DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT OFFICE WEST
1455 FRAZEE RD, SUITE 900
SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-4310

5090
Ser BPMOW.mrk/0454
30 March 2007

Mr. Mark Ripperda (SFD 8-3)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Mr. Tom Lanphar
Department of Toxic Substances Control
700 Heinz Avenue, Bldg. F, Suite 200
Berkeley, CA 94710

Mr. Jim Ponton
California Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Francisco Bay Region
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400
Oakland, CA 94612

Dear BCT Members:

Enclosure (1) is forwarded for your review. Please complete your review of this primary document within the 45 day period.

As presented at the March 20, 2007 BCT meeting, the Draft Parcel E-2 Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) does not include the evaluation of groundwater remediation or the radiological assessment of the site. The BCT will reconvene after reviewing the document to discuss the evaluation of the groundwater. The decision from this meeting will be incorporated in the Draft Final RI/FS. The Draft Radiological Addenda for the Parcel E-2 RI/FS is scheduled to be submitted in May 2007.

Please forward comments to me at your earliest convenience or **no later than Monday, May 21, 2007.**

Should you have any concerns with this matter, please contact Ms. Melanie Kito at (619) 532-0787 or Keith Forman at (619) 532-0913.

Sincerely,

KEITH FORMAN
BRAC Environmental Coordinator
By direction of the Director

Enclosure: 1. Draft Parcel E-2 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study, Hunters Point Shipyard, San Francisco, California, March 30, 2007. Volumes I and II.

5090
Ser BPMOW.mrk/0454
30 March 2007

Copy to: (Hard Copy and CD)
Ms. Diane Silva (3 Hard Copies/CD)
1220 Pacific Highway (Code EVR)
San Diego, CA 92132-5190

Ms. Dorinda Shipman (Hard Copy/CD)
555 Montgomery St., Suite 1300
San Francisco, CA 94111

Mr. Michael Jacobvitz (Hard Copy/CD)
5341 Old Redwood Highway, Suite 300
Petaluma, CA 94954

Ms. Karla Brasaemle (Hard Copy/CD)
90 New Montgomery St., Suite 1010
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mr. Ram Ramanujan (Hard Copy/CD)
8800 Cal Center Drive
Sacramento, CA 95826-3200

Mr. Jim Polisini (Hard Copy/CD)
1011 N. Grandview Avenue
Glendale, CA 91201-2205

Dr. Daniel Stralka (Hard Copy/CD)
75 Hawthorne Street (SFD 8-3)
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Ms. Cynthia Wetmore (Hard Copy/CD)
75 Hawthorne Street (SFD 8-3)
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Ms. Carol Allen (Hard Copy/CD)
939 Ellis Street
San Francisco, CA 94109

Mr. Gino Yekta (Hard Copy/CD)
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95812-4025

Ms. Amy Brownell (CD)
1390 Market Street, Suite 910
San Francisco, CA 94102

Mr. Michael Sharpless (CD)
55 2nd Street, 24th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104-2635

Ms. Rona Sandler (CD)
City Hall, Room 234
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4682

Mr. Henry Louie (CD)
1390 Market St., Suite 910
San Francisco, CA 94102

Ms. Barbara Bushnell (CD)
6 Vistaview Ct
San Francisco, CA 94124

Ms. Sheila Roebuck (CD)
690 Walnut Avenue, Suite 100
Vallejo, CA 94592

Dr. Michael McGowan (CD)
ARC Ecology
4634 Third Street
San Francisco, CA 94124

Dr. Peter T. Palmer (CD)
1600 Holloway Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94132-4163

Mr. Gregg Grist (CD)
Tech Physics
1658 27th Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94122

Mr. Cliff Stephan (CD)
3200 George Washington Way, Suite G
Richland, WA 99352-3429

Mr. Robert Cotter (CD)
P.O. Box 0915
San Francisco, CA 94143

Mr. Steve Hall (w/o Encl)
1230 Columbia Street, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101

5090
Ser BPMOW.mrk/0454
30 March 2007

CMDR Sue Neurath (CD)
1600 Clifton Road, MS E-56
Atlanta, GA 30333

Ms. Laurie Lowman (CD)
Building 1971 NWS
Yorktown, VA 23691-0260

Mr. Robert Hickman (CD)
1145 Market Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Mr. Peter Wilsey (CD)
101 Grove Street, Room 217
San Francisco, CA 94102

Mr. Nixon Lam (CD)
P.O. Box 8097
San Francisco, CA 94128

Ms. Nicole Franklin (CD)
1 South Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94103

Mr. David Belk (CD)
50 Medical Center Way
San Francisco, CA 94143

Mr. Steve McAdam (CD)
50 California Street, Suite 2600
San Francisco, CA 94111

Mr. William Ellsworth (CD)
345 Middlefield Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Public Summary: **Draft Parcel E-2 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Hunters Point Shipyard, San Francisco, California March 2007**

The U.S. Department of the Navy (Navy) has prepared a combined Remedial Investigation (RI)/Feasibility Study (FS) for the contiguous area consisting of the closed industrial landfill (referred to as the "Parcel E-2 Landfill") and the surrounding adjacent areas that contain isolated or non-contiguous pockets of buried solid waste at Parcel E-2, Hunters Point Shipyard in San Francisco, California. This RI/FS summarizes and evaluates the nature and extent of contamination using all available data, including information from interim removal actions that have removed potential contamination sources at Parcel E-2. The data was used to update risk assessments for human and ecological receptors at Parcel E-2. The results from the nature and extent evaluation and risk assessments were used to identify remedial action objectives, and to develop remedial alternatives consistent with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) RI/FS guidance for landfills.

