

Draft list of Action Items from May 2009 INC Meeting not addressed by June INC Draft report

	Who identified	Issue/Action item (Page numbers refer to March draft report)
1)	Russ	Beth was going to send Arvin and me some info on Published CMAQ runs -
2)	Tom	Axis on old figure 22 needs to be changed to Tg N (Bill Herz was to do)
3)	Jim	The figure axes and legends are garbled for Figure 26
4)	Jim	Change title for Table 6 Ken's suggested title: Livestock N excretion per kg production (g/kg) and per total US (Tg /yr)
5)		Bill Moomaw will provide Table A entry on hypoxia in the Chesapeake
6)		<u>Replace term "losses" with "transfers"</u>
7)		Greg Isaac comment Page 25, Figure 3: All aquatic and terrestrial systems are identified as having some denitrification potential, except for oceans. But denitrification does occur in the oceans.
8)		Melillo comment: P31, L32 – would it be possible to add a column to indicate primary sources of this information - Beth will provide information
9)	Viney and Ken	Melillo comment, p. 51: P51 – biofuels discussion should note that if biofuels feedstocks are going to be grown on marginal lands, additional N inputs and irrigation may be needed. <i>Also Otto will draft text addressing additions to this point, updating literature references and provide to Viney and Ken</i> <i>Sent e-mail Otto June 5, 2009</i>
10)		Melillo comment, p. 97 P97, table – a better table can be taken from the Millennium Assessment -- Tom will revise
11)	Viney and Ken	McIsaac comment, p. 39, line 15: it would be nice to have a citation to the recommendations referred to. <i>Bill Herz or Otto Doering wrote this; we must ask them for a citation.</i> <i>Sent an e-mail to Otto on June 4, 2009.</i>
12)		McIsaac cp.,emt Page 69, Figure 14: I think it is dangerous to presume a trend from two data points (the 1985 and 2005 maps). I have looked at the full series of maps available on the web, and I agree that there probably is a real trend, but I think it would be better to present and analyze the annual time series, as was done for nitrate. Action item: Beth will Insert table showing time series and include contemporary figure showing spatial pattern, provide to Arvin and Russ
13)	Viney and Ken	Schauer comment p. 58 Recommendation 5 is really not a feasible recommendation. It is not really possible to measure trends in fugitive or

	Who identified	Issue/Action item (Page numbers refer to March draft report)
		<p>areas sources. Networks like NADP are used as an assessment tool to study trends in emissions. A better recommendation may to be expand the locations or measurements of the NADP and STN networks</p> <p>Action item: Insert mention of NEON in Finding 5. Note that EPA and NSF should work with USDA. Bill Moomaw to provide suggested language to Viney</p>