Dear Mr Hanlon,

Attached please find my comments which I delivered today in abbreviated form.

Also, please find the Compendium to which I referred during my comments, and also the PSE for Healthy Energy data analysis. Attached are some additional peer-reviewed articles which point to the health impacts of water contaminated during gas drilling operations.

Several attachments for the Panel’s consideration are not included within this posting, due to copyright protection requirements. These attachments are noted below:


5) Surface and groundwater contamination associated with modern natural gas development. 


Thank you for this opportunity, and kind regards,

Larysa Dyrszka MD  
White lake, NY 12786  
co-founder of Concerned Health Professionals of NY www.concernedhealthny.org
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Abstract

**Background:** The United States has experienced a boom in natural gas production due to recent technological innovations that have enabled this resource to be produced from shale formations.

**Objectives:** This review discusses the body of evidence that focuses on exposure pathways to evaluate the potential environmental public health impacts of shale gas development. It highlights what is currently known and identifies data gaps and research limitations by addressing matters of toxicity, exposure pathways, air quality, and water quality.

**Discussion:** There is evidence of potential environmental public health risks associated with shale gas development. A number of studies suggest that shale gas development contributes to levels of ambient air concentrations known to be associated with increased risk of morbidity and mortality. Similarly, an increasing body of studies suggest water contamination risks exist through a variety of environmental pathways, most notably during wastewater transport and disposal and via poor zonal isolation of gases and fluids due to structural integrity impairment of cement in gas wells.

**Conclusion:** Despite a growing body of evidence, a number of data gaps persist. Most importantly, there is a need for more epidemiological studies to assess associations between risk factors, such as air and water pollution and health outcomes among populations living in close proximity to shale gas operations.
Introduction

Technological innovations in drilling and well stimulation techniques have led to the production of natural gas from previously inaccessible geological formations, such as shale. Proponents of modern gas development argue that it has created a unique economic and political opportunity. Some in the public health community, however, have concerns about the potential for the extraction process to negatively impact the environment and human health (Finkel et al. 2013; Goldstein et al. 2012; Saberi 2013; Witter et al. 2013).

Producing natural gas from shale and tight gas formations in an economically feasible manner frequently requires a new constellation of existing technologies: high volume, slickwater, hydraulic fracturing from clustered, multi-well pads using long, directionally-drilled laterals. This method can involve drilling a well vertically thousands of feet below the surface and then directionally (horizontally) for up to two miles. An average of two to five million gallons of fluid consisting of water, proppant (often crystalline silica), and chemicals (some of which are known carcinogens or otherwise toxic) are injected into the well at a pressure high enough to fracture the shale rock (EPA 2010a). Often referred to as slickwater, chemicals are added to the fracturing fluid in order to decrease its friction. The fracturing fluid creates and expands cracks in the shale. When the pressure is released, the cracks are held open by the sand, allowing the tightly held gases to flow into the cracks and up the production casing. The gas is then collected, processed, and sent through transmission pipelines to market. In 2012, shale gas constituted nearly 40% of US gas production, up from 2% in 2000 (Hughes 2013).

Natural gas has a variety of attractive attributes. In the current market, it is a relatively inexpensive and abundant fuel. When combusted for electricity generation it emits fewer health
damaging contaminants and approximately 50% less carbon dioxide emissions when compared to burning coal (US EIA 2013). Yet, emerging scientific evidence suggests that there may be health risks associated with the development of shale gas.

In this review we discuss the body of scientific literature relevant to the environmental public health impacts of shale gas production. We highlight what is currently known and identify data gaps and research limitations.

**Methods**

**Scope of review**

This review primarily draws upon literature directly pertinent to the human health dimensions of shale and tight gas development. Tight gas refers to natural gas produced from reservoir rocks of low permeability, such as shale or sandstone. Shale gas and other forms of tight gas are referred to as “unconventional” due to their atypical reservoirs, which require new production techniques. However, the review references some studies that do not directly evaluate unconventional natural gas operations, but that are nonetheless relevant to various aspects of the overall process (e.g., particulate matter pollution, ozone, etc.). In the case of ozone, for instance, we analyzed top down studies that measure tropospheric concentrations rather than studies that supply bottom up measurements (e.g., leakage rates). Materials included in this review are predominantly sourced from the peer-reviewed scientific literature but include, where appropriate, government reports and other grey literature. Although the production chain of gas development is far-reaching, this review focuses on the processes that begin with trucking the water, sand, chemicals, and other materials to the well pad and ends with the disposal of wastewater. Evidence suggests that these processes present the greatest risks to environmental public health and therefore have received
the most attention in the scientific literature (Korfmacher et al. 2013; McKenzie et al. 2012; Rozell and Reaven 2012; Witter 2013).

**Terminology**

Terminology is important when discussing modern forms of natural gas development. In part due to a lack of well-defined, uniform terminology, there has been confusion regarding which processes constitutes this type of development. The terms, “hydraulic fracturing” or “fracking” are regularly used in the popular media as umbrella terms that are colloquially used to describe the entire process of shale gas and other forms of unconventional natural gas development, from land clearing and well spudding to transmission of natural gas to market. However, taken literally “hydraulic fracturing” only refers to the well stimulation processes and excludes other potentially more health and environmentally impactful processes, including but not limited to well drilling, fracturing fluid production, wastewater disposal, transportation of materials, and the processing, compression, and transmission of gas and liquids.

Many of the studies cited in this review may also apply to shale (tight) oil development and other forms of oil and gas development using well stimulation techniques that include matrix acid stimulation, acid fracturing, and steam injection. However, these other techniques are beyond the focus of this review. Additionally, the term “unconventional oil and gas development” can also refer to bitumen/tar sands extraction and processing, and other types of fossil fuel development that employ novel engineering and production techniques to obtain resources from unconventional resources (e.g., coal bed methane), that are beyond the scope of this review. The majority of the environmental public health-relevant scientific literature on modern oil and gas production has focused on the development of natural gas from shale formations and so this review uses the term, *shale gas development*. However, this review discusses, where appropriate,
scientific literature on other forms of unconventional or tight gas development that include the most prominent and relevant features of shale gas development, such as high volume, horizontal, hydraulic fracturing.

**Identification of relevant studies**

The literature directly relevant to the environmental public health dimensions of shale gas development is still limited. For this reason, we adopted a broad search strategy comprised of the following:

- Searches in existing collections of scientific literature on this subject, such as The Marcellus Shale Initiative Publication Database at Bucknell University (http://www.bucknell.edu/script/environmentalcenter/marcellus), complemented by Google (http://www.google.com) and Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com)
- Manual searches (hand-searches) of references included in all peer-reviewed studies that pertained directly to shale gas development

For bibliographic databases, this review used a combination of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)-based and keyword strategies, which included the following terms as well as relevant combinations thereof: shale gas, shale, hydraulic fracturing, fracking, drilling, natural gas production, Marcellus, Barnett, Denver-Julesberg Basin, air pollution, methane, water pollution, public health, water contamination, fugitive emissions, air quality, epidemiology, unconventional gas development, and environmental pathways.
At the time of writing, this search identified a total of 211 peer-reviewed publications that pertain directly to shale gas development. This database can now be accessed online and will continue to be updated with relevant literature (http://psehealthyenergy.org/site/view/1180). Of these 211 publications, only 33 presented original data that met our inclusion criteria and which were considered relevant as primary literature.

**Inclusion/exclusion criteria**

From the studies identified through February 1, 2014, we excluded non-relevant technical papers and studies related to economics, climate change, sociology, regulation, seismicity, water usage, social stress and quality of life considerations. While we excluded commentaries from the results of this review, we cite a few to provide documentation of particular considerations among the public health community. We included studies with direct pertinence to the environmental public health and environmental exposure pathways (i.e., air and water) associated with shale and tight gas development. In this regard, we supplemented the shale gas literature with studies that evaluated particular environmental pathways and health outcomes. For instance, we included studies directly related to the health impacts of tropospheric ozone, fine particulate air pollution, and endocrine disrupting chemicals. While this review excludes the vast majority of non-peer-reviewed scientific literature, it references environmental impact statements and other government reports where appropriate.

**Results**

*The environmental public health framework and possible exposure pathways*

The environmental exposure pathway framework is often used to describe associations between pollutant sources and health effects via emissions, environmental concentrations of pollutants,
pollutant exposure pathways (through mouth, nose, ears, eyes, skin, etc.), and dose (i.e., micrograms of pollutant ingested per day) (Figure 1) (ATSDR 2005).

Sources of health-relevant environmental pollution are located in a number of places and through multiple processes in the lifecycle of shale gas development. These sources include the shale gas production and processing activities (i.e., drilling, hydraulic fracturing, hydrocarbon processing and production, wastewater disposal phases of development); the transmission and distribution of the gas to market (i.e., in transmission lines and distribution pipes); and the transportation of water, sand, chemicals, and wastewater before, during, and after hydraulic fracturing.

We begin with a brief introduction of what is known regarding the toxicity and possible exposure pathways of the hydraulic fracturing fluids used in the well stimulation process. We then discuss the current scientific understanding of air quality concerns associated with shale gas development. Lastly, we discuss the current scientific understanding of water pollution risks and exposure pathways associated with the processes.

**Hydraulic fracturing fluids: chemical toxicology and exposure pathways**

Shale gas development uses organic and inorganic chemicals known to be health damaging in fracturing fluids (Aminto and Olson 2012; US HOR 2011). These fluids can move through the environment and come into contact with humans in a number of ways, including surface leaks, spills, releases from holding tanks, poor well construction, leaks and accidents during transportation of fluids, flowback and produced water to and from the well pad, and in the form of run-off during blowouts, storms, and flooding events (Rozell and Reaven 2012). Further, the mixing of these compounds under conditions of high pressure, and often, high heat, may synergistically create additional, potentially toxic compounds (Kortenkamp et al. 2007;
Teuschler and Hertzberg 1995; Wilkinson 2000). Compounds found in these mixtures may pose risks to the environment and to public health through numerous environmental pathways, including water, air, and soil (Leenheer et al. 1982).

Chemicals are used in the drilling and fracturing processes as corrosion inhibitors, biocides, surfactants, friction reducers, gels, and scale inhibitors, among other uses (Aminto and Olson 2012; NYS DEC 2011; Southwest Energy 2012). Examples include methanol, ethylene glycol, naphthalene, xylene, toluene, ethylbenzene, formaldehyde, and sulfuric acid, some of which are known to be toxic, carcinogenic, and associated with reproductive harm (Colborn et al. 2011; NYS DEC 2011). Many of these compounds are also regulated in other industries under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) and the Clean Water Act (CWA) as hazardous water pollutants (Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974; Clean Water Act of 1972; US HOR 2011).

Many of the chemical compounds used in the process lack scientifically based maximum contaminant levels (MCLs), which render a quantification of their public health risks more difficult (Colborn et al. 2011). Moreover, uncertainty about the chemical make-up of fracturing fluids persists due to the limitations on required chemical disclosure, driven by the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (Energy Policy Act of 2005). For instance, in many states, companies are not mandated to disclose information about the quantities, concentrations, or identities of chemicals used in the process on the principle that trade secrets might be revealed (Centner and O’Connell 2014; Centner 2013; Maule et al. 2013).

Some companies make efforts to be more transparent in the disclosure of chemicals used in the process. FracFocus (www.fracfocus.org) was developed as an online, voluntary chemical disclosure registry and some agencies (e.g., Bureau of Land Management) have suggested that it
be used as a regulatory compliance tool (FracFocus 2014; Konschnik et al. 2013). However, the registry has been criticized due to uncertainty surrounding the timing, substance, and omissions of the disclosed data on the website (Konschnik et al. 2013).

Because of limited information that is available, researchers have sought to acquire more information on the chemical make-up of fracturing fluids through other means. For example, using Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs), Colborn et al. (2011) identified chemical information for 353 of 632 chemicals contained in 944 products used for natural gas operations in Colorado (Colborn et al. 2011). This study represents one of the first attempts to conduct a chemical hazard assessment by identifying some of the compounds in fracturing fluids.

It should be noted that the scope of Colborn et al. (2011) is limited in that it does not measure exposure, dose, or health outcomes across populations. The researchers identified Chemical Abstract Services (CAS) numbers for the chemicals and used these in systematic searches of databases such as TOXNET (http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov). Based upon the results of these searches, the researchers classified the compounds into twelve different health effects categories. At certain concentrations or doses, more than 75% of the chemicals identified are known to negatively impact the skin, eyes, and other sensory organs, the respiratory system, the gastrointestinal system, and the liver; 52% have the potential to negatively affect the nervous system; and 37% of the chemicals are candidate endocrine disrupting chemicals (Colborn et al. 2011).

Endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) present unique hazards, particularly during fetal and early childhood growth and development (Diamanti-Kandarakis et al. 2009). They can affect the reproductive system and epigenetic mechanisms leading to pathology decades after exposure.
(Zoeller et al. 2012). EDCs have challenged traditional concepts in toxicology because effects at higher doses do not always predict effects at low doses (Vandenberg et al. 2012). In other words, the dose does not always make the poison.

Kassotis et al. (2013) measured surface and ground water samples in Colorado for estrogen and androgen receptor activities using reporter gene assays in human cell lines. Samples collected from the more intensive areas of natural gas development exhibited statistically significantly more estrogenic, anti-estrogenic, or anti-androgenic activities than references sites with either no operations or fewer operations (Kassotis et al. 2013). The concentrations of chemicals detected were in high enough concentrations to interfere with the response of human cells to male sex hormones and to estrogen. This study demonstrates that EDCs are a potential health concern in natural gas operations and suggests that chemicals used in the process should be screened for EDC activity.

**Air quality**

Air pollutant emission sources from shale gas development can be grouped into two main categories: 1) emissions from drilling, processing, well completions, servicing, and other gas production activities; and 2) emissions from transportation of water, sand, chemicals, and equipment to and from the well pad.

**Air pollution: drilling, well stimulation, gas production, processing, and servicing**

The literature suggests that shale gas development processes emit hazardous air pollutants including, but not limited to benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX compounds), formaldehyde, hydrogen sulfide, acrylonitrile, methylene chloride, sulfuric oxide, nitrogen oxides, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), trimethylbenzenes, aliphatic hydrocarbons, diesel
particulate matter, and radon gas (McKenzie et al. 2012; Pétron et al. 2012; Roy et al. 2013). These emissions can result in elevated air pollution concentrations that exceed US EPA guidelines for both carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic health risks (McKenzie et al. 2012; MSI 2011).

A hazard assessment by McKenzie et al. (2012) used EPA guidance to estimate chronic and sub-chronic non-cancer hazard indices and cancer risks from exposure to hydrocarbons for residents living > ½ mile from wells and for those living ≤ ½ mile from wells in Colorado (McKenzie et al. 2012). The study found that residents living ≤ ½ mile from wells are at a greater risk for health effects from exposure to natural gas development than those living > ½ mile from wells. Notably, the study found a sub-chronic non-cancer hazard index (HI) of 5 for those living ≤ ½ mile compared to an HI of 0.2 for those living > ½ mile from wells driven primarily from exposure to trimethylbenzenes, xylenes, and aliphatic hydrocarbons (McKenzie et al. 2012). Unfortunately, baseline air quality data prior to this study were not available. However, the statistically significant spatial associations between air quality and shale gas development are an indicator that air quality may be negatively impacted and health risks may increase during various stages of shale gas development.

A study by Bunch et al. (2013), however, found that shale gas production activities did not result in community-wide exposures to concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) at levels that would pose a health concern. Bunch et al. (2013) examined VOC concentration data from seven air monitors at six locations in the Barnett Shale region in Texas. These measurements were then compared to federal and state health-based air comparison values (HBACVs) in order to determine possible acute and chronic health effects; none of the concentrations exceeded acute HBACVs (Bunch et al. 2013). Air quality data included in this study were generated from
monitors focused on regional atmospheric concentrations of pollutants. Conversely the McKenzie et al. (2012) study included samples at the community level in close proximity to gas development. Finer geographically scaled samples often capture local atmospheric concentrations that are more relevant to human exposure. This may be a primary reason why health hazard estimates differed between the two studies.

Roy et al. (2013) estimated emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$), VOCs, and particulate matter (PM) to present an air emissions inventory for the development of natural gas in the Marcellus Shale region for 2009 and 2020. In 2020, shale gas development activities are predicted to contribute 6-20% [12%] of the NO$_x$ emissions and between 6-31% [12%] of anthropogenic VOC emissions in Pennsylvania (Roy et al. 2013). However, these estimates are based on assumptions of improvements in gas production, completion, and processing infrastructure. If source-level emissions remain the same as in 2009, Marcellus VOC emissions are predicted to constitute approximately 34% (19%-62%) of the regional anthropogenic VOC emissions in 2020 (Roy et al. 2013). Increases in emissions of VOCs and NO$_x$, which are precursors of tropospheric ozone formation could complicate ozone management in the region and may offset ozone precursor emission reductions in other sectors at a time when several regions in Pennsylvania struggle to be within ozone attainment (Roy et al. 2013).

In another study focused on hydrocarbon emissions, Colborn et al. (2012) assessed air quality in western Colorado using weekly air samples taken before, during, and after drilling and hydraulic fracturing on a new natural gas well pad (Colborn et al. 2012). The data showed numerous chemicals in the air associated with natural gas development operations, most notably methane, ethane, propane, and other alkanes. Many non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs), which were observed during the initial drilling phase, are associated with multiple health effects. Notably,
thirty of the NMHCs observed in the field were EDCs. In addition to the direct air pollution associated with natural gas drilling and processing (NMHCs, VOCs, etc.) outlined above, there are also indirect pollution concerns such as the secondary atmospheric formation of tropospheric (ground-level ozone) (Colborn et al. 2012).

Studies indicate that shale gas development is associated with the production of secondary pollutants such as tropospheric (ground-level) ozone, which is formed through the interaction of methane (CH₄), VOCs and nitrogen oxides in the presence of sunlight (Jerrett et al. 2009; US EPA 2013). Tropospheric ozone is a strong respiratory irritant associated with increased respiratory and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (Jerrett et al. 2009; UNEP 2011). While toxicological data suggests that pure methane is not by itself health damaging minus its role as an asphyxiant and an explosive, methane is a precursor to global tropospheric ozone.

Pétron et al. (2012) analyzed data collected at the NOAA Boulder Atmospheric Observatory (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/technology/bao) and filtered by wind sector that indicated a high alkane and benzene signature from the direction of the Denver-Julesburg Basin, an area of considerable oil and gas development (Pétron et al. 2012). The study found that an estimated 4% (range: 2.3 to 7.7%) of all natural gas (comprised mostly of CH₄) that was produced was being accidentally leaked or purposefully vented to the atmosphere (Pétron et al. 2012). Karion et al. (2013) observed significant methane leaks in the Uintah Basin shale gas field. A range of 6.2% to 11.7% of total gas production was estimated to be leaking to the atmosphere (Karion et al. 2013).

A national methane emissions study by Miller et al. 2013, which combined ground and aerial sampling of methane with computer modeling, found that atmospheric levels of methane due to
oil and gas extraction could be $4.9 \pm 2.6$ times greater than current estimates from the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) (http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/index.php) and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) (Miller et al. 2013). Although it is difficult to distinguish the sources of methane between shale and non-shale development and between oil and gas production, Peischl et al. (2013) estimated that 17% of gross methane production from oil and gas activities in the Los Angeles Basin are leaked or vented to the atmosphere (Peischl et al. 2013).

Some studies have modeled ozone impacts associated with shale gas operations. Kemball-Cook et al. (2010) modeled ozone precursor emissions (VOCs and NOx) in the Haynesville shale play that lies beneath the Northeast Texas/Northwest Louisiana border. Photochemical modeling showed increases in 2012 8-hour ozone design values of up to 5 parts per billion (ppb) which, along with the amount of projected emissions, give cause for concern about future atmospheric concentrations of ozone in Texas and Louisiana (Kemball-Cook et al. 2010). Olaguer (2012) used The Houston Advanced Research Center (HARC) neighborhood air quality model to simulate ozone formation near a hypothetical natural gas processing facility, using estimates based on both regular and non-routine (e.g. flaring) emissions (Olaguer 2012). This model predicted that under average conditions using regular emissions associated with compressor engines may significantly increase ambient ozone in the Barnett Shale formation (> 3ppb 2 km downwind from facility) (Olaguer 2012).

Substantial air quality impacts from oil and natural gas operations in Wyoming, Colorado, Utah, and Texas have also been directly measured (Carter et al. 2012; Edwards et al. 2013; US DOE 2011). In February 2009, Schnell and colleagues studied air quality in the rural Upper Green River Basin (UGRB) of Wyoming near the Jonah-Pinedale Anticline natural gas field. The study
observed high photochemical ozone concentrations in the UGRB in the winter, reporting readings of up to 140 ppb, just less than double the EPA ozone concentration limit 75 ppb (http://www.epa.gov/air/criteria.html). Prior to 2005, typical wintertime ozone concentrations in this area were 30 ppb to 40 ppb (Pinto 2009). This suggests an association between the increase in NOx and VOC emissions from oil and gas development activities in the area (Schnell et al. 2009). In 2011, a study conducted for the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality, found that the eight-hour ozone concentrations in the UGRB exceeded the US EPA ozone eight-hour standard thirteen days (MSI 2011) and exceeded the US EPA science-recommended limit of 65 ppb twenty-five days (Weinhold 2008).

Additionally, in Utah in the winter of 2010 there were 68 days when ozone levels exceeded the EPA ozone standard of 75 ppb and in 2011 there were readings more than double the EPA standard (UT DEQ 2013). Results of a study conducted by the US EPA and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (http://www.noaa.gov) indicate that ozone precursor emissions (VOCs and NOx, primarily) from oil and gas development in the Uintah Basin in Utah are a primary factor (UT DEQ 2013).

As mentioned, crystalline silica sand is used as a proppant (to “prop” open cracks in the target formation to allow gas to flow up the well) and is delivered by trucks to the drilling site. The transportation of this sand in trucks and trains and mixing it into fracturing fluids with sand movers, conveyer belts, and blender hoppers at the well site is known to release silica dust into the air where well pad workers can be exposed (Esswein et al. 2013). Workers experience the most direct exposure, however, silica dust may also be an air contaminant of concern to nearby residents. The etiological association between respiratory exposure to silica dust and the development of silicosis is well known (CDC 1992; CDC 2002). Silicosis is a progressive lung
disease where tissue in the lungs reacts to silica particles causing inflammation and scarring, decreasing the ability of the lungs to take in oxygen (CDC 1992; CDC 2002). Respiratory exposure to silica is also associated with other diseases such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), tuberculosis, kidney disease, autoimmune conditions, and lung cancer (CDC 2002).

Esswein et al. (2013) collected full shift air samples at eleven sites in five states in cooperation with industry partners to determine levels of worker exposure. Of the 111 air samples, 51.4% showed silica exposures greater than the calculated Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA) permissible exposure level (PEL) and 68.5% showed exposures greater than the NIOSH recommended exposure limit (REL) of 0.05 milligrams per cubic meter (Esswein et al. 2013). Further, Esswein and colleagues noted that the type of respirators worn by workers were not sufficiently protective in some cases given the magnitude of silica concentrations (Esswein et al. 2013).

Air pollution: transportation

Each well requires on average between two to five million gallons of water per hydraulic fracturing event (EPA 2010a). Water is generally not pumped directly to wells and is instead transported by diesel trucks, each of which has an approximate capacity of 3,000 gallons (EPA 2011b). It is estimated that approximately 2,300 heavy-duty truck trips are required for each horizontal well during early stages of shale gas development (NYS DEC 2011). With thousands of such wells concentrated in high development regions, increased levels of truck traffic and elevated levels of diesel-associated air pollution will be brought to these areas.
The pollutant of primary health concern emitted from the transportation component of shale gas development is fine diesel particulate matter (dPM). Diesel particulate matter is a well-understood health damaging pollutant that contributes to cardiovascular illnesses, respiratory diseases (e.g., lung cancer) (Garshick et al. 2008), atherosclerosis, and premature death (Pope et al. 2004; Pope 2002). For instance, the California Air Resources Board (2008) indicates that there is an expected 10% (CI: 3% to 20%) increase in the number of premature deaths per 10 µg/m³ increase in PM₂.₅ exposure (Tran et al. 2008). Particulates can also concentrate associated products of incomplete combustion and act as a delivery system to the alveoli of the human lung when their diameter is less than 2.5 microns (Smith et al. 2009). In addition to diesel PM, as previously mentioned, nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) – other prevalent pollutants in diesel emissions – react in the presence of sunlight and high temperatures to produce tropospheric (ground-level) ozone.

**Water quality**

Rozell and Reaven (2012) conducted a risk assessment that identified five main pathways of water contamination in the shale gas production process: 1) the transportation spills of fracturing fluid or produced water; 2) well casing leaks; 3) leaks through fractured rock; 4) drilling site discharge; and 5) wastewater disposal (Rozell and Reaven 2012). The assessment found that wastewater disposal carries a potential risk of water contamination several orders of magnitude larger than the other pathways (Rozell and Reaven 2012).

Other studies suggest that structural impairment of cement that is used to prevent trans-zonal gas migration in the wellbore are the most common mechanism through which groundwater can become contaminated (Vidic et al. 2013). Indeed, state environmental regulators at the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) found that oil and gas
development polluted water supplies for at least 161 residences in PA between 2008 and 2012, primarily due to cement structural integrity in wells and wellbores (Legere 2013). While we touch upon the five aforementioned pathways, for the purpose of this review we focus most of our discussion on well casing leaks, drilling site discharge, and wastewater disposal, as these are generally regarded as the most viable means of water contamination (Rozell and Reaven 2012; Vidic 2013).

**Flowback and produced water**

Estimates of the proportion of fracturing fluid that returns to the surface as flowback and produced waters range from 9% to 80% with most estimates around 35% (US EPA 2010a; Horn 2009; NYS DEC 2011). These wastewaters contain the chemicals used in the fracturing fluid as well as compounds found deep in geological strata, such as salts, chlorides, heavy metals (e.g., cadmium, lead, arsenic, etc.), organic chemicals (e.g., benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene), bromide, and, depending upon the geology, naturally occurring radioactive materials (e.g., radium-226). Many of these naturally occurring compounds are known to be associated with human health effects when exposure is sufficiently elevated (Balaba and Smart 2013; Colborn et al. 2011; Haluszczak 2013). A proportion of flowback and produced waters are treated and released as effluent or for other beneficial uses such as irrigation for agriculture. However, many of the chemicals persist in high quantities because treatment facilities are unable to screen for and eliminate the complex array of compounds and products of synergistic interactions between them (Ferrar et al. 2013; Hladik et al. 2014; Lutz et al. 2013).

Flowback and produced water is sometimes treated at facilities and then discharged into surface waters (Ferrar et al. 2013). A study by Warner et al. (2013a) examined water quality and isotopic compositions of discharged effluents, surface waters, and stream sediments associated with a
Marcellus wastewater treatment facility site. The findings suggest that insufficiently treated flowback and produced water with elevated concentrations of contaminants associated with shale gas development is entering local water supplies, even after treatment. The study found elevated levels of chloride and bromide downstream along with radium-226 levels in stream sediments at the point of discharge that were ~ 200 times greater than upstream and background sediments and well above regulatory standards (Warner et al. 2013a). These types of water emissions may increase the health risks of those that rely on these surface and hydrologically contiguous groundwater sources for drinking (Wilson and VanBriesen 2012) and sources of food (i.e., fish protein) (Papoulias and Velasco 2013).

A meta-analysis of chemical and physical characterizations of produced waters from shale gas found that most of the produced waters generated by shale gas development were classified as saline (>30,000 mg/l) or hyper-saline (>40,000 mg/l) (Alley et al. 2011). The treatment of this produced water for beneficial use often involves reverse osmosis, a practice that may generate a waste stream too large to justify the activity (Alley et al. 2011). Alley and colleagues (2011) also found that prior to treatment, produced waters can exceed toxicity thresholds of contaminants of concern (COCs) including, but not limited to phosphates, cadmium, aluminum, barium, chloride, strontium, radium-226, bromine, lithium and magnesium. Toxicity thresholds used in this meta-analysis were LC$_{50}$ values of Ceriodaphnia dubia Richard, Daphnia magna Straus, and Pimephales promelas Rafinesque and water use criteria under the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO) Guidelines for agricultural uses and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) Water Quality Criteria (WQC) for surface discharge (Alley et al. 2011).
The results of Alley et al. (2011) agree with other reporting that samples of fracturing fluids, drilling muds, and flowback and produced waters in wastewater surface containment ponds contain chemicals that at elevated doses or certain concentrations have been associated with health effects ranging from skin and eye irritation to neurological and nervous system damage, cancer, and endocrine disruption (Colborn et al. 2011). Moreover, between July 2009 and June 2010, 192.5 million gallons of produced water (PW) was reported in Pennsylvania alone, with uncertainties as to the location and type of disposal to be employed (PA DEP 2010).

It should also be noted that the handling and disposal of flowback and produced water hold implications for air quality due to volatile compounds, such as benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) that are often mixed with the fluids. This may be particularly relevant when wastewater is stored in surface containment ponds and misted into the air to promote evaporation (Colborn et al. 2011).

**Gas and fluid migration**

Sub-surface gas and fluid migration is most commonly associated with impaired structural integrity of well cement and, to a lesser extent, well casings. Failures in well barriers may allow intrusion of gases and fluids from producing formations below the casing shoe or from shallower gas and fluid-bearing formations intersected by the wellbore to lower-pressure annuli. This may result in annular gas flow or sustained casing pressure (SCP) and become a pathway for gas migration to the surface, which is a known mechanism of emissions of gases to the air and migration of gases and fluids to groundwater (Bruffato et al., 2003; Watson and Bachu 2009). Methane and other hydrocarbons can also migrate along improperly plugged wells, through an inadequately sealed annulus, or between geological zones due to cement failures in the wellbore (Vidic et al. 2013).
Leaking oil and gas wells are recognized as a potential mechanism of subsurface migration of methane, as well as heavier n-alkanes and other non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) to groundwater and the atmosphere, contributing risks to drinking water and air quality, respectively (Bourgoyne et al. 2000; Brufatto et al. 2003; Chilingar and Endres 2005; Watson and Bachu 2009). Cement failures in onshore and offshore wells are reported to occur in between 2% and 50% of all wells, providing pathways for gas migration to occur in the wellbore (Bourgoyne et al. 2000; Brufatto et al. 2003; Watson and Bachu 2009).

Methane has a low solubility (26 mg/L at 1 atm, 20°C) (Vidic et al. 2013) and is relatively unreactive compared to longer-chain and unsaturated hydrocarbons (Jackson et al. 2011). As such, it is typically regarded as non-toxic and is not regulated in the United States as a solute in water wells. However, there are no peer-reviewed studies on the health effects of chronic exposure to lower concentrations of methane in drinking water or indoor or outdoor air (Jackson et al. 2011). Further, if there is a pathway for methane migration, there could be a pathway for associated health-damaging gases co-produced with methane.

Some attention has been paid to the flammability of methane, the risk of explosions, and the risk of asphyxiation (in high indoor concentrations, primarily). For example, in 2007, methane contaminated a water well and a home exploded in Geauga County near Cleveland, Ohio and the Ohio Department of Natural Resources blamed a faulty concrete casing in a nearby gas well (OH DNR 2008). Similarly in Pavillion, WY high concentrations of methane were found in drinking water wells that was attributed to gas production activities (DiGiulio et al. 2011). The EPA also concluded that methane from geological layers not targeted for gas production migrated up the wellbore and to an aquifer due to well cement failures in Parker County, Texas (EPA 2010a).
In certain regions, methane can naturally occur in aquifers and there are conflicting scientific opinions about whether its presence is caused or exacerbated by shale gas development (Davies 2011; Saba and Orzechowski 2011; Schon 2011). However, there are convincing findings that shed light on the likelihood that shale gas development is associated with high methane levels in drinking water wells. Osborn et al. (2011) found that communities in Pennsylvania with active shale gas development (one or more gas wells within 1 km) were found to have statistically significantly higher concentrations of methane in their water wells than in non-extraction sites (no shale gas wells within 1 km) (Osborn et al. 2011). The chemical signature of the methane found in the active area drinking water wells indicated that it came from a high-pressure, deep earth source (thermogenic methane). Alternatively, the methane from non-active sites had signatures of shallow earth origins (biogenic methane). This suggests that shale gas production processes were the source of the methane contamination.

Building upon previous work by Osborn et al. (2011), Jackson et al. (2013) analyzed 141 drinking water wells across northeastern Pennsylvania. The researchers found methane in 82% of the samples (115 of 141 of the wells), with average concentrations six times higher for homes that were less than one kilometer from natural gas wells (59 out of 141). These data, based on isotopic signatures and gas ratios, suggest that a subset of homeowners living less than one kilometer from shale gas wells had drinking water that was contaminated with stray gases associated with gas development activities (Jackson et al. 2013).

There is also evidence of existing pathways in some locations between deep underlying formations and shallow drinking water aquifers (Vengosh et al. 2013). Myers (2012) demonstrated this in a modeling study that suggested pathways that would allow for the transport of contaminants from the fractured shale to aquifers (Myers 2012). Warner et al. (2012) found
evidence of possible migration of Marcellus brine through naturally occurring pathways based on strong geochemical fingerprints in salinized groundwater samples (Warner et al. 2012).

Both of these studies suggest that migration through fractured rock can serve as a sub-surface contamination pathway to underground sources of drinking water. They also highlight the significance of the specific geographic regime, as some shallow drinking water resources are at more risk for contamination than others. Another study in areas of the Fayetteville Shale suggests that methane contamination of shallow groundwater may not be a problem in certain shale formations (Warner et al. 2013b). This difference may be attributed to geological variations across geographic space, including the presence of intermediate gas bearing formations that are found overlying parts of some shale plays (e.g., Marcellus), but not others (e.g., Fayetteville).

Additionally, a study that evaluated water quality in private drinking water wells near natural gas operations in the Barnett Shale formation in Texas found higher levels of arsenic, selenium, strontium and total dissolved solids (TDS) in wells located within 3 km of active gas wells (Fontenot et al. 2013). The study used historical data from the region as a baseline to determine the contamination rates before the expansion of natural gas operations. While heavy metals were known to occur at low levels in aquifers in the region, concentrations were significantly higher in areas of active development (Fontenot et al. 2013). The authors were able to link contamination to natural gas activities, however, the specific factor responsible for contamination (e.g., well casing failures, mobilization of natural constituents, hydrogeochemical changes from lowering the water table, etc.) remains undetermined (Fontenot et al. 2013).

Researchers have been challenged in their ability to link associations between water contamination and unconventional natural gas development to any particular part of the process.
After complaints about the taste and odor of well water from local residents, the EPA initiated a ground water investigation in the town of Pavillion, WY (DiGiulio et al. 2011). The observed water wells were located in an area known as the Pavillion gas field, which contained 169 gas production wells and 33 containment ponds used for storage/disposal of drilling wastes and produced and flowback waters from unconventional natural gas development of a sandstone formation.

From 2009 to 2011 the EPA conducted four sampling events meant to determine the presence (not extent) of ground water contamination in the formation. Elevated concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) were detected in sampling wells at concentrations of 246, 617, 67, and 750 micrograms per liter, respectively (DiGiulio et al. 2011). Trimethylbenzenes and diesel range organics were detected at concentrations up to 105 and 4,050 micrograms per liter, respectively and total purgeable hydrocarbons were detected in the ground water samples near the containment ponds (DiGiulio et al. 2011). While these initial data indicate ground water impacts that seem likely to be associated with unconventional gas production practices (EPA 2011a), the results of this study have been contested and it is still unclear which part of the gas development process (if any) is responsible for the contamination. Further, there are geological differences between sandstone and shale, and fracturing is often conducted closer to the surface in sandstone formations. However, the findings suggest an association between water contamination and production activities that are also used in shale gas development.

**Site discharge and improper waste disposal**

Fracturing fluids and produced waters can also contaminate underground sources of drinking water during waste management and disposal. Flowback and produced waters are often
contained in evaporation ponds, pits, and tanks, in some cases in very close proximity to residences (Bamberger and Oswald 2012; Rozell and Reaven 2012). These containment ponds are often, but not always lined to protect against leakage, although case studies have documented reported ruptures to these liners that may have led to water and soil contamination and contributed to fish and livestock deaths (Bamberger and Oswald 2012). An analysis of waste obtained from reserve pits has also shown the potential for exposure to technologically enhanced naturally occurring radioactive material (TENORM) and potential health effects from individual radionuclides (Rich and Crosby 2013).

Groundwater contamination can also result from surface spills at active well sites. Gross et al. (2013) analyzed data from the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission (COGCC) (http://cogcc.state.co.us) and noted 77 reported surface spills (associated with less than 0.5% of active wells) impacting groundwater in Weld County, Colorado. The groundwater samples were analyzed for BTEX components (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene). Most notably, benzene measurements exceeded US EPA National Drinking Water maximum contaminant levels (MCL of benzene is 5 ppb) in 90% of the samples (Gross et al. 2013). Baseline-sampling measurements were not available and therefore the background BTEX concentrations remain unclear. However, natural groundwater concentrations are typically low near deposits of crude oil, coal, and natural gas (Gross et al. 2013).

**Discussion**

**Future research needs**

There is a growing body of scientific literature on the environmental public health dimensions of shale gas development, however our review indicates that a number of important data gaps persist. Emissions and atmospheric concentration measurements should be conducted among
diverse geographies and indoors as well as outdoors to help to estimate the types and magnitude of exposures of populations to pollutants associated with shale gas development. Additionally, studies that take into account personal exposures and time-activity patterns of individuals would be helpful to assess epidemiologically meaningful exposures. This could include studies of individuals in populations that use personal monitors and conduct household sampling of drinking water in conjunction with health records that look at disease outcomes.

Perhaps the most important information gap is the lack of epidemiologic studies. There is a need to assess the strength of the association between risk factors, such as air pollution, water contamination and health outcomes among populations living in close proximity to shale gas development activities compared to populations living in areas without active shale gas development activities. While lacking in definitive proof of cause and effect, self-reporting health surveys and environmental testing have suggested possible adverse health outcomes from shale gas development in Pennsylvania (Steinzor et al. 2013). Of particular interest are the epidemiological studies on vulnerable populations including pregnant women, young children, the elderly, and those with compromised immune systems that live, work, and play in close proximity to shale gas development. Further occupational health studies are also needed, as workers are likely to be the first and the most exposed demographic from shale gas development.

There have been some efforts in epidemiology and risk assessment, including a recent retrospective cohort study by McKenzie et al. (2014) that examined associations between maternal residential proximity to natural gas development. This study estimated associations between maternal exposure to natural gas development and a number of birth outcomes. The evidence found no positive association between density and proximity of wells within a 10-mile radius of maternal residence and prevalence of oral clefts, preterm birth, or term low birth
weight. However, the researchers did observe a positive association between density and proximity of pregnant mothers to shale gas development and the prevalence of congenital heart defects and possibly neural tube defects in their newborns (McKenzie et al. 2014).

There have been some other epidemiologic efforts as well, including a study funded by The American Natural Gas Alliance (ANGA) (http://anga.us) that evaluated associations between childhood cancer incidence in Pennsylvania and hydraulic fracturing sites (Fryzek et al. 2013). The authors included 29,000 hydraulically fractured wells drilled between 1990 and 2009 in their analysis and obtained childhood cancers from the Pennsylvania cancer registry for this time period. However, shale gas development did not begin in Pennsylvania until 2006 when four wells of this type were drilled. In fact, only 726, or 2.5% of the 29,000 wells in their database are the relevant to directionally drilled shale gas wells. Unfortunately this exposure misclassification and the disregard for the extended latency periods of many childhood cancers render this study inconclusive as to the effect of shale gas development on childhood cancer rates. This study demonstrates the need for more epidemiological assessments that pay attention to the latency periods of environmentally mediated diseases.

Epidemiological investigations are challenged by the difficult task of identifying specific risk factors and the uncertainty in exposure classification due to the fact that compounds used in shale gas development are often not disclosed. In these cases of uncertainty a comprehensive water monitoring and, under certain circumstances, a biomonitoring program that uses both targeted and non-targeted strategies would be useful. Targeted testing for specific compounds known to be associated with shale gas development in the drinking water supplies as well as the blood and urine of a representative sample of those living in close proximity to shale gas development could generate useful data. Non-targeted techniques including time-of-flight mass
spectrophotometers (TOF-MS) may also be helpful. Rather than monitoring for individual chemicals, TOF-MS has been important for the progress of biomonitoring in recent years by allowing researchers to monitor for tens of thousands of organic compounds at a time. This enables researchers to circumvent policy issues that do not require companies to disclose the compounds they employ in their activities, such as is the case in many regions throughout the United States.

Even with full disclosure of the chemicals added to fracturing fluid, the ability to link chemicals to specific health outcomes remains difficult. Fracturing fluids and flowback and produced wastewaters are complex mixtures of chemicals with individual and possibly cumulative and synergistic properties. Many health outcomes are not specific to chemicals associated with shale gas development (e.g., headaches can be caused by a number of factors, rashes can be non-specific, and asthma can be induced through a number of pathways), complicating the task of assessing associations between exposures and health outcomes. In turn, more exposure assessment and water and air monitoring should be undertaken to investigate the full suite of compounds emitted to the environment from these activities.

The chemicals contained in fracturing fluids are often not publically disclosed due to trade secret laws and exemptions under the 2005 Energy Policy Act that further confound environmental public health research (Energy Policy Act of 2005). Moreover, the US EPA does not regulate the injection of fracturing fluids under the Underground Injection Control (UIC) program of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) (http://water.epa.gov/type/groundwater/uic/regulations.cfm). The non-disclosure of these chemicals creates research barriers due to the fact that it is difficult to monitor for unknown compounds.
Limitations

This review represents a near exhaustive review of the peer-reviewed scientific literature on the environmental public health dimensions of shale gas development. The literature cited here is limited by the publication date of this paper. Data available on this subject in the future may change the scientific understanding of the environmental public health concerns of shale gas development. Thus, this review of the literature should only be viewed as a substantive summary of what is known to date.

Conclusion

This paper has reviewed the growing body of evidence of potential environmental public health dimensions of shale gas development. Scientific modeling and field investigations have helped to illuminate the emerging environmental issues with which shale gas production may be associated. A number of studies suggest that shale gas development contributes to levels of ambient air concentrations known to be associated with increased risk of morbidity and mortality (Colborn et al. 2012; Kemball-Cook et al. 2010; McKenzie et al. 2012; McKenzie et al. 2014). Similarly, some evidence supports theories of water contamination risks through a variety of pathways, most notably during wastewater transport and disposal and through failed cement in wells with poor structural integrity (Vengosh 2013; Warner et al. 2013a; Vidic 2013). The existing peer-reviewed scientific data suggest that there are potential risks, which could possibly influence public health. It is clear that more research is needed to more fully understand the magnitude of these concerns. As shale gas development activities have accelerated dramatically over the past decade, the need for well-designed empirical studies becomes increasingly apparent.
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Figure legend

Figure 1. Environmental exposure pathway. The environmental exposure pathway provides an analytical framework to describe, in broad terms, the connections between pollutant sources and human health outcomes. This framework begins with the emission source, in this case a well pad and associated infrastructure, which emit a variety of contaminants into the air, water, and soil. The concentrations of pollutants in the air, water, and soil that result from these emissions influence the magnitude of human exposures through organs such as the nose, mouth, and skin. Once the level of exposure is identified, it is then possible to estimate the dose, or how much of the pollutant is ingested in a given period of time. The dose, in turn, determines the health outcome.
Figure 1.
Comments to SAB re: EPA HF study

COMPENDIUM

I am a Board-certified pediatrician, licensed in NY State, and co-founder of Concerned Health Professionals of New York.

We recently released the Third Edition of The Compendium of Scientific, Medical, and Media Findings Demonstrating Risks and Harms of Fracking (UNCONVENTIONAL GAS AND OIL EXTRACTION). We compiled findings from the scientific and medical literature, government and industry reports, and journalistic investigation, in a public, open-access document that is housed on the websites of Concerned Health Professionals of New York (www.concernedhealthny.org) and Physicians for Social Responsibility (www.psr.org).

The Compendium is fully referenced and is organized to be accessible to public officials, researchers, journalists, and the public at large. It summarizes key studies and other findings relevant to the ongoing public debate about unconventional methods of oil and gas extraction. I would like to share this Compendium with you.

The Compendium is complemented by PSE for Healthy Energy’s scientific literature database which is a searchable, exhaustive citation database of peer-reviewed journal articles pertaining to shale gas and oil extraction. An analysis done by Hays and Shonkoff, using a database of about 550 articles as of June 2015, included 48 original research studies relevant to shale gas development and water contamination. Of these 48 studies, 33 (69%) showed a positive association, potential or actual incidence of water contamination associated with shale gas development, while 15 (31%) showed indication of minimal potential, negative association, or rare incidence of water contamination.

IPAA’s December 11th letter misleads by listing only publications which purport to show little or no risk of water contamination. In fact, some of the articles listed by IPAA are also in our Compendium because they do show problems with water contamination, or sometimes with the research.

Emerging science, as documented in our Compendium, confirms that drilling for gas and fracking inherently threaten groundwater and have contaminated drinking water sources. Our Compendium lists 128 such articles. In Pennsylvania alone, more than 240 private drinking water wells are now useless as the result of drilling and fracturing operations. A range of studies from across the United States presents

2 http://psehealthyenergy.org/site/view/1180
irrefutable evidence that groundwater contamination occurs, and it’s more likely close to drilling sites. Jackson found that hydraulic fractures can propagate 2000 ft upward, and that shallow wells may warrant special safeguards.\textsuperscript{4} The nation’s 187,570 injection wells for disposal of fracking waste also pose threats to drinking water aquifers. Municipal sewage treatment plants are not capable of treating fracking waste and disposal of fracking waste through them can encourage the formation of carcinogenic byproducts during chlorination. Overall, the number of well blowouts, spills, and cases of surface water contamination from waste pits and other sources has steadily grown.

I have included the Compendium as well as some relevant articles as email attachments. As an addendum I have pasted summaries and links to the most recent articles on water contamination from our Compendium. I ask that you include those in your review.

Thank you for the valuable work you do.

\textbf{POLITICS}

Mistakes have been made in the past because of politics.

This would not be the first time that the gas industry’s use of politics, “gag orders,” non-disclosure agreements, and settlements have impeded scientific study and stifled public awareness of the extent of these problems.

The final paragraphs in IPAA’s letter which urges the EPA’s SAB on HF not to re-phrase its conclusion is troubling. The letter states: “Hydraulic fracturing has been extensively studied since its first commercial application in the 1940s... In fact, in 2004, EPA published a separate comprehensive assessment of potential groundwater impacts from hydraulic fracturing. Here is what the EPA concluded in 2004: ‘Based on the information collected and reviewed, EPA has concluded that the injection of hydraulic fracturing fluids into CBM [coalbed methane] wells poses little or no threat to USDWs and does not justify additional study at this time.’”