Based on the nature and extent evaluation and the risk assessment results, the following media and affected areas pose potential threats to human health and the environment and will undergo remedial option analysis in the FS: 1) solid waste and soil in the Parcel E-2 Landfill; 2) landfill gas; 3) soil and isolated solid waste in the adjacent areas; 4) groundwater in the A-aquifer and B-aquifer; 5) surface water runoff; and 6) shoreline sediment. Consistent with EPA RI/FS guidance for landfills, the Navy evaluated a focused set of remedial alternatives for Parcel E-2 that included: 1) no action; 2) excavate and dispose of solid waste, soil, and sediment; and 3) contain solid waste, soil, and sediment. Alternatives 2 and 3 also include monitoring of contaminated media (such as groundwater) and institutional controls that would be implemented across the entire parcel to prevent exposure to contaminated soil and groundwater.

Information Repositories: A complete copy of the "Draft Parcel E-2 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study" dated March 2007, is available to community members at:

San Francisco Main Library
100 Larkin Street
Government Information Center, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 557-4500

Anna E. Waden Bayview Library
5075 Third Street
San Francisco, CA 94124
Phone: (415) 715-4100

The report is also available to community members on request to the U.S. Department of the Navy. For more information about environmental investigation and cleanup at Hunters Point Shipyard, contact Keith Forman, BRAC Environmental Coordinator for the Navy, at:

Keith Forman
Department of the Navy
Base Realignment and Closure
Program Management Office West
1455 Frazee Road, Suite 900
San Diego, CA 92108-4310
Phone: (619) 532-0913
Fax: (619) 532-0995
E-mail: keith.s.forman@navy.mil

Disc 1

Text, Tables, Figures, and Appendices A through E

Use embedded hyperlinks (in blue text within "Table of Contents" and "List of Appendices") to navigate within the document

Draft

Parcel E-2
Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study

Hunters Point Shipyard
San Francisco, California

March 2007

Prepared for:
Base Realignment and Closure
Program Management Office West
San Diego, California 92108

Prepared by:
Engineering/Remediation Resources Group, Inc.
251 Kearny Street, Suite 502
San Francisco, California 94108

and

Shaw Environmental, Inc.
4005 Port Chicago Highway
Concord, California 94520

Prepared under:
Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest
Contract Number N68711-05-C-6011
Contract Task Order 0001

and

Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest
Contract Number N68711-01-D-6011
Contract Task Order 0001

Draft
Parcel E-2
Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
Hunters Point Shipyard, San Francisco, California

March 2007

Submitted by:
Engineering/Remediation Resources Group, Inc. and Shaw Environmental, Inc.

	March 30, 2007
Signature Doug Bielskis, P.E.	Date ERRG Project Manager
	March 30, 2007
Signature Rich Haughey, P.E.	Date Shaw Project Manager

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1
ES.1. Site History and Planned Reuse 2
ES.1.1. Operational History 2
ES.1.2. Investigation Activities 3
ES.1.3. Interim Removal Actions 3
ES.1.4. Ongoing Monitoring Programs 4
ES.2. Nature and Extent of Contamination 4
ES.2.1. Solid Waste and Soil in the Landfill Area 4
ES.2.2. Landfill Gas 5
ES.2.3. Soil and Isolated Solid Waste in the Adjacent Areas 5
ES.2.4. Groundwater 6
ES.2.5. Surface Water 7
ES.2.6. Shoreline Sediment 7
ES.3. Risk Assessments 7
ES.3.1. Soil 8
ES.3.2. Landfill Gas 9
ES.3.3. Groundwater 9
ES.4. Remedial Investigation Conclusions 11
ES.5. Feasibility Study 11
ES.5.1. Remediation Goals 11
ES.5.2. Remedial Action Objectives 12
ES.5.3. General Response Actions, Remedial Technologies, and Process Options 13
ES.5.4. Development of Remedial Alternatives 14
ES.5.5. Detailed Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives 15
ES.5.6. Comparative Analysis of Remedial Alternatives 15
SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION 1-1
1.1. Parcel E-2 CERCLA Progress 1-2
1.1.1. Previous Investigations 1-2
1.1.2. Ongoing Monitoring Programs 1-3
1.1.3. Removal Actions in Parcel E-2 1-3
1.1.4. Parcel E-2 RI/FS 1-4
1.2. Study Areas in Parcel E-2 1-4
1.3. Report Framework 1-5
1.4. Report Purpose and Goals 1-5
1.5. Report Organization 1-7
1.6. Site Description 1-8

