

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jerry Call
American Foundry Society

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Daniel Twarog
NADCA

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Charles McEvoy
Western Extrusions

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Tom Abbas
PPG Industries, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

J. Andrew Doyle
American Coatings Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Alex Howard
SMEPA

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Andy Kick
Lake States Lumber

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Alois J. Koller Jr.
Koller Enterprises, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Andrew J Miner
PPG Industries, Inc

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Bradley A. Benson
Squires-Belt Material Co.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

William Dunn
Trim-Tex, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Bill Turner
Rextac, LLC

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Blake Hardwich
Manufacture Alabama

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

BRIAN NEWHOUSE
LAKE STATES LBR.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Robert Easterbrook
East-Lind Heat Treat, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Robert Hege III
Meadows Mills Inc

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

J. Gregg Borchelt
Brick Industry Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Bill Perdue
American Home Furnishings Alliance

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Benjamin F. Rassieur III
Paulo Products Company

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Bruce A. Steiner
American Coke & Coal Chemicals Institute

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

ROBERT WILLIAMS
MOBILE PAINT MFG. CO INC

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Cecilia Allison
K & S Tool, Die & Manufacturing, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Carroll Anderson
Production Castings, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Clifford R. Beadle
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Charles E. Berry
Colorado Assn of Commerce & Industry

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Chris Faria
PPGIndustries Inc

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Charles C. Whitaker
Cello-Wrap Printing Co., Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Chris Olsen
Tate & Lyle

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Chris Burgess
Arcadia Chair Company

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Cletis Jackson
Hi-Tek Mfg Inc

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Michael O'Brien
Window & Door Manufacturers Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Charles G. Mayhew
Foldcraft Company

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Cindy Squires
National Marine Manufacturers Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Cyrus T. Wright
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Dale A Freeman
Freeman Contract Services, LLC

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Daniel Glier
Glier's Meats inc

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Danjczek
Steel Manufacturers Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

David W. Frengel
Penn United

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Daniel A. Brailer
WESCO International, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Dell Collins
Vermeer Corporation

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Deborah K. Woolley
Tennessee Chamber of Commerce & Industry

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Danielle Elmer
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Duane Jebbett
Rowmark,LLC.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

David Moss
PPG Industries, Inc

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Debbie Marshall
Chevron

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Durham McCauley
McGard, LLC

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Donna C. Clark
Jack Daniel Distillery

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Stacy Dorsey
PPG

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Todd Douthit
PPG Industries, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Donald Popp
The Ruscoe Company

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

C. Richard Titus
Kitchen Cabinet Manufacturers Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Douglas Woods
AMT - The Association for Manufacturing Technology

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Daniel Zink
Cottrell, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Edwina Beasley
PPG

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Eugene T. Corbin
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Ed Childress
Syncro Corporation

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Richard Elias
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

O. Frank Williams
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Glen R. Anderson
Pressure Sensitive Tape Council

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Gerry E. Dozier
PPG Industries Inc., Fiber Glass Products

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

George N Clark
Manufacture Alabama

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Glenn Hamer
Arizona Chamber of Commerce and Industry/Arizona Manufacturers Council

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Gary Jones
Printing Industries of America

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Glenn Frommer
ADCO Products

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Herman B. Turner
Heatron, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Henry Lodge
Lodge Manufacturing Company

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Scott Hopwood
PPG Industries, Inc

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Hansen
Hudson Extrusions, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Blake Jeffery
Indiana Cast Metals Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Julia Phelps
Sutphen Towers Inc

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jay Moon
Mississippi Manufacturers Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

James C. Stull
Continental Heat Treating, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jeanna E Potts
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Bell
Westside Finishing

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jeff Kauk
Nucor Steel

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jeffery R Miller
PPG

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jed Falgren
Metalcasters of Minnesota

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

James Fleming
Republic Services Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Johns Friel
Mid West Fabricating Company

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

James Gordon
Winston Printing Co. Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jim Hickman
Langdale Forest Products Co.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

James Greenwell
Reliable Plating Corp

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

James K. Lyons
Alabama State Port Authority

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jeff Meade
PPG

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Joseph R. Kloppenberg
Kloppenberg & Co.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jonathan M. Edwards
Edwards Oil Co. of Lawrenceburg, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Joshua Ray
PPG Industries, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

John Stauber
Innovative Pine Technology

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jack M. Stewart
California Manufacturers & Technology Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

John W. Finger
Sioux Corporation

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Janice Wirtz
PPG

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Kari Dorvinen
Lake States Lumber

