This database’s information on releases to water is a valuable source of potential occurrence data for screening drinking water contaminants for the CCL. It includes many categories of air, land, and water release data for the years 1988 through 2010. As of 2010, the TRI toxic chemical list contains 498 individually listed chemicals. EPA downloaded the 2010 TRI data (used in CCL 4) in March 2012. Facilities may also revise or withdraw submittals that they have made under TRI. This may explain why data for a given chemical and year (i.e., pounds released and number of states where a chemical was released) were sometimes observed during the compilation of CCL 3 to change over time after initial release of the data to the public. (Description adapted from website.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proprieter</th>
<th>EPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Contact Information | (800) 424-9346 - select option 3  
(703) 412-9810 - Wash. DC metro area  
(800) 553-7672 – TDD  
Email: tri.us@epa.gov |
| Type of Data Elements | Pounds per year of chemical releases to air, land and water |
| Relevance Explanation | This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains information on chemical releases, which may indicate potential occurrence. |
| Completeness Explanation | It meets considerations because it is peer reviewed. |
| Redundancy Explanation | This source is not redundant. |
| Retrievability Explanation | This source meets retrievability criteria because it is in tabular format. |
| Source URL | [http://www.epa.gov/triexplorer/](http://www.epa.gov/triexplorer/) |

### Data Sources for Microbial Contaminants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source Name</th>
<th>Data Source Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Center for Disease Control and Prevention’s Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Reports (MMWR)</strong></td>
<td>Since 1971, CDC, EPA and the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE) have maintained a collaborative surveillance system for collecting and periodically reporting data related to occurrences and causes of Water Borne Disease Outbreaks (WBDOs). These reports from the CDC are published periodically in the MMWR. For CCL 3 EPA used CDC’s MMWR summaries as the source for the WBDO scoring protocol. The summaries include data on outbreaks associated with drinking water, recreational water, water not intended for drinking (excluding recreational water) and water use of unknown intent. Public health agencies are responsible for investigating outbreaks and reporting them voluntarily to CDC using a standard form. Only data on outbreaks associated with drinking water, water not intended for drinking (excluding recreational water) and water use of unknown intent are</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proprieter</th>
<th>EPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Contact Information | (800) 424-9346 - select option 3  
(703) 412-9810 - Wash. DC metro area  
(800) 553-7672 – TDD  
Email: tri.us@epa.gov |
| Type of Data Elements | |
| Relevance Explanation | |
| Completeness Explanation | |
| Redundancy Explanation | |
| Retrievability Explanation | |
| Source URL | |

Page A1-17
summarized in this report. CDC and EPA acknowledge that the WBDOs reported in the surveillance system represent only a portion of the burden of illness associated with drinking water exposure. The surveillance information does not include endemic waterborne disease risks. (Description adapted from website.)

Proprietor
CDC
Contact Information
Division of Foodborne, Waterborne, and Environmental Diseases, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, CDC, 1600 Clifton Road, N.E., MS C-9, Atlanta, GA 30333.
Telephone: 404-639-1700;
E-mail: healthywater@cdc.gov

Type of Data Elements
Waterborne outbreak data

Relevance Explanation
This source is considered relevant for the CCL process because it contains information on drinking water outbreaks caused by microbial contaminants which is a major component of the scoring process.

Completeness Explanation
It meets considerations because it is peer reviewed.

Redundancy Explanation
This source is not redundant.

Retrievability Explanation
This source meets retrievability criteria because it is in tabular format.

Source URL
http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/indss_2011.html

Data Source Name
EPA Literature Search for Supplemental Data for Microbial Contaminants

Data Source Description
As part of its ongoing assessment of microbes in drinking water, EPA conducted a literature review of peer-reviewed, published journal literature for health effects and occurrence data for nominated microbes from 2007 through 2012. EPA reviewed all relevant research reports found to identify papers that might present data for the nominated microbes that might help inform CCL 4. EPA also reviewed studies submitted and referenced by nominators.

Proprietor
U.S. EPA
Contact Information
Cesar Cordero
Email: cordero.cesar@epa.gov

Type of Data Elements
Health effects, drinking water occurrence data elements

Relevance Explanation
This source is considered relevant for the CCL process because it contains information on health effects and occurrence in water.

Completeness Explanation
It meets considerations because the studies were peer-reviewed.

Redundancy Explanation
This source is not redundant (though some, but not all, data may overlap among papers by the same authors).

Retrievability Explanation
Data not retrievable. This source contains written and tabulated data that can be copied and formatted.

Source URL
Not applicable
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Data Source Name</strong></th>
<th><strong>Manual of Clinical Microbiology (MCM), 10th Edition</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Source Description</strong></td>
<td>The 10th edition of the MCM is the result of collaborative efforts of 22 editors and more than 267 authors from around the world, all experienced researchers and practitioners in medical and diagnostic microbiology. The manual has been brought fully up to date, resulting in 149 chapters containing the latest research findings, infectious agents, methods, practices and safety guidelines. Now entering its fifth decade the Manual strives to continue to be the leading, most authoritative reference for the &quot;real-world&quot; practice of clinical microbiology. This publication builds on the content of past editions. The process requires about 3 years of careful planning, design, writing and review of chapters before the final phases of copyediting, composition, printing and binding. (Description adapted from website.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proprietor</strong></td>
<td>ASM Press, Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact Information</strong></td>
<td>James Versalovic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Microbiology Laboratories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Texas Children's Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Houston, Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of Data Elements</strong></td>
<td>Production Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relevance Explanation</strong></td>
<td>This source is considered relevant for the CCL Universe because it contains health effects and occurrence information on microbial pathogens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completeness Explanation</strong></td>
<td>It meets considerations because it is peer reviewed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Redundancy Explanation</strong></td>
<td>This source is not redundant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retrievability Explanation</strong></td>
<td>This source is not automatically retrievable. It is a book available for purchase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source URL</strong></td>
<td><a href="http://mcm10.asmpress.org/">http://mcm10.asmpress.org/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>