Table of Contents *(continued)*

1.6.1. Location 1-8
1.6.2. Topography and Site Features 1-8
1.6.3. Climate 1-9
1.6.4. Study Areas 1-9
1.7. Site History 1-11
1.7.1. General Site History 1-11
1.7.2. Parcel E-2 History 1-12
1.7.3. Parcel E-2 Radiological History 1-14
1.8. Future Site Reuse 1-14
SECTION 2. SITE DESCRIPTION AND PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS 2-1
2.1. Site Features 2-1
2.1.1. Landfill Area 2-1
2.1.2. Panhandle Area 2-2
2.1.3. East Adjacent Area 2-3
2.1.4. Shoreline Area 2-3
2.2. Geology and Hydrogeology 2-3
2.2.1. Geologic and Hydrogeologic Units 2-4
2.2.2. Groundwater Flow 2-9
2.2.3. Hydraulic Characteristics 2-11
2.2.4. Tidal Effects 2-12
2.2.5. Total Dissolved Solids 2-13
2.2.6. Groundwater Beneficial Reuse 2-13
2.3. Hydrology 2-16
2.4. Ecology 2-17
2.4.1. Terrestrial Habitat 2-17
2.4.2. Wetland Habitat 2-19
2.4.3. Intertidal Habitat 2-22
SECTION 3. REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES AND REMOVAL ACTIONS 3-1
3.1. Pre-Remedial Investigation Activities 3-2
3.1.1. Geotechnical Investigation, Waste Disposal Sites (1973) 3-3
3.1.2. As-Built Drawings for Storm Sewer Interceptor Project (1974) 3-3
3.1.3. Initial Assessment Study (1984) 3-3
3.1.4. Confirmation Study, Verification Step (1987) 3-4
3.1.5. Area Study for Asbestos-Containing Material (ACM) and Organic and Inorganic Soil Contamination (1987) 3-4

Table of Contents *(continued)*

3.1.6. Triple A Machine Shop Investigation, Remedial Action Order, and RI/FS Scoping Document (1986 to 1988) 3-4
3.2. Landfill Investigations 3-5
3.2.1. Remedial Investigation (1988 to 1996) 3-5
3.2.2. Landfill Gas Characterization (2002) 3-5
3.2.3. Landfill Lateral Extent Evaluation (2002) 3-6
3.2.4. Landfill Liquefaction Potential Evaluation (2002) 3-6
3.3. Soil Investigations in Non-Landfill Areas 3-7
3.3.1. Remedial Investigation (1988 to 1996) 3-7
3.3.2. Standard Data Gaps Investigation (2002) 3-8
3.3.3. Characterization of Metal Slag Area (2004) 3-9
3.4. Groundwater Investigations 3-10
3.4.1. Remedial Investigation (1988 to 1996) 3-10
3.4.2. Groundwater Data Gaps Investigations (2000 to 2002) 3-11
3.5. Ecological Assessments 3-11
3.5.1. Intertidal Sediment Studies (1991 to 1992) 3-12
3.5.2. Phase 1A and Phase 1B ERA (1994 to 1996) 3-12
3.5.3. Baseline ERA (1997) 3-12
3.5.4. ERA Validation Study and Protective Soil Concentration Calculation (1999) 3-13
3.5.5. Parcel F Validation Study (2000 to 2002) 3-13
3.5.6. Wetlands Delineation and Functions and Values Assessment (2002) 3-13
3.5.7. Standard Data Gaps Investigation (2002) and Shoreline Characterization Technical Memorandum (2005) 3-14
3.6. Radiological Assessments 3-14
3.6.1. Site Reconnaissance (1988 to 1989) 3-15
3.6.2. Phase I Radiological Investigation (1991) 3-15
3.6.3. Phase II Radiological Investigation (1993) 3-16
3.6.4. Interim Parcel E Radiation Risk Assessment (1997) 3-16
3.6.5. Interim Investigation between Phase IV and Phase V Radiological Investigations (2001) 3-16
3.6.6. Phase V Radiological Investigation (2002 to 2003) 3-17
3.6.7. Radionuclide in Groundwater Evaluation (2002) 3-17
3.7. Outdoor Air Monitoring 3-18
3.7.1. Solid Waste Air Quality Assessment Test (1988 to 1989) 3-18
3.7.2. Outdoor Air Monitoring (1992 to 1996) 3-19
3.7.3. Perimeter Air Monitoring Program, Landfill Cap Construction (2000 to 2001) 3-19

Table of Contents *(continued)*

3.7.4. Landfill Gas Characterization (2002) 3-21
3.8. Previous Removal Actions 3-21
3.8.1. Sandblast Waste Fixation (1991 to 1995) 3-21
3.8.2. Storm Drain Sediment Removal Action (1996 to 1997) 3-22
3.8.3. Groundwater Extraction System and Containment Barrier (1997 to 1998) 3-22
3.8.4. Landfill Cap Construction (2000 to 2001) 3-23
3.8.5. Landfill Gas Removal Action (2002 to 2003) 3-23
3.8.6. Shoreline Cleanup (2003 to 2004) 3-24
3.9. Ongoing Monitoring Programs 3-25
3.9.1. Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring (2004 to present) 3-25
3.9.2. Monthly Gas Monitoring and Control (2004 to present) 3-26
3.9.3. Storm Water Discharge Management (2003 to present) 3-26
3.9.4. Landfill Cap Inspection and Maintenance (2003 to present) 3-27
3.10. Recent Removal Actions 3-27
3.10.1. Metal Slag Area Removal Action (2005 to 2006) 3-27
3.10.2. PCB Hot Spot Soil Excavation Site Removal Action (2005 to 2006) 3-28
SECTION 4. NATURE AND EXTENT OF SOLID WASTE, LANDFILL GAS, AND CHEMICALS IN SOIL 4-1
4.1. Evaluation Methodology 4-1
4.1.1. Solid Waste Extent Evaluation 4-1
4.1.2. Landfill Gas Evaluation 4-3
4.1.3. Soil Evaluation 4-7
4.2. Landfill Area 4-10
4.2.1. Fill and Solid Waste Characteristics 4-11
4.2.2. Landfill Solid Waste Extent 4-13
4.2.3. Landfill Gas 4-14
4.2.4. Analytes Detected in Soils 4-20
4.3. Panhandle Area 4-33
4.3.1. Characteristics and Extent of Fill and Isolated Solid Waste Locations 4-34
4.3.2. Analytes Detected in Panhandle Area Soils (Excluding Metal Slag Area) 4-35
4.3.3. Metal Slag Area 4-46
4.4. East Adjacent Area 4-46
4.4.1. Characteristics and Extent of Fill and Isolated Solid Waste Locations 4-47
4.4.2. Analytes Detected in East Adjacent Area Soils (Excluding PCB Hot Spot) 4-48
4.4.3. PCB Hot Spot 4-57
4.5. Summary of Findings 4-57