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Kenneth W. Bonin
Lake States Lumber, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Kevin E Sauer
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kurt G. Olson
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Kirk McCollough
Seneca Foundry Inc

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Kenneth R Ingram
Valv-Trol Co.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Kate Ryan
ITW TACC

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Kevin Schwalb
Textile Rental Services Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Lance Brown
The Partnership for Affordable Clean Energy (PACE)

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Laurel Rodricks
PPG Aerospace

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Len Carmichael
Sunnyside Corporation

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Lori L. Leffler
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Lisa Freeman
PPG, PMC, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Leif Lindbo
Lake States Lumber

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Larry Vandergriend
Hughes Brothers, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Michael A. Martin
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Matt Croson
Adhesive and Sealant Council

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Matthew F. Johnston
Worthington Industries, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Thomas E Maziarz
PPG Industries, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Marnie Christopherson
Lake States Lumber/Innovative Pine Technology, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Cesar Medeiros
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Michael Jones
PPG Protective and Marine Coatings

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Michael P. D'Ambra
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Mark Kayne
Sioux Corporation

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Chris Moyer
Pennsylvania Foundry Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Meghan Roe
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Mark A. Roth
PPG Industries, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

John M. Stradinger
Holland 1916

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Mark Suber
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Ric Fenton
Alpha Natural Resources

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Nancy Stephens
Manufacturers Association of Florida

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Heather D. O'Bannion
PPG Protective & Marine Coatings

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Russ Murray
Ohio Cast Metals Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Paul Michalec
The Ruscoe Co.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Paul N. Cicio
Industrial Energy Consumers of America

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Lance Penfield
Prince Manufacturing Corporation

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Phillip D Norman
Colomer

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

W Scott Pleskonko
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Peter E. Macler
Texas Cast Metals Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Bruce D. Putnam
PPG Industries Fiber Glass Products

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Paul Walker
Streator Dependable Mfg.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Paul F Wilson, Ph.D.
PPG Industries, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

patrick ortiz
longview fibre paper and packaging

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Karen Bailey
PPG Industries, Inc Fiber Glass

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Rich Bauer
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Reynaldo M. Chavez
San Antonio Manufacturers Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Robert C. Giomi
Morgan Ohare, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Richard Higgins
HK Research Corporation

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Rhonda L Linz
PPG Protective and Marine Coatings

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Rita Needham
Missouri Association of Manufacturers

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Robert Morret
Pennex Aluminum Company

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

James Rock
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Ronald Vollmer
ADCO Products, Inc

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Renee' Ostrosky
PPG Industries, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Richard Patek
TelSmith Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Charles T. Drevna
National Petrochemical & Refiners Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Richard Walker
AAMA

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jim Schiappa
PPG Industries, Inc

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Steve Lewallen
Wisconsin Cast Metals Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Scott Manley
Wisconsin Manufacturers & Commerce

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Stephen Michael
spartanburg forest products

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Shealy
Santee Cooper

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

steve hays
standard industrial corp.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Shawn Peck
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Stewart Rariden
Stone City Products, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Theodore W. Summerland II
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Sarah Zielsdorf
Lake States Lumber

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

P.A.Argentinis
Elan Technology inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Tammy L. Davis
PPG

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Ted Smith
Smith Millwork, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Tim Voss
Arrow Adhesive Co.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Tina Rickard
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Travis Kinyo
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Thomas A. Logston
PPG Industries CA&D

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

traci jensen
hbfuller

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Truman F. Wilt
PPG Industries, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Lisa Ventrano
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Victoria BrindAmour
Tegant Corporation

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jay Vroom
CropLife America

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Verne Tuite
Klein Tools, Inc.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Wayne K. Scharber
TN Chamber of Commerce & Industry

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

William
Perciballi

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

William Ries
PPG Industries

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Bill Lievense
Foundry Association of Michigan

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jim D. Neiman
Neiman Enterprises, Inc.

Comment: Why are we trying to eliminate jobs and chase them out of our country to other countries, when our focus should be on creating new jobs. Our Company is in the forest products business and we have been hit extremely hard with the collapse of the housing in

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Thomas S. Narbit Jr.
PPG Industries

Comment: Where is the science? Only 2 years ago the EPA set a standard for Ozone of 75 ppb. Industry assisted the others in changes that have met attainment in the Houston Area. Now another reduction seems to be directed at reducing jobs, not protecting the pub

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Howard Lambert
Midwest Metal Products, Inc.