In an investigative piece in the NY Times, it appears that a first draft of the 2004 EPA report\textsuperscript{5} showed something else.\textsuperscript{6} It discussed potentially dangerous levels of contamination in hydrofracking fluids and mentioned “possible evidence” of contamination of an aquifer. The report’s final version excluded these points, concluding instead that hydrofracking “poses little or no threat to drinking water.” Shortly after the study was released, an E.P.A. whistle-blower said the agency had been strongly influenced by industry and political pressure.

Another flaw of the 2004 study was that it focused solely on the effect hydraulic fracturing has on drinking water in coal bed methane deposits, typically shallow formations where gas is embedded in

\textsuperscript{6} http://www.nytimes.com/2011/03/04/us/04gas.html? r=2
coal. It didn’t consider the impact of above-ground drilling or of drilling in geologic formations deep underground, such as the Marcellus formation. This was

In addition, the Underground Injection Control (UIC) program of the Safe Drinking Water Act included hydraulic fracturing under its auspices until the 2005 Energy Policy Act inserted new language to exempt “the underground injection of fluids or propping agents (other than diesel fuels) pursuant to hydraulic fracturing operations related to oil, gas, or geothermal production activities.” The EPA report of 2004 opened the door for this exemption.

A Propublica investigative piece reveals how the 2004 EPA report was further compromised … “the EPA negotiated directly with the gas industry before finalizing those conclusions, and then ignored evidence that fracking might cause exactly the kinds of water problems now being recorded in drilling states. Buried deep within the 424-page report are statements explaining that fluids migrated unpredictably -- through different rock layers, and to greater distances than previously thought -- in as many as half the cases studied in the United States. The EPA identified some of the chemicals as biocides and lubricants that ‘can cause kidney, liver, heart, blood, and brain damage through prolonged or repeated exposure.’ It found that as much as a third of injected fluids, benzene in particular, remains in the ground after drilling and is ‘likely to be transported by groundwater.’"

I urge this board to issue a report that is transparent and truthful with respect for science, not politics.

ADDENDUM: Water contamination references from 2011-2015 extracted from CHPNY COMPENDIUM THIRD EDITION, (most recent first)

- July 21, 2015 – By surveying records for 44,000 wells fracked between 2010 and 2013, researchers from Stanford University, Duke University, and Ohio State University attempted a first-ever assessment of the range of depths at which fracking occurs across the United States. They found that many wells are shallower than widely presumed. As the authors noted, vertical fractures are able to propagate 2,000 feet upward, and hence, “shallow hydraulic fracturing often has greater potential risks of contamination than deeper hydraulic fracturing does.” This study showed that drinking water sources may be more vulnerable from upward migration of fracking contaminants than previously presumed. Surprisingly, the researchers found no strong relationship between depth and the volume of water and chemicals used for fracking. Many

wells were both shallow and water-intensive, with significant variation in water use from state to state.  

- July 9, 2015 – A multi-volume report from the California Council of Science and Technology found threats to groundwater in California from several parts of the fracking lifecycle, most notably from toxic wastewater. First, wastewater from California fracking operations is sometimes used for crop irrigation, in which case contaminants may seep from the surface of agricultural areas into groundwater. Second, nearly 60 percent of fracking wastewater in California is disposed in unlined, open-air pits, a practice that is banned in almost all other states. There are 900 such waste disposal pits in the state, most of which are located in Kern County. Third, for many years, fracking wastewater in California has been mistakenly sent, via injection wells, directly into protected aquifers containing clean freshwater. California’s Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources allowed fracking wastes to be injected in aquifers that it believed were exempt from the U.S. Safe Drinking Water Act. Conceding this mistake, the agency has shut down 23 injection wells for fracking waste disposal and established a two-year timetable for phasing out other injection wells into aquifers that should have been protected. Fracking can also threaten California’s groundwater resources through water consumption, determined the authors of the CCST study. While this volume of water represents a small percentage of overall annual water consumption in California, fracking-related water use is, the study notes, disproportionately concentrated in areas of the state already suffering from water shortages. Further drawdowns of these aquifers may interfere with agricultural and municipal water needs. In addition, because the oil-containing rock layers in California are located closer to the surface than in other states, the state’s groundwater is potentially vulnerable to chemical contamination through vertical faults and fissures and via old and abandoned wells. The absence of evidence for direct contamination of groundwater by fracking, the study concludes, reflects absence of investigation rather than evidence of safety.

---

June 30, 2015 – The U.S. Geological Survey released the first nationwide map of water usage for hydraulic fracturing. It shows wide geographic and temporal variation in the amount of water used to frack a single well. In general, gas wells consume more water per well (5.1 million gallons on average) than oil wells (4 million gallons). Median annual water volumes needed to frack a single horizontal oil or gas well increased dramatically—by a factor of 25 or more—between 2000 and 2014. A typical gas or oil well that is horizontally fracked now requires between six and eight Olympic-sized swimming pools of water. In 2014, the majority (58 percent) of new hydraulically fracked oil and gas wells were horizontal drilled, with 42 percent vertically or directionally drilled. The watersheds where the most water was consumed for hydraulic fracturing are mostly located in southern or southwestern states and correspond to the following shale formations: the Eagle Ford and Barnett Shales in Texas; the Haynesville-Bossier Shale in Texas and Louisiana; the Fayetteville Shale in Arkansas; the Tuscaloosa Shale in Louisiana and Mississippi; and the Woodford Shale in Oklahoma. The Marcellus and Utica Shales—which underlie watersheds in parts of Ohio, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and New York—were also in the top seven water-consuming shale plays in the United States.14

June 26, 2015 – A decade-long U.S. Geological Survey study of 11,000 public drinking water wells in California—nearly all the groundwater used for public supply—found high levels of potentially toxic contaminants in about 20 percent of water wells, affecting about 18 percent of the state’s population.15 Although the study did not specifically investigate contaminants from oil and gas extraction, it does provide evidence for farm irrigation draining into groundwater, raising questions about the possible contamination of drinking water aquifers from the reuse of fracking wastewater for crop irrigation.16

June 16, 2015 – A University of Texas research team documented widespread drinking water contamination throughout the heavily drilled Barnett Shale region in northern Texas. The study, which analyzed 550 water samples from public and private water wells, found elevated levels of 19 different hydrocarbon compounds associated with fracking (including the carcinogen benzene and the reproductive toxicant, toluene), detections of methanol and ethanol, and strikingly high levels of 10 different metals.17 “In the abstract, we can’t state that unconventional oil and gas techniques are responsible,” the lead author, Zachariah Hildenbrand,
said in a media interview. “But when you get into areas where drilling is happening, you find more instances of contamination. It’s not coincidental. There are causes for concern.”

- June 5, 2015 – The EPA’s long-awaited 600-page draft report on the potential impacts of fracking for drinking water resources confirmed specific instances of drinking water contamination linked to drilling and fracking activities. The report also identified potential mechanisms, both above and below ground, by which drinking water resources can be contaminated by fracking. In some cases, drinking water was contaminated by spills of fracking fluid and wastewater. In other cases, “[b]elow ground movement of fluids, including gas ... have contaminated drinking water resources.” The EPA investigators documented 457 fracking-related spills over six years but acknowledged that they do not know how many more may have occurred. Of the total known spills, 300 reached an environmental receptor such as surface water or groundwater. The EPA also conceded that insufficient baseline drinking water data and a lack of long-term systematic studies limited the power of its findings. The EPA investigation confirmed a number of specific instances where these potential mechanisms did indeed lead to drinking water contamination. An assertion in the EPA’s accompanying press release that it had not found “widespread, systemic impacts to drinking water resources” was quoted out of context by many media sources as proof that fracking poses little threat to drinking water. To the contrary, this report confirmed that drilling and fracking activities have contaminated drinking water in some cases and acknowledged that it cannot ascertain how widespread the problem was due to insufficient data. Indeed, EPA science advisor and deputy administrator Thomas A. Burke clarified that the report does not show that fracking is safe. Burke said, “That is not the message of this report. The message of this report is that we have identified vulnerabilities in the water system that are really important to know about and address to keep risks as low as possible.”

- May 19, 2015 – A Pennsylvania State University research team documented the presence of a fracking-related solvent, 2-n-Butoxyethanol, in the drinking water from three homes in Bradford County, Pennsylvania, as part of an investigation of private drinking water wells near drilling and fracking operations that contained methane and foam. This finding represents the first fully documented case of a commonly used fracking chemical entering a drinking water source. “The most likely explanation of the incident is that stray natural gas and drilling or [hydrofracking] compounds were driven ~1-3 km along shallow to intermediate depth fractures to the aquifer...”

---


used as a potable water source.” In an accompanying *New York Times* story, lead author Susan Brantley described the geology in northern Pennsylvania “as being similar to a layer cake with numerous layers that extend down thousands of feet to the Marcellus Shale. The vertical fractures are like knife cuts through the layers. They can extend deep underground, and can act like superhighways for escaped gas and liquids from drill wells to travel along, for distances greater than a mile away.”

- May 15, 2015 – A research team from the University of Colorado Boulder and California State Polytechnic Institute developed a model for identifying which fracking fluid chemicals are most likely to contaminate drinking water. Of 996 fracking fluid compounds known to be in use, researchers screened 659 of them for their ability to persist, migrate and reach groundwater aquifers over a short time scale. Of the fifteen compounds so identified, two were commonly used in fracking operations: naphthalene and 2-butoxyethanol. Both are ingredients in surfactants and corrosion inhibitors. The authors noted that 2-butoxyethanol has been detected in drinking water in a heavily fracked area of Pennsylvania. Exposure to 2-butoxyethanol has been linked to birth defects in animals. Naphthalene is a possible human carcinogen that is toxic to red blood cells and contributes to kidney and liver damage. Researchers did not consider the impact of mixtures, interactions between contaminants or chemical transformations during the fracking or flowback process and noted “the need for data on the degradation of many compounds used in fracturing fluids under conditions relevant for groundwater transport.”

- May 7, 2015 – A survey of streams in Arkansas, led by the University of Central Arkansas, found alterations in macroinvertebrate communities to be related to drilling and fracking operations in the Fayetteville Shale. Fracking activity near streams was associated with greater sediment and more chlorophyll. “This study suggests that land disturbance from gas development affected stream communities.”

- April 20, 2015 – A U.S. Geological Survey team analyzed water brought to the surface during natural gas extraction at 13 fracked wells in northern Pennsylvania. They found large variability in the volatile organic compounds and microorganisms in the water samples from different wells. Organic chemical contaminants included benzene, toluene, and perchloroethylene, chloroform, and methylene chloride. The presence of microbes was associated with

---

concentrations of benzene and acetate. Despite the addition of biocides during the fracking process, hydrogen sulfide-producing bacteria were present at culturable levels, along with methogenic and fermenting bacteria. The source of these microorganisms was not determined. “Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that these microorganisms are native to the shale formation and reactivated by [hydrofracking] activities, as their physiology does not indicate a terrestrial surficial source.”

- April 8, 2015 – A University of Colorado Boulder research team’s analysis of the organic chemicals found in liquid waste that flowed out of gas wells in Colorado after they had been fracked revealed the presence of many fracking fluid additives, including biocides, which are potentially harmful if they leak into groundwater. According to the authors, treatment of fracking wastewater must include aeration, precipitation, disinfection, a biological treatment to remove dissolved organic matter, and reverse osmosis desalination in order for it to be appropriate for non-fracking uses, such as crop irrigation.

- March 18, 2015 – Using a new stream-based monitoring method, a team of scientists with the U.S. Geological Survey, Pennsylvania State University, and University of Utah found elevated levels of methane in groundwater discharging into a stream near drilling and fracking operations in Pennsylvania. In this same area, several private water wells contained high levels of methane as a result of gas migration near a gas well with a defective casing. The monitoring technique used by the scientists allowed them to demonstrate that the source of the methane was shale gas from the Middle Devonian period, which is the kind of gas found in the Marcellus Shale. Researcher Susan Brantley said, “I found it compelling that using this new method for a reconnaissance of just 15 streams in Pennsylvania, we discovered one instance of natural gas entering the stream, perhaps from a nearby leaking shale gas well.”

- March 12, 2015 – In contrast to the findings of earlier research (see footnotes 163 and 183), a team led by geologist Donald Siegel of Syracuse University found no relationship between methane levels in drinking water wells and proximity to oil or gas wells in a heavily fracked area.

---


of northeastern Pennsylvania. However, Siegel failed to reveal in his paper — as is required by the journal — that he had received industry funding from the Chesapeake Energy Corporation. Subsequently, the journal published a lengthy correction that revealed that Chesapeake had not only privately funded the lead author but had provided the baseline groundwater data set. A second author was revealed to be a former employee of Chesapeake, and another had worked as a consultant in the energy sector.

March 3, 2015 — A Duquesne University study of private drinking water wells in an intensely drilled southwestern Pennsylvania community compared pre-drill and post-drill data on water quality and found changes in water chemistry that coincided with the advent of drilling and fracking activities. Levels of chloride, iron, barium, strontium, and manganese were elevated. In some cases, concentrations exceeded health-based maximum contaminant levels. Methane was detected in most houses tested. Surveys of residents revealed widespread complaints about changes in water quality that began after drilling and fracking operations commenced. Violation records from the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Conservation uncovered possible pathways for water contamination. The researchers concluded that alterations of local hydrology caused by the injection of large volumes of hydraulic fracturing fluids may have mobilized contaminants left over from legacy oil, gas, and mining operations as well as opened pathways for the migration of fracking fluids themselves.

March 3, 2015 — A research team from Duquesne University reviewed the evidence for environmental impacts to air and water from activities related to shale gas extraction in Pennsylvania and explored potential mechanisms for contamination of air and water related to the drilling and fracking process itself. Among them: deformations of the shale bedrock caused by the injection of large volumes of fluid result in “pressure bulbs” that are translated through rock layers and can impact faults and fissures, so affecting groundwater.

February 23, 2015 — The arrival of drilling and fracking activities coincided with an increase in salinity in a creek that drains public land in a semi-arid region of Wyoming, determined a U.S. Geological Survey study. The dissolved minerals associated with the rise in salinity matched those found in native soil salts, suggesting that disturbance of naturally salt-rich soils by ongoing

---


oil and gas activities, including pipeline, road, and wellpad construction, was the culprit. “As [shale gas and oil] development continues to expand in semiarid lands worldwide, the potential for soil disturbance to increase stream salinity should be considered, particularly where soils host substantial quantities of native salts.”

- February 14, 2015 – A review by a Dickinson Press news reporter of disposal well files and more than 2,090 mechanical integrity tests revealed that North Dakota frack waste injection wells were often leaky and that state regulators continued to allow fluid injection into wells with documented structural problems even though the wells did not meet EPA guidelines for well bore integrity. Officials with the North Dakota Division of Oil and Gas said they had primary enforcement responsibilities and that EPA guidance did not apply to these wells. The investigation noted, “…a review of state and federal documents, as well as interviews with geologists, engineers, environmental policy experts and lawyers who have litigated under the Safe Drinking Water Act, suggests the agency is loosely interpreting guidance and protocols that are meant to maintain the multiple layers of protection that separate aquifers from the toxic saltwater.” The Dickinson Press is the daily newspaper for Stark County in southwest North Dakota.

- February 11, 2015 – The Los Angeles Times analyzed self-reported testing results on fracking wastewater that California drillers were required to submit to the state. Samples of wastewater collected from 329 fracked oil wells found that virtually all—98 percent—contained benzene at levels that exceeded standards for permissible concentrations in drinking water. This finding likely under-represents the extent of the problem, according to the newspaper investigation, because many operators failed to comply with reporting requirements. The discovery that fracking wastewater is high in benzene is particularly alarming in light of the admission by the state of California that it had inadvertently allowed frack waste disposal directly into aquifers containing clean water that could potentially be used for drinking. Those wells are now the subject of federal and state review.

- February 1, 2015 – An investigation of the chemical make-up of fracking fluid found that the compositions of these mixtures vary widely according to region and company, making the process of identifying individual compounds difficult. Classes of hydrocarbon-based chemicals include solvents, gels, biocides, scale inhibitors, friction reducers, and surfactants. Chemical analysis identified around 25 percent of the organic compounds that are believed to be present in fracking fluid and that are necessary to test for in identifying groundwater and drinking water.

---

Dr. Imma Ferrer, lead author, explained in a *Science Daily* article about her research that “[b]efore we can assess the environmental impact of the fluid, we have to know what to look for.”

- **January 30, 2015** – A U.S. Geological Survey review of national water quality databases found that insufficient data exist to understand the impact of fracking on drinking water. In a media interview, USGS scientist and lead author Zack Bowen said, “There are not enough data available to be able to assess the potential effects of oil and gas development over larger geographic areas.”

- **January 21, 2015** – A team of researchers from the U.S. Geological Survey and Virginia Tech University established that petroleum-based hydrocarbons can break down underground in ways that promote the leaching of naturally occurring arsenic into groundwater. Arsenic is a known human carcinogen that causes bladder, lung, and skin cancer. Elevated levels of arsenic in drinking water represent a public health threat. Researchers found that arsenic concentrations in a hydrocarbon plume can reach 23 times the current drinking water standard of 10 micrograms per liter. The authors of the study said that the metabolism of carbon-rich petroleum products by subterranean microbes is involved in a complex geochemical process that leads to mobilization of arsenic into aquifers.

- **January 14, 2015** – Researchers from Duke University, Dartmouth College, and Stanford University found high levels of iodide, bromide and ammonium in samples of wastewater from fracking operations in both the Marcellus and Fayetteville Shales. These same chemicals were present when fracking wastewater was discharged into rivers and streams at three treatment sites in Pennsylvania and during an accidental spill in West Virginia. Iodide and bromide are known to create toxic disinfection byproducts when downstream water is subsequently chlorinated for drinking water. In water, ammonium can convert to ammonia, which is toxic to...
aquatic life. The authors noted that this is the first study to identify ammonium and iodide as widespread in fracking waste discharges. In an interview with the *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, lead author Avner Vengosh said that the findings raise new concerns about the environmental and health impacts of wastewater from drilling and fracking operations.

- November 27, 2014 – An interdisciplinary team of researchers found methane contamination in drinking water wells located in eight areas above the Marcellus Shale in Pennsylvania and the Barnett Shale in Texas, with evidence of declining water quality in the Barnett Shale area. By analyzing noble gases and their isotopes (helium, neon, argon), the investigators were able to isolate the origin of the fugitive methane in drinking water. The results implicate leaks through cement well casings as well as via naturally occurring cracks and fissures in the surrounding rock. In a related editorial, one of the study’s authors, Robert Jackson, called on the EPA to re-open its aborted investigation into drinking water contamination in heavily fracked areas of Texas. Jackson also emphasized that methane migration through unseen cracks in the rock surrounding the wellbore “raises the interesting possibility that a drilling company could follow procedures — cementing and casing below the local aquifer — and still create a potential pathway for gas to migrate into drinking water.”

- November 26, 2014 – A critical review of biocides in fracking fluid by a Colorado State team found that the fate of these chemicals underground is not known and their toxicity not well understood. While many biocides are short-lived, some may transform into more toxic or persistent compounds. Among the most common chemical components of fracking fluid, biocides are used to inhibit the growth of deep-life microorganisms, including sulfate-reducing bacteria that contribute to corrosion of well casings and can form biofilms that prevent the upward flow of natural gas. Oxidizing biocides that are chlorine- or bromine-based can react with other fracking chemicals and may produce toxic halogenated byproducts. The authors noted biocides pose a unique risk for drinking water when fracking liquid waste is treated for discharge to surface water via sewage treatment plants. Sub-lethal concentrations may contribute to adaptation of surviving microorganisms and, hence, antibiotic resistance of

---

pathogens. They cited particular concern over surface spills and well integrity issues associated with casing or cement failure.\textsuperscript{46}

- **November 3, 2014** – The West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection confirmed that three private drinking water wells were contaminated when Antero Resources mistakenly drilled into one of its own gas wells. Benzene, a human carcinogen, and toluene, a reproductive toxicant, were detected in the drinking water at concentrations four times the legal maximum limit. Additionally, a nearby abandoned gas well, a drinking water well, and an actively producing gas well were all pressurized as a result of the mishap and began exhibiting “artesian flow.”\textsuperscript{47}

- **October 22, 2014** – A follow-up to the August 2014 Environmental Integrity Project report describes an even greater potential public health threat from a loophole in the Safe Drinking Water Act, wherein companies are allowed to inject other petroleum products (beyond diesel) without a permit, and many of these non-diesel drilling fluids contain even higher concentrations of the same toxins found in diesel. The authors recommend that “EPA should revisit its guidance and broaden the categories of diesel products that require Safe Drinking Water Act permits before they can be injected into oil and gas wells.”\textsuperscript{48}

- **October 20, 2014** – While developing a technique to fingerprint and trace accidental releases of hydraulic fracturing fluids, researchers showed that liquid waste from shale gas fracking operations is chemically different than waste flowing out of conventional wells. The researchers hypothesized that the hydraulic fracturing process itself liberates elements from clay minerals in the shale formations, including boron and lithium, which then enter the liquid waste.\textsuperscript{49}

- **October 15, 2014** – Four thousand gallons of liquid fracking waste dumped into Waynesburg sewer system was discovered by sewage treatment plant workers in Greene County, Pennsylvania. The Department of Environmental Protection surmised that “someone removed a manhole cover in a remote location and dumped the fluid.” The treatment plant discharges into

---


a creek that feeds the Monongahela River, which provides drinking water to more than 800,000 people.  

- October 6, 2014 – A state investigation that found no fracking-related water contamination in a drinking water well in Pennsylvania’s Washington County was invalidated by testimony presented to the state Environmental Hearing Board. Not all contaminants that were present in the water were reported, and the investigation relied on obsolete testing methods. More sophisticated testing revealed the presence of several chemical contaminants in the well water. The well is located 2,800 feet down gradient from a drilling site and fracking waste pit where multiple spills and leaks more than four years earlier had contaminated two springs.  

- September 23, 2014 – In a two-part audit of records, the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) found that the EPA is failing to protect U.S. drinking water sources from fracking-related activities such as waste disposal via injection wells. Nationwide, 172,000 injection wells accept fracking waste; some are known to have contaminated drinking water. And yet, both short-term and long-term monitoring is lax, and record-keeping varies widely from state to state. The EPA neither mandates nor recommends a fixed list of chemicals for monitoring on the grounds that “injection fluids can vary widely in composition and contain different naturally occurring chemicals and fluids used in oil and gas production depending on the source of the injection fluid.” Disposal of oil and gas waste via injection wells is, in fact, subject to regulation under the Safe Drinking Water Act, but, in practice, no one knows exactly what the waste contains, and regulations are deficient. In the United States, at least two billion gallons of fluids are injected into the ground each day to enable oil and gas extraction via fracking or to dispose of liquid waste from fracking operations.

---


- September 18, 2014 – Range Resources was fined a record $4.5 million by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection for contaminating groundwater. The culprits were six leaking pits in Washington County that each held millions of gallons of fracking wastewater.\(^{55}\)

- September 12, 2014 – A Pennsylvania State ecosystems scientist, together with U.S. Geological Survey scientists, reviewed the current knowledge of the effects of fracking and its associated operations on terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems in 20 shale plays in the U.S. Findings of species and habitats at highest risk include (in addition to land-based examples) vernal pond inhabitants and stream biota. The research builds on previous reviews identifying “three main potential stressors to surface waters: changes in water quantity (hydrology), sedimentation, and water quality.” Researchers determined that there are no published data specifically on the effects of fracking on forest-dwelling amphibians, but “many species breed in vernal ponds which are negatively affected by changes in water quantity and quality and direct disturbance. Many amphibians are also highly sensitive to road salts.” Given that the U.S. EPA recently found 55% of all rivers and streams to be in poor condition, these researchers warned, “Large-scale development of shale resources might increase these percentages.” They expressed concern for the native range of brook trout by the cumulative effects of shale development, especially in Pennsylvania.\(^{56}\)

- September 9, 2014 – A research team from Stanford and Duke Universities discovered that fracking wastewater processed by sewage treatment plants contributes to the formation of carcinogenic chemical byproducts. These raise public health risks when downstream surface water is used for drinking. Even when fracking wastewater was diluted by a factor of 10,000, the bromides and iodides in the waste reacted with organic matter to create highly toxic halogenated compounds—at troublingly high concentrations. These toxic compounds are not filterable by municipal wastewater treatment plants. Halogenated disinfection byproducts in drinking water are linked to both colon and bladder cancers.\(^{57}\)

- August 29, 2014 – A review of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection files on fracking-related damage to drinking water—which are kept on paper and stored in regional offices—revealed that 243 private water supplies in 22 counties had been contaminated or had lost flow and dried up as a result of nearby drilling and fracking operations in the past seven years. Pollutants included methane, metals, and salts as well as carbon-based compounds (ethylene glycol and 2-butoxyethanol) that are known to be constituents of fracking fluid. As reported by the *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, this tally—which came as a response to multiple...
lawsuits and open-records requests by media sources—was the first time the agency “explicitly linked a drilling operation to the presence of industrial chemicals in drinking water.”\textsuperscript{58, 59}

- August 13, 2014 – Over the last decade, drilling companies have repeatedly claimed they are no longer using diesel fuel in fracking, although a 2011 investigation by U.S. House Democrats concluded otherwise. The Environmental Integrity Project examined disclosure data submitted to FracFocus and identified at least 351 wells in 12 states that have been fracked over the last four years with one or more of the five prohibited products identified as diesel. EIP researchers also discovered numerous fracking fluids with high diesel content for sale online, including over a dozen products sold by Halliburton and advertised as additives, friction reducers, emulsifiers, etc.\textsuperscript{60}

- August 13, 2014 – An international team of researchers found high levels of carbon-based compounds in liquid fracking waste. These impurities can react with chlorine and bromine to create toxic byproducts. This study suggests that chemical treatment of liquid fracking waste will magnify its toxic potency, as will reusing and recycling it.\textsuperscript{61} The European Commission subsequently published a summary of these findings.\textsuperscript{62}

- August 13, 2014 – A team from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory reported that scientific efforts to understand the hazards of fracking continue to be hampered by industry secrecy. A comprehensive examination of the chemical formulations of fracking fluid—whose precise ingredients are protected as proprietary business information—revealed that no publicly available toxicity or physical chemical information was available for one-third of all the fracking chemicals surveyed. Another ten percent of chemicals, including biocides and corrosion inhibitors, were known to be toxic to mammals.\textsuperscript{63, 64}


• August 12, 2014 – A Stanford University research team working in the Pavillion gas basin in Wyoming documented that fracking in shallow layers of bedrock, including those that serve as drinking water aquifers, is not uncommon. This finding overturns the industry claim that oil and gas deposits targeted by fracking operations are located at much greater depths than underground drinking water sources and are isolated from them by hundreds of feet of impermeable rock. Because it is exempt from provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act, fracking in drinking water aquifers is not prohibited by law.65

• August 3, 2014 – An investigation by the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette found that half of all fracking-related spills that resulted in violations and fines were not discovered by the gas companies themselves, even though Pennsylvania state law requires them to pro-actively seek and report such incidents. The newspaper’s analysis of hundreds of thousands of state and company documents showed that self-regulation in the gas fields is a failure. One third of all spills were discovered by state inspectors, while one-sixth were found by residents. Likely, much contamination is entirely undetected and unreported.66

• July 21, 2014 – An investigation by the Columbus Dispatch showed that Halliburton delayed disclosure to federal and state EPA agencies of the full list of chemicals that spilled into a creek following a fire on a its well pad in Monroe County, Ohio. Although the creek is an important supply of drinking water for downstream communities and the spill precipitated a mass die-off of fish and other aquatic wildlife, five full days passed before EPA officials were provided a full inventory of chemicals used at Halliburton’s operation. As a result, the public was denied knowledge of potential chemical exposures.67

• July 17, 2014 – A team of environmental scientists, biologists, and engineers, from institutions including the University of Michigan and McGill University, assessed the current state of understanding of the impact fracking and its associated activities have on the ecological health of surface waters. Though various approaches such as geographic information systems and site monitoring provide insights into potential risks to aquatic ecosystems, the authors concluded that inadequate data currently exist. They identified possible outcomes such as, “erosion and sedimentation, increased risk to aquatic ecosystems from chemical spills or runoff, habitat Francisco. Abstract retrieved from http://abstracts.acs.org/chem/248nm/program/view.php?obj_id=262051&terms=


fragmentation, loss of stream riparian zones, altered biogeochemical cycling, and reduction of available surface and hyporheic water volumes because of withdrawal-induced lowering of local groundwater levels.\textsuperscript{68}

- **July 7, 2014** – California Department of Gas, Oil, and Geothermal Resources ordered seven energy companies to stop injecting liquid fracking waste into aquifers. The ongoing drought that has compelled farmers to supplement irrigation with water drawn from groundwater sources prompted state officials to look at the status of aquifers previously considered too deep for use or too poor in quality. They discovered that at least seven injection wells were very likely pumping liquid fracking waste into protected groundwater supplies rather than aquifers that had been sacrificed for the purpose of waste disposal. Across the United States, more than 1000 aquifers are exempt from any form of pollution protection at all, and many of these are in California, according to a related ProPublica investigation.\textsuperscript{69}

- **June 25, 2014** – A study by Cornell University researchers found that fracking fluid and fracking wastewater mobilized previously deposited chemical contaminants in soil particles in ways that could potentially exacerbate the impacts of fracking fluid spills or leaks. That research team concluded that, by interfering with the ability of soil to bond to and sequester pollutants such as heavy metals, fracking fluids may release from soils an additional repository of contaminants that could migrate into groundwater.\textsuperscript{70}

- **June 23, 2014** – Building on earlier findings that water samples collected from sites with confirmed fracking spills in Garfield County, Colorado exhibited moderate to high levels of estrogen and androgen-disrupting activity, a University of Missouri team extended their investigation to other types of hormonal effects. As reported at a joint meeting of the International Society of Endocrinology and the Endocrine Society, their research documented that commonly used fracking chemicals can also block the receptors for thyroid hormone, progesterone, and glucocorticoids (a family of hormones involved in both fertility and immune functioning). Of 24 fracking chemicals tested, all 24 interfered with the activity of one or more important hormone receptors. There is no known safe level of exposure to hormone-disrupting chemicals.\textsuperscript{71}


May 11, 2014 – According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office, the federal government is failing to inspect thousands of oil and gas wells located on public land, including those that pose special risks of water contamination or other environmental damage. An investigation by the Associated Press found that the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) “had failed to conduct inspections on more than 2,100 of the 3,702 wells that it had specified as ‘high priority’ and drilled from 2009 through 2012. The agency considers a well ‘high priority’ based on a greater need to protect against possible water contamination and other environmental safety issues.”

May 4, 2012 – A report for the Canadian Government, released under the Access to Information Act, reviewed the process, the regulatory framework globally, the health hazards related to water and air contamination, and evaluated sub-processes for potential impacts, risks, regulations, and summarized the data knowledge and data gaps. Regarding water contamination, the report determined, “Although quantitative data are lacking, the qualitative data available indicate that potential contamination of water related to the shale gas industry may present hazard to the public health, especially for local population.” And, “it can be concluded that air emissions related to the shale gas industry present health hazards since the air pollutants originating from the vehicles and engines fuelled by diesel are toxic to the respiratory and cardiovascular systems and can cause premature mortality, volatile organic compounds have been associated to neurotoxicity and some of these compounds (e.g. benzene) as well as NORMs are known or possible human carcinogens.” The report concluded, “Any step of shale gas exploration/exploitation may represent a potential source of drinking water and air contamination; Hydraulic fracturing and wastewater disposal were identified as the main potential sources of risk.”

March 25, 2014 – An industry-funded study of oil and gas well integrity found that more than six percent of wells in a major shale exploration region in Pennsylvania showed evidence of leaking and conceded that this number is likely an underestimate. Researchers concluded that the percentage of wells with some form of well barrier or integrity failure is highly variable and could be as high as 75 percent. A separate analysis in the same study found 85 examples of cement or casing failures in Pennsylvania wells monitored between 2008 and 2011.

March 7, 2014 – In a comprehensive evaluation, Duke University scientists and colleagues reviewed the state of knowledge on possible effects of shale gas and hydraulic fracturing on water resources in the United States and concluded, “Analysis of published data (through January 2014) reveals evidence for stray gas contamination, surface water impacts in areas of

intensive shale gas development, and the accumulation of radium isotopes in some disposal and spill sites.”

- February 19, 2014 – A Pennsylvania court found a gas corporation guilty of contaminating a woman’s drinking water well in Bradford County. Methane levels after fracking were 1,300 to 2,000 times higher than baseline, according to the court brief. Iron levels and turbidity had also increased. The brief stated, “In short, Jacqueline Place lived for ten months deprived totally of the use of her well, and even after its ‘restoration,’ has been burdened with a water supply with chronic contamination, requiring constant vigilance and ongoing monitoring.”

- January 16, 2014 – Data from the Colorado Oil and Gas Commission showed that fracking-related chemical spills in Colorado exceed an average rate of one spill per day. Of the 495 chemical spills that occurred in that state over a one-year period of time, nearly a quarter impacted ground or surface water. Sixty-three of the spills spread within 1,500 feet of pigs, sheep and cows, and 225 spread within 1,500 feet of buildings.

- January 10, 2014 – Duke University water tests revealed ongoing water contamination in Parker County, Texas, providing evidence that EPA had prematurely ended its prior investigation into the water contamination. A letter sent to the EPA from more than 200 environmental organizations called on the EPA to re-open its investigation.

- January 5, 2014 – An Associated Press investigation into drinking water contamination from fracking in four states—Pennsylvania, Ohio, West Virginia and Texas—found many cases of confirmed water contamination and hundreds more complaints. The Associated Press noted that their analysis “casts doubt on industry view that it rarely happens.”

---


• December 24, 2013 – A report from the EPA Inspector General concluded that evidence of fracking-related water contamination in Parker County, Texas was sound and faulted the EPA for prematurely ending its investigation there, relying on faulty water testing data from the gas industry in doing so, and failure to intervene when affected residents’ drinking water remained unsafe. As reported by Business Insider, “The EPA Screwed Up When It Dropped This Fracking Investigation.”

• December 16, 2013 – Lead by Susan Nagel of the University of Missouri School of Medicine, researchers documented endocrine-disrupting properties in chemicals commonly used as ingredients of fracking fluid and found similar endocrine-disrupting activity in groundwater and surface water samples collected near drilling and fracking sites in Garfield County, Colorado. Endocrine disruptors are chemicals that interfere with the activity of hormones in the body and, at very low concentrations, can raise the risk of reproductive, metabolic, and neurological disorders, especially when exposures occur in early life.

• December 7, 2013 – Reporting on the second gas leak at a single gas well in one month, the Fort Worth Star-Telegram uncovered another inherent risk of fracking for groundwater contamination: Silica sand, which is used as an ingredient in fracking fluid for its ability to prop open the shale fractures, can damage steel pipes as it flows back up the well along with the gas. According to Dan Hill, head of the petroleum engineering department at Texas A&M University, new wells are the most susceptible to sand erosion because “the amount of sand and gas rushing through valves and flow lines is at its greatest when a well first goes into production.”

• November 28, 2013 – An Associated Press investigation uncovered nearly 300 oil pipeline spills in North Dakota in the previous ten months, all with no public notification. These were among some 750 “oil field incidents” that had occurred in the state over the same time period, also without public notification. Until the AP inquiry, industry and state officials had kept quiet about

one particular “massive spill” that had been accidentally discovered by a wheat farmer. Even small spills can contaminate water sources permanently and take cropland out of production.87

- November 26, 2013 – A U.S. Geological Survey report found serious impacts of fracking on watersheds and water quality throughout the Appalachian Basin, as well as issues with radiation and seismic events. As noted in the report, the knowledge of how extraction affects water resources has not kept pace with the technology.88, 89 Meanwhile, clean fresh water is becoming an increasingly scant resource. A report from the U.S. State Department found that the United States will face a serious freshwater shortage by 2030, with demand exceeding supply by 40 percent.90

- November 22, 2013 – A U.S. Geological Survey study of pollution from oil production in North Dakota, where horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing are heavily used, identified two potential plumes of groundwater contamination covering 12 square miles. The cause was traced to a casing failure in a wastewater disposal well. Drilling companies had incorrectly assumed that, once injected underground, the wastewater would remain contained. According to EnergyWire, the development of the Bakken oil formation is “leaving behind an imprint on the land as distinct as the ones left by the receding ice sheets of the ice age.”91

- September 10, 2013 – Pennsylvania Attorney General Kathleen Kane filed criminal charges against Exxon Mobil Corporation’s subsidiary, XTO Energy Corporation, for a spill of 50,000 gallons of toxic drilling wastewater in 2010 that contaminated a spring and a tributary of the Susquehanna River. In July, XTO settled civil charges for the incident without admitting liability by agreeing to pay a $100,000 fine and improve its wastewater management.92

- September 10, 2013 – Out of concern for risks posed to drinking water in the nation’s capital, George Hawkins, general manager of DC Water, Washington, DC’s local water provider, called for a prohibition on horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing in the George Washington

---

National Forest until the process can be proven safe. The Potomac River is the source of the District’s water supply and has its headwaters in the George Washington National Forest, which sits atop the Marcellus Shale. The general managers of Fairfax Water, provider of drinking water for Fairfax County, Virginia, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers have called for a similar prohibition.  

- September 3, 2013 – The North Dakota Department of Mineral Resources voiced concern about an increasing number of fracking well blowouts (23 incidents in the past year) that result in spills and public safety threats.


- July 25, 2013 – A University of Texas at Arlington study of drinking water found elevated levels of arsenic and other heavy metals in some samples from private drinking water wells located within five kilometers of active natural gas wells in the Barnett Shale.

- July 3, 2013 – ProPublica reported that the EPA was wrong to have halted its investigation of water contamination in Wyoming, Texas and Pennsylvania—where high levels of benzene, methane, arsenic, oil, methane, copper, vanadium and other chemicals associated with fracking operations have been documented. Although numerous organizations and health professionals around the country have since called on the agency to resume its investigation, no action has been taken.

- June 6, 2013 – Reviewing hundreds of regulatory and legal filings, Bloomberg News reported that drillers have offered out-of-court cash settlements and property buyouts to homeowners who claim that fracking ruined their water. These agreements typically come with gag orders and sealed records. This strategy, the investigation noted, allows the industry to continue

---


claiming that no cases of water contamination due to fracking have ever been confirmed, impedes public health research, and shields data from regulators, policy makers and the new media.\textsuperscript{99} The EPA also long ago noted how non-disclosure agreements between oil and gas operators and landowners challenge scientific progress and keep examples of drilling harm secret from the public. In a 1987 report, the EPA wrote, “In some cases, even the records of well-publicized damage incidents are almost entirely unavailable for review. In addition to concealing the nature and size of any settlement entered into between the parties, impoundment curtails access to scientific and administrative documentation of the incident.”\textsuperscript{100}

- June 3, 2013 – A study by Duke University researchers linked fracking with elevated levels of methane, ethane, and propane in nearby groundwater.\textsuperscript{101} Published in \textit{Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences}, the study included results from 141 northeastern Pennsylvania water wells. Methane levels were, on average, six times higher in drinking water wells closer to drilling sites when compared with those farther away, while ethane was 23 times higher.\textsuperscript{102}

- May 19, 2013 – In Pennsylvania, the \textit{Scranton Times-Tribune} released details of an investigation that revealed at least 161 cases of water contamination from fracking between 2008 and the fall of 2012, according to state Department of Environmental Protection records.\textsuperscript{103}

- April 2013 – Researchers analyzing publicly available Colorado data found 77 surface spills impacting groundwater in Weld County alone. Samples of these spills often exceeded drinking water maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene; for benzene, a known carcinogen, 90% of the samples exceeded the legal limit.\textsuperscript{104}

- March 4, 2013 – Researchers at the University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health analyzed samples of gas drilling wastewater discharged to surface water through wastewater


treatment plants. Barium, strontium, bromides, chlorides, and benzene all exceeded levels known to cause human health impacts.¹⁰⁵

- December 9, 2012 – State data in Colorado showed more than 350 instances of groundwater contamination resulting from more than 2,000 spills from oil and gas operations over the past five years. Further, as the Denver Post reported, “Contamination of groundwater—along with air emissions, truck traffic and changed landscapes—has spurred public concerns about drilling along Colorado’s Front Range.”¹⁰⁶

- May 2012 – A report by researchers at Natural Resources Defense Council and Carnegie Mellon University found that the options available for dealing with fracking wastewater are inadequate to protect public health and the environment, resulting in increasing quantities of toxic wastewater as an ongoing problem without a good solution.¹⁰⁷

- January 11, 2012 – The U.S. Geological Survey found that the Marcellus Shale is already highly fractured and that numerous fissures naturally occurring within the formation could potentially provide pathways for contaminants to migrate vertically into water supplies.¹⁰⁸

- October 17, 2011 – Thomas P. Jacobus, General Manager of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ Washington Aqueduct, that provides drinking water to Washington, DC, Arlington County, Virginia, and Falls Church, Virginia, called for a prohibition on horizontal hydraulic fracturing in the George Washington National Forest because of concern that fracking poses risks to drinking water. The Washington Aqueduct—which provides drinking water to Washington, DC, Arlington County, Virginia, and Falls Church, Virginia—is supplied by the Potomac River, which has its headwaters in the George Washington National Forest that sits atop the Marcellus Shale. Jacobus said, “Enough study on the technique [hydraulic fracturing] has been published to give us great cause for concern about the potential for degradation of the quality of our raw water supply....”¹⁰⁹

October 11, 2011 – Charles M. Murray, General Manager of Fairfax Water, the water provider for Fairfax County, Virginia, called for a prohibition on horizontal hydraulic fracturing in the George Washington National Forest. “Natural gas development activities have the potential to impact the quantity and quality of Fairfax Water’s source water,” Murray wrote. “Downstream water users and consumers will bear the economic burden if drinking water sources are contaminated or the quality of our source water supply is degraded.”

September 7, 2011 – In its draft Supplemental Generic Environmental Impact Statement (SGEIS), the NYS DEC acknowledged that “there is questionable available capacity” for New York’s public sewage treatment plants to accept drilling wastewater, yet the agency said that it would allow those facilities to accept such waste if the plants meet permitting conditions. The NYS DEC proposed underground injection as one alternative to sewage treatment procession of fracking waste. Although it is a common method of disposal for fracking wastewater, the last significant government study of pollution risks from oil and gas wastewater injection wells occurred in 1989 and found multiple cases of costly groundwater contamination. In subsequent years, studies have continued to link underground injection of drilling wastewater to pollution as well as earthquakes.

September 2011 – A team led by Theo Colburn of the Endocrine Disruptor Exchange found that 25 percent of chemicals known to be used in fracking fluids are implicated in cancer, 37 percent could disrupt the endocrine system, and 40 to 50 percent could cause nervous, immune and cardiovascular system problems. The research team also found that more than 75 percent could
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affect the skin, eyes and respiratory system, resulting in various problems such as skin and eye irritation or flu-like symptoms.  

- August 4, 2011 – As reported by *The New York Times*, the EPA had alerted Congress in 1987 about a case of water contamination caused by fracking. Its report documented that a shale gas well hydraulically fractured at a depth of more than 4,200 feet contaminated a water supply only 400 feet from the surface.  

- May 17, 2011 – The state of Pennsylvania fined Chesapeake Energy Corp. $900,000 for an incident in which improper cementing and casing in one of the company’s gas wells allowed methane to migrate underground and contaminate 16 private drinking water wells in Bradford County. 

- May 17, 2011 – A Duke University study documented “systematic evidence for methane contamination of drinking water associated with shale gas extraction.” The study showed that methane levels were 17 times higher in water wells near drilling sites than in water wells in areas without active drilling.  

- April 18, 2011 – As part of a year-long investigation into hydraulic fracturing and its potential impact on water quality, U.S. Representatives Henry Waxman (D-Calif.), Edward Markey (D-Mass.) and Diana DeGette (D-Colo.) released the second of two reports issued in 2011. Their analysis of hydraulic fracturing fluids used by the 14 leading oil and natural gas service companies between 2005 and 2009 found, among other things, that the companies used more than 650 different products that contained chemicals that are known or possible human carcinogens, regulated under the Safe Drinking Water Act, or listed as hazardous air pollutants under the Clean Air Act. The report also showed that “between 2005 and 2009, the companies used 94 million gallons of 279 products that contained at least one chemical or component that the manufacturers deemed proprietary or a trade secret … in most cases the companies stated that they did not have access to proprietary information about products they purchased ‘off the
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shelf’ from chemical suppliers. In these cases, the companies are injecting fluids containing chemicals that they themselves cannot identify.”\(^{123}\) These findings were reported in the *New York Times*.\(^{124}\)

- January 2011 – A team of scientists led by a University of Central Arkansas researcher called attention to the threat posed to surface waters by rapidly expanding shale gas development, noting a lack of data collection accompanying the rush to drill. “Gas wells are often close to surface waters that could be impacted by elevated sediment runoff from pipelines and roads, alteration of stream flow as a result of water extraction, and contamination from introduced chemicals or the resulting wastewater.”\(^{125}\) In October, after receiving new information from two companies, the members of Congress updated their findings to show that “between 2005 and 2009, oil and gas service companies injected 32.7 million gallons of diesel fuel or hydraulic fracturing fluids containing diesel fuel in wells in 20 states.”\(^{126}\)

- April 29, 2010 – In 2010, the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission fined OXY USA a record $390,000 for an incident of pollution, discovered in 2008, when its drilling wastes leaked through an unlined pit, contaminated two springs with benzene and polluted other nearby water sources. In addition, the regulators separately fined OXY USA $257,400 for a nearby case of pollution, also discovered in 2008, in which a torn liner in a pit caused drilling waste fluids to leak out and contaminate two springs with benzene.\(^{127}\)

- April 22, 2011 – Describing one of many blowouts, the Associated Press reported on a shale gas well in Canton, Pennsylvania that spewed thousands of gallons of chemical-laced water on farmland and into a stream for two consecutive days before being brought under control.\(^{128}\)

- January 31, 2011 – As part of a year-long investigation into hydraulic fracturing and its potential impact on water quality, U.S. Representatives Henry Waxman (D-Calif.), Edward Markey (D-Mass.) and Diana DeGette (D-Colo.) reported that “between 2005 and 2009, oil and gas service companies injected 32.2 million gallons of diesel fuel or hydraulic fracturing fluids containing

---


diesel fuel in wells in 19 states.” Furthermore, revealing apparent widespread violation of the Safe Drinking Water Act, the investigation found that no oil and gas service companies had sought—and no state or federal regulators had issued—permits for the use of diesel fuel in hydraulic fracturing.\textsuperscript{129}
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Foreword to the Third Edition

The *Compendium of Scientific, Medical, and Media Findings Demonstrating Risks and Harms of Fracking* (the Compendium) is a fully referenced compilation of the evidence outlining the risks and harms of fracking. Bringing together findings from the scientific and medical literature, government and industry reports, and journalistic investigation, it is a public, open-access document that is housed on the websites of Concerned Health Professionals of New York (www.concernedhealthny.org) and Physicians for Social Responsibility (www.psr.org).

