

To: Edward Hanlon/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 02/26/2011 10:43 AM  
Subject: criminal negligence

complaint # 09-2317

the following reveals a request for comments to the EPA on the subject of fracking. several issues are very troubling.

1. the comment process is not clear and easy to follow. i've tried many times to contact the drbc, but my emails come back as undeliverable, even though i use the contact information on the site.
2. the decision to measure only water quality to the exclusion of air quality is cynical, negligent, and senseless. i insist that all impacts of fracking be taken into consideration including water, air, forest and meadow fragmentation, road use, accidents due to truck traffic, personal real estate value impact, rental impact, wildlife disruption, and noise. there is no reason to choose one of these assaults to study, while ignoring the others
3. problems people in other regions due to fracking must be made public to the nys residents. the silence on this issue is negligent.
4. nyc has been deemed to be too delicate and important to be put at risk with fracking in their watershed. if upstate ny'ers are exposed to this danger, while nyc is protected, i want someone to explain why this isn't genocide. genocide isn't one group of people killing another group of people due to personal animosity. it is about a powerful entity or person instigating hatred of one group by another for the economic or power-based reasons of the powerful entity or person. the opposing assessments of the danger to nyc and upstate ny is curious, suspicious, and needs to be investigated before any discussion begins on the subject of fracking.

Ban gas drilling and fracking in the northeast for these reasons until these facts are sorted through.

thank you.

Note:

"The EPA expects to partner with oil and natural gas companies AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS in these studies. The EPA Draft Study Plan develops research questions and identifies research needs, and proposes an approach to:

- (1) Gather existing data and information, including input from stakeholders;
- (2) catalog potential impacts of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water resources; and
- (3) identify data gaps."

here's the draft plan, not that you have time to read it:

So, just comment. Here's what to do:

They require 2 copies of statements, one signed and one not signed,

one hard copy, signed (fedexed to arrive by monday) and one emailed.

email: hanlon.edward@epa.gov.

mail:

Environmental Protection Agency  
The Science Advisory Board Staff Office  
Ronald Reagan Building  
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Suite 31150  
Washington, DC 20004  
Attn: Mr. Edward Hanlon  
Designated Federal Officer

the hard copy must be signed.

Both hard copy and email must arrive monday 2/28  
insane I know; this is why god created fedex

view submitted comments here:

(scroll to bottom of page)

Meeting | EPA Science Advisory Board (SAB) | US EPA

Ed Hanlon is out of the office until Tuesday, so afternoon fedex delivery on Monday is fine.

Thank you everyone

Clare