Comment: What does not make sense to me is President Obama's goal of increasing Mfg. exports (which I think is what we need to do) while his actions of increasing cost at every point: EPA, OSHA, Medical Insurance, etc., etc., has the exact opposite effect. As a

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Lusker O'Neal Clements
Rock Tenn Paper Co.

Comment: We have already lost millions of jobs to outsourcing, moving manufacturing to countries with low wages including slave labor, and the theft and giving away of American technology. Therefore we do not need people that are paid by our hard earned tax dollar

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Edwin Kercher
Kercher Industries, Inc.

Comment: Two years ago industry was told to obtain one result, now they are told they need to do more. No wonder everyone talks of the loss of American manufacturer. This is part of "Death by a Thousand Regulation" to American industry.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Robert D. Bessette
CIBO

Comment: This rule on top of the Boiler MACT rule, the other NAAQS rules, GHG rules, new Water regulations and solid waste rules and ash classification are strangling the American economy, killing any potential growth and job recovery or formation. This is 100%

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Pete Weisenberger
Weisenberger & Company, LLC

Comment: This is the definition of insanity and political double-speak. On the one hand, talking about keeping American jobs and creating new jobs, and, on the other hand, implementing job-killing regulations. The highest of hypocrisy.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Tina Lynn Lake
PPG Industries, Inc.

Comment: This is another example of the Agency's overregulation and will put high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Peter Faulkner
PPG Industries

Comment: This country cannot afford to lose more jobs. We need to give time for the existing 75ppb standard to work

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Charles Nason
Worzalla

Comment: This comes on the heels of the President's pro-business movement for re-election. Why doesn't anyone in Washington understand this will cost the U.S. jobs, dollars and have a chilling effect on business recovery?

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

NEIL BELLIKKA
Prairie Tool Co.

Comment: The US economy can not stand with more regulations

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Ray Bacon
Nevada Manufacturers Assn

Comment: The regulatory constraints on US industry are doing more to drive jobs out of this country than tax policy or anything else government does to business. If they penalized the guilty with logical and common sense measures, then fine. In reality they puni

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

George Gehring
Gehring Textiles/Gehring-Tricot Corp

Comment: The past 10 years we have seen manufacturing sacrificed with all the Free Trade Agreements - and add this to the in-direct costs of running a domestic manufacturing company has made it impossible to stay in business. This would be an added cost that our o

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Eric Van Dellen
Amway

Comment: The new rule set two years ago has created a significant burden on Western Michigan, specifically due to transport accross Lake Michigan. To lower the standard in just two years places an unreasonable regulatory standard. The state of Michigan is clawing

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Tom Morrison
Metal Treating Institute

Comment: The Metal Treating Institute agrees with the Manufacturer's comments and is troubled by regulation that changes so rapidly that you can't comply with it as well as costing jobs in manufacturing when we are in such need of industries to create jobs.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

James Finkel
Wallace Cranes

Comment: The marginal incremental improvement in decreasing the thresholds for ozone will be far outweighed by the costs of abatement. Too, has the energy cost (and subsequent chemicals generation) of creating the abatement equipment been figured into the total e

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Donald E. Moors
Western Gage Corp

Comment: The current standards are adequate. Further restriction will not offset the deleterious effect they will have on the economy.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

James Barrie Sellers
Geokon Inc

Comment: The current Ozone levels are quite adequate and further reductions would not be cost effective - the costs would greatly exceed any small benefit that might be derived

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Scott Macdonald
Maryland Thermoform Corporation

Comment: Regulations raising standards here in USA without corresponding reductions in foreign competitors are destructive to domestic manufacturers and their employees. Any changes should be in lock step with our foreign trade agreements or they are not only dest

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Brian Moore
APAC-Mississippi, Inc.

Comment: Poor timing for new regulation that seems at least at this point unnecessary. Lets give the 75ppb standard more time.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

David Nelson
Sioux Corporation

Comment: Poll the unemployed... I will go with whatever they say.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Andy Plauche'
PPG Industries, Inc.

Comment: Please give the current standard of 75 ppb time to work before setting new standards.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

William Retsch
PPG

Comment: Please do not change the current ozone standard from 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range from 70 ppb to 60 ppb. Give the current standards time to work.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Brenda C Reed
PPG Industries

Comment: Our economy is already in huge trouble. This will only make the economy worse, by taking manufacturing jobs from the American people. Please allow the current regulations to stand and give the people of America job security.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jerry Miller
M&M Hi Tech Fab, LLC

Comment: NO more Regulations or Taxes; is it any wonder, fewer products are Made in USA!