Since its original release on July 9, 2014, by Concerned Health Professionals of New York, the Compendium has been used and referenced all over the world. It has been independently translated into Spanish and adopted for use in the European Union, South Africa, the United Kingdom, and Australia.

The release of the first edition of the Compendium coincided with a meteoric rise in the publication of new scientific studies about the risks and impacts of fracking. Hence, a second edition was released five months later, on December 11, 2014, and included dozens of new investigative reports and just-published research papers that further clarified, corroborated, and explicated the recurrent problems, data gaps, and ongoing uncertainties that natural gas and oil extraction via hydraulic fracturing brings with it.

Almost concurrently, on December 17, 2014, the New York State Department of Health (NYS DOH) released its own long-awaited review of the health impacts of fracking. This 186-page document served as the foundation for a statewide ban on high volume hydraulic fracturing, announced by New York Governor Andrew Cuomo on the same day. The conclusions of the NYS DOH public health review largely aligned with our own. In the words of New York State Health Commissioner Dr. Howard Zucker:

> [T]he overall weight of the evidence from the cumulative body of information contained in this Public Health Review demonstrates that there are significant uncertainties about the kinds of adverse health outcomes that may be associated with HVHF [high volume hydraulic fracturing], the likelihood of the occurrence of adverse health outcomes, and the effectiveness of some of the mitigation measures in reducing or preventing environmental impacts which could adversely affect public health…. [I]t is clear from the existing literature and experience that HVHF activity has resulted in environmental impacts that are potentially adverse to public health. Until the science provides sufficient information to determine the level of risk to public health from HVHF and whether the risks can be adequately managed, HVHF should not proceed in New York State. (See footnote 282.)

This third edition of the Compendium, which was created as a joint effort with Physicians for Social Responsibility, continues to exist in a moving stream of data. More than 100 new studies on the impacts of fracking have appeared in the peer-reviewed literature since public health
concerns so famously led to a ban on high volume fracking in New York—and since the second version of this document was released nine months ago.

Our knowledge base is very young. The study citation database maintained by PSE Healthy Energy shows that over half of the available studies on the adverse impacts of shale and tight gas development have been published since January 2014. In 2014, 192 peer-reviewed studies on these impacts were published. In the first six months of 2015, 103 studies appeared. The vast majority of these studies reveal problems. Specifically, as demonstrated by PSE’s statistical analysis, 69 percent of original research studies on water quality found potential for, or actual evidence of, water contamination; 88 percent of original research studies on air quality found elevated air pollutant emissions; and 84 percent of original research studies on human health risks found signs of harm or indication of potential harm.

Since the release of our second edition, in addition to this surge of peer-reviewed papers, four multi-volume government reports on the impacts of fracking were issued in the United States: one from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency that focuses on water; two from California that examine a wide array of impacts; and, from New York, the Department of Environmental Conservation’s Findings Statement that—together with the final environmental impact statement on fracking—implements New York’s ban and incorporates the NYS DOH public health review into a larger analysis of the environmental and economic impacts of fracking.

As a response to this proliferating evidence for the problems and harms of fracking—augmented by increasing concern about the many uncertainties remaining—various countries, states, and municipalities have instituted bans and moratoria, with many prohibitions announced this year.

Following New York’s ban on high volume hydraulic fracturing in December 2014, Scotland became the first country in Great Britain to impose a formal moratorium on fracking, after an expert panel concluded that more study of fracking’s risks is needed. Wales followed in February 2015 when its government declared a moratorium on fracking “until it is proven safe.” The Canadian province of New Brunswick declared a moratorium for similar reasons in March. In May, the state of Maryland overwhelmingly passed a two-and-a-half-year moratorium, largely based on concerns about health impacts. In June, citing concerns about noise impacts and the industrialization of rural landscape, the county of Lancashire in northwest England halted plans for what would have been a major British fracking operation; the first and only two wells drilled around Lancashire years previously had suffered well integrity failures and caused earthquakes. In July, the Dutch government banned all shale gas fracking for five years on the grounds that “research shows that there is uncertainty” about impacts. Conversely, a fracking ban passed by the city of Denton, Texas in November, 2014 was invalidated in June 2015 by a new state law, pushed by the oil and gas industry that reasserts state control over fracking and prohibits Texas municipalities from passing local bans. In September, Northern Ireland and the Spanish region of Castile La Mancha both presumptively halted fracking via planning policies.

---

Introduction

Over the past decade, directional drilling has been combined with high volume hydraulic fracturing and clustered multi-well pads as novel technologies for extracting dispersed oil and natural gas, primarily from shale formations. As this unconventional extraction method (collectively known as “fracking”) has pushed into more densely populated areas of the United States, as fracking operations have increased in frequency and intensity, and, as the transport of extracted materials has expanded, a significant body of evidence has emerged to demonstrate that these activities are dangerous to people and their communities in ways that are difficult—and may prove impossible—to mitigate. Risks include earthquakes and adverse impacts on water, air, agriculture, public health and safety, property values, climate stability, and economic vitality.

Researching these complex, large-scale industrialized activities—and the ancillary infrastructure that supports them—takes time and has been hindered by institutional secrecy. Nonetheless, research is gradually catching up to the last decade’s surge in unconventional oil and gas extraction from shale. A growing body of peer-reviewed studies, accident reports, and investigative articles has detailed specific, quantifiable evidence of harm and has revealed fundamental problems with the entire life cycle of operations associated with unconventional drilling and fracking. Industry studies as well as independent analyses indicate inherent engineering problems including uncontrolled and unpredictable fracturing, induced seismicity, extensive methane leakage, and well casing and cement impairments that cannot be prevented with currently available materials and technologies.

Earlier scientific predictions and anecdotal evidence are now bolstered by empirical data, confirming that the public health risks from unconventional gas and oil extraction are real, the range of adverse impacts significant, and the negative economic consequences considerable. Our examination of the peer-reviewed medical and public health literature uncovered no evidence that fracking can be practiced in a manner that does not threaten human health.

Despite this emerging body of knowledge, industry secrecy and government inaction continue to thwart scientific inquiry, leaving many potential problems—especially cumulative, long-term risks—unidentified, unmonitored, and largely unexplored. This problem is compounded by non-disclosure agreements, sealed court records, and legal settlements that prevent families and their doctors from discussing injuries and illness. As a result, no quantitative and comprehensive inventory of human hazards yet exists.

The evidence to date indicates that fracking operations pose severe threats to health, both from water contamination and from air pollution. In the United States, more than two billion gallons of fluid are injected daily under high pressure into the earth with the purpose of enabling oil and gas extraction via fracking or, after the fracking is finished, to flush the extracted wastewater down any of the 187,570 disposal wells across the country that accept oil and gas waste. All of those two billion daily gallons of fluid is toxic, and it all passes through our nation’s groundwater aquifers on its way to the deep geological strata below where it can demonstrably raise the risk for earthquakes. In the air above drilling and fracking operations and their attendant infrastructure, researchers have measured strikingly high levels of toxic pollutants, including the potent carcinogen benzene and the chemical precursors of smog. In some cases, concentrations
of fracking-related air pollution in communities where people live and work far exceed federal safety standards. Research shows that air emissions from fracking can drift and pollute the air hundreds of miles downwind. With more than 15 million Americans already living within a mile of a fracking well that has been drilled since 2000, and with more than 50,000 new wells fractured per year over the past 15 years, the potential for exposure and accompanying adverse impacts is significant.

About this Report

The Compendium is a fully referenced compilation of the significant body of scientific, medical, and journalistic findings demonstrating risks and harms of fracking. Organized to be accessible to public officials, researchers, journalists, and the public at large, the Compendium succinctly summarizes key studies and other findings relevant to the ongoing public debate about unconventional methods of oil and gas extraction. The Compendium should be used by readers to grasp the scope of the information about both public health and safety concerns and the economic realities of fracking that frame these concerns. The reader who wants to delve deeper can consult the reviews, studies, and articles referenced. In addition, the Compendium is complemented by a fully searchable, near-exhaustive citation database of peer-reviewed journal articles pertaining to shale gas and oil extraction, housed at the PSE Healthy Energy scientific literature database.*

For this third edition of the Compendium, as before, we collected and compiled findings from three sources: articles from peer-reviewed medical or scientific journals; investigative reports by journalists; and reports from or commissioned by government agencies. Peer-reviewed articles were identified through databases such as PubMed and Web of Science, and from within the PSE Health Energy database. We included review articles when such reviews revealed new understanding of the evidence. Our entries briefly describe studies that documented harm or risk of harm associated with fracking, summarizing the principal findings. Entries do not include detailed results or a critique of the strengths and weaknesses of each study. Because much of medicine’s early understanding of new diseases and previously unsuspected epidemiological correlations comes through assessment of case reports, we have included published case reports and anecdotal reports when they are data-based and verifiable.

We also provided, within entries, references to articles appearing in the popular press that described the findings of the corresponding peer-reviewed study. For this purpose, we sought out articles in the popular literature that expertly and plainly reported on studies that were highly technical, especially if those articles included comments by principal investigators on the significance of their findings. In such cases, footnotes for the peer-reviewed study and the matching popular article appear together in one entry. We hope these tandem references will make the findings more accessible to lay readers. Acronyms are spelled out the first time they appear in each section.

News articles appearing as individual entries signify investigative reports by journalists conducting original research. While advocacy organizations have compiled many useful reports

on the impacts of fracking, these generally do not appear in our Compendium. We also excluded papers that focused purely on methodologies or instrumentation. For some sources, cross-referenced footnotes are provided, as when wide-ranging government reports or peer-reviewed papers straddled two or more topics.

The pace at which new studies and information are emerging has rapidly accelerated in the past year and a half: in the first few months of 2014, more studies were published on the health effects of fracking than in 2011 and 2012 combined. Indeed, the number of peer-reviewed publications doubled between 2011 and 2012 and then doubled again between 2012 and 2013. More than 80 percent of the available studies on the impacts of shale gas development have been published since January 2013 and over 50 percent since January 2014. In 2014, 192 peer-reviewed studies on the impacts of fracking were published. In the first six months of 2015, 103 studies appeared. In accordance, the Compendium is organized in reverse chronological order within sections, with the most recent information first.

In our review of the data, seventeen compelling themes emerged; these serve as the organizational structure of the Compendium. The document opens with sections on two of the most acute threats—air pollution and water contamination—and ends with medical and scientific calls for more study and transparency. Readers will notice the ongoing upsurge in reported problems and health impacts, making each section top-heavy with recent data. The Compendium focuses on topics most closely related to the public health and safety impacts of unconventional gas and oil drilling and fracking. Additional risks and harms arise from associated infrastructure and industrial activities that necessarily accompany drilling and fracking operations. These include pipelines, compressor stations, oil trains, sand mining operations, cryogenic and liquefaction facilities, processing and fractionation complexes, import/export terminals, and so forth. A detailed accounting of all these ancillary impacts is beyond the scope of this document, but, for the first time, we have included in this edition a section on infrastructure that focuses on compressor stations, pipelines, wastewater recycling facilities, and silica sand mining operations as emerging issues of concern.

Given the rapidly expanding body of evidence related to the harms and risks of unconventional oil and gas extraction, we plan to continue revising and updating the Compendium approximately every six months. It is a living document, housed on the websites of Concerned Health Professionals of New York and Physicians for Social Responsibility, which serves as an educational tool in important ongoing public and policy dialogues. The studies cited in this third edition are current through July 31, 2015.

The Compendium is not a funded project; it was written utilizing the benefit of the experience and expertise of numerous health professionals and scientists who have been involved in this issue for years.

---
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About Concerned Health Professionals of New York

Concerned Health Professionals of New York (CHPNY) is an initiative by health professionals, scientists, and medical organizations for raising science-based concerns about the impacts of fracking on public health and safety. CHPNY provides educational resources and works to ensure that careful consideration of the science and health impacts are at the forefront of the fracking debate. http://concernedhealthny.org
About Physicians for Social Responsibility

Working for more than 50 years to create a healthy, just, and peaceful world for both present and future generations, Physicians for Social Responsibility (PSR) uses medical and public health expertise to educate and advocate on urgent issues that threaten human health and survival, with the goals of reversing the trajectory towards climate change, protecting the public and the environment from toxic chemicals, and addressing the health consequences of fossil fuels. PSR was founded by physicians concerned about nuclear weapons, and the abolition of nuclear weapons remains part of its mission.
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*Note that for the purposes of this compendium, the terms “fracking” and “drilling and fracking” refer to the entire unconventional oil and gas extraction and distribution process, from well site preparation to transport, distribution, and waste disposal and all associated infrastructure, including pipelines and compressor stations. Not every aspect of this process is fully addressed in the Compendium.
Emerging Trends

1) Growing evidence shows that regulations are simply not capable of preventing harm. Studies reveal inherent problems in the natural gas extraction process, such as well integrity failures caused by aging or the pressures of fracking itself. These issues can lead to contamination, air pollution with carcinogens and other toxic chemicals, and a range of environmental and other stressors wrought on communities. Some of fracking’s many component parts—which include the subterranean geological landscape itself—are simply not controllable. Compounding the problem, the number of wells and their attendant infrastructure continue to proliferate, creating burgeoning cumulative impacts.

As reported in studies published last March, the injection of extreme volumes of fluids—now typically three to five million gallons or more per well—create significant deformations in the shale that are translated upwards, a mile or more, to the surface. Along the way, these “pressure bulbs” can impact in unpredictable ways faults and fissures in the overlying rock strata, including strata that intersect fresh water aquifers. Such pressure waves may mobilize contaminants left over from previous drilling and mining activities. (See footnotes 93 and 94.) No set of regulations can obviate these potential impacts to groundwater. Furthermore, in July, the state of California determined that fracking can have “significant and unavoidable” impacts on air quality, including by driving pollutants above levels that violate air quality standards. (See footnote 2.) According to the New York State Findings Statement, “Even with the implementation of an extensive suite of mitigation measures…the significant adverse public health and environmental impacts from allowing high-volume hydraulic fracturing to proceed under any scenario cannot be adequately avoided or minimized to the maximum extent practicable…..” (See footnote 199.)

2) Fracking threatens drinking water. Cases of drinking water sources contaminated by drilling and fracking activities, as well as associated waste disposal, are now proven. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) assessment of fracking’s impacts on drinking water resources confirmed specific instances of water contamination caused by drilling and fracking-related activities and identified the various pathways by which this contamination has occurred. According to the EPA, documented cases of drinking water contamination have resulted from spills of fracking fluid and fracking wastewater; discharge of fracking waste into rivers and streams; and underground migration of fracking chemicals, including gas, into drinking water wells. Independently, researchers working in Texas found 19 different fracking-related contaminants—including cancer-causing benzene—in hundreds of drinking water samples collected from the aquifer above the heavily drilled Barnett Shale, thereby documenting widespread water contamination. In Pennsylvania, a solvent used in fracking fluid was found in drinking water wells near drilling and fracking operations known to have well casing problems. In California, state regulators admitted that they had mistakenly allowed oil companies to inject drilling wastewater into aquifers containing clean, potable water. (See footnotes 2, 79, 81, and 83.)

3) Drilling and fracking emissions contribute to toxic air pollution and smog (ground-level ozone) at levels known to have health impacts. The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation determined that fracking could increase ozone levels in downwind areas of the state, potentially impacting the ability to maintain air quality that meets ozone
standards. (See footnote 199.) Air near gas wells in rural Ohio had levels of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons that surpassed those in downtown Chicago. They were also ten times higher than the levels found in rural areas without fracting operations, raising the lifetime risk of cancer for residents living near the well pads by 45 percent. (See footnote 8.) Two independent reports from California determined that fracting occurs disproportionately in areas already suffering from serious air quality problems and can drive ozone and other federally regulated air pollutants to levels that violate air quality standards. (See footnotes 1 and 2.) This increased air pollution and smog formation poses a serious risk to all those already suffering from respiratory issues, such as children with asthma. With an average of 203 high-ozone days a year, intensely fracked Kern County, California, is the fifth-most ozone-polluted county in the nation, according to the American Lung Association.

4) Public health problems associated with drilling and fracting, including occupational health and safety problems, are increasingly well documented. Among residents living near drilling and fracting operations, documented indicators variously include increased rates of hospitalization, self-reported respiratory problems and rashes, motor vehicle fatalities, trauma, drug abuse, and low birth weight among infants. As we go to press, a new study from Johns Hopkins University finds a 40 percent increase in the risk of preterm birth among infants born to mothers who live nearby active drilling and fracting sites in Pennsylvania. Among workers, risks include both toxic exposures and accidents. Benzene has been detected in the urine of wellpad workers in Colorado and Wyoming. The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health named oil and gas extraction industry workers among those at risk for silicosis, an incurable lung disease caused by exposure to silica dust, from the silica sand that is used extensively in fracting operations. Fatality rates among workers in the oil and gas extraction sector in North Dakota were seven times the national fatality rates in this industry, which itself has more deaths from fires and explosions than any other private industry. An increase in workplace deaths has accompanied the fracting boom in West Virginia. As we go to press, a new census from the Bureau of Labor Statistics finds that the number of fatal work injuries in oil and gas extraction industries rose 27 percent between 2013 and 2014.

5) Natural gas is a bigger threat to the climate than previously believed. Methane is a much more potent greenhouse gas than formerly appreciated. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change now estimates that, over a 20-year time frame, methane can, pound for pound, trap 86 times more heat than carbon dioxide. Further, real-world leakage rates greatly exceed earlier estimates. In the heavily drilled Barnett Shale of northeastern Texas, methane emissions were shown to be 50 percent higher than the EPA had estimated. Fracking operations and associated infrastructure contribute 71 to 85 percent of the methane emissions in the region. Researchers discovered that much of these emissions originated not from accidental leaks but from losses that
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are inherent to the design of the machinery or to normal operating use and are therefore not possible to mitigate. Methane leakage at the levels now being documented (by multiple approaches in measurement and modeling) negates and outweighs previously hypothesized benefits from burning methane instead of coal in most existing power plants. As we go to press, a new study confirms that a commonly used instrument to quantify methane leakage has unreliable sensors and malfunctions in ways that vastly underreport emissions by factors of three to five. More than 40 percent of the compiled national methane inventory may be affected by this measurement failure, according to the author of this study. At this writing, the implications of this discovery for our understanding of system-wide methane leakage rates from drilling and fracking operations are not known, but they do call into question the results of at least one major study of methane emissions that relied on this device for collecting data.

6) **Earthquakes are a consequence of drilling and fracking-related activities in many locations.** In the past few months, several major studies have confirmed a causal link between the injection of fracking wastewater in disposal wells and earthquake swarms. The evidence is strong enough that the Oklahoma Supreme Court ruled unanimously in June that homeowners can sue the oil and gas industry for injuries or property damage resulting from earthquakes. The number of earthquakes of magnitude 3.0 or higher has skyrocketed in Oklahoma since the advent of the fracking boom, with fewer than two per year before 2009 and more than 1,100 predicted to occur in 2015. (See footnote 321.) Evidence now also shows that the process of fracking itself can trigger small earthquakes, as several confirmed cases in Ohio, Oklahoma, Texas, the United Kingdom, and Canada demonstrate. (See footnote 199.)

7) **Fracking infrastructure poses serious potential exposure risks to those living near it.** Drilling and fracking activities are temporary operations, but compressor stations are semi-permanent facilities that pollute the air 24 hours a day as long as gas is flowing through the pipeline. As documented by a Pennsylvania study published in February 2015, day-to-day emissions from compressor stations are highly episodic and can create periods of potentially extreme exposures. (See footnote 515.) In the Upper Midwest, Wisconsin residents living near silica sand mining operations that service the fracking industry reported dust exposure and respiratory problems. Silica dust is a known cause of silicosis and lung cancer.

In May 2015, the Medical Society of the State of New York passed a resolution recognizing the potential health impacts of natural gas infrastructure and pledging support for a governmental assessment of the health and environmental risks associated with natural gas pipelines. In June 2015, the American Medical Association (AMA) adopted a similar resolution that supports legislation requiring all levels of government to seek a comprehensive Health Impact Assessment regarding the health and environmental risks associated with natural gas pipelines. As part of a related resolution, the AMA also called for full disclosure of all chemicals used during fracking operations.

8) **Drilling and fracking activities can bring naturally occurring radioactive materials to**

---

*Howard, T. (2015). University of Texas study underestimates national methane emissions at natural gas production sites due to instrument sensor failure. *Energy Science & Engineering*. Advance online publication. doi: 10.1002/ese3.81. This is the second of two recent studies that finds that the primary tool approved by the U.S. EPA for measuring and reporting emissions of methane fails to function properly when used as directed by the manufacturer. See also footnote 453.*
the surface. Exposure to increased radiation levels from these materials is a risk both for workers and for residents. In Pennsylvania, radon levels in homes have been rising since the advent of the fracking boom, and buildings in heavily drilled areas have significantly higher radon readings than areas without well pads—a difference that did not exist before 2004. University of Iowa researchers documented a variety of radioactive substances including radium, thorium, and uranium in fracking wastewater and determined that their radioactivity increased over time; they warned that radioactive decay products can potentially contaminate recreational, agricultural, and residential areas. The New York State DEC’s Findings Statement noted that naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM) are brought to the surface “in the cuttings, flowback water and production brine....[T]he build-up of NORM in pipes and equipment has the potential to cause a significant adverse impact because it could expose workers handling pipes, for cleaning or maintenance, to increased radiation levels.” (See footnote 199.)

9) The risks posed by fracking in California are unique. One in every eight Americans lives in California, and hydraulic fracturing in California is practiced differently than in other states, making its risks different, as well. California is the only state that allows fracking waste to be held in unlined, open pits, which creates risks for both air and groundwater contamination. Wells are more likely to be vertical rather than horizontal, and the oil-containing shale is shallower. Hence, much less water is used per well for fracking as compared to other states. However, the fracking fluid used is much more chemically concentrated, the fracking zones are located closer to overlying aquifers, and the risk of a fracture reaching groundwater is higher. Most new fracking operations in California take place in areas with a long history of oil extraction, most notably San Joaquin Valley within Kern County. A high density of old and abandoned wells in that area provides potential leakage pathways, should fractures intersect with them. And although fracking requires considerably less water per well in California, it takes place disproportionately in areas of severe water shortages and can compete with municipal and agricultural needs for freshwater. (See footnote 74.)

Fracking in California is concentrated in two areas, both of which face unique potential risks to human health. One, Kern County, serves as a top producer of the nation’s food crops, yet it hosts the highest density of drilling and fracking operations in the state. These factors project fracking’s impacts onto geographically distant populations. The other area where fracking is concentrated, the Los Angeles oil basin, is located directly under one of the most populous cities in the world. About 1.7 million people in Los Angeles live or work within one mile of an active oil or gas well. California does not currently limit how close drilling and fracking operations can be from residences or schools.

The recent admission by state regulators that companies had been wrongly allowed to inject fracking waste directly into freshwater aquifers for years has led to the closing of many disposal wells. The combination of ongoing drought and lack of disposal options has resulted in the diversion of fracking wastewater to farmers for irrigation of crops, raising concerns about contaminated water potentially affecting food crops and draining into groundwater. Chevron Corporation piped eight million gallons of treated fracking waste to farmers for crop irrigation last year. Tests showed the presence of several volatile organic compounds, including acetone. (See footnote 426.) Food is a very troubling possible exposure route to fracking chemicals about which little is known. (See footnotes 425-427, 433, 436-438, 444-447.)
10) The economic instabilities of fracking further exacerbate public health risks. Real-life challenges to the industry’s arguments that fracking is good business are becoming more apparent. Independent economic analyses show that the promise of job creation has been greatly hyped, with many jobs going to out-of-area workers. With the arrival of drilling and fracking operations, communities have experienced steep increases in rates of crime, including sex trafficking, sexual assault, drunk driving, drug abuse, and violent victimization—all of which carry public health consequences, especially for women. Social costs include strain on law enforcement, municipal services, and road damage. Economic analyses have found that drilling and fracking threaten property values and can diminish tax revenues for local governments. Additionally, drilling and fracking pose an inherent conflict with mortgages and property insurance due to the hazardous materials used and the associated risks.

The shaky economic fundamentals of the industry as a whole also have consequences for public health and safety. The low price of oil and gas coupled with unexpectedly short-lived well production has led companies drilling shale to reduce the value of their assets by billions of dollars, creating shortfalls that are largely filled through asset sales and increasing debt load. Falling prices means that interest payments are consuming revenue of many smaller companies, raising questions about safety-cutting measures. Inflated and unreliable estimates of shale reserves and potential profitability continue to fuel the rush to drill new wells, cut regulatory corners, and press into densely populated communities. Thus, the fundamental economic uncertainties of shale gas and oil production further exacerbate the risks of fracking to public health and society.
Compilation of Studies & Findings

Air pollution

Studies increasingly show that air pollution associated with drilling and fracking operations is a grave concern with a range of impacts. Researchers have documented dozens of air pollutants from drilling and fracking operations that pose serious health hazards. Areas with substantial drilling and fracking build-out show high levels of ozone, striking declines in air quality, and, in several cases, increased rates of health problems with known links to air pollution. Air sampling surveys find exceedingly high concentrations of volatile organic compounds, especially carcinogenic benzene and formaldehyde, both at the wellhead and at distances that exceed legal setback distances from wellhead to residence. In some cases, concentrations exceeded federal safety standards by several orders of magnitude.

- July 9, 2015 – The California Council on Science and Technology, in collaboration with the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, released the second and third volumes of an extensive, peer-reviewed assessment of fracking in California. Air quality impacts are the focus of volume 2, chapter 3. It finds that current inventory methods underestimate methane and volatile organic chemical emissions from oil and gas operations and that fracking occurs in areas of California—most notably in the San Joaquin and South Coast air basins—that already suffer from serious air quality problems. Further, no experimental studies of air emissions from drilling and fracking operations have ever been conducted in California. Although California has well-developed air quality inventory methods, they are “not designed to estimate well stimulation emissions directly, and it is not possible to determine well stimulation emissions from current inventory methods.”

- July 1, 2015 – In accordance with California Senate Bill No. 4, the California Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources released a three-volume environmental impact report on oil and gas well stimulation treatments in the state (which, in California, include fracking along with acidizing and other unconventional extraction technologies that break up oil- or gas-containing rock). The Division determined that fracking and related operations can have “significant and unavoidable” impacts on air quality, including increasing ozone and other federally regulated pollutants to levels that violate air quality standards or that would make those violations worse.

---

April 21, 2015 – In a study funded by the electric power industry, a research team found that fracking had diminished air quality in rural areas downwind of gas sites in two heavily drilled Pennsylvania counties but that concentrations of volatile organic compounds were not as high as expected based on results in other states. Methane levels were higher than previous research had found. The extent to which the results can be generalized to the Marcellus basin as a whole, the authors emphasized, remains uncertain.

April 15, 2015 – In a review of the literature, Colorado researchers demonstrated that four common chemical air pollutants from drilling and fracking operations—benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX)—are endocrine disruptors commonly found in ambient air that have the ability to interfere with human hormones at low exposure levels, including at concentrations well below EPA recommended exposure limits. Among the health conditions linked to ambient level exposures to the BTEX family of air pollutants: sperm abnormalities, reduced fetal growth, cardiovascular disease, respiratory dysfunction, and asthma. “This review suggests that BTEX may…have endocrine disrupting properties at low concentrations, presenting an important line of inquiry for future research. BTEX are used globally in consumer products, and are released from motor vehicles and oil and natural gas operations that are increasingly in close proximity to homes, schools, and other places of human activity.”

March 26, 2015 – Working with citizen volunteers, a team led by Oregon State University researchers installed passive air samplers in the backyard properties of residents living within three miles of fracking wells in rural Ohio. They found levels of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons that surpassed those measured in downtown Chicago, were ten times higher than those found in other rural areas without fracking operations, and exceeded the EPA’s maximum acceptable risk level for cancer. Using standard EPA methodologies, researchers determined that the excess lifetime cancer risk for residents living nearest the wells was about 45 percent higher than for residents living farthest from them and three times higher than the EPA’s acceptable risk level of 1 in 10,000.

Public health researcher David O. Carpenter, MD, at University of Albany, who was not part of

---


the research team, said the study supports growing evidence that fracking poses health risks to those living near well pads.\textsuperscript{10}

- March 26, 2015 – Fracking can pollute air hundreds of miles downwind from the well pad, according to the results of a study from University of Maryland. Researchers took hourly measurements of ethane in the air over Maryland and the greater Washington, DC area, where fracking does not occur, and compared them to ethane data from areas of West Virginia, Pennsylvania, and Ohio where it does. They found month-to-month correlations, indicating that the ethane pollution in the air over Maryland appears to be coming from drilling and fracking operations in these other states. Ethane, a minor component of natural gas, rose 30 percent in the air over the Baltimore and Washington DC area since 2010, even as other air pollutants declined in concentration. By contrast, no increase in ethane levels were found in Atlanta, Georgia, which is not downwind of fracking operations.\textsuperscript{11, 12} Given this evidence for widespread ethane leakage, the paper’s lead author asked how much methane and other, more reactive emissions might be escaping from wells, noting that “a substantial amount of hydrocarbons” are emitted as a result of flowback procedures following the fracturing process.\textsuperscript{13}

- March 3, 2015 – Working in Washington County, Pennsylvania, researchers with the Southwest Pennsylvania Environmental Health Project developed an air exposure screening model to determine ambient levels of volatile chemicals and fine particulate air pollutants and to calculate expected human exposures for a 14-month period. The study found fluctuating periods of extreme exposures, especially at night when air was still. “The periodicity of occurrence of extreme exposures is similar to the episodic nature of the health complaints reported in Washington County and in the literature. This study demonstrates the need to determine the aggregate quantitative impact on health when multiple facilities are placed near residences, schools, daycare centers and other locations where people are present. It shows that understanding the influence of air stability and wind direction is essential to exposure assessment at the residential level.”\textsuperscript{14}

- February 27, 2015 – A team of researchers from University of Texas, funded in part by the gas industry, examined ozone (smog) production resulting from natural gas extraction and use in Texas. Previous research by this team had found that the increased use of


\textsuperscript{12} Valentine, K. (2015, April 30). Fracking wells could pollute the air hundreds of miles away. \textit{ClimateProgress}. Retrieved from \url{http://thinkprogress.org/climate/2015/04/30/3653252/fracking-air-pollution-downwind/}


natural gas for generating electricity, as a replacement for coal, contributed to overall reductions in daily maximum ozone concentrations in northeastern Texas. By contrast, the results of this study found an increase in ozone in the Eagle Ford Shale area of south Texas. The Eagle Ford Shale is upwind from both Austin and San Antonio. A potent greenhouse gas, methane is also a precursor for ground-level ozone and hence a contributor to smog formation.

- January 16, 2015 – Researchers from a number of universities, including the University of New Hampshire and Appalachian State University, used a source apportionment model to estimate the contribution of natural gas extraction activities to overall air pollution, including ozone, in heavily drilled southwest Pennsylvania. This regional air sampling effort demonstrated significant changes in atmospheric chemistry from drilling and fracking operations there. The researchers found that drilling and fracking operations may affect compliance with ozone standards.

- November 20, 2014 – The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality confirmed high levels of benzene emissions and other volatile organic compounds around an oil and gas facility in the Eagle Ford Shale. Symptoms reported by local residents were consistent with those known to be associated with exposure to such chemicals.

- November 14, 2014 – A University of Colorado at Boulder research team found that residential areas in intensely drilled northeastern Colorado have high levels of fracking-related air pollutants, including benzene. In some cases, concentrations exceed those found in large urban centers and are within the range of exposures known to be linked to chronic health effects. According to the study, “High ozone levels are a significant health concern, as are potential health impacts from chronic exposure to primary emissions of non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC) for residents living near wells.” The study also noted that tighter regulations have not resulted in lower air pollution levels, “Even though the volume of emissions per well may be decreasing, the rapid and continuing increase in the number of wells may potentially negate any real improvements to the air quality situation.”

- October 30, 2014 – A research team assembled by University at Albany Institute for Health and the Environment identified eight highly toxic chemicals in air samples collected near fracking and associated infrastructure sites across five states: Arkansas, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Ohio, and Colorado.

---


Colorado, Pennsylvania, Ohio, and Wyoming. The most common airborne chemicals detected included two proven human carcinogens (benzene and formaldehyde) and two potent neurotoxicants (hexane and hydrogen sulfide). In 29 out of 76 samples, concentrations far exceeded federal health and safety standards, sometimes by several orders of magnitude. Further, high levels of pollutants were detected at distances exceeding legal setback distances from wellheads to homes. Highly elevated levels of formaldehyde, for example, were found up to a half-mile from a wellhead. In Arkansas, seven air samples contained formaldehyde at levels up to 60 times the level known to raise the risk for cancer.19 “This is a significant public health risk,” said lead author David O. Carpenter, MD, in an accompanying interview: “Cancer has a long latency, so you’re not seeing an elevation in cancer in these communities. But five, 10, 15 years from now, elevation in cancer is almost certain to happen.”20

- October 21, 2014 – Responding to health concerns by local residents, a research team from University of Cincinnati and Oregon State University found high levels of air pollution in heavily drilled areas of rural Carroll County, Ohio. Air monitors showed 32 different hydrocarbon-based air pollutants, including the carcinogens naphthalene and benzo[a]pyrene.21 The researchers plan additional monitoring and analysis.

- October 21, 2014 – Using a mobile laboratory designed by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), a research team from the University of Colorado at Boulder, the NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, and the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology looked at air pollution from drilling and fracking operations in Utah’s Uintah Basin. The researchers found that drilling and fracking emit prodigious amounts of volatile organic air pollutants, including benzene, toluene, and methane, all of which are precursors for ground-level ozone (smog). Multiple pieces of equipment on and off the well pad, including condensate tanks, compressors, dehydrators, and pumps, served as the sources of these emissions. This research shows that drilling and fracking activities are the cause of the extraordinarily high levels of winter smog in the remote Uintah basin—which regularly exceed air quality standards and rival that of downtown Los Angeles.22

- October 2, 2014 – A joint investigation by InsideClimate News and the Center for Public Integrity found that toxic air emissions wafting from fracking waste pits in Texas are

unmonitored and unregulated due to federal exemptions that classify oil and gas field waste as non-hazardous.\textsuperscript{23}

- October 1, 2014 – In a major paper published in Nature, an international team led by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration demonstrated that exceptionally high emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) explain how drilling and fracking operations in Utah’s Uintah Basin create extreme wintertime ozone events even in the absence of abundant ultraviolet light and water vapor, which are typically required to produce ground-level ozone (smog). Current air pollution trends in the United States are toward lower nitrogen oxides from urban sources and power generation, but increasing methane and VOCs from oil and gas extraction activities threaten to reverse decades of progress in attaining cleaner air. According to the study, the consequences for public health are “as yet unrecognized.”\textsuperscript{24}

- September 6, 2014 – As part of a comparative lifecycle analysis, a British team from the University of Manchester found that shale gas extracted via fracking in the United Kingdom would generate more smog than any other energy source evaluated (coal, conventional and liquefied gas, nuclear, wind, and solar). Leakage of vaporous organic compounds during the necessary removal of hydrogen sulfide gas, along with the venting of gas both during drilling and during the process of making the well ready for production, were major contributors. “In comparison to other technologies, shale gas has high [photochemical smog]. In the central case, it is worse than solar PV, offshore wind and nuclear power by factors of 3, 26 and 45, respectively. Even in the best case, wind and nuclear power are still preferable (by factors of 3.3 and 5.6 respectively).”\textsuperscript{25}

- September 2014 – ShaleTest Environmental Testing conducted ambient air quality tests and gas-finder infrared video for several children’s play areas in North Texas that are located in close proximity to shale gas development. The results showed a large number of compounds detected above the Method Reporting Limit (the minimum quantity of the compound that can be confidently determined by the laboratory). Air sampling found three known/suspected carcinogens, and a number of other compounds associated with significant health effects. Benzene results from Denton, Dish, and Fort Worth are particularly alarming since they exceeded the long-term ambient air limits set by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, and benzene is a known carcinogen. “Benzene was found at all but one sampling location … This is particularly noteworthy as benzene is a known carcinogen (based on evidence from studies in both people and lab

animals), AND because it exceeds [levels above which effects have the potential to occur.]26

- August 24, 2014 – A Salt Lake City Tribune investigation found that evaporation from 14 fracking waste pits in western Colorado has added tons of toxic chemicals to Utah’s air in the last six years. Further, the company responsible operated with no permit, underreported its emissions and provided faulty data to regulators.27

- August 2014 – A four-part investigation by the San Antonio Express-News found that natural gas flaring in the Eagle Ford Shale in 2012 contributed more than 15,000 tons of volatile organic compounds and other contaminants to the air of southern Texas—which is roughly equivalent to the pollution that would be released annually by six oil refineries. No state or federal agency is tracking the emissions from individual flares.28

- June 26, 2014 – Public health professionals at the Southwest Pennsylvania Environmental Health Project reported significant recurrent spikes in the amount of particulate matter in the air inside of residential homes located near drilling and fracking operations. Captured by indoor air monitors, the spikes tend to occur at night when stable atmospheric conditions hold particulate matter low to the ground. Director Raina Ripple emphasized that spikes in airborne particulate matter are likely to cause acute health impacts in community members. She added, "What the long-term effects are going to be, we’re not certain."29 (See also footnote 281 for a related study on self-reported health effects by researchers from Yale and University of Washington.)

- May 8, 2014 – Researchers at the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) found high levels of methane leaks as well as benzene and smog-forming volatile organic compounds in the air over oil and gas drilling areas in Colorado. Researchers found methane emissions three times higher than previously estimated and benzene and volatile organic compound levels seven times higher than estimated by government agencies. The Denver Post noted that Colorado’s Front Range has failed to meet federal ozone air quality standards for years.30

- April 26, 2014 – A Texas jury awarded a family $2.8 million because, according to the lawsuit, a fracking company operating on property nearby had “created a ‘private nuisance’ by producing harmful air pollution and exposing [members of the affected

family] to harmful emissions of volatile organic compounds, toxic air pollutants and diesel exhaust.” The family’s 11-year-old daughter became ill, and family members suffered a range of symptoms, including “nosebleeds, vision problems, nausea, rashes, blood pressure issues.”

Because drilling did not occur on their property, the family had initially been unaware that their symptoms were caused by activities around them.

- April 16, 2014 – Reviewing the peer-review literature to date of “direct pertinence to the environmental public health and environmental exposure pathways,” a U.S. team of researchers concluded: “[a] number of studies suggest that shale gas development contributes to levels of ambient air concentrations known to be associated with increased risk of morbidity and mortality.”

- April 11, 2014 – A modeling study commissioned by the state of Texas made striking projections about worsening air quality in the Eagle Ford Shale. Findings included the possibility of a 281 percent increase in emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Some VOCs cause respiratory and neurological problems; others, like benzene, are also carcinogens. Another finding was that nitrogen oxides—which react with VOCs in sunlight to create ground-level ozone, the main component of smog—increased 69 percent during the peak ozone season.

- March 29, 2014 – Scientists warn that current methods of collecting and analyzing emissions data do not accurately assess health risks. Researchers with the Southwest Pennsylvania Environmental Health Project showed that methods do not adequately measure the intensity, frequency, or durations of community exposure to the toxic chemicals routinely released from drilling and fracking activities. They found that exposures may be underestimated by an order of magnitude, mixtures of chemicals are not taken into account, and local weather conditions and vulnerable populations are ignored.

- March 27, 2014 – University of Texas research pointed to “potentially false assurances” in response to community health concerns in shale gas development areas. Dramatic shortcomings in air pollution monitoring to date include no accounting for cumulative toxic emissions or children’s exposures during critical developmental stages, and the

---

potential interactive effects of mixtures of chemicals. Chemical mixtures of concern include benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes.\textsuperscript{35, 36}

- March 13, 2014 – Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted in Utah’s heavily drilled Uintah Basin led to 39 winter days exceeding the EPA’s eight-hour National Ambient Air Quality Standards level for ozone pollutants the previous winter. “Levels above this threshold are considered to be harmful to human health, and high levels of ozone are known to cause respiratory distress and be responsible for an estimated 5,000 premature deaths in the U.S. per year,” according to researchers at the University of Colorado. Their observations “reveal a strong causal link between oil and gas emissions, accumulation of air toxics, and significant production of ozone in the atmospheric surface layer.”\textsuperscript{37} Researchers estimated that total annual VOC emissions at the fracking sites are equivalent to those of about 100 million cars.\textsuperscript{38}

- March 3, 2014 – In a report summarizing “the current understanding of local and regional air quality impacts of natural gas extraction, production, and use,” a group of researchers from NOAA, Stanford, Duke, and other institutions described what is known and unknown with regard to air emissions including greenhouse gases, ozone precursors (volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides), air toxics, and particulates. Crystalline silica was also discussed, including as a concern for people living near well pads and production staging areas.\textsuperscript{39}

- February 18, 2014 – An eight-month investigation by the Weather Channel, the Center for Public Integrity, and InsideClimate News into fracking in the Eagle Ford Shale in Texas revealed that fracking is “releasing a toxic soup of chemicals into the air.” They noted very poor monitoring by the state of Texas and reported on hundreds of air complaints filed relating to air pollution associated with fracking.\textsuperscript{40}

- December 18, 2013 – An interdisciplinary group of researchers in Texas collected air samples in residential areas near shale gas extraction and production, going beyond previous Barnett Shale studies by including emissions from the whole range of production equipment. They found that most areas had “atmospheric methane


concentrations considerably higher than reported urban background concentrations,” and many toxic chemicals were “strongly associated” with compressor stations.41

- December 10, 2013 – Health department testing at fracking sites in West Virginia revealed dangerous levels of benzene in the air. Wheeling-Ohio County Health Department Administrator Howard Gamble stated, “The levels of benzene really pop out. The amounts they were seeing were at levels of concern. The concerns of the public are validated.”42

- October 11, 2013 – Air sampling before, during, and after drilling and fracking of a new natural gas well pad in rural western Colorado documented the presence of the toxic solvent methylene chloride, along with several polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) at “concentrations greater than those at which prenatally exposed children in urban studies had lower developmental and IQ scores.”43

- September 19, 2013 – In Texas, air monitoring data in the Eagle Ford Shale area revealed potentially dangerous exposures of nearby residents to hazardous air pollutants, including cancer-causing benzene and the neurological toxicant, hydrogen sulfide.44

- September 13, 2013 – A study by researchers at the University of California at Irvine found dangerous levels of volatile organic compounds in Canada’s “Industrial Heartland” where there are more than 40 oil, gas, and chemical facilities. The researchers noted high levels of hematopoietic cancers (leukemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma) in men who live closer to the facilities.45

- April 29, 2013 – Using American Lung Association data, researchers with the Environmental Defense Fund determined that air quality in rural areas with fracking was worse than air quality in urban areas.46

---


March 2013 – A review of regional air quality damages in parts of Pennsylvania in 2012 from Marcellus Shale development found that air pollution was a significant concern, with regional damages ranging from $7.2 to $32 million in 2011.47

February 27, 2013 – In a letter from Concerned Health Professionals of New York to Governor Andrew Cuomo, a coalition of hundreds of health organizations, scientists, medical experts, elected officials, and environmental organizations noted serious health concerns about the prospects of fracking in New York State, making specific note of air pollution.48 Signatory organizations included the American Academy of Pediatrics of New York, the American Lung Association of New York, and Physicians for Social Responsibility. The New York State Medical Society, representing 30,000 medical professionals, has issued similar statements.49

January 2, 2013 – A NOAA study identified emissions from oil and gas fields in Utah as a significant source of pollutants that contribute to ozone problems.50 Exposure to elevated levels of ground-level ozone is known to worsen asthma and has been linked to respiratory illnesses and increased risk of stroke and heart attack.51

December 3, 2012 – A study linked a single well pad in Colorado to more than 50 airborne chemicals, 44 of which have known health effects.52

July 18, 2012 – A study by the Houston Advanced Research Center modeled ozone formation from a natural gas processing facility using accepted emissions estimates and showed that regular operations could significantly raise levels of ground-level ozone (smog) in the Barnett Shale in Texas and that gas flaring further contributed to ozone levels.53

• March 19, 2012 – A Colorado School of Public Health study found air pollutants near fracking sites linked to neurological and respiratory problems and cancer.54 55 The study, based on three years of monitoring at Colorado sites, found a number of “potentially toxic petroleum hydrocarbons in the air near gas wells including benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, and xylene.” Lisa McKenzie, PhD, MPH, lead author of the study and research associate at the Colorado School of Public Health, said, “Our data show that it is important to include air pollution in the national dialogue on natural gas development that has focused largely on water exposures to hydraulic fracturing.”56

• December 12, 2011 – Cancer specialists, cancer advocacy organizations, and health organizations summarized the cancer risks posed by all stages of the shale gas extraction process in a letter to New York Governor Andrew Cuomo.57

• October 5, 2011 – More than 250 medical experts and health organizations reviewed the multiple health risks from fracking in a letter sent to New York Governor Andrew Cuomo.58

• April 21, 2011 – Environment & Energy (E&E) reported that ozone levels exceeding federal health standards in Utah’s Uintah Basin, as well as wintertime ozone problems in other parts of the Intermountain West, stem from oil and gas extraction. Levels reached nearly twice the federal standard, potentially dangerous even for healthy adults to breathe. Keith Guille, spokesman for the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality, said, “We recognize that definitely the main contributor to the emissions that are out there is the oil and gas industry…”59

• March 8, 2011 – The Associated Press reported that gas drilling in some remote areas of Wyoming caused a decline of air quality from pristine mountain air to levels of smog and pollution worse than Los Angeles on its worst days, resulting in residents complaining of watery eyes, shortness of breath, and bloody noses.60

58 Physicians, Scientists & Engineers for Healthy Energy. (2011, October 5). Letter to Governor Cuomo [Letter to A. Cuomo].
November 18, 2010 – A study of air quality in the Haynesville Shale region of east Texas, northern Louisiana, and southwestern Arkansas found that shale oil and gas extraction activities contributed significantly to ground-level ozone (smog) via high emissions of ozone precursors, including volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides.\textsuperscript{61} Ozone is a key risk factor for asthma and other respiratory and cardiovascular illnesses.\textsuperscript{62, 63, 64, 65}

September 2010 – A health assessment by the Colorado School of Public Health for gas development in Garfield County, Colorado determined that air pollution will likely “be high enough to cause short-term and long-term disease, especially for residents living near gas wells. Health effects may include respiratory disease, neurological problems, birth defects and cancer.”\textsuperscript{66, 67}

January 27, 2010 – Of 94 drilling sites tested for benzene in air over the Barnett Shale, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality discovered two well sites emitting what they determined to be “extremely high levels” and another 19 emitting elevated levels.\textsuperscript{68}

**Water contamination**

_Emerging science confirms that drilling and fracking inherently threaten groundwater and have contaminated drinking water sources. In Pennsylvania alone, more than 240 private drinking water wells have been contaminated or have dried up as the result of drilling and fracking operations over a seven-year period. A range of studies from across the United States_


presents irrefutable evidence that groundwater contamination occurs and is more likely to occur close to drilling sites. The nation’s 187,570 injection wells for disposal of fracking waste also pose demonstrable threats to drinking water aquifers. Municipal sewage treatment plants are not capable of treating fracking waste; disposal of fracking waste through them can encourage the formation of carcinogenic byproducts during chlorination. The disposal of fracking wastewater remains a problem without a safe, viable solution. Overall, the number of well blowouts (unintentional releases of pressurized gases and fluids), spills, and cases of surface water contamination from waste pits and other sources has steadily grown. Meanwhile, the gas industry’s use of “gag orders,” non-disclosure agreements, and settlements impede scientific study and stifle public awareness of the extent of these problems.