Table of Contents *(continued)*

4.5.1. Nature and Extent of Solid Waste 4-58
4.5.2. Nature and Extent of Landfill Gas 4-59
4.5.3. Nature and Extent of Soil Contamination 4-61
4.5.4. Data Gaps 4-63
SECTION 5. NATURE AND EXTENT OF CHEMICALS IN GROUNDWATER 5-1
5.1. Data Evaluation Methodology 5-1
5.2. Groundwater Beneficial Use 5-3
5.3. Identification of Chemicals Detected in Groundwater 5-4
5.3.1. Summary of Results from Past Evaluations 5-4
5.3.2. DNAPL and LNAPL in Parcel E-2 Groundwater 5-8
5.3.3. Comprehensive List of Chemical Detections 5-10
5.4. Hunters Point Groundwater Ambient Levels 5-12
5.5. Chemical Limits and Standards 5-13
5.5.1. A-Aquifer 5-13
5.5.2. B-Aquifer 5-14
5.6. Selection of Groundwater Evaluation Criteria 5-14
5.7. Focused Evaluation 5-15
5.7.1. Graphical Presentation of Groundwater Data 5-15
5.7.2. Graphical Data Analysis Results 5-16
5.8. Summary of Findings 5-37
5.8.1. Summary of Lateral and Vertical Extent 5-38
5.8.2. Resolution of Data Quality Objectives 5-40
5.8.3. Laboratory Reporting Limits Exceeding RIECs 5-41
5.8.4. Data Gaps 5-45
SECTION 6. CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL 6-1
6.1. Potential Sources of Contamination 6-1
6.2. Potentially Affected Media and Migration Pathways 6-2
6.2.1. Soil 6-2
6.2.2. Subsurface Air / Landfill Gas 6-4
6.2.3. Outdoor Air 6-4
6.2.4. Groundwater 6-4
6.2.5. Surface Water 6-6
6.2.6. Sediment 6-6
6.2.7. Wetlands 6-6
6.3. Contaminant Exposure Points, Exposure Routes, and Receptors 6-7
SECTION 7. RISK ASSESSMENT 7-1

Table of Contents *(continued)*

7.1. Human Health Risk Assessment 7-2
7.1.1. Human Health Risk Assessment Methodology 7-2
7.1.2. Human Health Risk Assessment Results 7-4
7.1.3. Remediation Goals 7-8
7.1.4. Sample Locations above Remediation Goals 7-9
7.2. Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessments 7-9
7.2.1. Onshore SLERA 7-9
7.2.2. Shoreline SLERA 7-10
7.3. Ecological Risk Assessment for Exposure to Groundwater 7-12
SECTION 8. REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS 8-1
8.1. Remedial Investigation Approach 8-1
8.1.1. Site Characterization 8-1
8.1.2. Conceptual Site Model 8-2
8.1.3. Site Risks 8-3
8.2. Solid Waste and Soil in the Landfill Area 8-4
8.2.1. Nature and Extent of Solid Waste and Chemicals in Soil 8-4
8.2.2. Risk Assessments for Landfill Area 8-8
8.2.3. Conclusions for Solid Waste and Soil in Landfill Area 8-10
8.3. Landfill Gas 8-15
8.3.1. Landfill Gas Characterization 8-15
8.3.2. Landfill Gas Monitoring and Control Activities 8-15
8.3.3. Landfill Gas Risk Evaluations 8-16
8.3.4. Conclusions for Landfill Gas 8-17
8.4. Soil and Isolated Solid Waste in the Panhandle Area and East Adjacent Area 8-17
8.4.1. Nature and Extent of Isolated Solid Waste Locations 8-18
8.4.2. Nature and Extent of Chemicals in Soil 8-19
8.4.3. Risk Assessment for the Adjacent Areas 8-20
8.4.4. Conclusions for Isolated Solid Waste, Soil, and Sediment in Adjacent Areas 8-22
8.5. Groundwater 8-23
8.5.1. Nature and Extent of Chemicals in Groundwater 8-23
8.5.2. Quantitative HHRA for Groundwater 8-25
8.5.3. Conclusions for Groundwater 8-28
8.6. Surface Water 8-28
8.7. Shoreline Sediment 8-28
8.8. Summary of Conclusions 8-29
SECTION 9. REMEDIAL ACTION OBJECTIVES 9-1