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Robert E Kersey
Rochester Metal Products

Comment: I support the letter. The EPA's actions are just another indication of the administrations complete dislike of the business community and the free enterprize system in general.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

William Ferguson
Chatsworth Products, Inc.

Comment: I request that the EPA not adopt a new, more stringent ozone standard.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

VIRGIL SCOTT
SOUTHWEST ELECTRIC

Comment: I FAIL TO SEE HOW MORE REGULATIONS AND FORMS WILL ACCOMPLISH ANYTHING OTHER THAN HIGHER COST FOR ENERGY. THE GENERAL PUBLIC CAN HARDLY PAY THEIR UTILITY AND TRANSPORTATION FUEL COST AS IT IS.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

John W. Martin III
Mar-Mac Wire, Inc.

Comment: I continue to struggle to compete against China and Mexico in steel products. Neither country has the choking regulations with which we must contend in the USA.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Aanstasia Tullos
Norbord

Comment: I am signing my name to the petition to tell the EPA not to adopt a new, more stringent ozone standard and to give the current standard time to work.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

John W Wymer JR
Jack Wymer LLC

Comment: Has there been Real Research by Real Scientists to establish the need ? Or is "Computer Modeling" with selected Inputs ?

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Rex Greer
APUs by Rex, LLC

Comment: EPA needs to stop trying to implement any new regulations and determine how much damage they have already done to the environment such as the global warming scam. Between EPA, CARB. and Al Gore they have done more damage to the air quality than the last

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

George woods
Littlejohn Engineering Associates

Comment: EPA has set the proposed standards at levels that they know cannot be met. Virtually every State will be in nonattainment status based on the proposed placement of monitors in the highest traffic areas which will bias the data in the way they want it to g

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Michael Gore
Three R Plastics

Comment: Enough is enough. Lets enforce the rules on the books first. Raising the bar higher will not improve compliance.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Bob Winstead
BAE Systems Ordnance Systems, Inc

Comment: Endorsing letter on behalf of BAE Systems, Ordnance Systems, Inc. at Holston Army Ammunition Plant in Kingsport, Tennessee

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Terry Bush
SpiraFlex LLC

Comment: Enacting regulation without regard for the consequences is no different than taxation without representation.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Donald C. Stine
PPG Industries, Inc.

Comment: Continuing to over burden manufacturing with needless regulation will reduce available jobs, when they are needed most. Leave regulation to our elected officials in congress.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

William Sopko
Stamco Industries

Comment: Clean air is a world wide issue. China & India are the world's worst . They are already subsidized by thier governments to hurt our manufacturing base in America. We do not need more costly regulations that make us even more costly to complete globall

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Joseph Cattaneo
Glass Packaging Institute

Comment: As president of the Glass Packaging Institute, the North American trade association for the glass container manufacturing industry, we would like to sign on to this petition.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Harold C Bennett
Utility Trailer Mfg. Co.

Comment: Another nail in the coffin of manufacturing in the US. High cost , unmeasurable benefits, and less high paying jobs in the US. I thought we were trying to create domestic jobs! Let's stop this nonsense.

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

William E. Gaskin
Precision Metalforming Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Dave Tilstone
National Tooling & Machining Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Kevin M. Burke
American Apparel & Footwear Association

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Gershman
American Chemistry Council

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Kalkwarf
Metals Service Center Institute

February 7, 2011

Dr. Holly Stallworth
Designated Federal Office
Science Advisory Board
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Dr. Stallworth:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s proposed new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone will hurt manufacturers' ability to create jobs and compete in the global marketplace. The proposal would lower the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range between 70 ppb and 60 ppb. This will result in significant costs, increased regulations and job losses.

Manufacturers are troubled that the EPA is proposing a new standard only two years after establishing the 75 ppb standard in 2008. According to a recent study by the Manufacturers Alliance (MAPI), lowering the current standard would cost 7.3 million jobs by 2020 and add \$1 trillion in new regulatory burdens between 2020 and 2030. In addition, a new standard would place many counties in our nation in violation of the requirements of the Clean Air Act. This will significantly hurt large and small businesses and hinder economic growth in many states.

Now is not the time to put even more high-paying manufacturing jobs at stake.

I strongly urge the CASAC Panel to recommend that the EPA not adopt this new, more stringent ozone standard.

Sincerely,

Stephen Larkin
Aluminum Association