- July 30, 2015 – As reported by the Los Angeles Times, unlined waste pits and hillside spraying of oil-field wastewater have contaminated groundwater in Kern County, California. Five of six monitoring wells in the 94-acre waste site showed high levels of salt, boron, and chloride, but it is not known how far and fast the contaminated plume has traveled.69

- July 21, 2015 – By surveying records for 44,000 wells fracked between 2010 and 2013, researchers from Stanford University, Duke University, and Ohio State University attempted a first-ever assessment of the range of depths at which fracking occurs across the United States. They found that many wells are shallower than widely presumed.70 As the authors noted, vertical fractures are able to propagate 2,000 feet upward, and hence, “shallow hydraulic fracturing often has greater potential risks of contamination than deeper hydraulic fracturing does.” This study showed that drinking water sources may be more vulnerable from upward migration of fracking contaminants than previously presumed. Surprisingly, the researchers found no strong relationship between depth and the volume of water and chemicals used for fracking. Many wells were both shallow and water-intensive, with significant variation in water use from state to state.71

- July 9, 2015 – A multi-volume report from the California Council of Science and Technology (CCST) found threats to groundwater in California from several parts of the fracking lifecycle, most notably from toxic wastewater. First, wastewater from California fracking operations is sometimes used for crop irrigation, in which case contaminants may seep from the surface of agricultural areas into groundwater. Second, nearly 60 percent of fracking wastewater in California is disposed of in unlined, open-air pits, a practice that is banned in almost all other states. There are 900 such waste disposal pits in the state, most of which are located in Kern County. Third, for many years, fracking wastewater in California has been mistakenly sent, via injection wells, directly into

---


- June 30, 2015 – The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) released the first nationwide map of water usage for hydraulic fracturing. It shows wide geographic and temporal variation in the amount of water used to frack a single well. In general, gas wells consume more water per well (5.1 million gallons on average) than oil wells (4 million gallons). Median annual water volumes needed to frack a single horizontal oil or gas well increased dramatically—by a factor of 25 or more—between 2000 and 2014. A typical gas or oil well that is horizontally drilled now requires between six and eight Olympic-sized swimming pools of water. In 2014, the majority (58 percent) of new hydraulically fracked oil and gas wells were horizontally drilled. The watersheds where the most water was consumed for hydraulic fracturing are mostly located in southern or southwestern states and correspond to the following shale formations: the Eagle Ford and Barnett Shales in Texas; the Haynesville-Bossier Shale in Texas and Louisiana; the Fayetteville Shale in Arkansas; the Tuscaloosa Shale in Louisiana and Mississippi; and the Woodford Shale in Oklahoma. The Marcellus and Utica Shales—which underlie watersheds in parts
of Ohio, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and New York—were also in the top seven water-consuming shale plays in the United States. 

- June 26, 2015 – A decade-long USGS study of 11,000 public drinking water wells in California—nearly all the groundwater used for public supply—found high levels of potentially toxic contaminants in about 20 percent of the wells, affecting about 18 percent of the state’s population. Although the study did not specifically investigate contaminants from oil and gas extraction, it does provide evidence for farm irrigation draining into groundwater, raising questions about the possible contamination of drinking water aquifers from the reuse of fracking wastewater for crop irrigation.

- June 16, 2015 – A University of Texas research team documented widespread drinking water contamination throughout the heavily drilled Barnett Shale region in northern Texas. The study, which analyzed 550 water samples from public and private water wells, found elevated levels of 19 different hydrocarbon compounds associated with fracking (including the carcinogen benzene and the reproductive toxicant, toluene), detections of methanol and ethanol, and strikingly high levels of 10 different metals. “In the abstract, we can’t state that unconventional oil and gas techniques are responsible,” the lead author, Zachariah Hildenbrand, said in a media interview. “But when you get into areas where drilling is happening, you find more instances of contamination. It’s not coincidental. There are causes for concern.”

- June 5, 2015 – The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) long-awaited 600-page draft report on the potential impacts of fracking for drinking water resources confirmed specific instances of drinking water contamination linked to drilling and fracking activities. The report also identified potential mechanisms, both above and below ground, by which drinking water resources can be contaminated by fracking. In some cases, drinking water was contaminated by spills of fracking fluid and wastewater. In other cases, “[b]elow ground movement of fluids, including gas ... have contaminated drinking water resources.” The EPA investigators documented 457 fracking-related spills over six years but acknowledged that they do not know how many more may have occurred. Of the total known spills, 300 reached an environmental receptor such as surface water or groundwater. The EPA also conceded that insufficient baseline drinking
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water data and a lack of long-term systematic studies limited the power of its findings. The EPA investigation confirmed a number of specific instances where these potential mechanisms did indeed lead to drinking water contamination. An assertion in the EPA’s accompanying press release that it had not found “widespread, systemic impacts to drinking water resources” was quoted out of context by many media sources as proof that fracking poses little threat to drinking water. To the contrary, this report confirmed that drilling and fracking activities have contaminated drinking water in some cases and acknowledged that it cannot ascertain how widespread the problem was due to insufficient data. EPA Science Advisor Thomas A. Burke later clarified that the report does not show that fracking is safe. Burke said, “That is not the message of this report. The message of this report is that we have identified vulnerabilities in the water system that are really important to know about and address to keep risks as low as possible.”

- May 19, 2015 – A Pennsylvania State University research team documented the presence of a fracking-related solvent, 2-n-Butoxyethanol, in the drinking water from three homes in Bradford County, Pennsylvania, as part of an investigation of private drinking water wells near drilling and fracking operations that contained methane and foam. This finding represents the first fully documented case of a commonly used fracking chemical entering a drinking water source. “The most likely explanation of the incident is that stray natural gas and drilling or [hydrofracking] compounds were driven ~1-3 km along shallow to intermediate depth fractures to the aquifer used as a potable water source.” In an accompanying New York Times story, lead author Susan Brantley described the geology in northern Pennsylvania “as being similar to a layer cake with numerous layers that extend down thousands of feet to the Marcellus Shale. The vertical fractures are like knife cuts through the layers. They can extend deep underground, and can act like superhighways for escaped gas and liquids from drill wells to travel along, for distances greater than a mile away.”

- May 15, 2015 – A research team from the University of Colorado Boulder and California State Polytechnic Institute developed a model for identifying which fracking fluid chemicals are most likely to contaminate drinking water. Of 996 fracking fluid compounds known to be in use, researchers screened 659 of them for their ability to persist, migrate, and reach groundwater aquifers over a short time scale. Of the fifteen compounds so identified, two were commonly used in fracking operations: naphthalene and 2-butoxyethanol. Both are ingredients in surfactants and corrosion inhibitors. The authors noted that 2-butoxyethanol has been detected in drinking water in a heavily

---

fracked area of Pennsylvania. Exposure to 2-butoxyethanol has been linked to birth defects in animals. Naphthalene is a possible human carcinogen that is toxic to red blood cells and contributes to kidney and liver damage. Researchers did not consider the impact of mixtures, interactions between contaminants, or chemical transformations during the fracking or flowback process and noted, “the need for data on the degradation of many compounds used in fracturing fluids under conditions relevant for groundwater transport.”

- May 7, 2015 – A survey of streams in Arkansas, led by the University of Central Arkansas, found alterations in macroinvertebrate communities to be related to drilling and fracking operations in the Fayetteville Shale. Fracking activity near streams was associated with greater sediment and more chlorophyll. “This study suggests that land disturbance from gas development affected stream communities.”

- April 20, 2015 – A USGS team analyzed water brought to the surface during natural gas extraction at 13 fracked wells in northern Pennsylvania. They found large variability in the volatile organic compounds and microorganisms in the water samples from different wells. Organic chemical contaminants included benzene, toluene, and perchloroethylene, chloroform, and methylene chloride. The presence of microbes was associated with concentrations of benzene and acetate. Despite the addition of biocides during the fracking process, hydrogen sulfide-producing bacteria were present at culturable levels, along with methogenic and fermenting bacteria. The source of these microorganisms was not determined. “Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that these microorganisms are native to the shale formation and reactivated by [hydrofracking] activities, as their physiology does not indicate a terrestrial surficial source.”

- April 8, 2015 – A University of Colorado Boulder research team’s analysis of the organic chemicals found in liquid waste that flowed out of gas wells in Colorado after they had been fracked revealed the presence of many fracking fluid additives, including biocides, which are potentially harmful if they leak into groundwater. According to the authors, treatment of fracking wastewater must include aeration, precipitation, disinfection, a biological treatment to remove dissolved organic matter, and reverse osmosis desalination in order for it to be appropriate for non-fracking uses, such as crop irrigation.

---


• March 18, 2015 – Using a new stream-based monitoring method, a team of scientists with USGS, Pennsylvania State University, and University of Utah found elevated levels of methane in groundwater discharging into a stream near drilling and fracking operations in Pennsylvania. In this same area, several private water wells contained high levels of methane as a result of gas migration near a gas well with a defective casing. The monitoring technique used by the scientists allowed them to demonstrate that the source of the methane was shale gas from the Middle Devonian period, which is the kind of gas found in the Marcellus Shale. Researcher Susan Brantley said, “I found it compelling that using this new method for a reconnaissance of just 15 streams in Pennsylvania, we discovered one instance of natural gas entering the stream, perhaps from a nearby leaking shale gas well.”

• March 12, 2015 – In contrast to the findings of earlier research (see footnotes 163 and 183), a team led by geologist Donald Siegel of Syracuse University found no relationship between methane levels in drinking water wells and proximity to oil or gas wells in a heavily fracked area of northeastern Pennsylvania. However, Siegel failed to reveal in his paper — as is required by the journal — that he had received industry funding from the Chesapeake Energy Corporation. Subsequently, the journal published a lengthy correction that revealed that Chesapeake had not only privately funded the lead author but had provided the baseline groundwater data set. A second author was revealed to be a former employee of Chesapeake, and another had worked as a consultant in the energy sector.

• March 3, 2015 – A Duquesne University study of private drinking water wells in an intensely drilled southwestern Pennsylvania community compared pre-drill and post-drill data on water quality and found changes in water chemistry that coincided with the advent of drilling and fracking activities. Levels of chloride, iron, barium, strontium, and manganese were elevated. In some cases, concentrations exceeded health-based maximum contaminant levels. Methane was detected in most houses tested. Surveys of residents revealed widespread complaints about changes in water quality that began after drilling and fracking operations commenced. Violation records from the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Conservation uncovered possible pathways for water contamination. The researchers concluded that alterations of local hydrology caused by the injection of large volumes of hydraulic fracturing fluids may have mobilized

contaminants left over from legacy oil, gas, and mining operations as well as opened pathways for the migration of fracking fluids themselves.93

- March 3, 2015 – A research team from Duquesne University reviewed the evidence for environmental impacts to air and water from activities related to shale gas extraction in Pennsylvania and explored potential mechanisms for contamination of air and water related to the drilling and fracking process itself. Among them: deformations of the shale bedrock caused by the injection of large volumes of fluid result in “pressure bulbs” that are translated through rock layers and can impact faults and fissures, so affecting groundwater.94

- February 23, 2015 – The arrival of drilling and fracking activities coincided with an increase in salinity in a creek that drains public land in a semi-arid region of Wyoming, determined a USGS study. The dissolved minerals associated with the rise in salinity matched those found in native soil salts, suggesting that disturbance of naturally salt-rich soils by ongoing oil and gas activities, including pipeline, road, and wellpad construction, was the culprit. “As [shale gas and oil] development continues to expand in semiarid lands worldwide, the potential for soil disturbance to increase stream salinity should be considered, particularly where soils host substantial quantities of native salts.”95

- February 14, 2015 – A review by a Dickinson Press news reporter of disposal well files and more than 2,090 mechanical integrity tests revealed that North Dakota frack waste injection wells were often leaky and that state regulators continued to allow fluid injection into wells with documented structural problems even though the wells did not meet EPA guidelines for well bore integrity. Officials with the North Dakota Division of Oil and Gas said they had primary enforcement responsibilities and that EPA guidance did not apply to these wells. The investigation noted, “… a review of state and federal documents, as well as interviews with geologists, engineers, environmental policy experts and lawyers who have litigated under the Safe Drinking Water Act, suggests the agency is loosely interpreting guidance and protocols that are meant to maintain the multiple layers of protection that separate aquifers from the toxic saltwater.” The Dickinson Press is the daily newspaper for Stark County in southwest North Dakota.96

- February 11, 2015 – The Los Angeles Times analyzed self-reported testing results on

---


fracking wastewater that California drillers were required to submit to the state. Samples of wastewater collected from 329 fracked oil wells found that virtually all—98 percent—contained benzene at levels that exceeded standards for permissible concentrations in drinking water. This finding likely underrepresents the extent of the problem, according to the newspaper investigation, because many operators failed to comply with reporting requirements. The discovery that fracking wastewater is high in benzene is particularly alarming in light of the admission by the state of California that it had inadvertently allowed frac waste disposal directly into aquifers containing clean water that could potentially be used for drinking. Those wells are now the subject of federal and state review.97

- December 29, 2014 – An investigation of the chemical make-up of fracking fluid found that the compositions of these mixtures vary widely according to region and company, making the process of identifying individual compounds difficult. Classes of hydrocarbon-based chemicals include solvents, gels, biocides, scale inhibitors, friction reducers, and surfactants. Chemical analysis identified around 25 percent of the organic compounds that are believed to be present in fracking fluid and that are necessary to test for in identifying groundwater and drinking water contamination.98 Dr. Imma Ferrer, lead author, explained in a Science Daily article about her research that “[b]efore we can assess the environmental impact of the fluid, we have to know what to look for.”99

- January 30, 2015 – A USGS review of national water quality databases found that insufficient data exist to understand the impact of fracking on drinking water.100 In a media interview, lead author Zack Bowen said, “There are not enough data available to be able to assess the potential effects of oil and gas development over larger geographic areas.”101

- January 21, 2015 – A team of researchers from the USGS and Virginia Tech University established that petroleum-based hydrocarbons can break down underground in ways that promote the leaching of naturally occurring arsenic into groundwater. Arsenic is a known human carcinogen that causes bladder, lung, and skin cancer. Elevated levels of arsenic in

---

drinking water represent a public health threat. Researchers found that arsenic concentrations in a hydrocarbon plume can reach 23 times the current drinking water standard of 10 micrograms per liter. The authors of the study said that the metabolism of carbon-rich petroleum products by subterranean microbes is involved in a complex geochemical process that leads to mobilization of arsenic into aquifers.

- **January 14, 2015** – Researchers from Duke University, Dartmouth College, and Stanford University found high levels of iodide, bromide, and ammonium in samples of wastewater from fracking operations in both the Marcellus and Fayetteville Shales. These same chemicals were present when fracking wastewater was discharged into rivers and streams at three treatment sites in Pennsylvania and during an accidental spill in West Virginia. Iodide and bromide are known to create toxic disinfection byproducts when downstream water is subsequently chlorinated for drinking water. In water, ammonium can convert to ammonia, which is toxic to aquatic life. The authors noted that this is the first study to identify ammonium and iodide as widespread in fracking waste discharges.

In an interview with the *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, lead author Avner Vengosh said that the findings raise new concerns about the environmental and health impacts of wastewater from drilling and fracking operations.

- **November 27, 2014** – An interdisciplinary team of researchers found methane contamination in drinking water wells located in eight areas above the Marcellus Shale in Pennsylvania and the Barnett Shale in Texas, with evidence of declining water quality in the Barnett Shale area. By analyzing noble gases and their isotopes (helium, neon, argon), the investigators were able to isolate the origin of the fugitive methane in drinking water. The results implicate leaks through cement well casings as well as via naturally occurring cracks and fissures in the surrounding rock. In a related editorial, one of the study’s authors, Robert Jackson, called on the EPA to reopen its aborted investigation into drinking water contamination in heavily fracked areas of Texas. Jackson also emphasized that methane migration through unseen cracks in the rock surrounding the wellbore “raises the interesting possibility that a drilling company could follow procedures —

---


cementing and casing below the local aquifer — and still create a potential pathway for gas to migrate into drinking water.”107

- November 26, 2014 – A critical review of biocides in fracking fluid by a Colorado State team found that the fate of these chemicals underground is not known and their toxicity not well understood. While many biocides are short-lived, some may transform into more toxic or persistent compounds. Among the most common chemical components of fracking fluid, biocides are used to inhibit the growth of deep-life microorganisms, including sulfate-reducing bacteria that contribute to corrosion of well casings and can form biofilms that prevent the upward flow of natural gas. Oxidizing biocides that are chlorine- or bromine-based can react with other fracking chemicals and may produce toxic halogenated byproducts. The authors noted biocides pose a unique risk for drinking water when fracking liquid waste is treated for discharge to surface water via sewage treatment plants. Sub-lethal concentrations may contribute to adaptation of surviving microorganisms and, hence, antibiotic resistance of pathogens. They cited particular concern over surface spills and well integrity issues associated with casing or cement failure.108

- November 3, 2014 – The West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection confirmed that three private drinking water wells were contaminated when Antero Resources mistakenly drilled into one of its own gas wells. Benzene, a human carcinogen, and toluene, a reproductive toxicant, were detected in the drinking water at concentrations four times the legal maximum limit. Additionally, a nearby abandoned gas well, a drinking water well, and an actively producing gas well were all pressurized as a result of the mishap and began exhibiting “artesian flow.”109

- October 22, 2014 – A follow-up to the August 2014 Environmental Integrity Project report describes an even greater potential public health threat from a loophole in the Safe Drinking Water Act, wherein companies are allowed to inject other petroleum products (beyond diesel) without a permit, and many of these non-diesel drilling fluids contain even higher concentrations of the same toxins found in diesel. The authors recommend that “EPA should revisit its guidance and broaden the categories of diesel products that require Safe Drinking Water Act permits before they can be injected into oil and gas wells.”110

---


October 20, 2014 – While developing a technique to fingerprint and trace accidental releases of hydraulic fracturing fluids, researchers showed that liquid waste from shale gas fracking operations is chemically different than waste flowing out of conventional wells. The researchers hypothesized that the hydraulic fracturing process itself liberates elements from clay minerals in the shale formations, including boron and lithium, which then enter the liquid waste.111

October 15, 2014 – Four thousand gallons of liquid fracking waste dumped into Waynesburg sewer system was discovered by sewage treatment plant workers in Greene County, Pennsylvania. The Department of Environmental Protection surmised that “someone removed a manhole cover in a remote location and dumped the fluid.” The treatment plant discharges into a creek that feeds the Monongahela River, which provides drinking water to more than 800,000 people.112

October 6, 2014 – A state investigation that found no fracking-related water contamination in a drinking water well in Pennsylvania’s Washington County was invalidated by testimony presented to the state Environmental Hearing Board. Not all contaminants that were present in the water were reported, and the investigation relied on obsolete testing methods. More sophisticated testing revealed the presence of several chemical contaminants in the well water. The well is located 2,800 feet down gradient from a drilling site and fracking waste pit where multiple spills and leaks more than four years earlier had contaminated two springs.113

September 23, 2014 – In a two-part audit of records, the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) found that the EPA is failing to protect U.S. drinking water sources from fracking-related activities such as waste disposal via injection wells. Nationwide, 172,000 injection wells accept fracking waste; some are known to have contaminated drinking water. And yet, both short-term and long-term monitoring is lax, and record-keeping varies widely from state to state. The EPA neither mandates nor recommends a fixed list of chemicals for monitoring on the grounds that “injection fluids can vary widely in composition and contain different naturally occurring chemicals and fluids used in oil and gas production depending on the source of the injection fluid.”114 Disposal of oil and gas waste via injection wells is, in fact, subject to regulation under the Safe Drinking Water Act, but, in practice, no one knows exactly what the waste contains, and regulations are deficient. In the United States, at least two billion gallons of fluids are injected into the

ground each day to enable oil and gas extraction via fracking or to dispose of liquid waste from fracking operations.115, 116

- September 18, 2014 – Range Resources was fined a record $4.5 million by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection for contaminating groundwater. The culprits were six leaking pits in Washington County that each held millions of gallons of fracking wastewater.117

- September 12, 2014 – A Pennsylvania State ecosystems scientist, together with USGS scientists, reviewed the current knowledge of the effects of fracking and its associated operations on terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems in 20 shale plays in the U.S. Findings of species and habitats at highest risk include (in addition to land-based examples) vernal pond inhabitants and stream biota. The research builds on previous reviews identifying “three main potential stressors to surface waters: changes in water quantity (hydrology), sedimentation, and water quality.” Researchers determined that there are no published data specifically on the effects of fracking on forest-dwelling amphibians, but “many species breed in vernal ponds which are negatively affected by changes in water quantity and quality and direct disturbance. Many amphibians are also highly sensitive to road salts.” Given that the U.S. EPA recently found 55% of all rivers and streams to be in poor condition, these researchers warned, “Large-scale development of shale resources might increase these percentages.” They expressed concern for the native range of brook trout by the cumulative effects of shale development, especially in Pennsylvania.118

- September 9, 2014 – A research team from Stanford and Duke Universities discovered that fracking wastewater processed by sewage treatment plants contributes to the formation of carcinogenic chemical byproducts. These raise public health risks when downstream surface water is used for drinking. Even when fracking wastewater was diluted by a factor of 10,000, the bromides and iodides in the waste reacted with organic matter to create highly toxic halogenated compounds—at troublingly high concentrations. These toxic compounds are not filterable by municipal wastewater treatment plants. Halogenated disinfection byproducts in drinking water are linked to both colon and bladder cancers.119

• August 29, 2014 – A review of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection files on fracking-related damage to drinking water—which are kept on paper and stored in regional offices—revealed that 243 private water supplies in 22 counties had been contaminated or had lost flow and dried up as a result of nearby drilling and fracking operations in the past seven years. Pollutants included methane, metals, and salts as well as carbon-based compounds (ethylene glycol and 2-butoxyethanol) that are known to be constituents of fracking fluid. As reported by the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, this tally—which came as a response to multiple lawsuits and open-records requests by media sources—was the first time the agency “explicitly linked a drilling operation to the presence of industrial chemicals in drinking water.”120, 121

• August 13, 2014 – Over the last decade, drilling companies have repeatedly claimed they are no longer using diesel fuel in fracking, although a 2011 investigation by U.S. House Democrats concluded otherwise. The Environmental Integrity Project examined disclosure data submitted to FracFocus and identified at least 351 wells in 12 states that have been fracked over the last four years with one or more of the five prohibited products identified as diesel. EIP researchers also discovered numerous fracking fluids with high diesel content for sale online, including over a dozen products sold by Halliburton and advertised as additives, friction reducers, emulsifiers, etc.122

• August 13, 2014 – An international team of researchers found high levels of carbon-based compounds in liquid fracking waste. These impurities can react with chlorine and bromine to create toxic byproducts. This study suggests that chemical treatment of liquid fracking waste will magnify its toxic potency, as will reusing and recycling it.123 The European Commission subsequently published a summary of these findings.124

• August 13, 2014 – A team from Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory reported that scientific efforts to understand the hazards of fracking continue to be hampered by industry secrecy. A comprehensive examination of the chemical formulations of fracking fluid—whose precise ingredients are protected as proprietary business information—revealed that no publicly available toxicity or physical chemical information was

available for one-third of all the fracking chemicals surveyed. Another ten percent of chemicals, including biocides and corrosion inhibitors, were known to be toxic to mammals.\textsuperscript{125, 126}

- August 12, 2014 – A Stanford University research team working in the Pavillion gas basin in Wyoming documented that fracking in shallow layers of bedrock, including those that serve as drinking water aquifers, is not uncommon. This finding overturns the industry claim that oil and gas deposits targeted by fracking operations are located at much greater depths than underground drinking water sources and are isolated from them by hundreds of feet of impermeable rock. Because it is exempt from provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act, fracking in drinking water aquifers is not prohibited by law.\textsuperscript{127}

- August 3, 2014 – An investigation by the \textit{Pittsburgh Post-Gazette} found that half of all fracking-related spills that resulted in violations and fines were not discovered by the gas companies themselves, even though Pennsylvania state law requires them to pro-actively seek and report such incidents. The newspaper’s analysis of hundreds of thousands of state and company documents showed that self-regulation in the gas fields is a failure. One-third of all spills were discovered by state inspectors, while one-sixth were found by residents. Likely, much contamination is entirely undetected and unreported.\textsuperscript{128}

- July 21, 2014 – An investigation by the \textit{Columbus Dispatch} showed that Halliburton delayed disclosure to federal and state EPA agencies of the full list of chemicals that spilled into a creek following a fire on one of its well pad in Monroe County, Ohio. Although the creek is an important supply of drinking water for downstream communities and the spill precipitated a mass die-off of fish and other aquatic wildlife, five full days passed before EPA officials were provided a full inventory of chemicals used at Halliburton’s operation. As a result, the public was denied knowledge of potential chemical exposures.\textsuperscript{129}

- July 17, 2014 – A team of environmental scientists, biologists, and engineers, from institutions including the University of Michigan and McGill University, assessed the current state of understanding of the impact fracking and its associated activities have on the ecological health of surface waters. Though various approaches such as geographic


information systems and site monitoring provide insights into potential risks to aquatic ecosystems, the authors concluded that inadequate data currently exist. They identified possible outcomes such as, “erosion and sedimentation, increased risk to aquatic ecosystems from chemical spills or runoff, habitat fragmentation, loss of stream riparian zones, altered biogeochemical cycling, and reduction of available surface and hyporheic water volumes because of withdrawal-induced lowering of local groundwater levels.”

- July 7, 2014 – California Department of Gas, Oil, and Geothermal Resources ordered seven energy companies to stop injecting liquid fracking waste into aquifers. The ongoing drought that has compelled farmers to supplement irrigation with water drawn from groundwater sources prompted state officials to look at the status of aquifers previously considered too deep for use or too poor in quality. They discovered that at least seven injection wells were very likely pumping liquid fracking waste into protected groundwater supplies rather than aquifers that had been sacrificed for the purpose of waste disposal. Across the United States, more than 1000 aquifers are exempt from any type of pollution protection at all, and many of these are in California, according to a related ProPublica investigation.

- June 25, 2014 – A study by Cornell University researchers found that fracking fluid and fracking wastewater mobilized previously deposited chemical contaminants in soil particles in ways that could potentially exacerbate the impacts of fracking fluid spills or leaks. The research team concluded that, by interfering with the ability of soil to bond to and sequester pollutants such as heavy metals, fracking fluids may release from soils an additional repository of contaminants that could migrate into groundwater.

- June 23, 2014 – Building on earlier findings that water samples collected from sites with confirmed fracking spills in Garfield County, Colorado exhibited moderate to high levels of estrogen and androgen-disrupting activity, a University of Missouri team extended their investigation to other types of hormonal effects. As reported at a joint meeting of the International Society of Endocrinology and the Endocrine Society, their research documented that commonly used fracking chemicals can also block the receptors for thyroid hormone, progesterone, and glucocorticoids (a family of hormones involved in both fertility and immune functioning). Of 24 fracking chemicals tested, all 24 interfered with the activity of one or more important hormone receptors. There is no known safe level of exposure to hormone-disrupting chemicals.

---


• May 11, 2014 – According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office, the federal government is failing to inspect thousands of oil and gas wells located on public land, including those that pose special risks of water contamination or other environmental damage. An investigation by the Associated Press found that the Bureau of Land Management “had failed to conduct inspections on more than 2,100 of the 3,702 wells that it had specified as ‘high priority’ and drilled from 2009 through 2012. The agency considers a well ‘high priority’ based on a greater need to protect against possible water contamination and other environmental safety issues.”

• May 4, 2012 – A report for the Canadian Government, released under the Access to Information Act, reviewed the process, the regulatory framework globally, and the potential health hazards related to shale gas extraction. Additionally, the report evaluated mechanisms for potential impacts and summarized the data knowledge and data gaps. Regarding water contamination, the report determined, “Although quantitative data are lacking, the qualitative data available indicate that potential contamination of water related to the shale gas industry may present hazard to the public health, especially for local population.” Regarding air contamination: “air emissions related to the shale gas industry present health hazards since the air pollutants originating from the vehicles and engines fuelled by diesel are toxic to the respiratory and cardiovascular systems and can cause premature mortality, volatile organic compounds have been associated to neurotoxicity and some of these compounds (e.g. benzene) as well as NORMs are known or possible human carcinogens.” The report concluded, “Any step of shale gas exploration/exploitation may represent a potential source of drinking water and air contamination; Hydraulic fracturing and wastewater disposal were identified as the main potential sources of risk.”

• March 25, 2014 – An industry-funded study of oil and gas well integrity found that more than six percent of wells in a major shale exploration region in Pennsylvania showed evidence of leaking and conceded that this number is likely an underestimate. Researchers concluded that the percentage of wells with some form of well barrier or integrity failure is highly variable and could be as high as 75 percent. A separate analysis in the same study found 85 examples of cement or casing failures in Pennsylvania wells monitored between 2008 and 2011.

• March 7, 2014 – In a comprehensive evaluation, Duke University scientists and colleagues reviewed the state of knowledge on possible effects of shale gas and hydraulic fracturing.
fracturing on water resources in the United States and concluded, “Analysis of published data (through January 2014) reveals evidence for stray gas contamination, surface water impacts in areas of intensive shale gas development, and the accumulation of radium isotopes in some disposal and spill sites.”

- February 19, 2014 – A Pennsylvania court found a gas corporation guilty of contaminating a woman’s drinking water well in Bradford County. Methane levels after fracturing were 1,300 to 2,000 times higher than baseline, according to the court brief. Iron levels and turbidity had also increased. The brief stated, “In short, Jacqueline Place lived for ten months deprived totally of the use of her well, and even after its ‘restoration,’ has been burdened with a water supply with chronic contamination, requiring constant vigilance and ongoing monitoring.”

- January 16, 2014 – Data from the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission showed that fracking-related chemical spills in Colorado exceed an average rate of one spill per day. Of the 495 chemical spills that occurred in that state over a one-year period of time, nearly a quarter impacted ground or surface water. Sixty-three of the spills spread within 1,500 feet of pigs, sheep, and cows; 225 spread within 1,500 feet of buildings.

- January 10, 2014 – Duke University water tests revealed ongoing water contamination in Parker County, Texas, providing evidence that the EPA had prematurely ended its prior investigation into the water contamination. A letter sent to the EPA from more than 200 environmental organizations called on the agency to re-open its investigation.

- January 5, 2014 – An Associated Press investigation into drinking water contamination from fracking in four states—Pennsylvania, Ohio, West Virginia, and Texas—found many cases of confirmed water contamination and hundreds more complaints. The Associated Press noted that their analysis “casts doubt on industry view that it rarely happens.”


• December 24, 2013 – A report from the EPA Inspector General concluded that evidence of fracking-related water contamination in Parker County, Texas was sound and faulted the EPA for prematurely ending its investigation there, relying on faulty water testing data from the gas industry in doing so, and failure to intervene when affected residents’ drinking water remained unsafe. As reported by Business Insider, “The EPA Screwed Up When It Dropped This Fracking Investigation.”

• December 16, 2013 – Lead by Susan Nagel of the University of Missouri School of Medicine, researchers documented endocrine-disrupting properties in chemicals commonly used as ingredients of fracking fluid and found similar endocrine-disrupting activity in groundwater and surface water samples collected near drilling and fracking sites in Garfield County, Colorado. Endocrine disruptors are chemicals that interfere with the activity of hormones in the body and, at very low concentrations, can raise the risk of reproductive, metabolic, and neurological disorders, especially when exposures occur in early life.

• December 7, 2013 – Reporting on the second gas leak at a single gas well in one month, the Fort Worth Star-Telegram uncovered another inherent risk of fracking for groundwater contamination: Silica sand, which is used as an ingredient in fracking fluid for its ability to prop open the shale fractures, can damage steel pipes as it flows back up the well along with the gas. According to Dan Hill, head of the petroleum engineering department at Texas A&M University, new wells are the most susceptible to sand erosion because “the amount of sand and gas rushing through valves and flow lines is at its greatest when a well first goes into production.”

• November 28, 2013 – An Associated Press investigation uncovered nearly 300 oil pipeline spills in North Dakota in the previous ten months, all with no public notification. These were among some 750 “oil field incidents” that had occurred in the state over the same time period, also without public notification. Until the AP inquiry, industry and state officials had kept quiet about one particular “massive spill” that had been accidentally discovered by a wheat farmer. Even small spills can contaminate water sources permanently and take cropland out of production.

---


November 26, 2013 – A USGS report found serious impacts of fracking on watersheds and water quality throughout the Appalachian Basin, as well as issues with radiation and seismic events. As noted in the report, the knowledge of how extraction affects water resources has not kept pace with the technology.150, 151 Meanwhile, clean fresh water is becoming an increasingly scant resource. A report prepared for the U.S. State Department forecasts a serious freshwater shortage by 2030, with global demand exceeding supply by 40 percent.152

November 22, 2013 – A USGS study of pollution from oil production in North Dakota, where horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing are heavily used, identified two potential plumes of groundwater contamination covering 12 square miles. The cause was traced to a casing failure in a wastewater disposal well. Drilling companies had incorrectly assumed that, once injected underground, the wastewater would remain contained. According to EnergyWire, the development of the Bakken oil formation is “leaving behind an imprint on the land as distinct as the ones left by the receding ice sheets of the ice age.”153

September 10, 2013 – Pennsylvania Attorney General Kathleen Kane filed criminal charges against Exxon Mobil Corporation’s subsidiary, XTO Energy Corporation, for a spill of 50,000 gallons of toxic drilling wastewater in 2010 that contaminated a spring and a tributary of the Susquehanna River. In July, XTO settled civil charges for the incident without admitting liability by agreeing to pay a $100,000 fine and improve its wastewater management.154

September 10, 2013 – Out of concern for risks posed to drinking water in the nation’s capital, George Hawkins, General Manager of DC Water, Washington, DC’s local water provider, called for a prohibition on horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing in the George Washington National Forest until the process can be proven safe.155 The Potomac River is the source of the District’s water supply and has its headwaters in the George Washington National Forest, which sits atop the Marcellus Shale. The general managers

of Fairfax Water, provider of drinking water for Fairfax County, Virginia, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers have called for a similar prohibition.156

- September 3, 2013 – The North Dakota Department of Mineral Resources voiced concern about an increasing number of fracking well blowouts (23 incidents in the past year) that result in spills and public safety threats.157

- August 28, 2013 – A joint USGS and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service study documented a causal link between a fracking wastewater spill and the widespread death of fish in the Acorn Fork, a creek in Kentucky.158

- July 25, 2013 – A University of Texas at Arlington study of drinking water found elevated levels of arsenic and other heavy metals in some samples from private drinking water wells located within five kilometers of active natural gas wells in the Barnett Shale.159

- July 3, 2013 – ProPublica reported that the EPA was wrong to have halted its investigation of water contamination in Wyoming, Texas and Pennsylvania—where high levels of benzene, methane, arsenic, oil, methane, copper, vanadium, and other chemicals associated with fracking operations have been documented.160 Although numerous organizations and health professionals around the country have since called on the agency to resume its investigation, no action has been taken.

- June 6, 2013 – Reviewing hundreds of regulatory and legal filings, Bloomberg News reported that drillers have offered out-of-court cash settlements and property buyouts to homeowners who claim that fracking ruined their water. These agreements typically come with gag orders and sealed records. This strategy, the investigation noted, allows the industry to continue claiming that no cases of water contamination due to fracking have ever been confirmed, impedes public health research, and shields data from regulators, policy makers, and the new media.161 The EPA also long ago noted how non-disclosure agreements between oil and gas operators and landowners challenge scientific progress and keep examples of drilling harm secret from the public. In a 1987 report, the

---

EPA wrote, “In some cases, even the records of well-publicized damage incidents are almost entirely unavailable for review. In addition to concealing the nature and size of any settlement entered into between the parties, impoundment curtails access to scientific and administrative documentation of the incident.”

- **June 3, 2013** – A study by Duke University researchers linked fracking with elevated levels of methane, ethane, and propane in nearby groundwater. Published in *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, the study included results from 141 northeastern Pennsylvania water wells. Methane levels were, on average, six times higher in drinking water wells closer to drilling sites when compared with those farther away, while ethane was 23 times higher.

- **May 19, 2013** – In Pennsylvania, the *Scranton Times-Tribune* released details of an investigation that revealed at least 161 cases of water contamination from fracking between 2008 and the fall of 2012, according to state Department of Environmental Protection records.

- **April 2013** – Researchers analyzing publicly available Colorado data found 77 surface spills impacting groundwater in Weld County alone. Samples of these spills often exceeded drinking water maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene; for benzene, a known carcinogen, 90% of the samples exceeded the legal limit.

- **March 4, 2013** – Researchers at the University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health analyzed samples of gas drilling wastewater discharged to surface water through wastewater treatment plants. Barium, strontium, bromides, chlorides, and benzene all exceeded levels known to cause human health impacts.

- **December 9, 2012** – State data in Colorado showed more than 350 instances of groundwater contamination resulting from more than 2,000 spills from oil and gas operations over the past five years. Further, as the *Denver Post* reported, “Contamination

---


of groundwater—along with air emissions, truck traffic and changed landscapes—has spurred public concerns about drilling along Colorado’s Front Range.”

- May 2012 – A report by researchers at Natural Resources Defense Council and Carnegie Mellon University found that the options available for dealing with fracking wastewater are inadequate to protect public health and the environment, resulting in increasing quantities of toxic wastewater as an ongoing problem without a good solution.

- January 11, 2012 – The USGS reported that the Marcellus Shale is already highly fractured and that numerous fissures naturally occurring within the formation could potentially provide pathways for contaminants to migrate vertically into water supplies.

- October 25, 2011 – After receiving new information from two companies, members of Congress updated their findings to show that “between 2005 and 2009, oil and gas service companies injected 32.7 million gallons of diesel fuel or hydraulic fracturing fluids containing diesel fuel in wells in 20 states.”

- October 17, 2011 – Thomas P. Jacobus, General Manager of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ Washington Aqueduct, called for a prohibition on horizontal hydraulic fracturing in the George Washington National Forest because of concern that fracking poses risks to drinking water. The Washington Aqueduct—which provides drinking water to Washington, DC, Arlington County, Virginia, and Falls Church, Virginia—is supplied by the Potomac River, which has its headwaters in the George Washington National Forest that sits atop the Marcellus Shale. Jacobus said, “Enough study on the technique [hydraulic fracturing] has been published to give us great cause for concern about the potential for degradation of the quality of our raw water supply…."

- October 11, 2011 – Charles M. Murray, General Manager of Fairfax Water, called for a prohibition on horizontal hydraulic fracturing in the George Washington National Forest. “Natural gas development activities have the potential to impact the quantity and quality of Fairfax Water’s source water,” Murray wrote. “Downstream water users and

---


consumers will bear the economic burden if drinking water sources are contaminated or the quality of our source water supply is degraded."\textsuperscript{173} Fairfax Water provides drinking water for Fairfax County in Virginia.

- September 7, 2011 – In its draft Supplemental Generic Environmental Impact Statement (SGEIS), the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYS DEC) acknowledged that “there is questionable available capacity”\textsuperscript{174} for New York’s public sewage treatment plants to accept drilling wastewater, yet the agency said that it would allow those facilities to accept such waste if the plants meet permitting conditions.\textsuperscript{175} The NYS DEC proposed underground injection as one alternative to sewage treatment procession of fracking waste. Although it is a common method of disposal for fracking wastewater,\textsuperscript{176} the last significant government study of pollution risks from oil and gas wastewater injection wells occurred in 1989 and found multiple cases of costly groundwater contamination.\textsuperscript{177} In subsequent years, studies have continued to link underground injection of drilling wastewater to pollution as well as earthquakes.\textsuperscript{178}

- September 2011 – A team led by Theo Colburn of The Endocrine Disruptor Exchange found that 25 percent of chemicals known to be used in fracking fluids are implicated in cancer, 37 percent could disrupt the endocrine system, and 40 to 50 percent could cause nervous, immune and cardiovascular system problems. The research team also found that more than 75 percent could affect the skin, eyes, and respiratory system, resulting in various problems such as skin and eye irritation or flu-like symptoms.\textsuperscript{179}

- August 4, 2011 – As reported by the \textit{New York Times}, the EPA had alerted Congress in 1987 about a case of water contamination caused by fracking. Its report documented that


\textsuperscript{174} New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. (2011). \textit{Supplemental generic environmental impact statement on the oil, gas and solution mining regulatory program, well permit issuance for horizontal drilling and high-volume hydraulic fracturing to develop the Marcellus shale and other low-permeability gas reservoirs} (6-62, Rep.).

\textsuperscript{175} New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. (2011). \textit{Supplemental generic environmental impact statement on the oil, gas and solution mining regulatory program, well permit issuance for horizontal drilling and high-volume hydraulic fracturing to develop the Marcellus shale and other low-permeability gas reservoirs} (6-57 through 6-63, Rep.).

\textsuperscript{176} New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. (2011). \textit{Supplemental generic environmental impact statement on the oil, gas and solution mining regulatory program, well permit issuance for horizontal drilling and high-volume hydraulic fracturing to develop the Marcellus shale and other low-permeability gas reservoirs} (6-64, Rep.).


a shale gas well hydraulically fractured at a depth of more than 4,200 feet contaminated a water supply only 400 feet from the surface.180, 181, 182

- May 17, 2011 – The state of Pennsylvania fined Chesapeake Energy Corporation $900,000 for an incident in which improper cementing and casing in one of the company’s gas wells allowed methane to migrate underground and contaminate 16 private drinking water wells in Bradford County.183

- May 17, 2011 – A Duke University study documented “systematic evidence for methane contamination of drinking water associated with shale gas extraction.”184 The study showed that methane levels were 17 times higher in water wells near drilling sites than in water wells in areas without active drilling.185

- April 18, 2011 – As part of a year-long investigation into hydraulic fracturing and its potential impact on water quality, U.S. Representatives Henry Waxman (D-Calif.), Edward Markey (D-Mass.) and Diana DeGette (D-Colo.) released the second of two reports issued in 2011. Their analysis of hydraulic fracturing fluids used by the 14 leading oil and natural gas service companies between 2005 and 2009 found, among other things, that the companies used more than 650 different products that contained chemicals that are known or possible human carcinogens, regulated under the Safe Drinking Water Act, or listed as hazardous air pollutants under the Clean Air Act. The report also showed that “between 2005 and 2009, the companies used 94 million gallons of 279 products that contained at least one chemical or component that the manufacturers deemed proprietary or a trade secret … in most cases the companies stated that they did not have access to proprietary information about products they purchased ‘off the shelf’ from chemical suppliers. In these cases, the companies are injecting fluids containing chemicals that they themselves cannot identify.”186 These findings were reported in the New York Times.187

---

January 2011 – A team of scientists led by a University of Central Arkansas researcher called attention to the threat posed to surface waters by rapidly expanding shale gas development, noting a lack of data collection accompanying the rush to drill. “Gas wells are often close to surface waters that could be impacted by elevated sediment runoff from pipelines and roads, alteration of stream flow as a result of water extraction, and contamination from introduced chemicals or the resulting wastewater.”

- April 29, 2010 – In 2010, the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission fined Occidental Petroleum Corporation (OXY) USA a record $390,000 for an incident of pollution, discovered in 2008, when its drilling wastes leaked through an unlined pit, contaminated two springs with benzene, and polluted other nearby water sources. In addition, the regulators separately fined OXY USA $257,400 for a nearby case of pollution, also discovered in 2008, in which a torn liner in a pit caused drilling waste fluids to leak out and contaminate two springs with benzene.

- April 22, 2011 – Describing one of many blowouts, the Associated Press reported on a shale gas well in Canton, Pennsylvania that spewed thousands of gallons of chemical-laced water on farmland and into a stream for two consecutive days before being brought under control.

- January 31, 2011 – As part of a year-long investigation into hydraulic fracturing and its potential impact on water quality, U.S. Representatives Henry Waxman (D-Calif.), Edward Markey (D-Mass.) and Diana DeGette (D-Colo.) reported that “between 2005 and 2009, oil and gas service companies injected 32.2 million gallons of diesel fuel or hydraulic fracturing fluids containing diesel fuel in wells in 19 states.” Furthermore, revealing apparent widespread violation of the Safe Drinking Water Act, the investigation found that no oil and gas service companies had sought—and no state or federal regulators had issued—permits for the use of diesel fuel in hydraulic fracturing.

- June 5, 2009 – A leaking pipe carrying fracking waste in Washington County, Pennsylvania, polluted a tributary of Cross Creek Lake, killing fish, salamanders, crayfish, and aquatic insect life in approximately three-quarters of a mile of the stream.

---

• April 26, 2009 – Officials in three states linked water contamination and methane leaks to gas drilling. Incidents included a case in Ohio where a house exploded after gas seeped into its water well and multiple cases of exploding drinking water wells in Dimock, Pennsylvania.193

• November 13, 2008 – ProPublica reported more than 1,000 cases of drilling-related contamination documented by courts and state and local governments in Colorado, New Mexico, Alabama, Ohio, and Pennsylvania.194

• December 15, 2007 – In Bainbridge, Ohio, a gas well that was improperly cemented and subsequently fractured by Ohio Valley Energy Systems Corporation allowed natural gas to migrate outside of the well, causing a home to explode. In addition, 23 nearby water wells were contaminated, two of which were located more than 2,300 feet from the drilling site.195, 196, 197

Inherent engineering problems that worsen with time

Studies consistently show that oil and gas wells routinely leak, allowing for the migration of natural gas and potentially other substances into groundwater and/or the atmosphere. Recent research suggests that the act of fracking itself may induce pathways for leaks. Leakage from faulty wells is an issue that the industry has identified and for which it has no solution. According to Schlumberger, one of the world’s largest companies specializing in fracking, about five percent of wells leak immediately, 50 percent leak after 15 years, and 60 percent leak after 30 years. Data from Pennsylvania’s Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) for 2000-2012 show over nine percent of shale gas wells drilled in the state’s northeastern counties leaking within the first five years.Leaks pose serious risks including potential loss of life or property from explosions and the migration of gas or other chemicals into drinking water supplies.

