

From: [Sarsony, Chris](#)
To: [Bloomer, Bryan](#)
Cc: [Sarsony, Chris](#); [Rimer, Kelly](#); [Hirtz, James](#)
Subject: FW: Quick question for clarity and accuracy
Date: Thursday, November 09, 2017 4:41:14 PM
Importance: High

Bryan,

At the meeting we presented some information on the percentage of the facilities that screened out in Tier 1 and Tier 2 (Farmer and Fisher) multipathway screens for five recent RTRs. This showed that:

- On average (across pollutants and categories) 30% of the facilities screened out in Tier 1.
- On average (across pollutants and categories) a total of 60% of the facilities screened out in the Tier 2 Fisher Screen.
- On average (across pollutants and categories) a total of 70% of the facilities screened out in the Tier 2 Farmer Screen.

These are just averages and the percentage that screened out varies by pollutant and by source category. Of course, a category with very low modeled concentrations of these HAP would have more facilities screening out. For some pollutants and some categories, we did see 80-100% of the facilities screening out in tier 1 and tier 2 of the multipathway screen.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Chris

Chris Sarsony

*Health and Environmental Impacts Division
U.S. EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards*

sarsony.chris@epa.gov

(919) 541-4843

From: Bloomer, Bryan
Sent: Thursday, November 09, 2017 2:38 PM
To: Sarsony, Chris <Sarsony.Chris@epa.gov>
Subject: Quick question for clarity and accuracy

Hi Chris,

I had a question come back to me from a panelist. Can you please confirm this understanding is accurate or correct if not? I believe this is based on the additional data you all provided overnight at the panel meeting in a table.

By the Agency's accounting, 80-100% of facilities, depending on the

compound, have been screened out from further analysis across several source categories evaluated in recent years.

Thanks,
Bryan