Table of Contents *(continued)*

9.1. RAOs for Solid Waste, Soil, and Sediment 9-2
9.1.1. Chemicals of Concern in Solid Waste, Soil, and Sediment 9-2
9.1.2. Solid Waste, Soil, and Sediment RAOs for the Protection of Human Health and the Environment....9-3
9.2. Landfill Gas RAOs 9-3
9.3. Groundwater RAOs 9-4
9.3.1. Chemicals of Concern in Groundwater....9-4
9.3.2. Groundwater RAOs for the Protection of Human Health....9-6
9.3.3. Groundwater RAOs for the Protection of Ecological Receptors 9-6
9.4. Surface Water RAOs 9-6
9.5. Summary of RAOs 9-7
SECTION 10. POTENTIAL APPLICABLE OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE REQUIREMENTS....10-1
10.1. Potential Chemical-Specific ARARs....10-2
10.1.1. Groundwater 10-2
10.1.2. Surface Water 10-3
10.1.3. Soil....10-4
10.2. Potential Location-Specific ARARs....10-4
10.2.1. Coastal Resources 10-4
10.2.2. Wetlands Protection and Flood Plains Management 10-5
10.2.3. Biological Resources 10-5
10.3. Potential Action-Specific ARARs 10-5
10.3.1. Action Specific ARARs for Containment....10-5
10.3.2. Action Specific ARARs for Construction and Grading....10-7
10.3.3. Action Specific ARARs for Shoreline Construction 10-8
10.3.4. Action Specific ARARs for Landfill Gas Monitoring and Control 10-8
10.3.5. Action Specific ARARs for Groundwater Monitoring....10-9
10.3.6. Action Specific ARARs for Surface Water Monitoring and Management....10-9
10.3.7. Action Specific ARARs for Institutional Controls 10-10
10.3.8. Action Specific ARARs for Leachate Collection and Control 10-10
10.3.9. Action Specific ARARs for Excavation and Off-Site Disposal....10-10
SECTION 11. IDENTIFICATION AND SCREENING OF TECHNOLOGIES AND PROCESS OPTIONS 11-1
11.1. Applicable General Response Actions 11-2
11.1.1. No Action....11-3
11.1.2. Institutional Actions....11-3

Table of Contents *(continued)*

11.1.3. Containment Actions (With or Without Collection, Treatment, and/or Disposal) 11-4
11.1.4. Removal Actions.....11-5
11.2. Evaluation Criteria for Technologies and Process Options 11-5
11.3. No Action 11-5
11.4. Institutional Actions 11-6
11.4.1. Institutional Controls 11-6
11.4.2. Engineering Controls 11-9
11.4.3. Site Monitoring.....11-10
11.5. Containment (With or Without Removal, Treatment, and/or Disposal).....11-12
11.5.1. Solid Waste, Soil, and Sediment Containment 11-13
11.5.2. Shoreline Protection.....11-21
11.5.3. Landfill Gas Collection.....11-25
11.5.4. Landfill Gas Treatment.....11-28
11.5.5. Groundwater Containment / Leachate Collection (With or Without Treatment and Discharge).....11-33
11.6. Removal by Excavation and Off-Site Disposal 11-38
11.7. Summary of Screening of Technologies and Process Options 11-41
11.8. Wetlands Mitigation Alternatives.....11-42
SECTION 12. DEVELOPMENT OF REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES 12-1
12.1. Common Components for Remedial Alternatives 2 and 3 12-2
12.1.1. Institutional Controls 12-2
12.1.2. Groundwater Monitoring 12-3
12.1.3. Completion of the Shoreline Protection.....12-4
12.1.4. Stormwater Discharge Management and Monitoring.....12-5
12.1.5. Integration with Ongoing Wetlands Restoration and Offshore Feasibility Study 12-6
12.2. Remedial Alternatives Developed For Parcel E-2 12-7
12.2.1. Alternative 1: No Action.....12-7
12.2.2. Alternative 2: Excavate and Dispose of Solid Waste, Soil, and Sediment (including monitoring and institutional controls).....12-7
12.2.3. Alternative 3: Contain Solid Waste, Soil, and Sediment (including monitoring and institutional controls) 12-13
SECTION 13. DETAILED ANALYSIS OF REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES 13-1
13.1. Alternative 1: No Action 13-3
13.1.1. Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment 13-3
13.1.2. Compliance with ARARs 13-3
13.1.3. Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence 13-3

Table of Contents *(continued)*

13.1.4. Reduction in Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume 13-4
13.1.5. Short-Term Effectiveness 13-4
13.1.6. Implementability 13-4
13.1.7. Cost 13-4
13.1.8. State and Community Acceptance 13-4
13.1.9. Summary of Detailed Analysis for Remedial Alternative 1 13-4
13.2. Alternative 2: Excavation and Disposal of Solid Waste, Soil, and Sediment (including monitoring and institutional controls) 13-4
13.2.1. Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment 13-5
13.2.2. Compliance with ARARs 13-5
13.2.3. Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence 13-5
13.2.4. Reduction in Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume 13-6
13.2.5. Short-Term Effectiveness 13-6
13.2.6. Implementability 13-7
13.2.7. Cost 13-8
13.2.8. State and Community Acceptance 13-8
13.2.9. Summary of Detailed Analysis for Remedial Alternative 2 13-9
13.3. Alternative 3: Containment of Solid Waste, Soil, and Sediment (including monitoring and institutional controls) 13-9
13.3.1. Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment 13-9
13.3.2. Compliance with ARARs 13-10
13.3.3. Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence 13-10
13.3.4. Reduction in Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume 13-11
13.3.5. Short-Term Effectiveness 13-11
13.3.6. Implementability 13-11
13.3.7. Cost 13-12
13.3.8. State and Community Acceptance 13-12
13.3.9. Summary of Detailed Analysis for Remedial Alternative 3 13-12
SECTION 14. COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES 14-1
14.1. Long-Term Effectiveness 14-1
14.2. Reduction in Toxicity, Mobility, and Volume 14-2
14.3. Short-Term Effectiveness 14-3
14.4. Implementability 14-4
14.5. Cost 14-4
14.6. State and Community Acceptance 14-5
14.7. Summary of Comparative Analysis 14-5