Leaks also allow methane to escape into the atmosphere, where it acts as a more powerful greenhouse gas than carbon dioxide. Indeed, over a 20-year time frame, methane is 86 times more potent a heat accumulator than carbon dioxide. There is no evidence to suggest that the

197 Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Order Number 2009-17 (Apr. 14, 2009) (see attachments A, B).
problem of cement and well casing impairment is abating. Indeed, a 2014 analysis of more than 75,000 compliance reports for more than 41,000 wells in Pennsylvania found that newer wells have higher leakage rates and that unconventional shale gas wells leak more than conventional wells drilled within the same time period. Industry has no solution for rectifying the chronic problem of well casing/cement leakage.

- July 9, 2015 – As part of a larger examination of the potential health and environmental impacts of fracking in California, the California Council on Science and Technology (CCST) documented cases of well failures triggered by underground movements that caused well casings to shear. Sheared well casings can allow gas and fluids from the fracking zone to migrate to overlying aquifers. The CCST team identified several mechanisms by which casing shears can occur in California as oil wells age: surface subsidence, heaving, reservoir compaction, and earthquakes. Prolonged drought can also damage the integrity of well casings: as groundwater levels fall, landforms can sink and contribute to casing shear.  

- June 30, 2015 – According to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYS DEC) Findings Statement, “there is a risk that well integrity can fail, especially over time, and questions have arisen about whether high-volume hydraulic fracturing can cause seismic changes which could potentially result in fracturing fluid migration through abandoned wells or existing fissures and faults. Thus, high-volume hydraulic fracturing could result in significant adverse impacts to water resources from well construction and fracturing fluid migration.”

- June 4, 2015 – As part of a draft assessment of fracking’s impact on drinking water, the U.S. EPA examined cases of water contamination across the United States and concluded that “construction issues, sustained casing pressure, and the presence of natural faults and fractures can work together to create pathways for fluids to migrate toward drinking water resources.” Fracking older wells poses additional risks, the draft study notes, because aging itself “can contribute to casing degradation, which can be accelerated by exposure to corrosive chemicals, such as hydrogen sulfide, carbonic acid, and brines” and because many older wells were never designed to withstand the high pressures and stress of fracking operations. The EPA estimates that 6 percent of the 23,000 U.S. oil and gas wells (= 1,380 wells) first fracked in 2009 or 2010 were drilled more than ten years earlier.

---


December 2, 2014 – Problems with structural integrity have been documented in a well at the only hydraulically fractured site in the United Kingdom. Email messages obtained under freedom of information laws reveal that problems with wellbore integrity emerged in April of 2014 and attempts were made to remediate the problem, although nothing was reported at that time to regulators. The drilling company, Cuadrilla Resources, continues to deny that any problems exist with the well, emphasizing that “no leak of fluids” occurred and that “the issue” was resolved during the abandonment process. Cuadrilla had previously been reprimanded for failing to disclose a more minor deformation in the well casing. The well was abandoned at the end of last year, following two earthquakes in 2011, which scientists determined to have been caused by fracking at the site.\(^\text{201}\)

August 11, 2014 – Researchers affiliated with multiple universities and with the Los Alamos National Laboratory summarized recent field observations of wellbore-integrity failure, concluding that, because at least some well failures are not identified, reported barrier failure rates of 1-10% of wells and reported rates of groundwater contamination of 0.01-0.1% of wells constitute a “lower bound” for possible environmental problems. Citing hydraulic fracturing, as well as temperature and pressure changes, as operations that can induce pathways for leaks, the authors point out that few studies have considered the very-long-term fate (“>50 years”) of wellbore systems. They include “whether unconventional resource development alters the frequency of well integrity failures” as a critical topic for future research.\(^\text{202}\)

July 30, 2014 – Based on records obtained from Pennsylvania’s Department of Environmental Protection (PA-DEP), Scranton’s Times-Tribune reported that five natural gas wells in Bradford County have leaked methane for years because of persistent casing and cement problems. In the most recent violation, a PA-DEP inspector found combustible gas flowing through vents connected to the cement between layers of pipe. The agency issued a notice of violation for each well, saying combustible gas outside the well’s surface casing violates state regulations. Each of the wells has four layers of steel casing, but nothing prevents leaking (stray) methane from flowing into the atmosphere. No evidence of water contamination has yet been seen. None of the wells have produced any gas for sale.\(^\text{203}\)

June 30, 2014 – A study published in *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* by a Cornell University research team projected that over 40 percent of shale gas wells in Northeastern Pennsylvania will leak methane into groundwater or the atmosphere over time. Analyzing more than 75,000 state inspections of more than 41,000 oil and gas wells in Pennsylvania since 2000, the researchers identified high occurrences of casing and


cement impairments inside and outside the wells. A comparative analysis showed that newer, unconventional (horizontally fracked) shale gas wells were leaking at six times the rate of conventional (vertical) wells drilled over the same time period. The leak rate for unconventional wells drilled after 2009 was at least six percent, and rising with time. In the state’s northeastern counties between 2000-2012, over nine percent of shale gas wells drilled leaked within the first five years. The study also discovered that over 8,000 oil and gas wells drilled since 2000 had not received a facility-level inspection. This study helps explain the results of earlier studies that documented elevated levels of methane in drinking water aquifers located near drilling and fracking operations in Pennsylvania and points to compromised structural integrity of well casings and cement as a possible mechanism.

- May 22, 2014 – In a 69-page report, University of Waterloo researchers warned that natural gas seeping from 500,000 wellbores in Canada represents “a threat to environment and public safety” due to groundwater contamination, greenhouse gas emissions, and explosion risks wherever methane collects in unveited buildings and spaces. The report found that 10 percent of all active and suspended gas wells in British Columbia now leak methane. Additionally, the report found that some hydraulically fractured shale gas wells in that province have become “super methane emitters” that spew as much as 2,000 kilograms of methane a year.

- May 1, 2014 – Following a comprehensive review of evidence, the Council of Canadian Academies identified inherent problems with well integrity as one of its top concerns about unconventional drilling and fracking. According to one expert panel, “the greatest threat to groundwater is gas leakage from wells from which even existing best practices cannot assure long-term prevention.” Regarding their concerns related to well integrity and cement issues, the panel wrote:

Two issues of particular concern to panel members are water resources, especially groundwater, and GHG emissions. Both related to well integrity…. Natural gas leakage from improperly formed, damaged, or deteriorated cement seals is a long-recognized yet unresolved problem … Leaky wells due to improperly placed cement seals, damage from repeated fracturing treatments, or cement deterioration over time, have the potential to create pathways for contamination of groundwater resources and to increase GHG emissions.

---

They further explain:

Cement may crack, shrink, or become deformed over time, thereby reducing the tightness of the seal around the well and allowing the fluids and gases … to escape into the annulus between casing and rock and thus to the surface…. The challenge of ensuring a tight cement seal [will] be greater for shale gas wells that are subjected to repeated pulses of high pressure during the hydraulic fracturing process than for conventional gas wells. This pressure stresses the casing and therefore the cement that isolates the well from surrounding formations repeatedly.

• January 8, 2013 – According to state inspections of all 6,000 wells drilled in Pennsylvania’s Marcellus Shale before 2013, six to ten percent of them leaked natural gas, with the rate of leakage increasing over time. The rate was six percent in 2010 (97 well failures out of 1,609 wells drilled); 7.1 percent in 2011 (140 well failures out of 1,972 wells drilled); and 8.9 percent in 2012 (120 well failures out of 1,346 wells drilled). These data include wells that were cited for leakage violations, and wells that were noted to be leaking by inspectors but which had not been given violations. The NYS DEC forecasts that 50,000 wells could be drilled over the life of the Marcellus Shale play. If they fail at the same rate as wells in Pennsylvania, 4,000 wells would fail and leak in New York almost immediately.

• March 2009 – A study published by the Society of Petroleum Engineers of more than 315,000 oil, gas, and injection wells in Alberta, Canada, found that 4.5 percent of the wells had unintended gas flow to the surface. In one designated area, officials required testing for gas migration outside the well casings in addition to routine testing for gas leaks within the rings of steel casings (annuli). Within this special testing zone, 15.5 percent of wells (3,205 of 20,725) leaked gas, and the incidence of gas leaks was four times percent higher in horizontal or deviated wells than in vertical wells.

• Autumn 2003 – Schlumberger, one of the world’s largest companies specializing in hydraulic fracturing and other oilfield services, reported in its in-house publication, Oilfield Review, that more than 40 percent of approximately 15,500 wells in the outer continental shelf area in the Gulf of Mexico were leaking gas. These included actively producing wells, in addition to shut-in and temporarily abandoned wells. In many cases, the gas leaked through the spaces (annuli) between layers of steel casing that drilling companies had injected with cement precisely to prevent such gas leaks. Leakage rates

---

209 New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. (2011). Supplemental generic environmental impact statement on the oil, gas and solution mining regulatory program, well permit issuance for horizontal drilling and high-volume hydraulic fracturing to develop the Marcellus shale and other low-permeability gas reservoirs (2-1, Rep.).
increased dramatically with age: about five percent of the wells leaked immediately; 50 percent were leaking after 15 years; and 60 percent were leaking after about 30 years. Gas leaks pose serious risks including loss of life from explosions and migration of gas and associated contaminants into drinking water supplies.Leaks also allow the venting of raw methane into the atmosphere where it acts as a powerful greenhouse gas.

- November 2000 – Maurice Dusseault, a specialist in rock mechanics at the University of Waterloo in Ontario, and two co-authors presented a paper published by the Society of Petroleum Engineers, in which they reported that oil and natural gas wells routinely leak gas through cracks in their cement casings, likely caused by cement shrinkage over time and exacerbated by upward pressure from natural gas. According to their paper, in Alberta, it is common for wells to leak natural gas into aquifers. “Because of the nature of the mechanism, the problem is unlikely to attenuate,” they wrote, “and the concentration of the gases in the shallow aquifers will increase with time.”

Radioactive releases

*High levels of radiation documented in fracking wastewater from many shale formations raise special concerns in terms of impacts to groundwater and surface water. Measurements of radium in fracking wastewater in New York and Pennsylvania, from the particularly radioactive Marcellus Shale, have been as high as 3,600 times the United States Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) limit for drinking water. One study found toxic levels of radiation in a Pennsylvania waterway even after fracking wastewater was disposed of through an industrial wastewater treatment plant. In addition, the disposal of radioactive drill cuttings is a concern. A recent study found high levels of radon in buildings specifically in heavily drilled areas of Pennsylvania, with levels of radon rising since the start of the fracking boom. Unsafe levels of radon and its decay products in natural gas produced from the Marcellus Shale may also contaminate pipelines and compressor stations, as well as pose risks to end-users when allowed to travel into homes.*

- April 9, 2015 – A Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health study found that levels of radon in Pennsylvania homes—a region with some of the highest indoor radon concentrations in the US—have been rising since 2004, around the time the fracking industry arrived in the state. Radon exposure is the second leading cause of lung cancer

---


worldwide, after cigarette smoking. Researchers found that buildings in counties where the most fracking has taken place in the past decade have had significantly higher radon readings compared with those in low-fracking areas, a difference that did not exist before 2004. Use of well water was associated with 21 percent higher indoor radon concentrations than in buildings using public water sources. This study, the first to define and evaluate the predictors of indoor radon concentrations in Pennsylvania, concluded that radon’s presence was related to geology, water sources, weather, and natural gas drilling.

- April 2, 2015 – A team of toxicologists, geochemists, and radiation scientists led by the University of Iowa analyzed the contribution of various naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM) to the total radioactivity of fracking waste fluids, finding evidence of long-lived, environmentally persistent radioactive decay products. “NORM is emerging as a contaminant of concern in hydraulic fracturing/unconventional drilling wastes, yet the extent of the hazard is currently unknown.” The study determined that previous testing and study methods likely underestimate radioactivity by focusing only on radium. The researchers developed a new method to accurately predict the concentrations of uranium, thorium, and radium and their alpha-emitting progeny, polonium and lead, in fracking wastewater. They found that, under certain conditions, radioactivity increased over time, due to ingrowth of alpha-emitting radioactive progeny of long-lived parent radionuclides such as radium. The authors warned that these decay products may potentially contaminate recreational, agricultural, and residential areas, and that a more detailed understanding is needed of how radionuclides accumulate in higher organisms. In an accompanying article in *Environmental Health Perspectives*, James Burch, a University of South Carolina epidemiologist who was not involved in the study, said that fracking activities and wastewater disposal, which often take place in close proximity to where people live and work, raise risks for human exposure. “The technology is vastly outpacing what we know about the health effects.”

- May 8, 2014 – A group of leading medical experts and the American Lung Association of the Northeast detailed research and growing concerns about potential health impacts of radon and radium associated with natural gas production and the Marcellus Shale, in particular. High levels of radiation in the Marcellus Shale could pose health threats if high concentrations of radon and its decay products travel with natural gas, a problem.

---


compounded by the short distance Marcellus gas could travel in pipelines to people’s homes.\textsuperscript{218}

- March 24, 2014 – A team led by toxicology researchers at the University of Iowa identified high levels of radioactivity in fracking wastewater as a significant concern and noted that the testing methods used and recommended by state regulators in the Marcellus Shale region can dramatically underestimate the amount of radioactivity—specifically radium—in fracking wastewater.\textsuperscript{219} Results obtained using EPA-recommended protocols can be obscured by the presence of other contaminant mixtures. Regarding the use of EPA protocols with fracking wastewater or other highly saline solutions, Duke University geochemist Avner Vengosh noted, “People have to know that this EPA method is not updated.”\textsuperscript{220}

- February 2014 – The Marcellus Shale is known to have high uranium and radium content. According to Mark Engle, USGS geochemist, the concentration of radium-226 can exceed 10,000 picoCuries/Liter (pCi/L) in the shale. Radium-226 has a half-life of 1,600 years. Radium and other naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM) can be released from shale rock during drilling and fracking and can emerge with flowback and produced waters. It can thus enter the ambient environment and become concentrated in the sludge that results from treatment of flowback water, and in river sediment around water treatment facilities. It can also be found in landfills in which sludge and sediment have been disposed. Some radium can be found in drinking water. Geochemist Avner Vengosh warned, “Once you have a release of fracking fluid into the environment, you end up with a radioactive legacy.”\textsuperscript{221}

- October 2, 2013 – A peer-reviewed study of the impacts of drilling wastewater treated and discharged into a creek by a wastewater facility in western Pennsylvania documented radium levels approximately 200 times greater in sediment samples near the discharge location than in sediment samples collected upstream of the plant or elsewhere in western Pennsylvania. “The absolute levels that we found are much higher than what you allow in the U.S. for any place to dump radioactive material,” one of the authors told\textit{Bloomberg News}. The pollution occurred despite the fact that the treatment plant removed a substantial amount of the radium from the drilling wastewater before discharging it. The


\textsuperscript{221}Brown V. J. (Feb 2014). Radionuclides in fracking wastewater.\textit{Environmental Health Perspectives} 122(2), A50-A55.
researchers wrote that the accumulation of radium in sludge removed from the wastewater “could pose significant exposure risks if not properly managed.”

- **February 2013** – In an analysis of fracking sludge samples from Pennsylvania, researchers “… confirmed the presence of alpha, beta, and gamma radiation in the soil and water in reserve pits located on agricultural land.” Total beta radiation exceeded regulatory guideline values by more than 800 percent, and elevated levels of some of the radioactive constituents remained in a vacated pit that had been drained and leveled. It is imperative, the research team concluded, “that we obtain better knowledge of the quantity of radioactive material and the specific radioisotopes being brought to the earth’s surface from these mining processes.”

- **July 26, 2012** – Responding to concern about radon in natural gas produced from the Marcellus Shale, the USGS analyzed ten samples of gas collected near the wellheads of three Pennsylvania gas wells. The agency found radon levels ranging from 1 to 79 picocuries per liter, with an average of 36 and a median of 32. (The highest radon activity reported here would decay to 19.8 pCi/L in approximately a week; by comparison, the EPA’s threshold for indoor air remediation is 4 pCi/L.) Asserting they knew of no previous published measurements of radon in natural gas from the Appalachian Basin, which contains the Marcellus Shale, agency scientists concluded that the number of samples “is too small to … yield statistically valid results” and urged “collection and interpretation of additional data.”

- **January 11, 2012** – In its review of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation’s (NYS DEC) Supplemental Generic Environmental Impact Statement (SGEIS) on high volume fracturing, the EPA expressed concerns about the diffusion of responsibility for the ultimate disposal of radioactive wastes generated by treatment or pretreatment of drilling wastewater. The EPA also raised concerns about the lack of analysis of radon and other radiation exposure. “Who is responsible for addressing the potential health and safety issues and associated monitoring related to external radiation and the inhalation of radon and its decay products?” the EPA asked. “Such potential concerns need to be addressed.”

---


226 Environmental Protection Agency. (2012, January 11). *EPA comments on revised draft NYSDEC revised dSGEIS for horizontal drilling and high-volume hydraulic fracturing to develop the Marcellus shale and other low-
• September 7, 2011 – The USGS reported that radium levels in wastewater from oil and gas wells in New York and Pennsylvania, including those in the Marcellus Shale, “have a distinctly higher median … than reported for other formations in the Appalachian Basin, and range to higher values than reported in other basins.” The median level of radium found in Marcellus Shale wastewater in New York, 5,490 pCi/L, is almost 1,100 times the maximum contaminant level for drinking water, which is five pCi/L. In other words, if a million gallons of Marcellus Shale wastewater contaminated with the median level of radium found in New York were to spill into a waterway, 1.1 billion gallons of water would be required to dilute the radium to the maximum legal level.\textsuperscript{227} (The EPA’s health-based goal for radium in drinking water is zero.) Over time, radium naturally decays into radioactive radon gas. Thus, higher radium levels also suggest that higher levels of radon may also be present in natural gas produced from the Marcellus Shale.

• February 27, 2011 – The New York Times reported on the threat to New York’s drinking water from Pennsylvania drilling waste due to the presence of chemical contaminants, including high levels of radioactivity. The investigation found that sewage treatment plants were neither testing for nor capable of removing that radioactivity, which was subsequently discharged into waterways that supply drinking water, and that, in some cases, wastewater contained radium levels that were hundreds of times higher than the drinking water standard. Drillers sent some of this waste to New York State for disposal even though, as the article noted, EPA scientists had warned the state about this very problem in a December 2009 letter that advised against sewage treatment plants accepting drilling waste with radium levels 12 or more times as high as the drinking water standard.\textsuperscript{228}

• 2008-2009 – The New York State DEC found that wastewater from 11 of 13 vertical wells drilled in New York’s Marcellus Shale in 2008 and 2009 contained radium levels ranging from 400 times to nearly 3,400 times EPA’s safe level limit for radium in drinking water. These figures later informed the 2011 study of radium in drilling wastewater conducted by the USGS.\textsuperscript{229}


\textsuperscript{229} New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. (2011). Supplemental generic environmental impact statement on the oil, gas and solution mining regulatory program, well permit issuance for horizontal drilling and high-volume hydraulic fracturing to develop the Marcellus shale and other low-permeability gas reservoirs (5-133, 5-141, 7-60, Appendix 12, Appendix 13, Rep.).
Occupational health and safety hazards

Drilling and fracking jobs are among the most dangerous jobs in the nation. Occupational hazards include head injuries, traffic accidents, blunt trauma, burns, toxic chemical exposures, heat exhaustion, dehydration, and sleep deprivation. An investigation of occupational exposures found high levels of benzene in the urine of wellpad workers, especially those in close proximity to flowback fluid coming up from wells following fracturing activities. Exposure to silica dust, which is definitively linked to silicosis and lung cancer, was singled out by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) as a particular threat to workers in fracking operations where silica sand is used. At the same time, research shows that many gas field workers, despite these serious occupational hazards, are uninsured or underinsured and lack access to basic medical care.

- June 29, 2015 – An investigation by the Center for Public Integrity (CPI) found that lung-damaging silica is not sufficiently regulated to prevent silicosis (which is incurable and has no effective treatment) or lung cancer in the workplace. Rules governing occupational exposure to silica dust are far outdated, and advocacy efforts to tighten them are four decades old. At particular risk, say the authors, are workers in oil and gas fields where silica sand is used in fracking operations. Citing research by NIOSH, the CPI team noted that nearly 80 percent of the air samples on the well pads were above the recommended exposure limit for silica dust.230

- June 15, 2015 – EnergyWire examined issues surrounding exposure to crystalline silica from frack sand mining, which is a health concern to those living near mines and to those working in the industry. Families living near industrial sand mining reported that their health has been compromised by sand mine development and are concerned that companies are not properly monitoring their extraction sites. The article noted that the Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA) is working on a new exposure rule for workers that OSHA estimates would save nearly 700 lives and prevent 1,600 new cases of silicosis annually. The oil and gas industry is fighting the rule because of the cost associated with complying with a more stringent permissible exposure limit. Crispin Pierce, public health researcher at the University of Wisconsin in Eau Claire, is in the midst of a three-pronged research project to look at the industry’s air effects. Among other findings, his project’s air monitors around sand plants have found consistently finding higher readings than the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources’ reported regional values.231

- June 15, 2015 – In an update, NIOSH noted that silicosis death rates are rising again, reversing an earlier, decade-long decline. In the list of job tasks with known high silica exposures, the update named hydraulic fracturing of gas and oil wells. These results are
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particularly concerning in light of earlier research showing significant under-detection of silicosis among deceased workers with known exposure to silica dust.\textsuperscript{232}

- June 13, 2015 – Reporting on North Dakota’s fracking boom, the Center for Investigative Reporting found that the major oil companies have largely written the rules governing their own accountability for accidents. Deeply entrenched corporate practices and weak federal oversight, according to the report, have led to high injury and death rates and a shift of assigned responsibility to others. Using data from U.S. and Canadian regulators, the journalists verified 74 on-the-job deaths among workers in Bakken Shale drilling and fracking operations since 2006. The actual number of deaths is likely higher than currently reported because federal regulators do not have a systematic way to record oil- and gas-related deaths, and OSHA does not include certain fatalities, including those of independent contractors. The report concluded that there was too little oversight from OSHA, that laws to protect workers were outdated, and that there was a culture of self-regulation by the industry.\textsuperscript{233}

- May 29, 2015 – The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention published statistics on work-related fatalities during the fracking boom. The occupational fatality rate among U.S. oil and gas industry extraction workers between 2003 and 2013 remained an average of seven times higher than among U.S. workers in general (25.1 versus 3.7 deaths per 100,000 workers per year). Within this 11-year period, the industry doubled the size of its workforce and increased drilling rigs by 71 percent. The number of occupational deaths increased 27.6 percent, with a total of 1,189 deaths, but it did not increase as much as the number of workers, resulting in an overall decrease in the fatality rate of 36.3 percent. Transportation accidents and contact with objects and equipment were the most frequent fatal events. Evidence suggests that the increased use of automated technologies on drilling rigs may be contributing to the decline in death rates.\textsuperscript{234}

- April 22, 2015 – The AFL-CIO published data for job injuries, illnesses and deaths in a national and state-by-state profile of worker safety and health in the United States, presenting comparisons by state and industry. For the third year in a row, North Dakota had the highest on-the-job fatality rate in the nation: 14.9 deaths per 100,000 workers, a rate that is more than four times the national average, and which has more than doubled since 2007. The fatality rate in the mining and oil and gas extraction sector in North Dakota was 84.7 per 100,000, which is nearly seven times the national fatality rate of


\textsuperscript{233} Gollan, J. (2015, June 13). In North Dakota’s Bakken oil boom there will be blood. \textit{Reveal; Center for Investigative Reporting}. Retrieved from https://www.revealnews.org/article/in-north-dakotas-bakken-oil-boom-there-will-be-blood/

April 10, 2015 – In a study that was inclusive of fracking-based extraction but not specific to it, NIOSH researchers updated their investigation into the sudden deaths of nine oil and gas extraction workers found near hatches where hydrocarbons were stored. All nine victims died between 2010 and 2014 and were unobserved or working alone at the time of their deaths. The first report attributed the fatalities to “inhalation of volatile petroleum hydrocarbons.”237 The update noted that when workers open hatches on production tanks, a plume of hydrocarbon gases and vapors can be rapidly released due to high internal pressure. Exposure to high concentrations of these low-molecular-weight hydrocarbons creates asphyxiation and explosive hazards and can have narcotic effects, resulting in disorientation, dizziness, and light-headedness. The authors cited reports of other sudden deaths following butane and propane inhalation, exposure to which can induce irregular heartbeat, insufficient oxygen supply, and respiratory depression. As reported by the Denver Post, most of the death certificates listed natural causes or heart failure as the cause likely because medical examiners can easily miss signs of toxic inhalation during a routine autopsy. The nomadic nature of the industry presents obstacles to proper training in tank handling techniques. NIOSH issued recommendations for worker protections, including respiratory protection training and engineering controls for remote gauging and venting.240

February 15, 2015 – Burn injuries among North Dakota workers surged to more than 3,100 over the past five years as the area has become the epicenter of a massive drilling and fracking boom, as reported by the Star Tribune. Despite the flammability of Bakken crude oil and the danger of oil rig work, North Dakota has no burn centers, and burn victims must be transported out of state, typically to the Minneapolis-St. Paul area some 600 miles away. The article also covered the severe, debilitating, costly, and sometimes fatal aspects of these occupational injuries.241

February 13, 2015 – NIOSH reported that while silicosis death rates declined between 2001 and 2010, silicosis deaths were still occurring among young persons aged 15 to 44 years old, indicating extremely high exposures to respirable silica dust. Among emerging new settings that put workers at risk for silicosis, the authors named oil and gas extraction industry workers.\(^{242}\)

January 14, 2015 – The Charleston Gazette-Mail reported that, due to an increase in workplace deaths that has accompanied the boom in natural gas drilling and production from the Marcellus Shale fields in Northern West Virginia, the Governor there has called for a study aimed at reversing that trend. “Between 2009 and 2013, as the industry boomed in the Marcellus region, 15 natural gas workers died on the job in West Virginia, according to the federal data. During the previous five-year period, from 2004 to 2008, three workers died in West Virginia’s oil and gas industry, according to the [U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics].”\(^{243}\)

January 12, 2015 – Oil and gas production employs less than one percent of the U.S. workforce, but in the past five years it has had more than ten percent of all workplace fatalities from fires and explosions. A review by EnergyWire of federal labor statistics last year found the industry had more deaths from fires and explosions than any other private industry. The only “industry” with more fire and explosion fatalities than oil and gas was firefighting, the report stated. These statistics are inclusive of deaths related to fracking operations but are not specific to them.\(^{244}\)

December 26, 2014 – A report in the Houston Chronicle illustrated the difficulties oil and gas workers encounter when injured on the job. In one case a worker fell from a rig, injuring his head. Supervisors did not record the accident. After he became too ill to work, he was shifted to other jobs and soon after, sent home. His daughter filed a Worker’s Compensation claim, which was denied for “late reporting, no knowledge of injury by employer and no medical reports.” The article noted that oilfield injuries are generally undercounted nationally. These include injuries related to drilling and fracking operations as well as those linked to other techniques of extraction.\(^{245}\)

December 4, 2014 – Benzene, a naturally occurring component of crude oil and natural gas, is a known carcinogen, with no known threshold of safety. Although the American Petroleum Institute in 1948 stated that “the only absolutely safe concentration … is zero,” the organization since then undertook an intensive campaign to combat strict exposure


limits. An investigation by the Center for Public Integrity found that, “[f]or decades, the petrochemical industry spent millions on science seeking to minimize the dangers of benzene. … Taken together, the documents—put in context by interviews with dozens of lawyers, scientists, academics, regulators and industry representatives—depict a ‘research strategy’ built on dubious motives, close corporate oversight and painstaking public relations.”

- December, 2014 – In a report intended to inform employers and workers about the known hazards that result from hydraulic fracturing and flowback operations, OSHA noted that there is no publicly available worker injury, illness, or fatality data specific for fracking or flowback operations. At the same time, more workers are exposed to fracking- and flowback-related hazards due to the huge increase in the numbers of these operations over the past ten years. “In light of this, OSHA has determined that additional information concerning hydraulic fracturing and flowback operations hazards should be provided to educate and protect workers.”

- November 11, 2014 – University of Wisconsin toxicologist Crispin Pierce documented super-fine dust drifting from facilities that process silica sand for fracking operations. Pierce and his team detected silica dust in ambient air near frac sand operations at levels that exceed EPA air quality standards by a factor of four. Occupational exposure to respirable crystalline silica is linked in adult workers to silicosis, lung cancer, and pulmonary tuberculosis. Health threats to the general public from frac sand-related air pollution have not yet been studied directly. One of the first investigations of silica dust levels in the community environment, the Wisconsin study will appear next year in the National Journal of Environmental Health.

- November 11, 2014 – A high-pressure water line ruptured, killing one worker and seriously injuring two others during the hydraulic fracturing of an oil well in Weld County, Colorado.

- October 6, 2014 – Toxicologist Peter Thorne, chair of University of Iowa’s Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, warned the Winneshiek County Board of Supervisors about potential community impacts and cancer risks of silica exposure from sand used for fracking operations. Thorne’s ongoing investigation, which involves air sampling, risk assessments, and inhalation toxicology studies, focuses on the public

---

health hazards of mining, processing, and storing sand. His team has documented spikes in silica particulate matter related to the transport of the silica sand by rail. The study aims to determine if mining poses an “unacceptable exposure” to the public and quantify the level of risk. For silica-exposed workers, NIOSH continues to identify needed health protections. Thorne noted, “Workers handling materials should be using respirators, but most are not.”

- September 25, 2014 – The Civil Society Institute’s Boston Action Research, in cooperation with Environmental Working Group and Midwest Environmental Advocates, issued a report on the hazards of silica mining. The report noted that frac sand mining is expanding rapidly in the United States and poses a little-understood threat to public health, the environment, and local economies. Given the pace of the drilling and fracking boom, silica extraction could spread to a dozen other states with untapped or largely untapped sand deposits, including Illinois, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Vermont, and Virginia. The International Business Times published a summary of the findings.

- August 29, 2014 – In a peer-reviewed study, NIOSH partnered with oil and gas operators and service companies to evaluate worker exposures to, and internal uptake of, volatile organic chemicals at six sites in Colorado and Wyoming where wells were being prepared for production. The study found benzene in the urine of well pad workers. Benzene is “naturally present in flowback fluids and the time spent working around flowback and production tanks … appears to be the primary risk factor for inhalation exposures.” In some cases, airborne concentrations of benzene exceeded the NIOSH Recommended Exposure Limit concentrations and, in a few instances, the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists’ Threshold Limit Value, “when workers performed work tasks near a point source for benzene emissions.”

- July 29, 2014 – As part of an investigation into the health impacts of drilling and fracking on animal health, veterinarian Michelle Bamberger and Cornell biochemist Robert Oswald, published an interview with a twenty-year oil and gas industry worker about his experiences and worker safety. His account included injuries, 16-hour workdays, fatigue, exposure to chemicals, and inadequate health and safety training. “No one out there tells you about stuff that has latency. That is the last thing they are going to do is tell you that.
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something that you are handling will take you out in 20 years or 10 years or cause you some kind of ailment, or you can potentially drag this home to your family.”

- July 14, 2014 – As part of an analysis of safety and research needs associated with drilling and fracking, researchers at the Colorado School of Public Health and the College of Health Sciences at the University of Wyoming documented high injury and on-the-job mortality rates among gas and oilfield workers. The occupational fatality rate was 2.5 times higher than that of the construction industry and seven times higher than that of general industry. By contrast, injury rates were lower than the construction industry, suggesting that injuries are underreported. Researchers documented crystalline silica levels above occupational health standards and identified the existence of other hazards, including particulate matter, benzene, noise, and radiation. The team called for exposure assessments for both chemical hazards and physical hazards that lead to occupational illness (noise, radioactivity); screening and surveillance systems to assess incidence and prevalence of occupational illness; industry/academic collaboration to conduct occupational epidemiologic studies; and assessment of the effectiveness of industry interventions to reduce exposures.

- July 2014 – The British labor journal Hazards, identified health concerns in the drilling and fracking industry: increased rate of death on the job, toxic releases, silica exposure, and exposure to hydrocarbons and endocrine disruptors. The union that organizes the construction, rig, and transport workers, on which fracking would rely, agreed at its July 2014 national conference to lobby for a moratorium on fracking because “[d]elegates want union members to be made aware of the dangers of fracking and be advised not to work on fracking sites.”

- June 29, 2014, and August 31, 2014 – An initial report and follow-up analysis in The Columbus Dispatch examined fire hazards at well pads. In one notable case, malfunctioning hydraulic tubing allowed a well pad fire in Monroe County, Ohio to spread rapidly, prompting evacuations. Local firefighters had neither the correct equipment nor did they know the chemicals they were trying to extinguish. One firefighter was treated for smoke inhalation.

- May 19, 2014 – Underscoring the dangerous nature of chemicals used in fracking operations, NIOSH reported that at least four gasfield workers have died since 2010 from
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May 16, 2013 – A NIOSH study revealed that worker exposure to crystalline silica dust from sand used in fracking operations exceeded “relevant occupational health criteria” at all eleven tested sites, and the magnitude of some exposures exceeded NIOSH limits by a factor of 10 or more. “[P]ersonal respiratory protection alone is not sufficient to adequately protect against workplace exposures.” Inhalation of crystalline silica can cause incurable silicosis, lung cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, kidney disease and autoimmune diseases. Although community exposures distant from mines are possible, there are no federal or state standards for silica in ambient air. A first-ever study on public health risks from frac sand is now in progress.

April 24, 2014 – A University of Texas San Antonio report commissioned by the Methodist Healthcare Ministries found that many oil and gas field workers in the Eagle Ford Shale are uninsured or underinsured and that “the most noticeable health impacts so far are work-related illnesses and injuries: heat exhaustion, dehydration, sleep deprivation, exposure to oil and gas spills and accidents.” The study also noted that oil and gas production has put strain on healthcare facilities.

April 10, 2014 – West Virginia University researcher Michael McCawley reported that some of the nation’s highest rates of silicosis are in heavily drilled areas within the

Northern Panhandle of West Virginia and southwestern Pennsylvania. A disease that hardens the lungs through inflammation and development of scar tissue, silicosis is entirely attributable to exposure to silica dust, a known occupational hazard at drilling and fracking operations. Two years earlier, OSHA and NIOSH issued a joint “Hazard Alert” to warn fracking workers of the health hazards of exposure to silica dust, including silicosis.265

- February 25, 2014 – A year-long investigation by the Houston Chronicle found that fracking jobs are deadly, with high fatality rates and high rates of serious injury. Within just one year in Texas, 65 oil and gas workers died, 79 lost limbs, 82 were crushed, 92 suffered burns and 675 broke bones. From 2007 to 2012, at least 664 U.S. workers were killed in oil and gas fields.266, 267

- December 27, 2013 –National Public Radio (NPR) reported spiking rates of fatalities related to oil and gas drilling operations, which had increased more than 100 percent since 2009. NPR noted that in the previous year, 138 workers were killed on the job, making the fatality rate among oil and gas workers nearly eight times higher than the average rate of 3.2 deaths for every 100,000 workers across all industries.268

- October 30, 2012 – In a policy statement, the American Public Health Association (APHA) asserted that, high volume horizontal hydraulic fracturing (HVHF) “poses potential risks to public health and the environment, including groundwater and surface water contamination, climate change, air pollution, and worker health.” The statement also noted that the public health perspective has been inadequately represented in policy processes related to HVHF.269 The policy statement added:

[H]ydraulic fracturing workers are potentially exposed to inhalation health hazards from dust containing silica. There may also be impacts on workers and communities affected by the vastly increased production and transport of sand for HVHF. Inhalation of fine dusts of respirable crystalline silica can cause silicosis. Crystalline silica has also been determined to be an occupational lung carcinogen.

- 2005 – A researcher at Stanford University examined hazards associated with oil and gas extraction from exposure to radiation and determined that inhalation of high levels of

radon gas is a serious concern to workers and those living nearby. Because the boiling point of radon lies between those of propane and ethane, gaseous radon (222Rn) will concentrate in ethane and propane fractions. “Elevated Rn activity concentration values have been measured at several processing plant sites…. It is well known that the radiological impact of the oil and gas-extracting and processing industry is not negligible.”

- May 9, 2003 – A New York Medical College study re-evaluated the chest X-rays of patients with exposure to silica who died from various respiratory problems and found that more than eight percent had undiagnosed silicosis. The study suggested that occupational lung disease may be undercounted in high-risk occupations. The authors of this study said that improved OSHA standards, with ongoing exposure monitoring and medical surveillance, would significantly improve the recognition of cases and justify more stringent preventive measures to reduce exposure. They further noted that practitioners need skills in taking an occupational exposure history. Although ten years have passed since this study was published, both recommendations have yet to be implemented.

Public Health Effects, Measured Directly

By several measures, evidence for fracking-related health problems is emerging across the United States. In Pennsylvania, as the number of gas wells increase in a community, so do rates of hospitalization. Drilling and fracking operations are correlated with elevated motor vehicle fatalities (Texas), self-reported skin and respiratory problems (southwestern Pennsylvania), ambulance runs and emergency room visits (North Dakota), infant deaths (Utah), birth defects (Colorado), and low birthweight (multiple states). Benzene levels in ambient air surrounding drilling and fracking operations are sufficient to elevate risks for future cancers in both workers and nearby residents, according to studies.

- July 15, 2015 – A study by University of Pennsylvania and Columbia University researchers found that drilling and fracking activity was associated with increased rates of hospitalization in Pennsylvania. During a period of dramatic increase in drilling and fracking activity between 2007 and 2011, inpatient prevalence rates surged for people living near shale gas wells. Cardiology inpatient prevalence rates were significantly associated with number of wells per zip code and their density, while neurology inpatient prevalence rates were significantly associated with density of wells. Hospitalizations for cancer, skin conditions, and urological problems also rose significantly. During the same time period, no such increase in health problems was observed in a control Pennsylvania county without any drilling and fracking activity. In communities with the most wells, the
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rate of cardiology hospitalizations was 27 percent higher than in control communities with no fracking. “While the clinical significance of the association remains to be shown, [fracking] has just begun in Pennsylvania, and thus observing a significant association over this short time is striking…. Our study also supports the concept that health care utilization should be factored into the value (costs and benefits) of hydraulic fracturing over time.”272 In a related Newsweek story, lead researcher Reynold Panettieri, Jr. said, “At this point, we suspect that residents are exposed to many toxicants, noise and social stressors due to hydraulic fracturing near their homes and this may add to the increased number of hospitalizations.”273

- July 9, 2015 – As part of a scientific assessment of well stimulation treatments, including fracking, the California Council on Science and Technology studied the potential impacts of well stimulation on human health in California. The risk factors directly attributable to well stimulation stem largely from the use of a very large number and quantity of stimulation chemicals. The unknown number and toxicity of chemicals that are mixed together in well stimulation fluids made it difficult to fully quantify risk to the environment and to human health, but the study highlighted the potential health risks from exposure to fracking-related air pollution for the people of Los Angeles, 1.7 million of whom live or work within one mile of an active oil or gas well.274 Jane Long, co-author, said, “officials should fully understand the toxicity and environmental profiles of all chemicals before allowing them to be used in California’s oil operations,” according to the Los Angeles Times.275

- June 22, 2015 – A longtime midwife reported her personal analysis of an ongoing spike in infant deaths, miscarriages, and placental abnormalities in Utah’s Uintah Basin that has followed the advent of drilling and fracturing activity there and appears linked to air pollution episodes.276

- June 3, 2015 – A University of Pittsburgh study linked fracking to low birthweight in three heavily drilled Pennsylvania counties. The more exposure a pregnant woman had to gas wells, the higher her risk for a smaller-than-normal baby. Exposure was determined as proximity and density of wells in relation to the residence of the pregnant woman. Compared to mothers whose homes had the fewest surrounding gas wells, mothers whose

homes were nearest to a high density of wells were 34 percent more likely to have babies who were “small for gestational age,” meaning they weighed significantly less than expected for the number of weeks of pregnancy. Although the study did not investigate mechanisms, researchers identified air as the likely route of exposure. They supported this argument by referencing another study done in Western Pennsylvania where airborne particulate pollution correlated with low birth weight and by noting that particulates are established shale gas infrastructure emissions.277,278 Low birth weight is a leading cause of infant mortality.

- March 3, 2015 – A follow-up study of 21 case studies from five states found that the distribution of symptoms in animals and humans affected by nearby fracking operations was, since 2012, unchanged for humans and companion animals. In food animals, reproductive problems decreased over time while respiratory problems and growth problems increased. “This longitudinal case study illustrates the importance of obtaining detailed epidemiological data on the long-term health effects of multiple chemical exposures and multiple routes of exposure that are characteristic of the environmental impacts of unconventional drilling operations.”279

- March 3, 2015 – A cross-sectional study by Yale University School of Medicine researchers using companion animals as sentinels of human exposure to fracking-related chemicals investigated possible associations between reported health conditions of companion and backyard animals in Southwest Pennsylvania and household proximity to drilling and fracking operations. Among dogs living in households located less than one kilometer from a gas well, risks for health problems were elevated, especially for dermal conditions, compared to animals living more than two kilometers from a well.280

- January 1, 2015 – A Yale-led team studied the relationship between household proximity to drilling and fracking operations and reported health symptoms in Washington County, Pennsylvania where 624 gas wells were in active operation, most of which had been drilled in the past five to six years. Researchers found that health symptoms reported by residents increased in frequency as distance between household and gas wells decreased. Among persons living less than one kilometer from drilling and fracking operations, rashes and upper respiratory problems were more prevalent. The authors of this study, the largest to date on the link between reported symptoms and natural gas drilling activities, say that their findings are “… consistent with earlier reports of respiratory and dermal

conditions in persons living near natural gas wells.” They also cite literature demonstrating the biological plausibility of a link between oil and gas extraction activities and both categories of health effects reported.281

- December 17, 2014 – As part of a lengthy review that became the foundation for New York State’s ban on high volume hydraulic fracturing, the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) identified environmental problems associated with fracking that could contribute to adverse public health impacts. Among them: air pollution (particulate matter, ozone, diesel exhaust, and volatile organic compounds) that could affect respiratory health; drinking water contamination from underground migration of methane and/or fracking chemicals associated with faulty well construction or seismic activity; drinking water contamination from inadequate water treatment of fracking waste or from surface spills of fracking chemicals or wastewater; earthquakes and the creation of fissures; increased vehicle traffic; increased noise; increased demand for housing and medical care; and public health problems related to climate change impacts from methane and other greenhouse gas emissions into the atmosphere. The NYSDOH Public Health Review also discussed findings from surveys of health symptoms among residents living near high volume hydraulic fracturing activities. These included skin rash, nausea or vomiting, abdominal pain, breathing difficulties, cough, nosebleed, anxiety, stress, headache, dizziness, eye irritation, and throat irritation in populations living near drilling and fracturing operations. The NYSDOH Public Health Review noted that ongoing studies by both government agencies and several academic institutions were exploring the public health risks and impacts of fracking but that many of these studies were years from completion. The review concludes:

… significant gaps exist in the knowledge of potential public health impacts from [high volume hydraulic fracturing]. The existing science investigating associations between [high volume hydraulic fracturing] activities and observable adverse health outcomes is very sparse and the studies that have been published have significant scientific limitations. Nevertheless, studies are suggestive of potential public health risks related to [high volume hydraulic fracturing] activity that warrant further careful evaluation.

In an accompanying letter to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Health Commissioner Howard Zucker, MD, concluded,

… the overall weight of the evidence from the cumulative body of information contained in this Public Health Review demonstrates that there are significant uncertainties about the kinds of adverse health outcomes that may be associated with [high volume hydraulic fracturing], the likelihood of the occurrence of adverse health outcomes and the effectiveness of some of the mitigation measures

in reducing or preventing environmental impacts which could adversely affect public health. Until the science provides sufficient information to determine the level of risk to public health from [fracking] to all New Yorkers and whether the risks can be adequately managed, DOH recommends that high volume hydraulic fracturing should not proceed in NYS.282

- October 13, 2014 – According to the North Dakota Health Department, the number of HIV and AIDS cases in North Dakota more than doubled between 2012 and 2014, and cases were shifting to the state’s western oil fields, where 35 to 40 percent of all new cases occurred. Previously, only 10 percent of cases were in that region.283 This trend followed on the heels of an upsurge in sexually transmitted chlamydia cases in the same region. The North Dakota state director of disease control, Kirby Kruger, attributed the uptick in HIV cases to the drilling and fracking industry and attempted to spread HIV prevention messages at the “man camps” that house young male workers in the oil industry.284 Human trafficking for purposes of prostitution accompanied the fracking boom, but there was a shortage of medical professionals to address this public health crisis, according to Kruger, who noted that it was difficult to hire nurses and medical staff who could live in the area on a public health wage.

- October 2, 2014 – According to researchers from the University of Pennsylvania’s Center of Excellence in Environmental Toxicology, an increasing number of gas wells in Pennsylvania is significantly correlated with inpatient rates of hospitalization. The research team collected data from seven different insurance providers for three counties; the study’s publication is forthcoming.285

- September 11, 2014 – In Texas, commercial vehicle accidents have increased more than 50 percent since 2009 when the state’s ongoing drilling and fracking boom began, according to an investigation by the Houston Chronicle and Houston Public Media News 88.7. “For six decades, highway deaths have dropped steadily all across the United States…. But in Texas all motor vehicle fatalities – and accidents involving commercial trucks – have turned back upward since the state’s oil drilling and fracking boom began in 2008.” This rising motor vehicle death toll is especially felt in formerly rural counties in the Eagle Ford and Permian Basin, now places of heavy drilling and fracking. A new Department of Public Safety “Road Check” program finds annually, “… 27 to 30 percent of Texas’ commercial trucks shouldn't be operating at all due to potentially life-
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threatening safety problems like defective brakes, bald tires, inoperable safety lights and unqualified, unfit or intoxicated drivers.”

- August 3, 2014 – Hospitals in the Bakken Shale region reported a sharp rise in ambulance calls and emergency room visits after 2006. “Mercy Medical Center in Williston and the Tioga Medical Center in neighboring Williams County saw their ambulance runs increase by more than 200 percent. Tioga’s hospital saw a staggering leap in trauma patients by 1,125 percent. Mercy had a 373 percent increase.” Drugs (including overdoses of prescription drugs, methamphetamine, and heroin) explain many of the cases, with oilfield related injuries such as “fingers crushed or cut off, extremity injuries, burns and pressure burns” accounting for 50% of the cases in one of the region’s hospital emergency rooms.

