

Compilation Charge Preferences of RAC MARSAME Review Panel

10/04/07 7:04 pm

Jack Kooyoomjian:

I have attached a suggested charge item that I believe would be useful to include in the set of charges for the SAB review of MARSAME. I would be most interested in being assigned to such a charge. I submit this to you for your feedback.

Ken Duvall

10/05/07 7:32 am

Jack/Jill:

I just got a chance yesterday to look at the packet after I got back late on the 3rd from consulting in Europe for a month - and as you know, I leave today for an IAEA mission to Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan.

As discussed, I do not expect to be able to participate in the phone call next week. The 12 hour time difference and probable complexity of calling from Tashkent make it a little tricky.

However, I have started reading the manual and materials and after a quick review, my first preference would be to be on the Decision-Making Roadmap group, with the Survey Design and Implementation my second choice and Statistics least preferred.

I'll be back in the USA on the 14th and see you on the 29th.

Best,

Brian Dodd

10/05/07 3:47 pm

RAC members,

As I have reviewed these documents I recognize that this is not my area of scientific expertise. However, I have done some environmental monitoring and made radiation measurements so I can contribute. I would recommend that my efforts be focused on Charge question 1 to relate monitoring data to background data. This is an important part of the report to recognize the range of background and how the concentration and activity being considered relates to this background. I hope that I can make a useful contribution to both Charge question 1a and 1b.

I will read and study the document in greater detail and look forward to being able to participate in the meeting the last part of October.

Tony Brooks

10/8/07 3:09 pm

Jill and Jack:

As I review the charge questions for tomorrow's conference call, I feel confident that I can contribute best to Charge questions 1a. - 1d. and/or 3.

Thanks,

Dick Vetter

10/08/07 6:21 pm

Dear Jack,

I have read the charge questions and, based on my experience and level of expertise, I would be most comfortable working on charge question 1. In particular, I am interested in the implementability of the guidance in the field. Ken Duvall's breakdown of the implementation issues is really good and quite complete. At this time, I don't have anything substantive to add to it. I think it forms a very good basis for discussion.

Jan Johnson