

RAC Advisory 2/23/07 Draft - Beyond Section 5.9 - Shirley A. Fry 03/06/07

Jill : again, in an effort to save time on the conf. call and in addition to my oversight re. 5.9, I have the following comments re. your excellent Feb 23 draft of the Advisory:

1. The Letter (pg 3, ln 29/30) and Exec. Summ (3, ln 36/37) also could be modified to reflect the revised wording in the body of the report (text) on pg 23 ln 5-9 ???.

2. Re. leukemia risk estimates: I don't recall if we ever had or I if I have overlooked its omission from more recent versions of all 3 parts of the Advisory (Letter, Exec. Summ., Text/Body) - but other than the wording on pg 6, ln 8 of the 2/23/07 text, we do not seem not to have any specific comment re. EPA's proposed approach to estimating leukemia risks, (see Draft White Paper, Aug 2006, pg 18/19). BEIR' VII's approach for leukemia estimates differs somewhat from EPA's current and proposed approaches, (see Text pg 6, para 3. [ln 26 -34]) - but we did not comment one way or the other
I suggest the Letter pg 2, para1, and Exec Summ. pg 1. last para. and Text pg 12, para 1, are places where RAC's comment/conclusion/ recommendation on EPA's proposed approach for estimating leukemia risks might/could/should be inserted ?? For discussion ??

Also, reference is made on text pg 12, ln 12/13; Letter, pg 2, ln 4; and the Exec. Summ. pg 1, ln 41, to a string of cancers and '...other solid tumors.' - I suggest changing this to '...other solid CANCERS' as we are only dealing with CANCER risk estimates ???.

3. I suggest we need to review again and as necessary adjust for accuracy and agreement, the wording in the Exec Summ. (pg 1, ln36/37) and in the Text, pg 10, ln 31/32 (my suggestion) - I think we know what we mean, but the 2 sentences differ and neither seems quite right as written .

Thanks for your consideration of these items.

Shirley