- May 21, 2014 – Raising questions about possible links to worsening air pollution from the Uintah Basin’s 11,200 oil and gas wells, health professionals reported that infant deaths in Vernal, Utah, rose to six times the normal rate over the past three years. Physician Brian Moench said, “We know that pregnant women who breathe more air pollution have much higher rates of virtually every adverse pregnancy outcome that exists…. And we know that this particular town is the center of an oil and gas boom that’s been going on for the past five or six years and has uniquely high particulate matter and high ozone.” Although it formerly had pristine air quality, Uintah County, Utah received a grade “F” for ozone in the American Lung Association’s 2013 State of the Air Report.

- January 28, 2014 – Congenital heart defects, and possibly neural tube defects in newborns, were associated with the density and proximity of natural gas wells within a 10-mile radius of mothers’ residences in a study of almost 25,000 births from 1996-2009 in rural Colorado. The researchers note that natural gas development emits several chemicals known to increase risk of birth defects (teratogens).
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• January 4, 2014 – Preliminary data from researchers at Princeton University, Columbia University, and MIT showed elevated rates of low birthweight among infants born to mothers living near drilling and fracking operations during their pregnancies.\(^{292}\)

• October 2013 – A preliminary study of the health impacts of oil and gas extraction on infant health in Colorado found that proximity to wells—linked with air pollutants from fracking operations—was associated with reductions in average birthweight and length of pregnancy as well as increased risk for low birthweight and premature birth.\(^{293}\) A study by the same author, currently under review, which analyzed births to Pennsylvania mothers residing close to a shale gas well in Pennsylvania from 2003-2010, also identified increased risk of adverse effects. This includes low birth weight, as well as a 26 percent increase in APGAR scores under 8. (APGAR—or American Pediatric Gross Assessment Record—is a measure of newborn responsiveness. Scores of less than 8 predict an increase in the need for respiratory support.)\(^{294}\)

• August 26, 2013 – Medical experts at a rural clinic in heavily-drilled Washington County, Pennsylvania reported case studies of 20 individuals with acute symptoms consistent with exposure to air contaminants known to be emitted from local fracking operations.\(^{295}\) 296

• May 2, 2013 – A community-based participatory research study in Pennsylvania tested air and water quality and surveyed self-reported health symptoms of more than 100 residents living near drilling and fracking operations. The team detected a total of 19 volatile organic compounds in ambient air sampled outside of homes. The reported health symptoms closely matched the established effects of chemicals detected through air and water testing at those nearby sites. Moreover, those symptoms occurred at significantly higher rates in households closer to the gas facilities than those farther away.\(^{297}\) Indicative of the growing prevalence of such health impacts in the state, a poll showed that two-thirds of Pennsylvanians support a moratorium on fracking because of concern about negative health impacts.\(^{298}\)
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Noise pollution, light pollution, and stress

Drilling and fracking operations and ancillary infrastructure expose workers and nearby residents to continuous noise and light pollution that is sustained for periods lasting many months. Chronic exposure to light at night is linked to adverse health effects, including breast cancer. Sources of fracking-related noise pollution include blasting, drilling, flaring, generators, compressor stations, and truck traffic. Exposure to environmental noise pollution is linked to cardiovascular disease, cognitive impairment, and sleep disturbance. Workers and residents whose homes, schools, and workplaces are in close proximity to well sites are at risk from these exposures as well as from related stressors. A UK Health Impact Assessment (HIA) identified stress and anxiety resulting from drilling-related noise—as well as from a sense of uncertainty about the future and eroded public trust—as key public health risks related to fracking operations.

- July 9, 2015 – As part of its assessment of potential health impacts, the California Council of Science and Technology looked at the impacts of noise and light pollution from oil and gas operations in California. The researchers noted that a number of activities associated with drilling and fracking generated noise levels greater than that considered dangerous to public health. Noise is a biological stressor that can aggravate or contribute to the development of hypertension and heart problems. In California, noise from well stimulation was associated with both sleep disturbance and cardiovascular disease in a dose-response relationship. Exposure to artificial light at night has been linked to breast cancer in women, although almost no research has been conducted on the public health implications of light pollution from oil and gas extraction specifically. 299

- December 17, 2014 – The New York State Department of Health identified community impacts related to noise as a potential contributor to a variety of negative health impacts from drilling and fracking operations but noted that considerable scientific uncertainty remains on the issue of noise exposure per se as a risk factor. Noise, air pollution, traffic, vibration, odors, and nighttime lighting may all increase together as proximity to a drilling site decreases. 300

- December 1, 2014 – Range Resources Corporation warned supervisors in Pennsylvania’s Donegal Township that a “big burn” natural gas flare will continue for as long as a week and “will produce a continuous noise of as much as 95 decibels at the well pad. Sustained decibel levels between 90 and 95 can result in permanent hearing loss, but workers will be equipped with ear protection.” Township supervisor Doug Teagarden expressed concern for residents, saying, “They told us the flare would be double the size of other

well flares, and the noise will be like a siren on a firetruck…. There are houses within a couple of hundred yards of the well pad, and those folks are going to hear it.”

- **November 6, 2014** – Sakthi Karunanithi, Director of Public Health in Lancashire, UK, reported on a Health Impact Assessment (HIA) of the two proposed shale gas exploration sites in Lancashire. Karunanithi’s study determined that key risks to the health and well-being of the residents who live near the two proposed sites in Lancashire include stress and anxiety from uncertainty that could lead to “poor mental wellbeing,” and noise-related health effects due to continuous drilling. The HIA also noted a lack of public trust and confidence.

- **September 2014** – The Ohio Shale Country Listening Project, a collaborative effort to solicit, summarize, and share the perspectives and observations of those directly experiencing the shale gas build out in eastern Ohio, found that the more shale gas wells a community has, the less popular the oil and gas industry becomes. Many residents reported that they had not experienced the economic benefits promised by the oil and gas industry. They complained of increased rents and costs of gas and groceries, an influx of out-of-state workers, more vehicular accidents, road destruction from large trucks, and damaged landscape and cropland. Locals reported feeling less secure and more financially strapped.

- **June 20, 2014** – In its discussion of “Oil and Gas Drilling/Development Impacts,” the U.S. Office of Indian Energy and Economic Development detailed noise pollution from bulldozers, drill rigs, diesel engines, vehicular traffic, blasting, and flaring of gas. “If noise-producing activities occur near a residential area, noise levels from blasting, drilling, and other activities could exceed the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidelines. The movement of heavy vehicles and drilling could result in frequent- to-continuous noise…. Drilling noise would occur continuously for 24 hours per day for one to two months or more depending on the depth of the formation.” Exposure to chronic noise can be deadly. The World Health Organization has documented the connection between environmental noise and health effects, including cardiovascular disease, cognitive impairment, sleep disturbance, and tinnitus. At least one million

---


“healthy life years” are lost every year from traffic-related noise in the western part of Europe.\textsuperscript{306}

- February 24, 2014 – In a review of the health effects from unconventional gas extraction published in the journal \textit{Environmental Science & Technology}, leading researchers noted, “Noise exposure is a significant hazard due to the presence of multiple sources, including heavy equipment, compressors, and diesel powered generators. Loud continuous noise has health effects in working populations. It is likely that exposure to noise is substantial for many workers, and this is potentially important for health because drilling and servicing operations are exempt from some sections of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration noise standard.” They noted that research should investigate stressors such as noise and light in the context of drilling and fracking operations in order to understand the overall effect of chemical and physical stressors together.\textsuperscript{307}

- May 30, 2014 – The \textit{Denver Post} reported that in order to help meet Colorado’s noise limits for fracking operations in suburban neighborhoods (and partially block the glare of floodlights), Encana Oil and Gas erected 4-inch-thick polyvinyl walls up to 32 feet high and 800 feet long. Residents said that the plastic walls do not completely solve the problem.\textsuperscript{308}

- October 25, 2013 – An analysis of well location and census data by the \textit{Wall Street Journal} revealed that at least 15.3 million Americans now live within a mile of a well that has been drilled since 2000. According to this investigation, the fracking boom has ushered in “unprecedented industrialization” of communities across wide swaths of the nation and, with it, “24/7” industrial noise, stadium lighting, earth-moving equipment, and truck traffic.\textsuperscript{309}

- April 16, 2013 – In a presentation on oil field light pollution for a conference on “Sustainable Environment and Energy: Searching for Synergies,” Roland Dechesne of the Royal Astronomical Society of Canada described problems of “light trespass,” glare, and poorly-aimed fixtures in oil fields in Alberta. He described resulting “mass waterfowl mortality” linked to artificial illumination and other biochemical impacts of light pollution on wildlife, as well as the possibility of these effects on humans, including circadian disruption, melatonin suppression, and possible resulting hormonally-linked diseases.\textsuperscript{310} Known to have ecological impacts, outdoor light pollution from drilling and


fracking operations may also be linked to artificial light-associated health effects documented in humans, including breast cancer.  

- April 2013 – Led by the University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health, a study of community members living in proximity to Marcellus Shale drilling in Pennsylvania found adverse impacts to mental health, with stress the most frequently reported symptom. At least half of all respondents in each set of interviews reported these specific stressors, including: being taken advantage of; health concerns; concerns/complaints ignored; corruption; denied information or provided with false information. Many also reported the desire to move or leave community, estrangement from community, and financial damages. Researchers noted that stress can result in direct health impacts. Notably, mounting evidence indicates that chronic stress magnifies individuals’ susceptibility to effects of pollution; for children, this interactive effect can begin during prenatal life.

- September 7, 2011 – A study by researchers at Boise State University and Colorado State University at Fort Collins modeled the potential impacts of compressor station noise from oil and gas operations on Mesa Verde National Park in Colorado. The study found the sound of 64 compressors outside Mesa Verde elevated the sound level within the park by 34.8 decibels on average, and by 56.8 decibels on the side of the park located closest to the compressors. According to the EPA, 55 decibels is the highest “safe noise level” to avoid damage to the human ear.

**Earthquakes and seismic activity**

A growing body of evidence from Ohio, Arkansas, Texas, Oklahoma, and Colorado links fracking wastewater injection (disposal) wells to earthquakes of magnitudes as high as 5.7, in addition to swarms of minor earthquakes and fault slipping. Many recent studies focus on the mechanical ability of pressurized fluids to trigger seismic activity. In some cases, the fracking process itself has been linked to earthquakes and seismic activity as significant as magnitude 4.4. The question of what to do with wastewater remains a problem with no viable, safe solution.

- July 27, 2015 – During a seven-day period in late July, the state of Oklahoma experienced 40 earthquakes. According to the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), three registered above magnitude 4.0, one of which was strong enough to be felt by 1.9 million people.

---

people, including residents of several surrounding states. In response, gas and oil operators voluntarily shut down two nearby wastewater injection wells and reduced operations by half at a third well. According to the Oklahoma Geological Survey, the recent quakes are occurring along a fault line that extends north of Oklahoma City and signal greater potential for a larger earthquake. Ten days before the voluntary shutdowns, the Oklahoma Corporation Commission, which regulates the oil and gas industry, put 211 wastewater disposal wells under extra review. As we go to press, Oklahoma regulators, acknowledging that previous efforts have been unsuccessful in reducing seismic activity, asked operators of 23 injection wells to decrease the amount of wastewater injected by 38 percent and signaled that more sweeping regulatory actions may follow.

- July 1, 2015 – Two researchers, from the USGS and the Geological Survey of Canada, offered a summary of the history, basic geology, and engineering of fracking fluid injection and induced seismicity. Noting that since 2001 Oklahoma had experienced two earthquakes of very large magnitude (5.0 and 5.3), the authors called for “a detailed understanding of the physical processes involved in inducing large magnitude events and a detailed understanding of the geology and hydrology at the site of the earthquakes.” They also noted that many important parameters are either unknown or not easily constrained, making it “difficult to determine the wells that will induce earthquakes and those that will not.”

- June 30, 2015 – The Oklahoma Supreme Court ruled that homeowners who have sustained injuries or property damage that they believe is due to earthquakes caused by oil and gas operations can sue for damages in state trial courts. The number of earthquakes with magnitude 3.0 or higher has skyrocketed in Oklahoma, with 1,100 predicted to occur in 2015. Earlier this year, scientists at the state’s geological survey reversed prior views and embraced the conclusion that the majority of the recent earthquakes in central and north-central Oklahoma were “very likely triggered” by underground wastewater disposal. Industry lawyers have complained that liability for such damages will be economically unsustainable. A separate class action lawsuit is

---

planned.321

- June 19, 2015 – By compiling a database of 187,570 injection wells in the central and eastern United States, University of Colorado Boulder and USGS researchers were able to test for associations between fracking waste disposal and earthquakes. Results showed far more injection wells were potentially related to earthquakes than had previously been realized, and active disposal-only wells were more than 1.5 times more likely than active oil extraction wells to be associated with an earthquake. In addition, high-rate injection wells, receiving more than 300,000 barrels of fluid per month, were much more likely than lower-rate wells to be associated with an earthquake, while other factors, including wellhead injection pressure, appeared unrelated to increased earthquake activity. The study called for managing injection rates as “a useful tool to minimize the likelihood of induced earthquakes.” The researchers did not address the impact of hydrofracturing activities per se as a potential confounding variable.322, 323

- June 18, 2015 – Close examination of several areas in Oklahoma by Stanford University geophysicists revealed that dramatic increases in recent earthquake activity followed 5- to 10-fold increases in deep-well injection of briny “produced water,” the highly salty fluid that rises to the surface from water-bearing oil reserves and requires disposal. The rate of earthquake occurrence, which began to increase in 2009, is now 600 times higher than it was before the onset of widespread fracking in the state. The disposal of this type of waste in Oklahoma mostly occurs via injection into geological formations that appear to be in hydraulic communication with potentially active faults in the crystalline basement. The study proposed that increasing pressure, spreading away from injection wells over time, could eventually trigger slips on critically stressed faults, resulting in earthquake activity. It is likely that, “even if injection from many wells were to stop immediately, seismicity would continue as pressure continues to spread out from past injection.”324

- June 12, 2015 – Researchers in France uncovered an unexpected mechanism by which subsurface fluid injections, such as those used in high volume hydrofracturing, can cause earthquakes. They found that injection of pressurized water can cause fault lines to “creep” rather than slip suddenly as occurs during earthquakes. Earthquakes did follow this slow movement but took place in a portion of the fault outside the pressurized zone. This research demonstrated that subsurface injection of fluids under pressure can cause

primary gradual slippage of fault planes leading to secondary sudden seismic activity.\textsuperscript{325}

- June 11, 2015 – As reported by the Vancouver news magazine \textit{The Tyee}, seismic events of magnitude greater than 2.0 (but less than 4.0) in the Fox Creek area were reported in Alberta, Canada since the initiation in February of a novel “traffic light system” for responding to measured seismic activity. The system requires varying responses according to the magnitude of the event, ranging from no action up to ceasing operations and informing the Alberta Energy Regulator for events at magnitudes greater than 4.0. Experts noted that the system does not work well when the largest event in the sequence is the first event. Moreover, once a sequence of earthquakes is initiated, the sequence may continue, sometimes with larger earthquakes, long after potentially causally related drilling or injection activities have ceased.\textsuperscript{327}

- June 1, 2015 – In a data-rich presentation, a team of researchers from St. Louis University, Colorado State University, and USGS concluded that “a fundamental change in the earthquake-triggering process has occurred” in central Oklahoma. Using advanced field monitoring and high-performance software, computer models illustrate active earthquake sequences associated with long fault structures “that might be capable of supporting large earthquakes (M 5 to 6)” and possibly cascades of earthquakes, which could occur near population centers and expensive infrastructure associated with the oil and gas industry, such as a large underground crude-oil storage facility.\textsuperscript{328}

- May 11, 2015 – A series of directives from the Oklahoma Corporation Commission revealed a slowly evolving approach to the regulation of disposal well operations in that state, and the gradual tightening of a “traffic light system” introduced in 2013 to determine whether disposal wells for fracking waste should be permitted, permitted only with special restrictions and requirements, or not permitted, in light of the now-proven connection between the injection of liquid waste and the soaring frequency of earthquakes in Oklahoma. Since 2013, earthquake activity in Oklahoma has continued to increase in rate and intensity.\textsuperscript{329, 330}
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• April 23, 2015 – In a first-of-its-kind approach, the USGS is updating its National Seismic Hazard Model (NSHM) to address the rapidly increasing, highly variable, and difficult-to-predict hazards of induced earthquakes. This initial report identified 17 areas within eight states (Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Kansas, New Mexico, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Texas) with increased rates of induced seismicity, including many areas experiencing earthquakes of large magnitude. Two days before the release of this report, Oklahoma’s state government acknowledged for the first time that wastewater disposal related to oil and gas drilling is “very likely” to blame for the huge surge of earthquakes in many areas of Oklahoma, the New York Times reported. Several states have developed protocols to shut down existing wells and halt drilling of new disposal wells following an upsurge in earthquake activity.

• April 21, 2015 – Analyzing the unusual increase of seismicity in north Texas since 2008, researchers from Southern Methodist University, the USGS, and University of Texas at Austin concluded that observed earthquake swarms were associated both with extraction (of gas and brine formation waters) and injection (of fracking wastewater), via significant stress changes at earthquake depths. The research team noted that baseline pressure monitoring data, though easy to obtain and routinely collected by industry at well sites, were currently “neither required nor typically available for analysis.” Greater transparency and cooperation in regional seismic monitoring is needed to generate more comprehensive data sets that are necessary for robust earthquake hazard analysis, they asserted.

• April 21, 2015 – In a statement reporting on an increase in earthquakes in Oklahoma of greater than magnitude 3.0 from less than two per year historically to over two per day in 2015, the Oklahoma Geological Society acknowledged that that the primary, suspected source of “triggered seismicity” is the injection and disposal of produced water associated with oil and gas production.

---


• March 30, 2015 – *Bloomberg Business* reported that Oklahoma state seismologists had received pressure from oil industry representatives to downplay the evidence linking fracking wastewater disposal to the soaring frequency of earthquakes in the state.  
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• March 6, 2015 – A careful and detailed analysis of historical data coupled with onsite, real-time measurements of seismic activity in central Oklahoma via rapidly deployed seismic sensors revealed that reactivated ancient faults responsible for thousands of earthquakes in Oklahoma are capable of causing larger seismic events. Current hazard maps did not include induced seismicity and therefore underestimate earthquake hazard, the USGS reported. Until new hazard maps become available, providing information about the type, length, and location of these reactivated faults could provide guidance to the oil and gas industry and help inform public policy decisions. In addition, noted lead author Dan McNamara, such information can “aid in adapting building codes to ensure that structures can withstand more damaging earthquakes.”
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• February 20, 2015 – Scientists with the USGS reported in *Science* about grappling with an unexpected increase in injection-related seismic activity across the middle of North America. In 2014, the number of measured earthquakes with magnitude of 3 or greater in Oklahoma exceeded that in California, and observations increasingly suggested that the effects of fluid injection were not confined to the target formation but instead were communicated, sometimes to greater depths, along pre-existing faults. Making hazard modeling more difficult, “most of these faults are only detected when they are imaged by well-located induced earthquakes.” Consequently, predicting and controlling such seismic activity may not be possible, leading to a recommendation that injection projects should be sited away from population centers.
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• February 5, 2015 – Citing an association between increased water use and fracking-induced seismic activity, a research scientist at the Geological Survey of Canada offered the quantity of water injected underground as his hypothesis for an observed increase in the frequency and magnitude of earthquake activity in areas near fracking wells. Although the Council of Canadian Academies in 2014 called for more monitoring and data collection, there are only ten monitoring stations in British Columbia, overseeing the operations of thousands of fracking wells, reported the *Vancouver Observer*.

340
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January 29, 2015 – The industry-funded Alberta Energy Regulator confirmed that the location of an earthquake of magnitude 4.4 near Fox Creek, Alberta, was “consistent with being induced by hydraulic fracturing operations,” making it the largest felt earthquake yet believed to be related to fracking. Despite claims from industry that tremors related to deep-level fracking could never reach magnitudes that would allow them to be felt on the surface, Gail Atkinson, who holds the Canada Research Chair in Induced Seismicity Hazards at Western University in Ontario, noted, “With fracking, the magnitudes have been increasing every year.”

January 6, 2015 – Using a specialized program, Miami University researchers analyzed data from multiple seismic stations and determined that a cluster of 77 earthquakes in Poland Township, Ohio, which occurred over the course of a little more than a week, was related temporally and spatially to active hydraulic fracturing operations. When the fracturing operations were shut down, the rate of earthquake activity declined to only 6 events in the next 12 hours and only a single event over approximately the next two months. Among this cluster of seismic activity, an earthquake of magnitude 3.0 ranks as one of the largest earthquakes in the United States to be induced by hydraulic fracturing. The mechanism for these earthquakes appears to be induction of slip along a pre-existing fault or fracture zone. Because “no known fault or historical seismicity had been [previously] identified in the area,” regulations prohibiting fracturing within three miles of a known fault would not have been protective.

December 18, 2014 – In Canada, an investigation by the British Columbia Oil and Gas Commission found that induced seismicity in the Horn River Basin could be attributed both to wastewater disposal and to hydraulic fracturing operations. The Commission recommended mitigation of induced seismicity from wastewater disposal by “reducing injection rates, limiting the increase in [subsurface] reservoir pressure, and locating distal from faults,” among other mitigation techniques.

October 23, 2014 – Researchers from USGS and the Global Seismological Services in Golden, Colorado, linked a 2011 magnitude 5.3 earthquake in Colorado, which damaged the foundations of several homes, to underground disposal of fracking wastewater. The study determined that the earthquake ruptured an 8 to 10 kilometer-long segment of normal faults—an unexpectedly long length for a magnitude 5.3 earthquake—suggesting

---

that wastewater disposal may have triggered a low stress drop. Lead author Bill Barnhart, a USGS geophysicist, told Reuters, “We saw a big increase in seismicity starting in 2001, including magnitude 5 earthquakes, in many locations in the basin, and that coincided with a surge in gas production and injection of wastewater.”

- September 23, 2014 – Youngstown State University geologist Ray Beiersdorfer described increased seismic activity in Youngstown, Ohio in an essay that explores how fracking and fracking-related processes are causing “earthquake epidemics” across the United States.

- September 15, 2014 – Researchers at the National Energy Technology Laboratory teamed up with researchers from industry and academia to publish data and analysis from a closely watched project that involved field monitoring of the induced fracturing of six horizontal Marcellus Shale gas wells in Greene County, Pennsylvania. Touted in earlier media reports as demonstrating that, during short-term follow-up, fracking chemicals injected into these six wells did not spread to overlying aquifers, the study’s most notable finding is striking documentation of fractures from three of the six wells extending vertically to reach above an overlying rock layer previously thought to create an impenetrable “frac barrier” (that is, an upper barrier to fracture growth). In one case, a fracture extended vertically 1,900 feet, a surprisingly far distance. No pre-existing fault had been detected at this location, suggesting that small “pre-existing fractures or small-offset (sub-seismic) faults may have focused the energy of hydraulic fractures on certain areas.…” Perhaps because of the extremely small sample size and a design focused primarily on monitoring for potential gas and fluid migration, the study’s analysis includes no discussion of the seismic relevance of extremely long, vertical induced fractures.

- September 15, 2014 – Scientists from USGS ascribed causality to wastewater injection wells from coal-bed methane production for increases in seismic activity in New Mexico and Colorado and, in particular, for an earthquake that measured magnitude 5.3 in

---


Colorado in 2011—the second largest earthquake to date for which there is clear
evidence that the earthquake sequence was induced by fluid injection.352

- September 6, 2014 – The Ohio Department of Natural Resources suspended operations at
two deep-injection wells for fracking wastewater near Warren in northeastern Ohio after
discovering evidence that the operation possibly caused a magnitude 2.1 earthquake. The
injection well operator, American Water Management Services, had recently received
permission to increase pressures at the site of the wells. In 2012, Governor John Kasich
had halted disposal of fracking wastewater surrounding a well site in the same region
after a series of earthquakes were tied to a deep-injection well. The company that ran that
well has disputed the link. The state placed seismic-monitoring devices in the Warren
area under protocols adopted after the series of earthquakes in nearby Youngstown.353

- September 1, 2014 – Explaining the need for increased seismic monitoring,
Andrew Beaton, Director of the Alberta Geological Survey (AGS), stated that over a long
period of time, stresses increase in and around an injection well bore. Seismic movement
can be caused if the rate of injection is too fast or if there is a geological feature, such as a
fault or fracture in nearby areas. Although Albertans in rural areas have been reporting
for years that they can feel tremors under their feet near oil and gas activity, especially
around areas of fracking, the Alberta Energy Regulator noted that deep well injections
have been shown to create more of an earthquake hazard than hydraulic fracturing.
Alberta experienced 819 earthquakes between 1918 and 2009. In comparison,
Saskatchewan recorded 13 in the same time period and British Columbia recorded more
than 1,200 earthquakes in 2007 alone. There are currently 24 seismic monitors in Alberta,
which are tied into other networks, such as those belonging to Environment
Canada, University of Calgary, and University of Alberta.354

- August 26, 2014 – In a first-of-its-kind lawsuit, a resident of Prague, Oklahoma, sued two
energy companies after rocks fell from her chimney and injured her leg during an
earthquake of greater than magnitude 5. The lawsuit claims that underground injection of
fracking wastewater conducted by New Dominion LLC and Spess Oil Company has
caused shifts in fault lines that have resulted in earthquakes.355

- July 31, 2014 – William Ellsworth, a research geophysicist at the U.S. Geological Survey
Earthquake Science Center, reported that USGS is developing a hazard model that takes

sequence in the Raton Basin of Northern New Mexico and Southern Colorado [abstract]. *Bulletin of the
fracking-wastewater-after quake.html
354 Maclean, R. (2014, September 1). Earthquake hazard linked with deep well injection in Alberta: Deep well
disposal of oilfield waste over time leads to increased earthquake risk. *CBC News*. Retrieved from
355 Rangel, L. (2014, August 26). Prague resident files lawsuit against two Okla. energy companies
files-lawsuit-against-two-okla-energy-companies-following-earthquake-injury/
induced earthquakes into account. In addition, residents of Oklahoma, where a sharp spike in earthquake activity has been noted over the past decade, are showing an increased interest in obtaining earthquake insurance.\footnote{Eaton, J. (2014, July 31). Oklahoma grapples with earthquake spike—and evidence of industry's role: Spike in seismic activity is linked with oil and gas wastewater disposal. \textit{National Geographic}. Retrieved from http://news.nationalgeographic.com/energy/2014/07/140731-oklahoma-earthquake-spike-wastewater-injection/}

- July 3, 2014 – Using data from the Oklahoma Corporation Commission, a team of researchers led by Cornell University geophysicist Katie Keranen found that a steep rise in earthquakes in Oklahoma can be explained by fluid migration from wastewater disposal wells. Moreover, injected fluids in high volume wells triggered earthquakes over 30 kilometers (over 18 miles) away. All of the wells analyzed were operated in compliance with existing regulations. Similar mechanisms may function in other states with high volumes of underground injection of wastewater from unconventional oil and gas production.\footnote{Keranen, K. M., Weingarten, M., Abers, G. A., Bekins, B. A., & Ge, S. (2014). Sharp increase in central Oklahoma seismicity since 2008 induced by massive wastewater injection. \textit{Science}, 345(6195), 448-451. doi: 10.1126/science.1255802}

- July 1, 2014 – Seismologists linked the emergence of a giant sinkhole that formed in August 2012 near Bayou Corne in southeast Louisiana to tremors (earthquakes) caused by high-pressure pulses of either natural gas or water charged with natural gas. The surges of natural gas that caused the explosive tremors (earthquakes) may have weakened an adjacent salt cavern and caused its collapse. Alternatively, part of the salt cavern may have collapsed, causing a nearby gas pocket to give off surges of gas, later followed by the complete collapse of the salt cavern. These findings help illuminate the role of pressurized fluids in triggering seismic events.\footnote{Schmall, E. & Jouzapavicius, J. (2014, July 14). States with fracking see surge in earthquake activity. \textit{Associated Press}. Retrieved from http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2014/07/14/fracking-earthquake_n_5585892.html}

- June 24, 2014 – Following two earthquakes within a one-month period, the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission directed High Sierra Water Services to stop disposing wastewater into one of its Weld County injection wells. Monitoring by a team of seismologists from the University of Colorado had picked up evidence of continuing low-level seismic activity near the injection site, including a magnitude 2.6 event less than a month following a magnitude 3.4 earthquake that shook the Greeley area on May 31, 2014.\footnote{Nayak, A. & Dreger, D. S. (2014). Moment tensor inversion of seismic events associated with the sinkhole at Napoleonville Salt Dome, Louisiana. \textit{Bulletin of Seismological Society of America} 104(4), 1763-1776. doi: 10.1785/0120130260}

May 2, 2014 – The USGS and Oklahoma Geological Survey (OGS) jointly issued an official earthquake warning for Oklahoma, pointing out that the number of earthquakes in the state has risen 50 percent since just October—when the two agencies had issued a prior warning. The advisory stated that this dramatic increase in the frequency of small earthquakes “significantly increases the chance for a damaging quake in central Oklahoma.” Injection wells used for the disposal of liquid fracking waste have been implicated as the presumptive cause of the earthquake swarm. According to the OGS, about 80 percent of the state of Oklahoma is closer than ten miles from an injection well. Since the joint earthquake advisory was released in May, the number of earthquakes in Oklahoma has continued to rise. During the first four months of 2014, Oklahoma had experienced 109 earthquakes of magnitude 3 or higher on the Richter scale. By mid-June, the number of earthquakes had topped 200, exceeding the frequency of earthquakes in California.

May 2, 2014 – At the annual meeting of the Seismological Society of America, leading geologists warned that the risks and impacts of earthquakes from fracking and injection wells are even more significant than previously thought, pointing out that such earthquakes could occur tens of miles away from wells themselves, including quakes greater than magnitude 5.0. Justin Rubinstein, a research geophysicist at the USGS said, “This demonstrates there is a significant hazard. We need to address ongoing seismicity.” Seismologist Gail Atkinson reported, “We don’t know how to evaluate the likelihood that a [fracking or wastewater] operation will be a seismic source in advance.”

April 11, 2014 – State geologists reported a link between fracking and a spate of earthquakes in Ohio, prompting the Ohio Department of Natural Resources to place a moratorium on drilling in certain areas and to require greater seismic monitoring.

April 3, 2014 – Researchers linked earthquakes in Mexico to fracking in the Eagle Ford Shale, which extends beneath both southern Texas and northern Mexico. They also noted a statistical correlation between seismic activity and fracking, particularly in the border

state of Nuevo Leon, which registered at least 31 quakes between magnitude 3.1 and 4.3.

- April 2014 – Researchers from the University of Alberta and the Alberta Geological Survey published a study in the *Journal of Geophysical Research* that found wastewater injection in Alberta is highly correlated with spikes of seismic activity between October 2006 and March 2012. On November 13, 2014, *CBC News* reported on a more recent increase in earthquakes, which may also be linked to injection wells.

- March 7, 2014 – USGS researchers published a study confirming that Oklahoma’s damaging magnitude 5.7 earthquake in 2011 was caused by fracking wastewater injection. One of the authors of the study, seismologist Elizabeth Cochran, noted, “Even if wastewater injection only directly affects a low-hazard fault, those smaller events could trigger an event on a larger fault nearby.”

- January 30, 2014 – A USGS research team linked the rise in earthquakes in Colorado to fracking wastewater injection wells and announced that a study will be published in six to nine months.

- December 12, 2013 – The *New York Times* detailed the growing link between fracking wastewater injection wells and earthquakes, as well as between fracking itself and earthquakes, with a focus on Oklahoma and a recent magnitude 4.5 earthquake there. As The *New York Times* noted, “Oklahoma has never been known as earthquake country, with a yearly average of about 50 tremors, almost all of them minor. But in the past three years, the state has had thousands of quakes. This year has been the most active, with more than 2,600 so far, including 87 last week…. State officials say they are concerned, and residents accustomed to tornadoes and hail are now talking about buying earthquake insurance.”

---


November 19, 2013 – Reuters reported that a series of Oklahoma earthquakes in September of 2013 damaged several homes, and that more scientists in a number of states are concerned about earthquakes related to oil and gas development. Seismologist Austin Holland with the University of Oklahoma said, “This is a dramatic new rate of seismicity.”

July 19, 2013 – A study from the Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory linked 109 earthquakes in Youngstown, Ohio to fracking wastewater disposal.

July 11, 2013 – A study in Science by Columbia University’s Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory showed that deep-well injection of fracking waste can stress geological faults in ways that make them vulnerable to slipping. The research shows that distant natural earthquakes triggered swarms of smaller earthquakes on critically stressed faults. The researchers wrote, “The fluids [in wastewater injection wells] are driving the faults to their tipping point…. Areas with suspected anthropogenic earthquakes are more susceptible to earthquake-triggering from natural transient stresses generated by the seismic waves of large remote earthquakes.”

April 2013 – A group of British researchers stated that hydraulic fracturing itself was the likely cause of at least three earthquakes powerful enough to be felt by human beings at the surface. The researchers proposed that increases in the fluid pressure in fault zones were the causal mechanism for these three known instances of “felt seismicity” in the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom. The largest of these earthquakes was a magnitude 3.8 in the Horn River Basin, Canada.

March 26, 2013 – Scientists from the University of Oklahoma, Columbia University and USGS linked a 2011 swarm of earthquakes in Oklahoma to fracking waste disposal in that state. This included a magnitude 5.7 earthquake—possibly the largest ever triggered by wastewater injection—that injured two people, destroyed 14 homes, and was felt across 17 states. The research team concluded in a paper in the journal Geology

---


that their data called into question the previously predicted maximum size of injection-induced earthquakes.380, 381

- December 14, 2012 – At a 2012 American Geophysical Union meeting, scientists presented data and concluded that some U.S. states, including Oklahoma, Texas and Colorado, have experienced a significant rise in seismic activity coinciding with a boom in gas drilling, fracking and wastewater disposal. Scientists further found that Oklahoma has seen a significant increase in earthquakes linked to wastewater injection, that a 5.3 earthquake in New Mexico was linked to wastewater injection, and that earthquakes were increasingly common within two miles of injection wells in the Barnett Shale region of Texas. Art McGarr, a researcher at the U.S. Geological Survey Earthquake Science Center, concluded that, “The future probably holds a lot more in induced earthquakes as the gas boom expands.”382

- November 30, 2012, January 11, 2012, December 22, 2009 – In three sets of comments on proposed fracking guidelines and regulations, citing scientific reports linking oil and gas infrastructure to seismic activity, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYC DEP) raised serious concerns about the impacts of potential seismic activity from fracking-related activities on New York City’s water supply infrastructure.383, 384, 385 The NYC DEP has consistently raised concerns that seismic activity surrounding New York City’s aquifers and watershed infrastructure could threaten the city’s drinking water supply by triggering microseismic events and small induced earthquakes that could threaten the integrity of the aging, 100-mile-long aqueducts that carry drinking water from the Catskill Mountains into the New York City metropolitan area. The agency expressed specific concerns about the ability of hydraulic fracturing fluids to migrate underground and to intercept and reactivate faults miles away.

• September 6, 2012 – The British Columbia Oil and Gas Commission determined that fracking itself causes earthquakes, pointing to the results of a probe into 38 seismic events near fracking operations in the Horn River Basin. The report noted that no quakes had been recorded in the area prior to April 2009, before fracking activities began. The report recommended that the link between fracking and seismic activity be further examined.\textsuperscript{386}

• March 29, 2012 – The USGS found that between 2001 and 2011, there was a six-fold increase in earthquakes greater than magnitude 3.0 in the middle of the United States that “are almost certainly manmade.” The agency reported that the increase appears to be linked to oil and gas production and deep injection of drilling wastewater.\textsuperscript{387, 388}

• July 31, 2011 – Numerous earthquakes in Arkansas motivated the Arkansas Oil and Gas Commission to shut down a disposal well and enact a permanent moratorium on future disposal wells in a nearly 1,200 square-mile area of the Fayetteville Shale.\textsuperscript{389}

• March 10, 2010 – In Texas, a 2008-2009 swarm of earthquakes in the Dallas-Fort Worth area, where the Barnett Shale is being developed, was linked to produced water disposal wells.\textsuperscript{390}

• June 12, 2009 – \textit{The Wall Street Journal} reported that earthquakes shook Cleburne, Texas, a small town at the epicenter of fracking activity, including a number of earthquake clusters in the Dallas-Fort Worth area. The USGS noted that more earthquakes were detected during that period of fracking activity than in the previous 30 years combined.\textsuperscript{391}


Abandoned and active oil and natural gas wells as pathways for gas and fluid migration

Millions of abandoned and undocumented oil and gas wells exist across the United States, according to the U.S. Department of Energy. All serve as potential pathways for gas and fluid migration, heightening the risks of groundwater contamination and other problems. Vertical channels can be opened when fractures from new drilling and fracking operations intersect with old, abandoned wells. Research from Pennsylvania shows that, cumulatively, abandoned wells are a significant source of methane leakage into the atmosphere and may exceed cumulative total leakage from oil and gas wells currently in production. No state or federal agency routinely monitors methane leakage from abandoned wells. Industry experts, consultants and government agencies including the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) the U.S. General Accounting Office (now the Government Accountability Office), Texas Department of Agriculture, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYS DEC), Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, and the British Columbia Oil and Gas Commission have all warned about problems with abandoned wells due to the potential for pressurized fluids and gases to migrate through inactive and in some cases, active wells.

- July 9, 2015 – As part of an extensive, peer-reviewed assessment of fracking in California, the California Council on Science and Technology identified leakage through failed, inactive wells as a known mechanism for fracking-related water contamination in other states, including Texas and Ohio, and said that it is not known whether abandoned wells in California likewise function as conduits for groundwater contamination and gas leakage. In California, there are more inactive than active wells. Of the state’s nearly quarter million oil and gas wells, more than half (116,000) have been plugged and abandoned, while another 1,800 inactive wells are “buried” with only an approximate location known. The locations of another 338 old wells are entirely unknown. California also has 110 orphaned wells, that is, abandoned wells with no owners. Most of California’s abandoned wells (53 percent) are located in Kern County.

- May 11, 2015 – CBC News reported that falling gas and oil prices have prompted many smaller companies to abandon their operations in Alberta, Canada, leaving the provincial government to close down and dismantle their wells. In the past year alone, the number of orphaned wells in Alberta increased from 162 to 702. At the current rate of work, deconstructing the inventory of wells abandoned just in the past year alone will be a 20-year task.

- April 27, 2015 – In a peer-reviewed study, researchers with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service documented 5,002 wells located on National Wildlife Refuge System units, in


In addition to 1,339 miles of pipeline. Almost half of the wells were inactive, while one-third were active and the remainder either plugged and abandoned or with status unknown. Highlighting the impacts of leaks, spills, and routine operation and maintenance on wildlife conservation efforts, the authors called for regular on-site ecological assessments, improved efforts to plug inactive wells and restore inactive well sites, and a “consolidated and robust regulatory framework” to protect the public’s interests.

- December 8, 2014 – A Princeton University team found that abandoned oil and gas wells in Pennsylvania, left over from prior decades of conventional drilling, leak significantly more methane than previously thought. Between 300,000 and 500,000 abandoned oil and gas wells are located in Pennsylvania, and many go unchecked and unmonitored for leaks. Based on direct measurements of methane flow from 19 such wells, most of which were a half century old or older, the researchers estimated that the methane leaks from abandoned wells alone could account for between 4 and 7 percent of human-caused methane emissions in the state. Based on these measurements of positive methane flow from decades-old wells, the authors concluded that cumulative emissions from these abandoned wells “may be significantly larger than the cumulative leakage associated with oil and gas production, which has a shorter lifetime of operation.” Further, methane flow rates from plugged wells measured in this study were not consistently lower than unplugged wells and indeed were sometimes higher, even though wells are plugged for the precise purpose of limiting the escape of gases. The authors noted that an estimated three million abandoned oil and gas wells are scattered across the United States and likely represent “the second largest potential contribution to total US methane emissions above US Environmental Protection Agency estimates.” In the United States, no regulatory requirements for monitoring methane leaks from abandoned wells exist.

- December 1, 2013 – An analysis of reports from the NYS DEC found that three-quarters of the state’s abandoned oil and gas wells were never plugged. New York State has approximately 48,000 such wells; many of their locations remain unknown.

- Aug. 4, 2011 – A report from the EPA to Congress in 1987—and discovered by the New York Times—concluded that abandoned natural gas wells may have served as a pathway for hydraulic fracturing fluids to migrate underground from a shale gas well to a water well in West Virginia. In noting that the water well was polluted due to hydraulic fracturing and that such contamination was “illustrative” of contamination from oil and

---

natural gas drilling, the report suggested that additional cases of groundwater contamination from hydraulic fracturing may exist.\(^\text{398}\)

- April 4, 2011 – *ProPublica* reported that abandoned wells have caused problems across the nation including contamination of drinking water in Colorado, Kentucky, Michigan, New York, Texas, and other states. *ProPublica* also found that a draft report from the Pennsylvania DEP described a 2008 incident in Pennsylvania in which a person died in an explosion triggered by lighting a candle in a bathroom after natural gas had seeped into a septic system from an abandoned well. The same draft report documented at least two dozen additional cases in which gas leaked from old wells, and three in which gas from new wells migrated into old wells, seeping into water supplies and requiring the evacuation of homes.\(^\text{399}\)

- May 20, 2010 – The British Columbia Oil and Gas Commission issued a safety advisory after hydraulic fracturing caused a large “kick,” or unintentional entry of fluid or gas, into a nearby gas well. The commission reported that it knew of 18 incidents in British Columbia and one in Western Alberta in which hydraulic fractures had entered nearby gas wells. “Large kicks resulted in volumes up to 80 cubic meters [about 100 cubic yards] of fluids produced to surface. Invading fluids have included water, carbon dioxide, nitrogen, sand, drilling mud, other stimulation fluids and small amounts of gas.” These cases occurred in horizontal wells with a distance between wellbores of up to 2,300 feet. The Commission wrote, “It is recommended that operators cooperate through notifications and monitoring of all drilling and completion operations where fracturing takes place within 1000m [3,280 feet] of well bores existing or currently being drilled.” Such communication between active wells raises the potential that similar communication can occur between active wells and abandoned wells.\(^\text{400}\)

- 2010 – The NYS DEC cautioned that “abandoned wells can leak oil, gas and/or brine; underground leaks may go undiscovered for years. These fluids can contaminate ground and surface water, kill vegetation, and cause public safety and health problems.” As the agency reported, “DEC has at least partial records on 40,000 wells, but estimates that over 75,000 oil and gas wells have been drilled in the State since the 1820s. Most of the wells date from before New York established a regulatory program. Many of these old wells were never properly plugged or were plugged using older techniques that were less reliable and long-lasting than modern methods.”\(^\text{401}\) The agency published similar comments in 2008 and 2009.

- January 2009 – In a presentation before the Society of Petroleum Engineers, industry consultant Michael C. Vincent reported on evidence that fractures from hydraulically


fractured wells can communicate with nearby oil and gas wells. In spite of numerous examples of fractures intersecting with adjacent wellbores, the industry is reluctant to publish reports documenting these cases because “such information could unnecessarily alarm regulators or adjacent leaseholders.” Vincent added, “Although computing tools have improved, as an industry we remain incapable of fully describing the complexity of the fracture, reservoir, and fluid flow regimes.” These findings raise the possibility that there could be similar communications between existing fracked wells that are fractured and abandoned wells and that operators cannot accurately predict how these will interact.

- 2005 – M.K. Fisher, Vice President of Business Management at Pinnacle, a service of Halliburton that specializes in hydraulic fracturing, reported in an article published by the Society of Petroleum Engineers that a single fracture produced during a fracking operation in the Texas Barnett Shale had unexpectedly spread 2,500 feet laterally in two directions. He also described fractures in the Barnett Shale as “extremely complex.” These findings raise the possibility that well communication over very large distances could occur due to fractures that spread “unexpectedly.”

- October 1999 – The U.S. Department of Energy reported that there were approximately 2.5 million abandoned oil and gas wells in the U.S.

- Early 1990s – An underground waste disposal well in McKean County, Pennsylvania, contaminated groundwater when the wastewater traveled up a nearby abandoned, unmapped, and unplugged oil well. Owners of private water wells that were contaminated by the incident eventually had to be connected to a public water system.

- July 1989 – In the past, the investigative agency for Congress, the U.S. General Accounting Office (now the Government Accountability Office—GAO) studied oil and natural gas underground injection disposal wells and found serious cases of contamination. The agency reported that, in several cases, wastewater from oil and natural gas operations had migrated up into abandoned oil and natural gas wells, contaminating underground water supplies. The GAO found that “if these abandoned wells are not properly plugged—that is, sealed off—and have cracked casings, they can serve as pathways for injected brines [waste fluids from natural gas and oil drilling] to enter drinking water…. Because groundwater moves very slowly, any contaminants that

---


enter it will remain concentrated for long periods of time, and cleanup, if it is technically feasible, can be prohibitively costly.”

- December 1987 – The EPA submitted a report to Congress on oil and natural gas wastes in which the agency cautioned that abandoned wells must be plugged with cement in order to avoid “degradation” of ground and surface waters as a result of pressurized brine or injected waste from wastewater disposal wells migrating into to aquifers, rivers, or streams. While the EPA did not address the potential for contamination through abandoned wells as a result of hydraulic fracturing, both hydraulic fracturing and underground injection disposal wells require underground injection of fluid under pressure, raising the potential that there is a similar risk of groundwater contamination when hydraulic fracturing occurs near abandoned wells.

- 1985 – In an investigation of 4,658 complaints due to oil and natural gas production, the Texas Department of Agriculture found that “when a water well is experiencing an oilfield pollution problem (typically, high chlorides), the pollution source is often difficult to track down. The source could be a leak in the casing of a disposal well, leakage behind the casing due to poor cement bond, old saltwater evaporation pits, or, most often, transport of contaminants through an improperly plugged abandoned well” (emphasis in original). The agency found more than a dozen confirmed or suspected cases in which pollutants had migrated up abandoned wells and contaminated groundwater. In one case, drilling wastewater migrated up an abandoned well a half mile away from where the wastewater was injected underground for disposal.

- November 1978 – In a report later cited by the EPA in its 1987 report to Congress (cited above), the state of Illinois Environmental Protection Agency found that oil and natural gas wastes injected underground could migrate through abandoned oil and natural gas wells and contaminate groundwater. The agency wrote, “In old production areas, abandoned wells may pose a serious threat to ground water quality. Unplugged or improperly plugged wells provide possible vertical communication between saline and fresh water aquifers.”