Table of Contents *(continued)*

SECTION 15. REFERENCES.....15-1

List of Figures

Figure ES-1. Parcel E-2 Locations and Removal Action Areas
Figure ES-2. Results of Remedial Technologies and Process Options Evaluation
Figure 1-1. Site Vicinity Map
Figure 1-2. Landfill/Parcel E-2 Locations
Figure 1-3. Removal Action Areas
Figure 1-4. Surface Topography and Site Features
Figure 1-5. Wind Speed and Direction Map
Figure 1-6. 1946 Aerial Photograph
Figure 1-7. 1955 Aerial Photograph
Figure 1-8. 1965 Aerial Photograph
Figure 1-9. 1969 Aerial Photograph with IR Site Boundaries
Figure 1-10. 1975 Aerial Photograph
Figure 1-11. 1985 Aerial Photograph with Triple A Site Boundaries
Figure 1-12. Site History Timeline
Figure 1-13. Parcel E-2 Radiologically Impacted Sites
Figure 1-14. Sampling Locations
Figure 1-15. Designated Reuse Zones at Parcel E-2
Figure 2-1. Hunters Point Surficial Geology
Figure 2-2. Location of Parcel E-2 Cross Sections
Figure 2-3. Cross Section A-A’
Figure 2-4. Cross Section B-B’
Figure 2-5. Cross Section C-C’
Figure 2-6. Cross Section D-D’
Figure 2-7. Cross Section E-E’
Figure 2-8. Cross Section F-F’
Figure 2-9. Cross Section G-G’
Figure 2-10. Cross Section H-H’ & I-I’
Figure 2-11. Cross Section J-J’
Figure 2-12. Bedrock Surface Elevations
Figure 2-13. Bay Mud Thickness Map
Figure 2-14. A-Aquifer Groundwater Elevations June 2004
Figure 2-15. A-Aquifer Groundwater Elevations November 2004
Figure 2-16. B-Aquifer Groundwater Elevations, February 2002
Figure 2-17. Vertical Groundwater Flow Potential, February 2002
Figure 2-18. Aquifer and Slug Test Locations
Figure 2-19. Tidal Influence Study Wells and Parameters
Figure 2-20. Maximum Total Dissolved Solids Concentrations in the A-Aquifer

List of Figures *(continued)*

Figure 2-21. Monthly Precipitation at Hunters Point Shipyard, 2002 to 2005
Figure 2-22. Drainage Patterns and BMPs
Figure 2-23. Drainage System in Northeastern Area of Parcel E-2
Figure 2-24. Parcel E-2 Terrestrial, Wetland, and Intertidal Habitats
Figure 3-1. Landfill Characterization Sampling Locations
Figure 3-2. Soil and Groundwater Sampling Locations
Figure 3-3. Ecological Sampling Locations
Figure 3-4. Outdoor Air Monitoring Locations
Figure 3-5. Conceptual Cross Section of Landfill Gas System
Figure 3-6. Groundwater Monitoring Network for Parcel E-2 Landfill
Figure 3-7. Landfill Gas Monitoring System Locations
Figure 4-1. Isolated Waste Locations in Adjacent Areas
Figure 4-2. Outdoor Air Survey Results for Methane
Figure 4-3. Extent of Subsurface Methane Prior to Removal Action
Figure 4-4. Benzo(a)anthracene in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-5. Benzo(a)pyrene in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-6. Benzo(b)fluoranthene in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-7. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-8. Naphthalene in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-9. Antimony in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-10. Arsenic in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-11. Cadmium in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-12. Chromium (total) in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-13. Copper in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-14. Iron in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-15. Lead in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-16. Vanadium in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-17. Total PCBs in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-18. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-19. 2-Methylnaphthalene in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-20. Anthracene in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-21. Benzo(a)anthracene in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-22. Benzo(a)pyrene in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-23. Benzo(b)fluoranthene in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-24. Benzo(k)fluoranthene in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-25. Chrysene in 2-10’ Soil

List of Figures *(continued)*

Figure 4-26. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-27. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-28. Naphthalene in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-29. Total TPH in 2-10’ Soil
Figure 4-30. Arsenic in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-31. Cadmium in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-32. Chromium in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-33. Iron in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-34. Lead in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-35. Total PCBs in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-36. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-37. Benzo(a)anthracene in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-38. Benzo(a)pyrene in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-39. Benzo(b)fluoranthene in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-40. Benzo(k)fluoranthene in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-41. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-42. Naphthalene in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-43. n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-44. Carbon tetrachloride in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-45. Tetrachloroethene in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-46. Xylene (total) in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-47. Total TPH in >10’ Soil
Figure 4-48. Antimony in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-49. Arsenic in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-50. Cadmium in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-51. Iron in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-52. Lead in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-53. Vanadium in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-54. DDE in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-55. Dieldrin in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-56. Total PCBs in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-57. Benzo(k)fluoranthene in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-58. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-59. Chrysene in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-60. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene in 0-2’ Soil
Figure 4-61. Total TPH in 0-2’ Soil