---

408 Texas Department of Agriculture, Department of Natural Resources. (1985). Agricultural land and water contamination: From injection wells, disposal pits, and abandoned wells used in oil and gas production (pp. 5, 12-15). Austin, TX: Dept. of Agriculture, Office of Natural Resources.
Flood risks

Massive land clearing and forest fragmentation that necessarily accompany well site preparation increase erosion and risks for catastrophic flooding, as do access roads, pipeline easements, and other related infrastructure. Compared to an acre of forest or meadow, an acre of land subject to fracking construction activity releases 1,000 to 2,000 times more sediment during rainstorms. In addition, in some cases, operators choose to site well pads on flood-prone areas in order to have easy access to water for fracking, to abide by setback requirements intended to keep well pads away from inhabited buildings, or to avoid productive agricultural areas. In turn, flooding increases the dangers of unconventional gas extraction, heightening the risks of contamination of soils and water supplies, the overflow or breaching of containment ponds, and the escape of chemicals and hazardous materials.

- June 12, 2015 – At the beginning of 2015, after a month of record-breaking rainfall, Fish and Wildlife Service officials at the Hagerman National Wildlife Refuge in Texas found that floodwaters flowing through oil production well pads in the refuge had inundated dozens of jackpumps, pipelines, and other oil and gas infrastructure, leaving bubbling, oily water and a gassy stench. In 1989, the Government Accountability Office called for “bold action” to address fossil fuel production activities incompatible with the mission of the refuge system. Subsequent reforms have been exceedingly slow, according to a report from Greenwire. In most cases, the Fish and Wildlife Service does not know how much fossil fuel is produced or spilled on refuges, and remediation efforts are inadequate. Severe weather events are expected to increase in frequency and severity as climate change progresses, amplifying flood related concerns.\(^{410}\)

- June 20, 2014 – The Coloradoan reported that Noble Energy storage tanks damaged by spring flooding in Colorado dumped 7,500 gallons of crude oil, fracking chemicals, and fracking wastewater into the Cache la Poudre River, which is both a National Heritage area and a habitat for Colorado’s only self-sustaining population of wild trout. Recent high river flows had undercut the bank where the oil tank was located, which caused the tank to drop and break a valve.\(^{411}\)

- March 2014 – An extraordinary flood that struck the Front Range of Colorado killed ten people, forced the evacuation of 18,000 more, destroyed more than 1,850 homes, and damaged roads, bridges, and farmland throughout the state. More than 2,650 oil and gas wells and associated facilities were also affected, with 1,614 wells lying directly within the flood impact zone. Many of these storm-damaged facilities and storage tanks leaked uncontrollably. In a later accounting, Matt Lepore, Director of the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission, estimated the flooding had resulted in the release to the environment of 48,250 gallons of oil or condensate and 43,479 gallons of fracking wastewater from 50 different spill sites across the state. In Colorado, more than 20,850 oil and gas wells lie within 500 feet of a river, stream, or other drainage. According to


Director Lepore, setback requirements that keep drilling and fracking operations away from residential areas inadvertently encourage operators to drill in unoccupied floodplains. At the same time, oil and gas operators prefer locations close to supplies of water for use in fracking. These twin factors result in a clustering of drilling and fracking operations in low-lying areas prone to catastrophic flooding.\(^\text{412}\)

- **2004-2013** – In at least six of the last ten years (2004, 2005, 2006, 2009, 2011, and 2013), several counties targeted for shale gas drilling in New York State have experienced serious flooding. These include the counties of Albany, Broome, Cattaraugus, Chautauqua, Chenango, Delaware, Erie, Greene, Madison, Orange, Otsego, Schoharie, Sullivan and Ulster. In at least five of the past 10 years (2004, 2005, 2006, 2009 and 20011), floods have exceeded 100-year levels in at least some of the counties.\(^\text{413}, \text{414}, \text{415}, \text{416}, \text{417}, \text{418}, \text{419}\)

- **February 7, 2013** – In its 2012 annual report to investors, oil and natural gas drilling company Noble Energy stated, “Our operations are subject to hazards and risks inherent in the drilling, production and transportation of crude oil and natural gas, including … flooding which could affect our operations in low-lying areas such as the Marcellus Shale.”\(^\text{420}\)

- **September 7, 2011** – The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation’s (NYS DEC) draft shale gas drilling plan recommended that drilling be prohibited within 100-year floodplains but acknowledged that many areas in the Delaware and Susquehanna River basins that were affected by flooding in 2004 and 2006 were located


\(^{419}\) New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. (2011). *Supplemental generic environmental impact statement on the oil, gas and solution mining regulatory program, well permit issuance for horizontal drilling and high-volume hydraulic fracturing to develop the Marcellus shale and other low-permeability gas reservoirs* (2-32, 33, Rep.).

\(^{420}\) Noble Energy, Annual Report (Form 10-K) (Feb. 7, 2013) at 42.

- 1992 – In its Generic Environmental Impact Statement (GEIS) for oil and natural gas drilling, which was predicated on conventional drilling, the NYS DEC raised concerns that storage tanks holding drilling wastewater, spent hydraulic fracturing fluid, or other contaminants could be damaged by flooding and leak. At the time, the GEIS called for at least some of these tanks to be properly secured. Shale gas extraction via horizontal fracking would require many more storage tanks for fracking fluids and wastewater than conventional drilling operations anticipated in 1992 when the agency estimated that oil and gas wells in the state would each require 20,000 to 80,000 gallons of fracking fluid. As of 2011, the agency anticipated that high volume, horizontally fracked shale gas wells in New York State would each require 2.4 to 7.8 million gallons of fluid—roughly 100 times the 1992 estimate.

### Threats to agriculture and soil quality

**Drilling and fracking take agricultural land out of production and pose risks to the agricultural sector. In California, fracking wastewater illegally injected into aquifers has threatened crucial irrigation supplies to farmers in a time of severe drought. The reuse of fracking wastewater for irrigation in California’s San Joaquin Valley raises questions about contamination of food crops via bioabsorption through roots. Studies and case reports from across the country have highlighted instances of deaths, neurological disorders, aborted pregnancies, and stillbirths in cattle and goats associated with livestock coming into contact with wastewater. Potential water and air contamination put soil quality as well as livestock health at risk. Additionally, farmers have expressed concern that nearby fracking operations can hurt the perception of agricultural quality and nullify value-added organic certification.**

- May 2, 2015 – The Los Angeles Times reported that farmers in Kern County, California purchased over 21 million gallons of treated oil field wastewater to use for crop irrigation.

---

421 New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. (2011). *Supplemental generic environmental impact statement on the oil, gas and solution mining regulatory program, well permit issuance for horizontal drilling and high-volume hydraulic fracturing to develop the Marcellus shale and other low-permeability gas reservoirs* (ES-22, 2-32, 33, Rep.).

422 New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. (2011). *Supplemental generic environmental impact statement on the oil, gas and solution mining regulatory program, well permit issuance for horizontal drilling and high-volume hydraulic fracturing to develop the Marcellus shale and other low-permeability gas reservoirs* (ES-8, Rep.).

423 New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. (2011). *Supplemental generic environmental impact statement on the oil, gas and solution mining regulatory program, well permit issuance for horizontal drilling and high-volume hydraulic fracturing to develop the Marcellus shale and other low-permeability gas reservoirs* (ES-8, Rep.).
The article identified lingering questions about chemicals remaining after treatment and their potential impact both on the crops and those who consume them. Independent testing identified chemicals including acetone and methylene chloride, along with oil, in the treated irrigation water.\textsuperscript{425} Acetone and methylene chloride are powerful industrial solvents that are highly toxic to humans, and samples of the wastewater contained concentrations of both that were higher than those seen at oil spill disaster sites. (Chevron’s own report confirmed the presence of acetone, benzene, and xylene, though in lesser concentrations; Chevron did not appear to test for methylene chloride.\textsuperscript{426}) Broader testing requirements involving chemicals covered under California's new fracking disclosure regulations went into effect June 15, 2015.\textsuperscript{427}

- April 24, 2015 – Unconventional technologies in gas and oil extraction facilitated the drilling of an average of 50,000 new fractured wells per year in North America over the past 15 years. An interdisciplinary study published in Science demonstrated that the accumulating land degradation has resulted in continent-wide impacts, as measured by the reduced amount of carbon absorbed by plants and accumulated as biomass. This is a robust metric of essential ecosystem services, such as food production, biodiversity, and wildlife habitat, and its loss “is likely long-lasting and potentially permanent.” The land area occupied by well pads, roads, and storage facilities built during this period is approximately three million hectares, roughly the land area of three Yellowstone National Parks. The authors concluded that new approaches to land use planning and policy are “necessary to achieve energy policies that minimize ecosystem service losses.”\textsuperscript{428}

- January 26, 2015 – Two Colorado scientists performed a detailed analysis of vegetative patterns – followed chronologically – over a selected group of well pads in Colorado managed by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management, including two undisturbed reference sites. They documented the disturbance of plant and soil systems linked to contemporary oil and gas well pad construction, and found that none of the oil and gas well pads included in the study returned to pre-drilling condition, even after 20 to 50 years. Full restoration may require decades of intensive effort.\textsuperscript{429}

- October 14, 2014 – State documents obtained by the Center for Biological Diversity show that almost three billion gallons of fracking wastewater have been illegally dumped into central California aquifers that supply drinking water and farm irrigation. The California Water Board confirmed that several oil companies used at least nine of 11


injection wells that connect with high-quality water sources for disposal of fracking wastewater, which included high levels of arsenic, thallium, and nitrates. The California Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources has shut down 11 oil field injection wells and is scrutinizing almost 100 others for posing a “danger to life, health, property, and natural resources.” At least one farming company has sued oil producers in part for contaminating groundwater that farms use for irrigation.\(^{430}\)

- September 6, 2014 – *Al Jazeera America* examined the challenges that North Dakota farmers are facing in light of wastewater spills from oil and gas development. Notably, in heavily drilled Bottineau County, some levels of chloride, from sites where an estimated 16,800 to 25,200 gallons of wastewater had seeped into the ground, were so high that they exceeded the levels measurable with the North Dakota Department of Health’s test strips. State records, testimonies from oil workers and various residents, and the decades-long failure of contaminated fields to produce crops indicate that wastewater spills are a significant hazard in the current fracking boom.\(^{431}\)

- August 6, 2014 – The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection found that leaks of fracking wastewater from three impoundments contaminated soil and groundwater. The findings prompted the state to issue a violation and increase monitoring and testing.\(^{432}\)

- August 5, 2014 – Michelle Bamberger, a veterinarian and researcher, and Robert Oswald, a professor of molecular medicine at Cornell University, published a book that describes their research into the impacts of drilling and fracking on agriculture and animal health. They detail results of 24 case studies from six gas drilling states, including follow-up on cases they previously published in the peer-reviewed literature, raising numerous concerns about the effects of drilling and fracking on agriculture and the health of animals.\(^{433}\)

- August 1, 2014 – At least 19,000 gallons of hydrochloric acid spilled during completion of a fracking well on an alfalfa farm in Kingfisher County, Oklahoma. The Oklahoma Corporation Commission reported concerns about rain pushing chemical runoff into a nearby creek that flows into the town of Hennessey’s water system. The responsible company, Blake Production, planned to pay for the alfalfa crop for six years. The


landowner and a neighbor were pursuing litigation.\textsuperscript{434}

- **May 4, 2014** – In an analysis of state data from Colorado, the *Denver Post* reported that fracking related to oil and gas drilling is putting soil quality and farmlands at risk due to significant amounts of toxic fluids penetrating the soil. According to report, 578 spills were reported in 2013, which means that, on average in the state, a gallon of toxic liquid penetrates the ground every eight minutes. Colorado State University soil scientist Eugene Kelly, said that the overall impact of the oil and gas boom “is like a death sentence for soil.”\textsuperscript{435}

- **November 28, 2012** – In conjunction with the Food & Environment Reporting Network, *The Nation* reported that serious risks to agriculture caused by fracking are increasing across the country and linked these concerns to risks to human health.\textsuperscript{436}

- **January 2012** – A study of gas drilling’s impacts on human and animal health concluded that the drilling process may lead to health problems. The study reported and analyzed a number of case studies, including dead and sick animals in several states that had been exposed to drilling or hydraulic fracturing fluids, wastewater, or contaminated ground or surface water.\textsuperscript{437} The researchers cited 24 cases in six states where animals and their owners were potentially affected by gas drilling. In one case, a farmer separated 96 head of cattle into three areas, one along a creek where fracking wastewater was allegedly dumped and the remainder in fields without access to the contaminated creek; the farmer found that, of the 60 head exposed to the creek, 21 died and 16 failed to produce, whereas the unexposed cattle experienced no unusual health problems. In another case, a farmer reported that of 140 head of cattle that were exposed to fracking wastewater, about 70 died, and there was a high incidence of stillborn and stunted calves in the remaining cattle.\textsuperscript{438}

- **January 2011** – U.S. Forest Service researchers reported dramatic negative effects on vegetation caused by the drilling and fracking of a natural gas well in an experimental forest in northeastern West Virginia.\textsuperscript{439} In June 2008, the researchers found browning of foliage near the well pad, a lack of ground foliage, and that many trees nearby had


dropped their foliage. They attributed these impacts to the loss of control of the wellbore on May 29, 2008, which caused an aerial release of materials from the well. Trees showed no apparent symptoms the following summer. However, the researchers also found “dramatic impacts on vegetation” where drilling and fracking wastewater had been sprayed on the land as a disposal technique following completion of the well. Just after the spraying of approximately 60,000 gallons of wastewater at the first disposal site, the Forest Service researchers found 115 damaged trees and other evidence of harm. This figure grew to 147 trees almost a year later. At a second site, where about 20,000 gallons of wastewater was sprayed, the damage was less dramatic, yet the researchers still found “considerable leaf browning and mortality of young northern red oak seedlings.” The researchers concluded that the spraying of the drilling fluids resulted in an “extreme” dose of chlorides to the forest.

- May 2010 – Pennsylvania’s Department of Agriculture quarantined 28 cows in Tioga County after the animals wandered through a spill of drilling wastewater and may have ingested some of it. The Department was concerned that beef eventually produced from the cows could be contaminated as a result of any exposure. In May 2011, only ten yearlings were still quarantined, but the farmer who owned the cows, Carol Johnson, told National Public Radio that of 17 calves born to the quarantined cows in the spring of 2011, only six survived, and many of the calves that were lost were stillborn. “They were born dead or extremely weak. It’s highly unusual,” she said, continuing, “I might lose one or two calves a year, but I don’t lose eight out of eleven.”

- March 2010 – A Pennsylvania State Extension analysis of dairy farms in the state found a decline in the number of dairy cows in areas of the state where fracking was prevalent. Pennsylvania counties that had both more than 10,000 dairy cows and more than 150 Marcellus Shale wells experienced a 16-percent decline in dairy cows between 2007 and 2010.

---


• April 28, 2009 – Seventeen cows in Caddo Parish, Louisiana died within one hour after apparently ingesting hydraulic fracturing fluids spilled at a well that was being fractured. “It seemed obvious the cattle had died acutely from an ingested toxin that had drained from the ‘fracking’ operation going on at the property,” Mike Barrington, a state veterinarian said in a document obtained from the state Department of Environmental Quality by The Times-Picayune.446, 447

• August 1977 – A paper in the Journal of Arboriculture describes how natural gas leaks in soil can damage plants and crops. The paper notes that vegetation dies in the vicinity of natural gas leaks. Due to the oxidation of methane by methane-consuming bacteria, gas leaks drive down the oxygen concentration to extremely low levels and cause carbon dioxide concentration to rise. The resulting low oxygen concentration is the greatest contributing factor in the death of trees and other vegetation near natural gas leaks.448

Threats to the climate system

A range of studies has shown high levels of methane leaks from gas drilling, fracking, storage, and transportation, undermining the notion that natural gas is a climate solution or a transition fuel. Major studies have concluded that early work by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) greatly underestimated the impacts of methane and natural gas drilling on the climate. Drilling, fracking, and expanded use of natural gas threaten not only to exacerbate climate change but also to stifle investments in, and expansion of, renewable energy. Further, the widely touted claim that the U.S. fracking boom is helping to drive recent declines in carbon dioxide emissions in the United States has been upended by new research showing that almost all of the emission reductions between 2007 and 2009 were the result of economic recession rather than coal-to-gas fuel switching, as was previously presumed.

• July 21, 2015 – An international team of researchers investigated the claim that the fracking boom, which has dramatically increased supplies of natural gas in the United States, is the main driver of the modest decline in carbon dioxide emissions since 2007. Conventional wisdom, as expressed by the Third National Climate Assessment of the U.S. Global Change Research Program, attributes the drop in emissions to a shift away from carbon dioxide-intensive coal and toward natural gas in power plants. But this team analyzed the sources of change in carbon dioxide emissions and, using a tool called input-output structural decomposition analysis, documented that the economic downturn, not fuel switching in the power sector, was the explanation for declining carbon dioxide emissions.

emissions since 2007. The single biggest impact on U.S. emissions was changes in the volume of goods and services consumed. Between 2007 and 2013, driven by a huge drop in the volume of capital investment, emissions associated with capital formation decreased by almost 25 percent. During the same period, emissions related to household consumption decreased by 11 percent.\(^{449}\)

- July 7, 2015 – A scientific opinion piece by Environmental Defense Fund researchers involved in a group of 11 studies on methane emissions in Texas’ Barnett Shale provided an overview and orientation to new research that either measured or estimated methane emissions from oil and gas operations. Research from both top-down estimates (based on measuring atmospheric methane or related compounds at regional or larger scales) and bottom-up measurements (made directly from components or at ground level near studied sites) demonstrated that methane emissions from oil and gas operations in the Barnett Shale region exceeded the emissions expected from the EPA’s greenhouse gas inventory, which relies on industry self-reporting and excludes many compressor stations. The new research detailed the importance of addressing high-emitting landfills and natural gas facilities (“super-emitters”) and malfunctioning equipment in efforts to control ongoing methane emissions.\(^{450}\)

- May 28, 2015 – A comprehensive working paper from the New Climate Economy initiative of the Global Commission on the Economy and Climate at Stockholm Environment Institute found that the experience in the United States of substituting natural gas for oil was unlikely to be replicated around the globe and probably will not provide climate benefits unless coupled with strict controls on methane leakage, limits on total energy use, and policies to prevent the displacement of non-fossil fuel energy by methane. Citing multiple studies of the net climate impact of “more abundant, cheaper natural gas supplies,” the Commission concluded that “both globally and for the United States, the increase in emissions from the scale effect [from increased energy consumption boosted by cheap natural gas and loss of potentially more expensive lower carbon approaches] fully offsets the emission benefits from the substitution effect, net of methane leakage.”\(^{451, 452}\)

- March 24, 2015 – A University of Cincinnati researcher and independent engineers documented that the Bacharach Hi-Flow Sampler (BHFS)—one of the only tools approved by the EPA for measuring and reporting emissions of methane from natural gas

---


transmission, storage, and processing facilities—failed to function properly when used as indicated by the manufacturer. The BHFS, unless recalibrated daily and running revised software (or taking measurements in a nearly pure methane environment, which is exceedingly rare in the field), misreported high levels of natural gas by as much as an order of magnitude lower than actual concentration. A reanalysis of 2011 results from the City of Fort Worth Air Quality Study revealed at least seven instances for which the BHFS indicated sample concentrations at or below 5 percent when more reliable canister methane readings indicated concentrations that ranged from 6.1 percent to 90.4 percent. Inaccurate measurements like these can contribute to the discrepancy between “top-down” and “bottom-up” measurements of methane, with ground-level measurements from the BHFS potentially producing reports of falsely low emissions.\(^{453}\) As we were going to press, this study was followed by another that further documented malfunctions in the BHFS device and called into question the results of a landmark 2013 survey of methane emissions at 190 drilling and fracking sites across the United States. That 2013 survey, from the University of Texas, relied on the BHFS device for collecting data and found very low leakage rates.\(^{454, 455}\)

- March 9, 2015 – With specialized equipment in a mobile van, University of Colorado, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Environmental Defense Fund, and independent researchers continuously measured methane and ethane from public roads at sites downwind of potential emission sources, such as natural gas production wellheads, processing plants, and compressor stations. The sampling method and modeling allowed capture of multiple “accidental” plumes, acquired during long drives across the study region between planned measurements near large facilities. Sampling was not random but documented a large number of facilities with low methane emission rates (equal to or less than 10 kg/hr), with a smaller yet important number of facilities showing much higher emissions. Although the largest measured emission in this study (1,360 kg/hr) corresponded to approximately $1.2 million in lost revenue per year, the authors noted that, in this industry, the “leak fraction” or “proportional loss” levels they documented would generally translate into only a small proportion of lost revenue, probably not sufficient to prompt strong energy-sector self-regulation.\(^{456}\)

- March 1, 2015 – Using a simulation model, the Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, Building and Nuclear Safety, writing for Germany’s Federal Environmental Agency, found that shale gas was not a cheap option to reduce global

---


greenhouse gas emissions. Multiple comparison simulations found that shale gas availability, especially in the short-term, tends to lead to higher emissions due to lower energy prices inducing higher use. The net result is higher costs to achieve compliance with climate targets. In this model, shale gas was also found to compete in an unhelpful way with renewable energy sources, resulting in reduced use of renewable energy sources and reduced investment in energy efficiency measures.457

• January 8, 2015 – Using a single integrated modeling program that incorporates detailed estimates of the world’s reserves of oil, gas, and coal and is consistent with a wide variety of prior modeling approaches, University College London researchers demonstrated that, around the world, “a third of oil reserves, half of gas reserves and over 80 per cent of current coal reserves should remain unused from 2010 to 2050” in order to meet a target of less than or equal to a 2 degree Celsius rise in global temperature. In addition, “development of resources in the Arctic and any increase in unconventional oil production are incommensurate with efforts to limit average global warming” below the 2 degree threshold. Calling for a “stark transformation” of our understanding of fossil fuel availability, the authors noted that, in a climate-constrained world, fears of scarcity of fossil fuels must be superseded by a commitment to preventing overuse of existing resources and reserves.458

• November 26, 2014 – Stanford University and independent researchers compared coal and natural gas for power generation and concluded that the question of “whether natural gas plants are better than coal plants cannot be answered in the general case.” During the period of plant operation, “natural gas plants can produce greater near-term warming than coal plants, with the same power output.” They found that over time, natural gas plants can produce some reduction in near-term warming, but only if life cycle methane leakage rates are low and power plant efficiency is high. Relative to coal, there is the potential that “deployment of natural gas power plants could both produce excess near-term warming (if methane leakage rates are high) and produce excess long-term warming (if the deployment of natural gas plants today delays the transition to near-zero emission technologies).”459

• October 23, 2014 – Adding to the debate about natural gas and climate change, a multi-center, international research team used a sophisticated, integrated approach to the global energy-economy-climate systems question and found no climate benefit to natural gas over other fossil fuels. As summarized by the editor of Nature,

The development of hydraulic fracturing technologies has led to rapid growth in the use of natural gas as an energy source. Some evidence has suggested that this growing adoption of natural gas might lead to a reduced greenhouse gas burden and consequent mitigation of climate change. This collaboration between five energy–climate modelling teams show that instead—under a scenario of abundant natural gas availability—increased consumption will have little or no impact on climate change.” The authors concluded, “although market penetration of globally abundant gas may substantially change the future energy system, it is not necessarily an effective substitute for climate change mitigation policy.

- October 6, 2014 – Utilizing satellite data for the Bakken and Eagle Ford formations, scientists from Germany, the United Kingdom, and the University of Maryland confirmed that higher “top-down” estimates of fugitive methane leaks from oil and gas fields (which are obtained via tall tower flask samples, aircraft measurements, and road surveys) are more accurate than lower “bottom-up” estimates (which are obtained by summing emissions from different types of known sources at sites provided by participating utility companies). According to “bottom-up” estimates, the average U.S. leakage rate ranges from 1.2 – 2.0 percent. But satellite data show much higher leakage rates: 10.1 percent (± 7.3 percent) and 9.1 percent (± 6.2 percent), for the Bakken and Eagle Ford formations, respectively. These higher estimates indicate that current inventories likely underestimate fugitive emissions and call into question any immediate climate benefit from switching from coal to natural gas. Similar results were seen for the Marcellus shale region, but as a result of technical and geographical limitations, the authors declined to quantify their results, pending future studies with enhanced equipment.

- September 24, 2014 – According to a paper published by scientists from the University of California and Stanford University, “… without strong limits on [greenhouse gas] emissions or policies that explicitly encourage renewable electricity, abundant natural gas may actually slow the process of decarbonization, primarily by delaying deployment of renewable energy technologies.” The study builds on previous research by examining natural gas in a range of supply curves, with a tested economic model, and across three different types and levels of climate policy. Researchers found that abundant natural gas, even with low rates of methane leakage, does little to reduce—and may increase—greenhouse gases. They conclude that delaying deployment of renewable energy technologies “may actually exacerbate the climate change problem in the long term.”

- September 2, 2014 – Analyzing the level of greenhouse gas emissions attributable to electricity from natural-gas-fired power plants and coal-fired power plants, economist

---

Chris Busch and physicist Eric Gimon conclude that, over short time frames and at high rates of leakage, natural gas offers little benefit compared to coal and could exacerbate global warming. Although Busch and Gimon acknowledge that natural gas offers some reductions in greenhouse gas emissions over longer time frames, they point out that such reductions are not large enough for natural gas to play an expanded role in efforts to manage emissions. They conclude that under the best of circumstances, natural gas-fired electric power offers a modest benefit toward abating climate change, while if poorly developed (i.e., with extensive methane leaks, estimated by these authors to be on the order of 4 percent or higher), or if used to displace energy efficiency or renewable energy, natural gas could seriously contribute to increased greenhouse gas emissions.463

- August 5, 2014 – Reporting in Scientific American, the science news organization Climate Central outlined the natural gas-related factors that threaten any ability to achieve climate goals through President Obama’s proposed Clean Power Plan. “No one has any idea how much methane is leaking from our sprawling and growing natural gas system. This is a major problem, because without a precise understanding of the leak rate natural gas could actually make climate change worse.” Referring to an interactive Climate Central tool that runs various methane leakage scenarios, the article notes that, even given modest leak rates and an aggressive transition, “we could still end up with little or no climate benefits by 2030 after an enormous financial and political investment in natural gas.” 464

- July 25, 2014 – EPA’s Office of Inspector General reports that the agency “has placed little focus and attention on reducing methane emissions from pipelines in the natural gas distribution sector.” According to this report, the EPA acknowledged in 2012 that leaks from natural gas pipelines “accounted for more than 13 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions,” are almost 100 percent methane, and represent more than 10 percent of total methane emissions from natural gas systems in the United States. Nevertheless, as report went on to note, the EPA does not have the partnerships in place to begin controlling methane leaks, such as with the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, nor has it conducted a comprehensive analysis of emissions factors, relying instead on a 1996 study with a “high level of uncertainty.” 465

- May 15, 2014 – A recent review of existing data on life cycle emissions of methane from natural gas systems concluded that, as a strategy for addressing climate change, natural gas is a “bridge to nowhere.” The review found that, over a 20-year time frame, natural gas is as bad as or worse than coal and oil as a driver of climate change. 466 Referencing this review and other recent studies, Bloomberg Business News reported that the EPA has

underestimated the impact of methane leakage resulting from the production, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and is using outdated estimates of methane’s potency compared to more recent estimates from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).467

- April 25, 2014 – A reassessment of the heat-trapping potential of greenhouse gases revealed that current methods of accounting underestimate the climate-damaging impact of methane pollution from all sources, including drilling and fracking operations.468

- April 14, 2014 – A study from researchers at Purdue University, NOAA, Cornell University, University of Colorado at Boulder, and Pennsylvania State University, published in Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences found very high levels of methane emissions above many wells being drilled at fracking sites in Pennsylvania. Levels were 100 to 1,000 times above the estimates of federal regulators, who have always assumed very low methane emissions as wells are drilled.469, 470

- February 26, 2014 – The United Nations’ top environmental official, Achim Steiner, argued that the shale gas rush is “a liability” in efforts to slow climate change and that a switch from coal to natural gas is delaying critical energy transition to renewables.471

- February 13, 2014 – A major study in Science by Stanford University, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and the U.S. Department of Energy found that methane leaks negate any climate benefits of natural gas as a fuel for vehicles, and that the EPA is significantly underestimating methane in the atmosphere.472 Lead author Adam R. Brandt told the New York Times, “Switching from diesel to natural gas, that’s not a good policy from a climate perspective.”473 This study also concluded that the national methane leakage rate is likely between 3.6 and 7.2 percent of production.
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• January 15, 2014 – As reported by the *Guardian*, a new study by BP concluded that shale gas “…will not cause a decline in greenhouse gases” and will do little to cut carbon emissions.\(^{474}\)

• December 30, 2013 – An analysis of fracking-related truck transportation in the Susquehanna River Basin in Pennsylvania found that greenhouse gas emissions from frac water and waste hauling operations were 70–157 metric tons of CO\(_2\) equivalent per gas well.\(^{475}\)

• November 11, 2013 – In a letter to California Governor Jerry Brown, twenty of the nation’s top climate scientists warned that pro-fracking policies will worsen climate disruption and harm California’s efforts to be a leader in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The letter called on Governor Brown to place a moratorium on fracking.\(^{476}\) On November 21, 2013, a group of Governor Brown’s former policy and campaign advisors made a similar request in light of concerns about the effects of fracking on climate change and water pollution.\(^{477}\)

• October 18, 2013 – A team of researchers from multiple institutions including Harvard, the University of Michigan, and NOAA reported that methane emissions due to drilling activities in the south-central U.S. may be almost five times greater than reported by the world’s most comprehensive methane inventory. “These results cast doubt on the US EPA’s recent decision to downscale its estimate of national natural gas emissions by 25-30 percent,” the authors wrote.\(^{478}\) As the *New York Times* reported, “The analysis also said that methane discharges in Texas and Oklahoma, where oil and gas production was concentrated at the time, were 2.7 times greater than conventional estimates. Emissions from oil and gas activity alone could be five times greater than the prevailing estimate.”\(^{479}\)

• October 18, 2013 – A major study spearheaded by Stanford University’s Energy Modeling Forum concluded that fracking and the shale gas revolution will have no long-


term climate benefit. The study brought together a working group of about 50 experts and
advisors from companies, government agencies, and universities, and modeling teams
from 14 organizations. The study also found that build-out of infrastructure for fracking
and natural gas will discourage efforts to conserve energy and boost efficiency. The study
did not examine methane leaks in order to weigh in on the short-term climate impacts of
natural gas.\footnote{480}

- October 11, 2013 – As reported in the \textit{Guardian}, key climate scientists argued that the
growth in fracking across the United States is hurting the United States’ credibility on
climate change.\footnote{481}

- October 2, 2013 – Updated measurements from the IPCC determined that methane is
even worse for the climate than previously thought. The IPCC determined that methane is
34 times more potent as a greenhouse gas in the atmosphere than CO2 over a 100-year

- September 27, 2013 – The IPCC formally embraced an upper limit on greenhouse gases
for the first time, warning that the world will exceed those levels and face irreversible
climatic changes in a matter of decades unless steps are taken soon to reduce emissions.
The IPCC reported that humanity faces a “carbon budget”—a limit on the amount of
greenhouse gases that can be produced by industrial activity before irreversible,
damaging consequences—of burning about a trillion metric tons of carbon. The world is
on track to hit that by around 2040 at the current rate of energy consumption.\footnote{482}

- August 12, 2013 – A \textit{New Scientist} review of the science on fracking and global warming
concluded that fracking could accelerate climate change rather than slow it.\footnote{483}

- May 28, 2013 – A research team led by Jeff Peischl, an associate scientist at NOAA and
the Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, estimated that the
methane leak rate from Los Angeles-area oil and gas operations was about 17 percent.\footnote{484, 485}

\vspace{1cm}
• May 2013 – A group of scientists and journalists studying climate change, led by energy systems analyst Eric Larson of Princeton University and the news organization Climate Central, reported that the often-purported 50 percent climate advantage of natural gas over coal is unlikely to be achieved over the next three to four decades given methane leaks and other factors. The 50 percent claim is based on the fact that natural gas produces half as much carbon dioxide when burned than coal, but it ignores the significant greenhouse gas impacts of methane leakage that occurs throughout the life cycle of natural gas production, transmission, and distribution.

• January 2, 2013 – A NOAA study found methane emissions from oil and gas fields in Utah to be as high as nine percent of production. These levels are considered extremely damaging to the climate.

• November 2012 – A review by the United Nations Environment Programme found that emissions from fracking, as well as other unconventional natural gas extraction methods, could increase global warming in the short-term and be comparable to coal over a 100-year timeframe.

• November 2012 – The International Energy Agency (IEA) found that a large natural gas boom—even with improvements in place to reduce leakage—would eventually lead to greenhouse gas concentrations of 650 parts per million and a global temperature rise of 3.5 degrees Celsius, far exceeding the 2 degree Celsius limit which is critical to avoid the most severe effects of climate change.

• May 29, 2012 – The Guardian summarized a special report on natural gas by the IEA: “A ‘golden age of gas’ spurred by a tripling of shale gas from fracking and other sources of unconventional gas by 2035 will stop renewable energy in its tracks if governments do not take action.”

• February 2012 – A study published in Environmental Research Letters found that the carbon dioxide emitted from the burning of natural gas—even neglecting the impacts of methane leakage—contributes significantly to greenhouse gas emissions that are driving climate change.

• February 7, 2012 – A NOAA study of Colorado gas fields measured methane emissions of about four percent, a significant percentage that could be very damaging to the climate.493

• December 29, 2011 – As reported by the New York Times, levels of methane in the atmosphere have been steadily rising since 2007—coinciding with the onset of the fracking boom and posing a serious threat to the Earth’s climate.494

• October 2011 – A study from the National Center for Atmospheric Research concluded that substituting the use of natural gas for coal will increase, rather than decrease, the rate of global warming for many decades.495

• July 6, 2011 – According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration and other research, significant amounts of methane are leaking from aging gas pipelines and infrastructure.496

• April 2011 – A comprehensive analysis of the greenhouse gas footprint of natural gas from shale formations found that between 3.6 percent to 7.9 percent of the methane from natural gas production wells escapes into the atmosphere, rather than being combusted, thereby undermining any climate benefits of gas over coal as a source of energy.497, 498

Threats from fracking infrastructure

The infrastructure for drilling and fracking is complex and widespread. Beginning where silica sand is mined and processed and ending where gas is burned or liquefied for export, infrastructure includes pipelines, compressor stations, dehydrators, processing plants, rail tankers, flare stacks, and storage depots through which gas is moved, filtered, pressurized, stored, and vented. It also includes injection wells and recycling facilities that dispose and treat the prodigious amounts of liquid waste that fracking generates. Air pollution is produced at every stage of the process. Compressor stations and pipelines are major sources of air pollutants,

---

including benzene and formaldehyde, that raise potential health risks for those living nearby while offering no offsetting economic benefits—indeed, they are associated with loss of tax revenue and economic development for the communities where they are sited and traverse. The Medical Society of the State of New York and the American Medical Association have each called for comprehensive health impact assessments regarding the health risks associated with natural gas pipelines.

In the Upper Midwest, the boom in silica sand mining threatens both air and water quality, has transformed rural areas into industrial zones, and introduced complex public health risks that are not well understood. Wisconsin alone provides more than half the sand used in fracking operations in the United States. Silica dust is a known cause of both lung cancer and silicosis. Exposures to downwind communities—and attendant public health risks from living near frac sand mining and processing facilities—are unknown.

Sand mining and processing

- June 30, 2015 – Because the amount of sand used per fracking well has increased, demand for silica sand by the oil and gas industry is still growing even though new drilling activity has taken a downturn. A global investment bank reported that fracking operations now require an average of 4.2 million pounds of sand per well. A few years ago, silica sand comprised 9.5 percent of fracking fluid but now is closer to 20 percent. Further “rising intensity” of sand use is expected.499

- June 15, 2015 – An investigative report by EnergyWire documented self-reported health impacts among residents of southwestern Wisconsin who live near silica sand mining operations that service the fracking industry. Exposure to silica dust is a proven cause of silicosis and lung cancer. [See further entries on silica sand exposure among workers in the section, “Occupational Health and Safety Hazards.”] Residents near frack sand mine operations reported exposure to dust pollution and respiratory problems. Air monitoring data from the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (DNR) showed that none of the state’s 63 active sand mines were in violation for particulate matter, but, as the author noted, the state measured particles only 10 micrometers in diameter or larger.500 Below this diameter, crystalline silica particles are small enough to bypass the body’s natural clearance mechanisms and are likely to lodge deep in the lungs where they can initiate scarring, autoimmune reactions, and tumor formation.501 Crispin Pierce, public health researcher at the University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire, set up air monitors around frack sand mine operations and consistently found higher readings than the DNR’s values. His results are forthcoming in the November 2015 issue of the Journal of Environmental Health.502


Wastewater treatment facilities

- March 31, 2015 – University of Wyoming researchers identified a wastewater treatment and recycling facility as an important contributor to high winter ozone levels in Wyoming’s Green River Basin. The facility released a signature mixture of volatile hydrocarbons, including toluene and xylene, which are ozone precursors.\(^5\) This study documented that recycling activities can transfer volatile pollutants from water into air when fracking wastewater is cleaned up for reuse and that water treatment emissions can serve as an important point source of air pollutants.\(^4\)

Pipelines and compressor stations

- July 15, 2015 – Rensselaer County lawmakers passed a resolution asking the state of New York to freeze the approval process for the Northeast Energy Direct pipeline—which would carry fracked gas from Pennsylvania to Boston—until it conducts a comprehensive health impact assessment for natural gas pipelines.\(^5\)

- July 8, 2015 – Researchers from West Virginia University completed leak and loss audits for methane emissions at three natural gas compressor stations and two natural gas storage facilities, with a “leak” defined as an unintended release of natural gas due to malfunction of a component, and a “loss” defined as an intended release of natural gas. In terms of frequency, most emissions were leaks, but on a mass basis, losses were the dominant source of methane emissions (88 percent). The top loss emitters were engine exhausts (accounting for nearly half), packing vents, and slop tanks. Emissions from compressor blowdowns were not included.\(^5\) A related study by a University of Houston team found that emission rates from compressor stations in Texas’ Barnett Shale were far higher than from well pads.\(^5\), \(^6\)

- July 7, 2015 – Seeking a method to bridge the gap between bottom-up and top-down methods of measuring methane emissions, Purdue University, University of Houston, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Environmental Defense
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Fund, and independent researchers surveyed eight high-emitting point sources in the Barnett Shale using an aircraft-based “mass balance” approach. Results from four gas processing plants and one compressor station highlighted the importance of addressing methane “super-emitters” and confirmed that self-reports from the Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program underestimated actual emission rates by a factor of 3.8 or higher, due to “underestimated facility emissions, temporal variability of emissions, and the exclusion of nonreporting facility emissions.”

- July 7, 2015 – Using relatively easy-to-acquire and inexpensive stable isotopic and alkane ratio tracers, researchers are now able to distinguish methane arising from natural gas production and transport from agricultural and urban methane sources, and, in addition, to distinguish between methane released from shale gas as opposed to conventional wells. Initial research from the University of Cincinnati, University of California at Irvine, and the Environmental Defense Fund found that methane in the Barnett Shale hydraulic fracturing region near Fort Worth, Texas, represents a complex mixture of these sources. This new approach, used for ground-level measurements, can complement and extend top-down approaches, allowing for more accurate inventories of thermogenic and biogenic sources of methane emissions.

- July 1, 2015 – In New York State, Schoharie County supervisors and medical professionals demanded comprehensive health impact assessments as a precondition for permitting natural gas pipelines and compressor stations.

- June 12, 2015 – The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry investigated the health effects of ruptured gas pipelines in an analysis of data in a database on acute petroleum-related releases to which seven states contribute (Louisiana, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Tennessee, Utah, and Wisconsin). From 2010-2012, there were 1,369 such incidents, which resulted in 259 injuries. More than three-quarters of these incidents were related to natural gas distribution. Equipment failure accounted for half of all incidents; human error accounted for 40 percent. The report noted the “continuing occurrence” of petroleum release incidents—including from natural gas pipeline ruptures—which have “the potential to cause mass casualties and environmental contamination.”

- June 9, 2015 – The American Medical Association (AMA) adopted a resolution, “Protecting Public Health from Natural Gas Infrastructure,” that was based on a
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resolution adopted by the Medical Society of the State of New York. (See below.) The resolution states, “Our AMA recognizes the potential impact on human health associated with natural gas infrastructure and supports legislation that would require a comprehensive Health Impact Assessment regarding the health risks that may be associated with natural gas pipelines.”

- May 2, 2015 – The Medical Society of the State of New York adopted a resolution, “Protecting Public Health from Natural Gas Infrastructure,” that recognizes the potential impact to human health and the environment of natural gas pipelines and calls for a governmental assessment of these risks.

- March 3, 2015 – Researchers with the Southwest Pennsylvania Environmental Health Project measured ambient levels of particulate and volatile air pollutants from fracking-related operations and calculated expected human exposures in Washington County, Pennsylvania. Extremely high exposures peaked at night when air was still. These fluctuating exposure events mimic, in frequency and intensity, the episodic nature of health complaints among residents. Over a one-year period, compressor stations were responsible for more extreme exposure events (118) than well pads or gas processing plants. (See footnote 14.)

- February 24, 2015 – As part of a literature review on the health impacts of compressor stations, the Southwest Pennsylvania Environmental Health Project reported that peak emissions of fine particles tended to occur during construction time, that day-to-day emissions during operational time can fluctuate greatly, and that a compressor blowdown typically represented the single largest emission event during operations. Hence, documentation of these fluctuations cannot be captured by calculating yearly averages. A blowdown is an intentional or accidental release of gas through the blowdown valve that creates a 30- to 60-meter-high gas plume. Blowdowns, which are used to control pressure, can last as long as three hours. The authors noted that blowdowns result in times of high levels of contaminant release and that anecdotal accounts associate blowdowns with burning eyes and throat, skin irritation, and headache. There is neither
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a national or state inventory of compressor station accidents nor a body of peer-reviewed research on the public health impacts of compressor stations.\(^{516}\)

- February 17, 2015 – A Boston study found that emissions from residential, end-use natural gas infrastructure was a significant source of atmospheric methane—two to three times larger than previously presumed—and accounted for 60 to 100 percent of methane, depending on the season. Of all the natural gas in the downstream component of the natural gas system, 2.7 percent was lost to the atmosphere.\(^{517}\)

- February 10, 2015 – A team of engineers from Pennsylvania and Colorado examined methane emissions from natural gas compressor stations and found that vents, valves, engine exhaust, and equipment leaks were also major emissions sources. There was considerable variation in emissions among the 45 compressor stations measured. Surprisingly, substantial emissions were found even when compressors were not operating.\(^{518}\)

- December 27, 2014 – A *Pittsburgh Tribune-Review* investigation found that the vast majority of natural gas “gathering lines”—pipelines that take natural gas from rural well pads to processing plants—were regulated by neither federal nor state pipeline safety laws. The United States has nearly 230,000 miles of natural gas gathering lines that are unregulated, operating without safety standards or inspection. These pipelines are among the largest and highest-pressure pipes in use and carry gas at nearly three times the pressure of transmission lines, which transport the gas from the processing plants to urban distribution networks.\(^{519}\)

- November 11, 2014 – An analysis by a Carnegie Mellon University research team of 40,000 pipeline accidents from 1968 to 2009 found that comparatively few accidents accounted for a large share of total property damage, whereas a large share of fatalities and injuries were caused by numerous, small-scale accidents. There are 2.4 million miles


of natural gas pipeline in the United States and 175,000 miles of hazardous liquid
pipeline (which includes crude oil).520

- A research team led by David O. Carpenter at University at Albany found high levels of
formaldehyde near 14 compressor stations in three states. In Arkansas, Pennsylvania, and
Wyoming, formaldehyde levels near compressor stations exceeded health-based risk
levels. The authors noted that compressor stations can produce formaldehyde through at
least two routes: it is created as an incomplete combustion byproduct from the gas-fired
engines used in compressor stations. It is also created when fugitive methane, which
escapes from compressor stations, is in the presence of sunlight. Formaldehyde is a
known human carcinogen. Other hazardous air pollutants detected near compressor
stations in this study were benzene and hexane. One air sample collected near a
compressor station in Arkansas contained 17 different volatile compounds. (See footnote
19.)