List of Figures *(continued)*

Figure 4-62. Zinc in 2-10' Soil
Figure 4-63. 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF in 2-10' Soil
Figure 4-64. Chromium in 0-2' Soil
Figure 5-1. Cyanide in Groundwater
Figure 5-2. Unionized ammonia in Groundwater
Figure 5-3. Nitrite (as nitrogen) in Groundwater
Figure 5-4. Aluminum in Groundwater
Figure 5-5. Antimony in Groundwater
Figure 5-6. Arsenic in Groundwater
Figure 5-7. Barium in Groundwater
Figure 5-8. Beryllium in Groundwater
Figure 5-9. Cadmium in Groundwater
Figure 5-10. Chromium (total) in Groundwater
Figure 5-11. Chromium VI in Groundwater
Figure 5-12. Cobalt in Groundwater
Figure 5-13. Copper in Groundwater
Figure 5-14. Lead in Groundwater
Figure 5-15. Manganese in Groundwater
Figure 5-16. Mercury in Groundwater
Figure 5-17. Nickel in Groundwater
Figure 5-18. Selenium in Groundwater
Figure 5-19. Silver in Groundwater
Figure 5-20. Vanadium in Groundwater
Figure 5-21. Zinc in Groundwater
Figure 5-22. 4,4'-DDD in Groundwater
Figure 5-23. 4,4'-DDE in Groundwater
Figure 5-24. 4,4'-DDT in Groundwater
Figure 5-25. Alpha chlordane in Groundwater
Figure 5-26. Total PCBs in Groundwater
Figure 5-27. Dieldrin in Groundwater
Figure 5-28. Endosulfan I in Groundwater
Figure 5-29. Endosulfan II in Groundwater
Figure 5-30. Endrin in Groundwater
Figure 5-31. Gamma-BHC (lindane) in Groundwater
Figure 5-32. Gamma-chlordane in Groundwater
Figure 5-33. Heptachlor in Groundwater

List of Figures *(continued)*

Figure 5-34. Heptachlor epoxide in Groundwater
Figure 5-35. Acenapthene in Groundwater
Figure 5-36. Anthracene in Groundwater
Figure 5-37. Benzo(a)anthracene in Groundwater
Figure 5-38. Benzo(b)fluoranthene in Groundwater
Figure 5-39. Benzo(k)fluoranthene in Groundwater
Figure 5-40. Benzo(a)pyrene in Groundwater
Figure 5-41. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene in Groundwater
Figure 5-42. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate in Groundwater
Figure 5-43. Chrysene in Groundwater
Figure 5-44. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene in Groundwater
Figure 5-45. Fluoranthene in Groundwater
Figure 5-46. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene in Groundwater
Figure 5-47. 2-Methylnaphthalene in Groundwater
Figure 5-48. Naphthalene in Groundwater
Figure 5-49. Phenanthrene in Groundwater
Figure 5-50. Pyrene in Groundwater
Figure 5-51. Benzene in Groundwater
Figure 5-52. Carbon tetrachloride in Groundwater
Figure 5-53. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene in Groundwater
Figure 5-54. 1,1-Dichlooethane in Groundwater
Figure 5-55. 1,1-Dichloroethene in Groundwater
Figure 5-56. 1,2-Dichloroethane in Groundwater
Figure 5-57. cis-1,2-Dichloroethene in Groundwater
Figure 5-58. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane in Groundwater
Figure 5-59. Tetrachloroethene in Groundwater
Figure 5-60. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane in Groundwater
Figure 5-61. Trichloroethene in Groundwater
Figure 5-62. Vinyl Chloride in Groundwater
Figure 5-63. Xylene (total) in Groundwater
Figure 5-64. Total TPH in Groundwater
Figure 5-65. Fluorene in Groundwater
Figure 6-1. Conceptual Site Model
Figure 6-2. Schematic of Potential Migration Pathways
Figure 6-3. Conceptual Site Model Flow Chart
Figure 7-1. Total Risk - Surface Soil (0 to 2 feet bgs), Recreational Exposure Scenario