- October 15, 2014 – In comments to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, New
York’s Madison County Health Department reviewed the literature on compressor station
emissions and expressed concerns about associated health impacts, including documented
correlations between health problems and residential proximity to compressor stations. It
also reviewed health outcomes associated with exposures to chemicals known to be
released from compressor stations, including volatile organic compounds, carbonyls and
aldehydes, aromatics, and particulate matter. In addition, gas from fracking operations
transiting through compressor stations may carry gaseous radon. The Health Department
noted a troubling lack of information on the intensity, frequency, and duration of
emission peaks that occur during the blowdowns and large venting episodes that are a
normal part of compressor operations.521

- September 16, 2014 – Noting the proximity of a proposed high-pressure pipeline to
Indian Point Nuclear Facility, as well as the evidence linking compressor station
emissions to negative health impacts, New York’s Rockland County legislature adopted a
resolution calling for a comprehensive Health Impact Assessment in regards to Spectra
Energy’s planned Algonquin Incremental Market (AIM) natural gas pipeline,
compressor, and metering stations expansion project.522 This resolution follows on the
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heels of similar resolutions expressing health concerns about the AIM project from both Westchester and Putnam County legislatures.\textsuperscript{523, 524}

- July 13, 2011 – A Fort Worth air quality study assessed the impact of drilling and fracking operations, and ancillary infrastructure, on concentrations of toxic air pollutants in the city of Fort Worth, Texas. The study found that compressor stations were a significant source of fracking-related air pollution. The compressor engines were responsible for over 99 percent of the hazardous air pollutants emitted from compressor stations, of which 67 percent was formaldehyde.\textsuperscript{525}

**Inaccurate jobs claims, increased crime rates, threats to property value and mortgages, and local government burden**

*Experiences in various states and accompanying studies have shown that the oil and gas industry’s promises of job creation from drilling for natural gas have been greatly exaggerated. Many of the jobs are short-lived, and many have gone to out-of-area workers. With the arrival of drilling and fracking operations, communities have experienced steep increases in rates of crime, including sex trafficking, sexual assault, drunk driving, drug abuse, and violent victimization, all of which carry public health consequences, especially for women. Social costs include strain on law enforcement, municipal services, and road damage. Economic analyses have found that drilling and fracking threaten property values and can diminish tax revenues for local governments. Additionally, drilling and fracking pose an inherent conflict with mortgages and property insurance due to the hazardous materials used and the associated risks.*

- July 1, 2015 – Britain’s Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs released previously redacted sections of a report on the impacts of drilling and fracking. The report found that housing prices near fracking wells would likely fall up to seven percent for houses within a mile of wells. Furthermore, properties within one to five miles of fracking sites could incur additional insurance costs. The report warned of environmental damages, including from leakage of fracking waste fluids, and found that public health could be affected indirectly through consumption of contaminated wildlife, livestock, or


agricultural products. The report also found potential for some benefits, such as job growth.526

- July 2015 – A working paper by researchers with the National Bureau of Economic Research found that fracking resulted in an increase in male teen high school dropout rates. “Our estimates imply that, absent fracking, the male-female gap in high school dropout rates among 17-18-year olds would have narrowed by about 11 percent between 2000 and 2013 instead of remaining unchanged.” The authors explained that by increasing the demand for low-skilled labor, fracking could slow growth in educational attainment. They noted that the relative wage boost from fracking may be only temporary. Indeed, by the end of the sample period, the benefits had started to wane as the labor demand from fracking appeared to no longer favor dropouts. Thus, the fracking boom may be inhibiting educational achievement among young men who “would already be near the bottom of the skill distribution, with possible implications for future productivity and the social safety net.”527, 528

- March 20, 2015 – The U.S. Attorney for Western New York linked a rise in production of methamphetamine to use among workers in the fracking fields of northern and western Pennsylvania. Surging demand for the drug, which allows users to stay awake for 48 to 72 hours, may be related to the extremely long working hours that employees in the gas industry must endure.529

- January 4, 2015 – A documentary by Forum News Service, “Trafficked Report,” revealed that sex trafficking, including of children, in the Bakken oil fields of North Dakota was a significant problem.530 The dynamics of the oil boom, with an influx of out-of-state and primarily male workers far from their families, created an increase in demand for prostitution.531

- December 28, 2014 – The New York Times profiled the impacts of oil drilling and fracking on the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation in North Dakota, finding corruption, crime, and negative environmental impacts. Aside from a significant rise in jobs, which often go to transient workers, many residents “see deterioration rather than improvement

---

in their standard of living. They endure intense truck traffic, degraded roads, increased crime, strained services and the pollution from spills, flares and illegal dumping.” According to the Times' calculation, the reservation had seen 850 oil-related environmental incidents from 2007 through mid-October 2014, which generally went unpunished.532

- December 26, 2014 – Examining Pennsylvania Department of Transportation data, Ohio’s Star Beacon newspaper found that fracking poses a safety threat on rural roads. The paper found that Pennsylvania’s five busiest drilling counties recorded 123 more heavy truck crashes in 2011 than before the gas boom began—a 107 percent increase. The paper noted the burden drilling and fracking placed on local communities and governments, including the strain on local emergency responders.533

- December 17, 2014 – Heavy drilling and fracking (defined as 400 or more wells drilled within a county over 5-8 years) was positively correlated with increased crime, sexually transmitted diseases, and traffic fatalities, according to a report by the Multi-State Shale Research Collaborative.534 The report looked at the impacts in Pennsylvania, Ohio, and West Virginia, primarily finding statistically significant impacts in six heavily drilled counties in Pennsylvania. In those six counties, violent crime increased 17.7 percent—corresponding to about 130 more violent crimes in those counties in 2012—compared to a decrease in violent crime rates in both urban and rural non-drilling communities. Property crime increased 10.8 percent in those six counties, drug abuse rates rose 48 percent, and drunk-driving offenses rose 65 percent compared to 42 percent in rural areas with no drilling. The report found a statistically significant increase of 24 percent to 27 percent in rates of sexually transmitted diseases across drilling counties in all three states. Motor vehicle fatalities increased 27.8 percent in Pennsylvania’s six high-drilling counties. The report found a modest increase in jobs, but noted that an influx of out-of-state workers at least partially explained the increases in traffic and crime.535

- December 15, 2014 – A report written in French by Quebec’s Advisory Office of Environmental Hearings concluded that the environmental costs of fracking in the St. Lawrence Lowlands would outweigh the potential economic benefits. In a press release, the Advisory Office of Environmental Hearings concluded that fracking “would not be advantageous for Quebec because of the magnitude of the potential costs and externalities, compared to royalties that would be collected by Quebec. Other concerns

also remain, including plans of social acceptability, legislation, and a lack of knowledge, particularly with respect to water resources.”

- September 28, 2014 – A Washington Post investigation reported on heroin and methamphetamine addiction—and associated violent crime—among Native American communities located within the Bakken Shale oil fields. According to a chief judge for the Mandan, Hidatsa, and Arikara Nation, “The drug problem that the oil boom has brought is destroying our reservation.”

- September 9, 2014 – A study by researchers at Colorado State University examined the political economy of harm and crime associated with the oil and gas industry in rural Colorado, particularly around the rise of fracking. The researchers looked at complaints that citizens filed with the state, and also conducted interviews and examined other data. They found 2,444 complaints between November 2001 and June 2013 covering a range of issues including water, environment, noise, air quality, land use, and more. They characterized citizen complaints as “extensive and complex” and concluded that, regardless of the nature of the harm, most were “persistent and omnipresent” rather than short-lived, isolated problems.

- October 30, 2014 – The New York Times profiled the profound impact heavy drilling has had on Glasscock County, Texas, including its farming community. Farmers described increases in trash, traffic accidents, clashes around farmers selling groundwater to drillers, and economic detriment. In many cases, acres of farmland around a drill site “will probably never be suitable for fertile farming again,” and farmers are “at the mercy” of what drillers want to pay for damages. The county itself receives revenue, but most of that additional money “is being used to repair roads damaged by oil field truck activity. Overall, the gains from drilling are not viewed as worth the drawbacks in a county long dominated by cotton farming.”

- September 11, 2014 – An editor for the Washington Post examined jobs and manufacturing data in Youngstown, Ohio, to demonstrate that drilling and fracking are not resulting in a revitalization of the Rust Belt as some proponents and a prominent New York Times story asserted. The Post determined that in Youngstown, Ohio, the manufacturing sector has lost jobs by the tens of thousands in the last twenty years and the oil and gas industry has created approximately two thousand jobs since the recession.

ended. Six years prior, there were 13,000 more jobs in the Youngstown metro area than there were in summer 2014.540

- September 6, 2014 – In Williams County, North Dakota, in the Bakken Shale, increases in crime have corresponded with the flow of oil. The infusion of cash has attracted career criminals who deal in drugs, violence, and human sex trafficking. The Williston Herald portrayed, in a “reader’s discretion advised” article, the rapid rise of “index crimes”—“violent crimes that result in the immediate loss of an individual’s property, health or safety, such as murder, larceny and rape.” With fewer than 100 law enforcement personnel, crime in Williams County “has risen in kind with the county’s population, but funding, staffing and support training for law enforcement has not.”541

- September 2014 – Reporting on the social, environmental, health and safety, and economic burdens endured by localities from fracking, the magazine Governing: The States and Localities found that “fracking, in many cases, negatively impacts property values, which in turn depresses property tax revenue. For property owners who own the rights to the oil and gas on their land, the effects of drilling can be offset by royalty payments. But localities have no revenue offset if properties lose value.”542

- August 26, 2014 – The U.S. Justice Department Office on Violence Against Women awarded three million dollars to five rural and tribal communities to prosecute crimes of violence against women and provide services to victims of sexual assault, domestic violence, and stalking in the Bakken Region of North Dakota and Montana.543 Rationale documented by tribal leaders, law enforcement, and the FBI included, “rapid development of trailer parks and modular housing developments often referred to as ‘man camps;’ abrupt increase in cost of living, especially housing; rapid influx of people, including transients, in a previously rural and stable community; constant fear and perception of danger; and a lost way of life. Local and tribal officials and service providers reported that these changes have been accompanied by a rise in crime, including domestic and sexual violence.”544

- May 27, 2014 – A Bloomberg News analysis of 61 shale-drilling companies found that the economic picture of shale oil and gas is unstable. Shale debt has almost doubled over

the last four years while revenue has gained just 5.6 percent. For the 61 companies in their analysis, Bloomberg News reported: “In a measure of the shale industry’s financial burden, debt hit $163.6 billion in the first quarter.” Further, Bloomberg noted that drillers are caught in a bind because they must keep borrowing to pay for exploration needed to “offset steep production declines typical of shale wells…. For companies that can’t afford to keep drilling, less oil coming out means less money coming in, accelerating the financial tailspin.”

- May 5, 2014 – An Associated Press analysis found that traffic fatalities have spiked in heavily drilled areas of six states, whereas most other roads in the nation have become safer even as population has grown. In North Dakota drilling counties, for instance, traffic fatalities have increased 350 percent.

- April 16, 2014 – A comprehensive article in the Albany Law Review concluded that the risks inherent with fracking are not covered by homeowner’s insurance, not fully insured by the oil and gas industry, and threaten mortgages and property value.

- April 2014 – A report by the Multi-State Shale Research Collaborative, “Assessing the Impacts of Shale Drilling: Four Community Case Studies,” documented economic, community, government, and human services impact of fracking on four rural communities. The study found that fracking led to a rapid influx of out-of-state workers and, although some new jobs were created, these were accompanied by additional costs for police, emergency services, road damage, and social services. In addition, increased rents, and a shortage of affordable housing accompanied the fracking boom. Unemployment rose after one county’s boom ended; in another county, unemployment stayed above the state average throughout.

- March 27, 2014 – A report by researchers at Rand Corporation determined that each shale gas well in Pennsylvania causes between $5,400 and $10,000 in damage to state roads. The report did not calculate damage to local roads, which is also significant. Researchers used estimates of truck trips that are significantly below the number

---

548 Multi-State Shale Research Collaborative. (2014, April 10). Assessing the impacts of shale drilling county case studies (Rep.). Retrieved from https://docs.google.com/viewer?a=v&pid=sites&srcid=ZGVmYXVsdGRvbWFpbnxtdWx0aXN0YXRlclZhbGV8Z3g6NGU4MjIyNWU5ZjFhZjM4Yg
estimated for New York by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation.\textsuperscript{549, 550}

- February 15, 2014 – The \textit{Los Angeles Times} detailed steep increases in crime that have accompanied fracking in parts of the Eagle Ford Shale in Texas, including sexual assaults and thefts.\textsuperscript{551}

- February 14, 2014 – Pennsylvania landowners with fracking leases rallied in Bradford County against gas companies for precipitous drops in royalty payments.\textsuperscript{552}

- December 20, 2013 – The National Association of Realtors’ \textit{RealtorMag} summarized a growing body of research, including a University of Denver survey and a \textit{Reuters} analysis, that shows threats property values from fracking and gas drilling.\textsuperscript{553}

- December 12, 2013 – A \textit{Reuters} analysis discussed how oil and gas drilling has made some properties “unsellable” and researched the link between drilling and property value declines. The analysis highlighted a Duke University working paper that finds shale gas drilling near homes can decrease property values by an average of 16.7 percent if the house depends on well water.\textsuperscript{554}

- December 10, 2013 – Pennsylvania’s \textit{The Daily Review} reported that more gas companies are shifting costs to leaseholders and that royalty payments are drastically shrinking. The story quoted Bradford County Commissioner Doug McLinko saying that some gas companies “are robbing our landowners” and that the problem of royalty payments being significantly reduced by deductions for post-production costs “is widespread throughout our county.”\textsuperscript{555}

- November 30, 2013 – The \textit{New York Times} reported striking increases in crime in Montana and North Dakota where the oil and gas boom is prevalent, as well as challenges faced by local residents from the influx of out-of-area workers and the accompanying costs. The \textit{New York Times} reported, “‘It just feels like the modern-day Wild West,’ said


Sgt. Kylan Klauzer, an investigator in Dickinson, in western North Dakota. The Dickinson police handled 41 violent crimes last year, up from seven only five years ago.**556

- November 21, 2013 – The Multi-State Shale Research Collaborative released a six-state collaborative report demonstrating that the oil and gas industry has greatly exaggerated the number of jobs created by drilling and fracking in shale formations. The report found that far from the industry’s claims of 31 direct jobs created per well, only four jobs are created for each well. It also demonstrated that almost all of the hundreds of thousands of ‘ancillary’ jobs that the drilling industry claims are related to shale drilling existed before such drilling occurred. As Frank Mauro, Executive Director Emeritus of the Fiscal Policy Institute put it, “Industry supporters have exaggerated the jobs impact in order to minimize or avoid altogether taxation, regulation, and even careful examination of shale drilling.”**557

- November 12, 2013 – The American Banker reported that the “Fracking Boom Gives Banks Mortgage Headaches,” with a number of financial institutions refusing to make mortgages on land where oil and gas rights have been sold to an energy company. The article stated that the uniform New York state mortgage agreement used by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac requires that homeowners not permit any hazardous materials to be used or located on their property. Fracking is therefore a problem because it is just such a hazardous activity with use of hazardous materials.**558

- September 25, 2013 – A report found that fracking is linked to significant road damage, increased truck traffic, crime, and strain on municipal and social services. Data from the past ten years on the social costs of fracking including truck accidents, arrests, and higher rates of sexually transmitted diseases are all causes for alarm.**559

- September 12, 2013 – In a feature titled “Pa. fracking boom goes bust,” The Philadelphia Inquirer presented data from the independent Keystone Research Center detailing “flat at best” job growth and declines in production and royalty payments.**560

---

August 22, 2013 – A University of Denver study in the Journal of Real Estate Literature found a 5 to 15 percent reduction in bid value for homes near gas drilling sites.561

August 21, 2013 – The Atlantic Cities and MSN Money reported that fracking operations may be damaging property values and may impair mortgages or the ability to obtain property insurance.562, 563

August 13, 2013 – A Propublica investigative analysis found that Chesapeake Energy is coping with its financial difficulties in Pennsylvania by shifting costs to landowners who are now receiving drastically reduced royalty payments.564

August 4, 2013 – In a survey of West Virginia landowners with shale wells on their property, more than half reported problems including damage to the land, decline in property values, truck traffic, and lack of compensation by the oil and gas company.565

May 24, 2013 – Pennsylvania Department of Transportation Secretary Allen D. Biuhler and Pennsylvania State Police Commissioner Frank Pawlowski said that gas drilling has led to increases in truck traffic, traffic violations, crime, demand for social services, and the number of miles of roads that are in need of repairs. They noted that drilling companies that committed to repairing roads have not kept pace with the roads they damage. Commissioner Pawlowski reported that 56 percent of 194 trucks checked were over the legal weight limit and 50 percent were also cited for safety violations.566

May 4, 2013 – Pennsylvania’s Beaver County Times asked, “What boom?” in pointing to Keystone Research Center data showing that the number of jobs numbers created by shale gas extraction do not add up to what the gas industry claims, noting that unemployment has increased and the state actually fell to 49th in the nation for job creation.567

April 2, 2013 – The New York Times reported that manufacturing jobs resulting from an abundance of shale gas have not appeared. “The promised job gains, other than in the petrochemical industry, have been slow to materialize,” The New York Times reported. The article suggested that increased automation has made it unlikely that manufacturers will add many jobs.568

March 19, 2013 – The Wall Street Journal reported that the shale gas boom has not had a big impact on U.S. manufacturing because lower energy prices are only one factor in a company’s decision on where to locate factories, and not always the most important factor. “Cheap energy flowing from the U.S. shale-gas boom is often touted as a ‘game changer’ for manufacturing,” the Journal reported. “Despite the benefits of lower energy costs, however, the game hasn’t changed for most American manufacturers.”569

February 2013 – A peer-reviewed analysis of industry-funded and independent studies on the economics of fracking found that it is unlikely that fracking will lead to long-term economic prosperity for communities. The analysis noted that shale gas development brings a number of negative externalities including the potential for water, air, and land contamination; negative impacts on public health; wear and tear on roads and other infrastructure; and costs to communities due to increased demand for services such as police, fire departments, emergency responders, and hospitals.570

November 16, 2012 – A Duke University study showed a drop in home values near fracking for properties that rely on groundwater.571

September 27, 2012 – The New York Times reported that the prospect of fracking has hindered home sales in the Catskills and raised concerns about drops in property values, according to real estate agents and would-be buyers.572

August 17, 2012 – A study by the state agencies, the Montana All Threat Intelligence Center and the North Dakota State and Local Intelligence Center, found that crime rose by 32 percent since 2005 in communities at the center of the oil and gas boom.573
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October 30, 2011 – A comprehensive article in the New York State Bar Association Journal concluded that the risks inherent with fracking threaten mortgages.574

October 26, 2011 – The Associated Press reported that areas with significant fracking activity, including Pennsylvania, Wyoming North Dakota and Texas, are “seeing a sharp increase in drunken driving, bar fights and other hell-raising.”575

October 19, 2011 – A New York Times investigation found that fracking can create conflicts with mortgages, and that “bankers are concerned because many leases allow drillers to operate in ways that violate rules in landowners’ mortgages,” and further that “[f]earful of just such a possibility, some banks have become reluctant to grant mortgages on properties leased for gas drilling. At least eight local or national banks do not typically issue mortgages on such properties, lenders say.”576

September 7, 2011 – The NYS DEC estimated that 77 percent of the workforce on initial shale gas drilling projects would consist of transient workers from out of state. Not until the thirtieth year of shale gas development would 90 percent of the workforce be comprised of New York residents.577

August 15, 2011 – The Pittsburgh Post-Gazette reported that increases in crime followed the Pennsylvania gas drilling boom, noting, for instance, that drunken driving arrests in Bradford County were up 60 percent, DUI arrests were up 50 percent in Towanda, and criminal sentencing was up 35 percent in 2010.578

July 26, 2011 – A New York State Department of Transportation document estimated that fracking in New York could result in the need for road repairs and reconstruction costing $211 million to $378 million each year.579

577 New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. (2011). Supplemental generic environmental impact statement on the oil, gas and solution mining regulatory program, well permit issuance for horizontal drilling and high-volume hydraulic fracturing to develop the Marcellus shale and other low-permeability gas reservoirs (6-233, 234, Rep.).
• June 20, 2011 – A Keystone Research Center study found that the gas industry’s claim of 48,000 jobs created between 2007 and 2010 as a result of natural gas drilling in Pennsylvania is a far cry from the actual number of only 5,669 jobs—many of which were out-of-state hires.  

• May 9, 2011 – A study in the Journal of Town & City Management found that shale gas development can impose “significant short- and long-term costs” to local communities. The study noted that shale gas development creates a wide range of potential environmental hazards and stressors, all of which can adversely impact regional economies, including tourism and agriculture sectors.

• November 30, 2010 – The Dallas Morning News featured a story, “Drilling Can Dig into Land Value,” reporting that the Wise County Central Appraisal District Appraisal Review Board found that a drilling company had caused an “extraordinary reduction” in property value, by 75 percent.

• November 28, 2010 – The Texas Wise County Messenger reported that some landowners near fracking operations experience excessive noise, exposure to diesel fumes, and problems with trespassing by workers.

Inflated estimates of oil and gas reserves and profitability

Industry estimates of oil and gas reserves and profitability of drilling have proven unreliable, casting serious doubts on the bright economic prospects the industry has painted for the public, media, and investors. Increasingly, well production has been short-lived, which has led companies drilling shale to reduce the value of their assets by billions of dollars, creating shortfalls that are largely filled through asset sales and increasing debt load. The recent fall in oil and gas prices means that interest payments are consuming revenue of many smaller companies, raising questions about who becomes the custodian of wells and infrastructure when companies abandon operations. In Alberta, Canada, newly abandoned wells dot the landscape, leaving the provincial government to close down and dismantle them—a task estimated to require decades.

• June 19, 2015 – A Bloomberg Business analysis of the 62 drilling companies in the Bloomberg Intelligence North America Independent Exploration and Production Index

---

found that the companies’ debt continued to be a major problem. For 27 of the 62 companies, interest payments were consuming more than 10 percent of revenue. Drillers’ debt rose to $235 billion at the end of the first quarter, a 16 percent increase over the year prior. *Bloomberg Business* expressed concern that shale drillers have “consistently spent money faster than they’ve made it, even when oil was $100 a barrel.” S&P assigned speculative, or junk, ratings to 45 of the 62 companies in Bloomberg’s index.\(^{584}\)

- April 7, 2015 – A Moody’s Investors Service analysis of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) prospects found that lower oil prices were causing suppliers to defer or cancel most proposed LNG projects. Moody’s found that this was due in part to the drop in international oil prices relative to U.S. natural gas prices, thus removing the economic advantage of U.S. LNG projects. Moody’s stated, “LNG is a capital-intensive infrastructure business prone to periodic construction cycles that lead to overcapacity, which we expect will continue for the rest of the decade.”\(^{585}\)

- March 20, 2015 – A study by the Energy Watch Group in Germany found that the costs of allowing fracking in Germany would outweigh the benefits, noting in part that natural gas trading in the United States has been declining since 2009. The study also noted the costs of infrastructure, environmental and health risks and pointed to the need to expand renewable energy.\(^{586}\)

- December, 2014 – An International Energy Agency (IEA) report projected that U.S. domestic oil supplies, dominated by fracking, face challenges, and oil output from shale formations output, will level off and decline in the early 2020s.\(^{587}\) IEA Chief Economist Fatih Birol said, “A well-supplied oil market in the short-term should not disguise the challenges that lie ahead.”\(^{588}\)

- August 29, 2014 – Andrew Nikiforuk, a Canadian energy analyst, reported on diminishing returns and the higher-cost, higher-risk nature of fossil fuel extraction by fracking. Nikiforuk wrote, “Most of the world’s oil and gas firms are now pursuing extreme hydrocarbons because the cheap and easy stuff is gone…. That means industry will spend more good money chasing poor quality resources. They will inefficiently mine


and frack ever larger land bases at higher environmental costs for lower energy returns.”589

- July 29, 2014 – According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration, energy companies are incurring increasing debt and selling assets to continue drilling in shale. “Based on data compiled from quarterly reports, for the year ending March 31, 2014, cash from operations for 127 major oil and natural gas companies totaled $568 billion, and major uses of cash totaled $677 billion, a difference of almost $110 billion. This shortfall was filled through a $106 billion net increase in debt and $73 billion from sales of assets....”590

- July 2014 – Researchers at the Washington, DC-based Environmental Law Institute and Washington & Jefferson College in Pennsylvania collaborated to produce a report designed in part to help communities avoid the “boom and bust” cycles of extractive industries. Authors warned, “While resource extraction has long been regarded as an economic benefit, a body of academic literature suggests that long term growth based chiefly on resource extraction is rare.” Confounding factors include transience of the workforce, localized inflation, widening disparities in royalties and impact fee disbursement, commodity price volatility, and communities overspending on infrastructure.591

- June 19, 2014 – Energy analyst Deborah Lawrence Rogers outlined the spiraling debt and severe deterioration of the assets of five major shale gas drillers over the last five years. She concluded, “This is not sustainable. It could be argued that it is not even moral. It is a failed business model of epic proportion. While companies could make the argument at one time that this was a short term downturn, that no longer holds water because this pattern is long term.”592

- April 10, 2014 – A report by a petroleum geologist and petroleum engineer concluded the 100-year supply of shale gas is a myth, distinguished between what is technically recoverable and economically recoverable shale gas, and asserted that at current prices, New York State has no economically recoverable shale gas.593

- February 28, 2014 – Maria van der Hoeven, Executive Director of the IEA, said in an interview with The Christian Science Monitor that there is only a decade left in the U.S. shale oil and gas boom, noting that her agency’s analysis predicts that production will soon flatten out and, by 2025, begin to decline.\textsuperscript{594}

- December 18, 2013 – A University of Texas study in Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences found that fracking well production drops sharply with time, which undercuts the oil and gas industry’s economic projections.\textsuperscript{595} In an interview about the study with StateImpact NPR in Texas, Tad Patzek, Chair of the Department of Petroleum and Geosystems Engineering at University of Texas at Austin, noted that fracking “also interferes now more and more with daily lives of people. Drilling is coming to your neighborhood, and most people abhor the thought of having somebody drilling a well in their neighborhood.”\textsuperscript{596}

- August 18, 2013 – Bloomberg News reported that low gas prices and disappointing wells have led major companies to devalue oil and gas shale assets by billions of dollars.\textsuperscript{597}

- October 21, 2012 – The New York Times reported that many gas drilling companies overproduced natural gas backed by creative financing and now “are committed to spending far more to produce gas than they can earn selling it.” “We are all losing our shirts today,” said Exxon CEO Rex Tillerson in the summer of 2012.\textsuperscript{598}

- July 13, 2012 – The Wall Street Journal reported that ITG Investment Research, at the request of institutional investors, evaluated the reserves of Chesapeake Energy Corporation’s shale gas reserves in the Barnett and Haynesville formations and found them to be only 70 percent of estimates by Chesapeake’s engineering consultant for the company’s 2011 annual report. Chesapeake and its consultant defended their figures.\textsuperscript{599}

- August 23, 2011 – The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) cut the government’s estimates of natural gas in the Marcellus Shale from 410 trillion cubic feet to 84 trillion cubic feet, equivalent to a reduction from approximately 16 years of U.S. consumption at current levels of natural gas use, to approximately 3.3 years of consumption. The USGS’s


updated estimate was for natural gas that is technically recoverable, irrespective of economic considerations such as the price of natural gas or the cost of extracting it.\textsuperscript{600}

- June 26-27, 2011 – As reported in two \textit{New York Times} stories, hundreds of emails, internal documents, and analyses of data from thousands of wells from drilling industry employees, combined with documents from federal energy officials, raised concerns that shale gas companies were overstating the amount of gas in their reserves and the profitability of their operations.\textsuperscript{601, 602, 603} The \textit{New York Times’} public editor criticized the stories, but offered no evidence that the major findings were wrong.\textsuperscript{604} The \textit{New York Times’} news editors publicly defended both stories against the public editor’s criticism.\textsuperscript{605, 606}

\section*{Disclosure of serious risks to investors}

\textit{A snapshot of the dangers posed by natural gas drilling and fracking can be found in the annual Forms 10-K that oil and natural gas companies are required to file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The information so contained in these reports, which provide a comprehensive summary of a company’s financial performance, provides a window into the harms and risks of fracking that are otherwise shielded from view by “gag order” clauses in court settlements, non-disclosure agreements between industry and landowners, and trade secret claims in regards to the chemical ingredients of fracking fluid. In this, the Form 10-K can serve as an imperfect surrogate for right-to-know data.}

Federal law requires that companies offering stock to the public disclose in their Form 10-K, among other things, the “most significant factors that make the offering speculative or risky.”\textsuperscript{607} In a review of Forms 10-K spanning the past decade available on the SEC’s

\begin{thebibliography}{99}
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website, oil and natural gas companies have routinely warned of drilling’s serious risks. In the words of Exxon Mobil Corporation’s subsidiary XTO Energy, “our operations are subject to hazards and risks inherent in drilling”\textsuperscript{608}; or in the language of Range Resources Corporation, “development and exploratory drilling and production activities are subject to many risks.”\textsuperscript{609}

Such hazards and risks include leaks, spills, explosions, blowouts, environmental damage, property damage, injury, and death. Chesapeake Energy Corporation has stated that “horizontal and deep drilling activities involve greater risk of mechanical problems than vertical and shallow drilling operations.”\textsuperscript{610} Over the past 15 years, companies have combined horizontal drilling with hydraulic fracturing to tap natural gas and oil in shale formations.

The companies also routinely warn of inadequate insurance to cover drilling harms. According to XTO Energy, “we are not fully insured against all environmental risks, and no coverage is maintained with respect to any penalty or fine required to be paid by us.”\textsuperscript{611} Range Resources states that “we can provide no assurance that our coverage will adequately protect us against liability from all potential consequences, damages and losses.”\textsuperscript{612}

Houston-based Noble Energy provides a representative example of the risks that at least several drilling companies include in their annual reports. Noble states:

- Our operations are subject to hazards and risks inherent in the drilling, production and transportation of crude oil, natural gas and NGLs [natural gas liquids], including:
  - injuries and/or deaths of employees, supplier personnel, or other individuals;
  - pipeline ruptures and spills;
  - fires, explosions, blowouts and well cratering;
  - equipment malfunctions and/or mechanical failure on high-volume, high-impact wells;
  - leaks or spills occurring during the transfer of hydrocarbons from an FPSO [floating production storage and offloading vessels] to an oil tanker;
  - loss of product occurring as a result of transfer to a rail car or train derailments;
  - formations with abnormal pressures and basin subsidence which could result in leakage or loss of access to hydrocarbons;
  - release of pollutants;
  - surface spillage of, or contamination of groundwater by, fluids used in operations;
  - security breaches, cyber attacks, piracy, or terroristic acts;

\textsuperscript{608}XTO Energy Corp., Annual Report (Form 10-K) (Feb. 25, 2010) at 25.
\textsuperscript{609}Range Resources Corp., Annual Report (Form 10-K) (Feb. 24, 2015) at 22.
\textsuperscript{610}Chesapeake Energy Corp., Annual Report (Form 10-K) (Feb. 27, 2015) at 18.
\textsuperscript{611}XTO Energy Corp., Annual Report (Form 10-K) (Feb. 25, 2010) at 17.
• theft or vandalism of oilfield equipment and supplies, especially in areas of active 
onshore operations;
• hurricanes, cyclones, windstorms, or “superstorms,” which could affect our 
operations in areas such as the Gulf Coast, deepwater Gulf of Mexico, Marcellus 
Shale or Eastern Mediterranean;
• winter storms and snow which could affect our operations in the DJ Basin 
[Denver-Julesburg Basin in Colorado] or Marcellus Shale;
• extremely high temperatures, which could affect third party gathering and 
processing facilities in the DJ Basin;
• volcanoes which could affect our operations offshore Equatorial Guinea;
• flooding which could affect our operations in low-lying areas;
• harsh weather and rough seas offshore the Falkland Islands, which could limit 
certain exploration activities; and
• pandemics and epidemics, such as the Ebola virus, which is ongoing in certain 
regions of West Africa and may adversely affect our business operations through 
travel or other restrictions.

Any of these can result in loss of hydrocarbons, environmental pollution and other 
damage to our properties or the properties of others.613

Noble has language similar to that found in other companies’ annual reports about 
inadequate insurance and adds, “we do not have insurance for gradual pollution nor do 
we have coverage for penalties or fines that may be assessed by a governmental 
authority.”614

The risks identified by these oil and gas companies are not just hypothetical. Many, if not 
all of these risks are reflected in the evidence compiled in other sections of this 
Compendium.

Medical and scientific calls for more study and more transparency

*With increasing urgency, groups of medical professionals and scientists are issuing calls for 
comprehensive, long-term study of the full range of the potential health and ecosystem effects 
of drilling and fracking. These appeals underscore the accumulating evidence of harm, point to 
the major knowledge gaps that remain, and denounce the atmosphere of secrecy and 
imimidation that continues to impede the progress of scientific inquiry. Health professionals 
and scientists in the United States and around the world have urged tighter regulation of and, 
in some cases, suspension of unconventional gas and oil extraction activities in order to limit, 
mitigate, or eliminate its serious, adverse public health hazards.*

• June 9, 2015 – Information on individual exposures and local environmental conditions 
prior to the commencement of fracking in a given area is often “unavailable or hard to

obtain. These and other data gaps have hindered the kind of large-scale epidemiological studies that can link exposures to actual health outcomes, with valid comparison groups,” wrote public health journalist David Tuller in the journal Health Affairs.615 In an interview with Michigan Radio, Tuller noted that, because well development happens quickly, there was generally a lack of pre-drilling baseline studies.616

- April 17, 2015 – Using sophisticated Geographic Information Systems (GIS) tools to examine distribution of fracking wells compared to distribution of vulnerable populations, Clark University researchers found consistent evidence that, in the Pennsylvania Marcellus Shale region, census tracts with potential exposure to pollution from fracking wells contained “significantly higher” percentages of poor people. They also found clusters of vulnerable populations concentrated near drilling and fracking in all three states they studied: Pennsylvania (for poverty and elderly population), West Virginia (for poverty, elderly population, and education level) and Ohio (for children). Researchers also reported difficulty in accessing high quality and consistent unconventional well data in all three states, demonstrating an “urgent need” for common data collection and reporting.617 Another GIS-based study sought to begin to fill this gap in data on spatially distributed risks of fracking, identifying Pennsylvania populations at “very high” and “high” risk in over a dozen counties. The author called for more focus on those areas to understand the impacts of fracking.618

- March 30, 2015 – The UK medical organization Medact published a report, Health & Fracking: The Impacts and Opportunity Costs, which concluded that fracking poses significant risks to public health and called for an immediate moratorium to allow time for a full and comprehensive health and environmental impact assessment to be completed.619 The report was supported by a letter published in the British Medical Journal calling for shale gas development to be put on hold, signed by the Climate and Health Council and over a dozen senior health professionals. The letter stated, “The arguments against fracking on public health and ecological grounds are overwhelming. There are clear grounds for adopting the precautionary principle and prohibiting fracking.”620

- February 17, 2015 – Writing in the Canadian Medical Association Journal, a public health scientist and medical doctor briefly reviewed the human health risks of fracking documented to date and made the case for a health care worker role in insisting on improved understanding. They cited worker and community safety issues as the biggest

short-term risks, but emphasized that more needs to be known “before health care providers can definitively respond to their patients’ and communities’ concerns…. Physicians may wish to advocate delaying new development activities until the potential health effects are better understood.”

- January 22, 2015 – The acting head of research at the Cancer Association of South Africa, Carl Albrecht, said that known carcinogenic chemicals used in fracking could lead to an epidemic of cancer in South Africa’s Karoo desert. As South Africa was poised to publish draft regulations, Albrecht said that the effect of fracking on human health was ignored.

- January 19, 2015 – In an article that reviewed research and research gaps, a team of British and U.S. medical and scientific professionals urged the United Kingdom and other nations to engage in science before engaging in fracking. They warned that even strong regulations may not effectively address air pollution from fracking, and that “permanent, adverse environmental, climatic, and population health impacts” may exist in some cases.

- December 17, 2014 – In an editorial, Rutgers University environmental exposure expert Paul J. Lioy (now deceased) highlighted fracking as an area in which accurate exposure monitoring and risk assessment did not yet exist. Lioy emphasized that the relevant research was compartmentalized and fragmented and that exposures and health outcomes around unconventional natural gas development need to be systematically addressed through “well-defined exposure studies in communities and workplaces.”

- December 5, 2014 – A team of medical and scientific researchers, including from the Institute for Health and Environment at the State University of New York (SUNY) at Albany, reviewed the scientific evidence that both adult and early life—including prenatal—exposure to chemicals from fracking operations can result in adverse reproductive health and developmental effects. These include: endocrine-disrupting chemicals potentially increasing risk for reproductive problems, breast cancer, abnormal growth and developmental delays, and changes in immune function; benzene, toluene and xylene (BTX chemicals) increasing risk for impaired sperm quantity and quality in men and menstrual and fertility problems in women; and heavy metals increasing the risk of miscarriage and/or stillbirths. Potential exposures occur through both air and water. Based on their review, the authors concluded, “Taken together, there is an urgent need for the following: 1) biomonitoring of human, domestic and wild animals for these chemicals; and 2) systematic and comprehensive epidemiological studies to examine the

potential for human harm.” Lead author Susan Nagel said in an accompanying interview, “We desperately need biomonitoring data from these people. What are people actually exposed to? What are the blood levels of people living in these areas? What are the levels in the workers?”

- November 12, 2014 – A team of Australian researchers reviewed the strength of evidence for environmental health impacts of fracking based on publications from 1995 to 2014. They noted that the rapid expansion of fracking had outstripped the pace of science and that most studies focused on short-term, rather than long-term, health. Hence, “very few studies examined health outcomes with longer latencies such as cancer or developmental outcomes.” Noting that no evidence exists to rule out health impacts, the team called for direct and clear public health assessments before projects are approved, longitudinal studies that include baseline data, and government and industry transparency.

- September 15, 2014 – Researchers led by University of Rochester’s Environmental Health Sciences Center conducted interviews in New York, North Carolina, and Ohio to evaluate community health concerns about unconventional natural gas development. They identified many areas where more study is needed, including baseline measures of air quality, ongoing environmental monitoring, and health impact assessments. They noted that other areas where data are lacking involve the assessment of drilling and fracking impacts on vulnerable populations such as very young children, and the potential consequences of interactions between exposures resulting from shale gas extraction operations. Researchers suggested incorporating the input of potentially affected community members into the development of the research agenda.

- July 21, 2014 – An independent assessment report by Scientists for Global Responsibility and the Chartered Institute of Environmental Health reviewed current evidence across a number of issues associated with shale gas extraction by hydraulic fracturing, including environmental and public health risks, drawing on academic research. Among the report’s conclusions: there are major shortcomings in regulatory oversight regarding local environmental and public health risks; there is a large potential for UK shale gas exploitation to undermine national and international efforts to tackle climate change; the water-intensive nature of the fracking process which could cause water shortages in many areas; the complete lack of evidence behind claims that shale gas exploitation will bring

---


down UK energy bills; and concerns that it will impact negatively on UK energy security. Despite claims to the contrary, the report noted that evidence of local environmental contamination from shale gas exploitation is well reported in the scientific literature. It emphasizes that, “[t]here are widespread concerns over the lack of evidence on fracking-related health impacts,” and that there is a lack of “substantive epidemiological study for populations exposed to shale gas extraction.”

- July 18, 2014 – A working group of the Environmental Health Sciences Core Centers, supported by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, reviewed the available literature on the potential health impacts of fracking for natural gas. They concluded that further research is urgently needed. Needs identified included: monitoring of air and water quality over the entire lifetime of wells; further epidemiologic research addressing health outcomes and water quality; and research addressing whether air pollution associated with fracking increases the risk of pulmonary and cardiovascular disease. The working group advocated for the participation of potentially affected communities in all areas of research.

- July 12, 2014 – Eli Avila, Pennsylvania’s former Secretary of Health, said that health officials need to be proactive in protecting the public from the health effects of unconventional shale gas extraction. In 2011, funding was approved for a Pennsylvania public health registry to track drilling related complaints and address concerns, but was cut at the last minute. Speaking to the problem posed by the dearth of information, Avila asked, “How can you keep the public safe if you’re not collecting data?”

- June 30, 2014 – The immediate past chair of the Executive Committee of the Council on Environmental Health for the American Academy of Pediatrics, Jerome A. Paulson, MD, called for industry disclosure of all ingredients of fracking fluid; thorough study of all air contaminants released from drilling and fracking operations and their protected dispersal patterns; and study and disclosure of fracking-related water contamination and its mechanisms. In a letter to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Paulson said:

   In summary, neither the industry, nor government agencies, nor other researchers have ever documented that [unconventional gas extraction] can be performed in a manner that minimizes risks to human health. There is now some evidence that these risks that many have been concerned about for a number of years are real risks. There is also much data to indicate that there are a number of toxic chemicals used or derived from the process, known or plausible routes of
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exposure of those chemicals to humans; and therefore, reason to place extreme limits on [unconventional gas extraction].

- June 20, 2014 – Highlighting preliminary studies in the United States that suggest an increased risk of adverse health problems among individuals living within ten miles of shale gas operations, a commentary in the British medical journal *The Lancet* called for a precautionary approach to gas drilling in the United Kingdom. According the commentary, “It may be irresponsible to consider any further fracking in the UK (exploratory or otherwise) until these prospective studies have been completed and the health impacts of fracking have been determined.”

- June 20, 2014 – Led by an occupational and environmental medicine physician, a Pennsylvania-based medical and environmental science research team documented “… the substantial concern about adverse health effects of [unconventional natural gas development] among Pennsylvania Marcellus Shale residents, and that these concerns may not be adequately represented in medical records.” The teams identified the continued need to pursue environmental, clinical, and epidemiological studies to better understand associations between fracking, medical outcomes, and residents’ ongoing concerns.

- June 17, 2014 – A discussion paper by the Nova Scotia Deputy Chief Medical Officer and a panel of experts identified potential economic benefits as well as public health concerns from unconventional oil and gas development. On the health impacts, they wrote, “uncertainties around long term environmental effects, particularly those related to climate change and its impact on the health of both current and future generations, are considerable and should inform government decision making.” The report noted potential dangers including contamination of groundwater, air pollution, surface spills, increased truck traffic, noise pollution, occupational health hazards, and the generation of greenhouse gases. It also noted that proximity of potential fracking sites to human habitation should give regulators pause and called for a health impact assessment and study of long-term impacts. Responding to the report, the Environmental Health Association of Nova Scotia applauded the go-slow approach and called for a 10-year moratorium on fracking.
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• May 29, 2014 – In New York State, more than 250 medical organizations and health professionals released a letter detailing emerging trends in the data on fracking that show significant risk to public health, air quality, and water, as well as other impacts. With signatories including the American Academy of Pediatrics, District II, the American Lung Association in New York, Physicians for Social Responsibility, and many leading researchers examining the impacts of fracking, they wrote, “The totality of the science—which now encompasses hundreds of peer-reviewed studies and hundreds of additional reports and case examples—shows that permitting fracking in New York would pose significant threats to the air, water, health and safety of New Yorkers.”637, 638

• May 9, 2014 – In a peer-reviewed analysis, leading toxicologists outlined some of the potential harm and uncertainty relating to the toxicity of the chemical and physical agents associated with fracking, individually and in combination. While acknowledging the need for more research and greater involvement of toxicologists, they noted the potential for surface and groundwater contamination from fracking, growing concerns about air pollution particularly in the aggregate, and occupational exposures that pose a series of potential hazards to worker health.639, 640

• May 1, 2014 – A 292-page report from a panel of top Canadian scientists urged caution on fracking, noting that it poses “the possibility of major adverse impacts on people and ecosystems” and that significantly more study is necessary to understand the full extent of the risks and impacts.641 The Financial Post reported that the panel of experts “found significant uncertainty on the risks to the environment and human health, which include possible contamination of ground water as well as exposure to poorly understood combinations of chemicals.”642

• April 30, 2014 – Medical professionals spoke out on the dearth of public health information collected and lack of long-term study five years into Pennsylvania’s fracking boom. Walter Tsou, MD, MPH, past president of the American Public Health Association

and former Health Commissioner of Philadelphia commented, “That kind of study from a rigorous scientific perspective has never been done.” Other experts added, “There has been more health research involving fracking in recent years, but every study seems to consider a different aspect, and … there is no coordination.”

- April 17, 2014 – In the preeminent British Medical Journal, authors of a commentary, including an endocrinologist and a professor of clinical public health, wrote, “Rigorous, quantitative epidemiological research is needed to assess the risks to public health, and data are just starting to emerge. As investigations of shale gas extraction in the US have continually suggested, assurances of safety are no proxy for adequate protection.”

- April 15, 2014 – The Canadian Medical Association Journal reported on the increasing legitimacy of concerns about fracking on health: “While scientists and area residents have been sounding the alarm about the health impacts of shale gas drilling for years, recent studies, a legal decision and public health advocates are bringing greater legitimacy to concerns.”

- March 3, 2014 – In the Medical Journal of Australia, researchers and a physician published a strongly worded statement, “Harms unknown: health uncertainties cast doubt on the role of unconventional gas in Australia’s energy future.” They cited knowledge to date on air, water, and soil pollution, and expressed concern about “environmental, social and psychological factors that have more indirect effects on health, and important social justice implications” yet to be understood. They wrote in summary:

  The uncertainties surrounding the health implications of unconventional gas, when considered together with doubts surrounding its greenhouse gas profile and cost, weigh heavily against proceeding with proposed future developments. While the health effects associated with fracturing chemicals have attracted considerable public attention, risks posed by wastewater, community disruption and the interaction between exposures are of also of concern.

- March 1, 2014 – In the prestigious British medical journal The Lancet, researchers summarized workshops and research about the health impacts of fracking, noting that the scientific study on the health impacts of fracking is “in its infancy.” Nevertheless, the existing evidence suggests, said these researchers, that health risks posed by fracking exceed those posed by conventional oil and gas wells due to the sheer number and


- February 24, 2014 – In a review of the health effects of unconventional natural gas extraction published in the journal \textit{Environmental Science & Technology}, leading researchers identified a range of impacts and exposure pathways that can be detrimental to human health. Noting how fracking disrupts communities, the review states, “For communities near development and production sites the major stressors are air pollutants, ground and surface water contamination, truck traffic and noise pollution, accidents and malfunctions, and psychosocial stress associated with community change.” They concluded, “Overall, the current scientific literature suggests that there are both substantial public concerns and major uncertainties to address.”\footnote{Adgate, J. L., Goldstein, B. D., & McKenzie, L. M. (2014). Potential public health hazards, exposures and health effects from unconventional natural gas development [Abstract]. \textit{Environmental Science & Technology}. doi: 10.1021/es404621d}

- August 30, 2013 – A summary of a 2012 workshop by the Institute of Medicine Roundtable on Environmental Health Sciences, Research, and Medicine featured various experts who discussed health and environmental concerns about fracking and the need for more research. The report in summary of the workshop stated, “The governmental public health system, which retains primary responsibility for health, was not an early participant in discussions about shale gas extraction; thus public health is lacking critical information about environmental health impacts of these technologies and is limited in its ability to address concerns raised by regulators at the federal and state levels, communities, and workers employed in the shale gas extraction industry.”\footnote{Coussens, C., & Martinez, R. (2013). \textit{Health impact assessment of shale gas extraction: workshop summary}. Washington: THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES PRESS. Retrieved from http://www.iom.edu/Reports/2013/Health-Impact-Assessment-of-Shale-Gas-Extraction.aspx}

- June 2013 – A group of three nursing professors published a cautionary review questioning the rollout of new shale-based energy practices at a time when, “anecdotal reports make clear that the removal of fossil fuels from the earth directly affects human health.” Although the results of longterm studies are not yet available, the authors point to emerging evidence for negative human and ecologic health effects of fracking. Furthermore, they continue, “sufficient evidence has been presented to the [American Nurses Association], the American Public Health Association, and the American Medical Association’s Resident and Fellow Section to result in a call for a moratorium on the issuance of new fracking permits nationally.” They urge nurses to contribute to keeping health issues “front and center as we address national energy needs and policies.”\footnote{McDermott-Levy, R., Kaktins, N., & Sattler, B. (2013). Fracking, the environment, and health: New energy practices may threaten public health. \textit{American Journal of Nursing}, 113(6), 45-51.}

- April 22, 2013 – In one of the first peer-reviewed nursing articles summarizing the known health and community risks of fracking, Professor Margaret Rafferty, Chair of the Department of Nursing at New York City College of Technology wrote, “Any initiation
or further expansion of unconventional gas drilling must be preceded by a comprehensive Health Impact Assessment (HIA).”

- May 10, 2011 – In the *American Journal of Public Health*, two medical experts cautioned that fracking “poses a threat to the environment and to the public’s health. There is evidence that many of the chemicals used in fracking can damage the lungs, liver, kidneys, blood, and brain.” The authors urged that it would be prudent to invoke the precautionary principle in order to protect public health and the environment.

**Conclusion**

All together, findings to date from scientific, medical, and journalistic investigations combine to demonstrate that fracking poses significant threats to air, water, health, public safety, climate stability, seismic stability, community cohesion, and long-term economic vitality. Emerging data from a rapidly expanding body of evidence continue to reveal a plethora of recurring problems and harms that cannot be averted or cannot be sufficiently averted through regulatory frameworks. In the words of esteemed pediatrician Jerome Paulson, MD, there is “no evidence that…fracking can operate without risks to human health…. Any claims of safety are based on wishful thinking.”
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