List of Figures *(continued)*

Figure 7-2. Total Risk - Subsurface Soil (0 to 10 feet bgs), Construction Worker Exposure Scenario
Figure 7-3. Incremental Risk - Surface Soil (0 to 2 feet bgs), Recreational Exposure Scenario
Figure 7-4. Incremental Risk - Subsurface Soil (0 to 10 feet bgs), Construction Worker Exposure Scenario
Figure 7-5. Locations with Chemical Concentrations Exceeding the Protective Soil Concentration in 0-3' Soil
Figure 8-1. Application of the Containment Presumptive Remedy
Figure 8-2. Soil Areas of Concern
Figure 11-1. Landfill/Parcel E-2 Locations
Figure 11-2. Results of Remedial Technologies and Process Options Evaluation
Figure 11-3. Low-permeability Soil Cap
Figure 11-4. Geosynthetic Cap
Figure 11-5. Multilayer Geosynthetic Cap
Figure 11-6. Evapotranspiration Cap
Figure 11-7. Conceptual Landfill Gas Vent, Extraction Well and Wind Turbine
Figure 11-8. Conceptual Landfill Gas Flare
Figure 11-9. Alternate Flare
Figure 12-1. Conceptual Grading Plan, Alternative 3
Figure 12-2. Conceptual Cap Designs, Alternative 3
Figure 12-3. Landfill Cap Tie-In, Alternative 3
Figure 12-4. Cross Section A and Cross Section B, Alternative 3
Figure 12-5. Cross Section C and Cross Section D, Alternative 3
Figure 12-6. South Perimeter Cap Termination with Rock Riprap Protection, Alternative 3
Figure 12-7. Shoreline Protection at Panhandle Area, Alternative 3
Figure 12-8. Panhandle Cap Termination (West Boundary), Alternative 3
Figure 12-9. East Adjacent Area Cap Termination (East Boundary) , Alternative 3
Figure 12-10. Conceptual Construction Plan, Alternative 2
Figure 12-11. Proposed Excavation Depths, Alternative 2
Figure 12-12. Conceptual Grading Plan, Alternative 2
Figure 12-13. Multilayer Geosynthetic Cap Tie-In, Alternative 3
Figure 12-14. Panhandle Cap Tie-In, Alternative 3
Figure 12-15. Conceptual Landfill Gas Collection Plan, Alternative 3
Figure 14-1. Comparative Analysis of Remedial Alternatives

List of Tables

Table 1-1. RI/FS Organization Summary
Table 1-2. Buildings Within 1,000 Feet of Parcel E-2 Landfill
Table 1-3. Summary of Previous Environmental Investigations and Remedial Activities in Parcel E-2
Table 2-1. Summary of Constant Rate Pumping Test Results in Parcel E-2
Table 3-1. Summary of Characterization Activities
Table 3-2. Chronology of Landfill Characterization Activities
Table 3-3. Chronology of Soil Characterization Activities
Table 3-4. Chronology of Groundwater Characterization Activities
Table 4-1. Summary of Human Health Evaluation Criteria for Soil
Table 4-2. Landfill Gas Characterization Monitoring Probes Result Summary
Table 4-3. Summary of Analyses and Detections in the Landfill Area (0-2 feet)
Table 4-4. Summary of Detected Exceedances of Evaluation Criteria in the Landfill Area (0-2 feet)
Table 4-5. Summary of Analyses and Detections in the Landfill Area (2-10 feet)
Table 4-6. Summary of Detected Exceedances of Evaluation Criteria in the Landfill Area (2-10 feet)
Table 4-7. Summary of Analyses and Detections in the Landfill Area (greater than 10 feet)
Table 4-8. Summary of Detected Exceedances of Evaluation Criteria in the Landfill Area (greater than 10 feet)
Table 4-9. Summary of Analyses and Detections in the Panhandle Area (0-2 feet)
Table 4-10. Summary of Detected Exceedances of Evaluation Criteria in the Panhandle Area (0-2 feet)
Table 4-11. Summary of Analyses and Detections in the Panhandle Area (2-10 feet)
Table 4-12. Summary of Detected Exceedances of Evaluation Criteria in the Panhandle Area (2-10 feet)
Table 4-13. Summary of Analyses and Detections in the Panhandle Area (greater than 10 feet)
Table 4-14. Summary of Detected Exceedances of Evaluation Criteria in the Panhandle Area (greater than 10 feet)
Table 4-15. Summary of Analyses and Detections in the East Adjacent Area (0-2 feet)
Table 4-16. Summary of Detected Exceedances of Evaluation Criteria in the East Adjacent Area (0-2 feet)
Table 4-17. Summary of Analyses and Detections in the East Adjacent Area (2-10 feet)
Table 4-18. Summary of Detected Exceedances of Evaluation Criteria in the East Adjacent Area (2-10 feet)
Table 4-19. Summary of Analyses and Detections in the East Adjacent Area (greater than 10 feet)
Table 4-20. Summary of Detected Exceedances of Evaluation Criteria in the East Adjacent Area (greater than 10 feet)
Table 4-21. Resolution of Data Quality Objectives, Lateral Extent of Landfill Waste

List of Tables *(continued)*

Table 4-22. Resolution of Data Quality Objectives, Landfill Gas Characterization
Table 4-23. Resolution of Data Quality Objectives, Landfill Gas Monitoring and Control System Operation
Table 4-24. Summary of Soil Exceedances of Evaluation Criteria
Table 4-25. Resolution of Data Quality Objectives, Parcel E-2 Soil and Sediment
Table 5-1. Data Summary - Anions Detected in Parcel E-2 Groundwater
Table 5-2. Data Summary - Metals Detected in Parcel E-2 Groundwater and HGAL Information
Table 5-3. Data Summary – Pesticides and PCBs Detected in Parcel E-2 Groundwater
Table 5-4. Data Summary - SVOCs Detected in Parcel E-2 Groundwater
Table 5-5. Data Summary - VOCs Detected in Parcel E-2 Groundwater
Table 5-6. Data Summary – Petroleum Hydrocarbons Detected in Parcel E-2 Groundwater
Table 5-7. Limits and Standards for Parcel E-2 Aquifers– Anions
Table 5-8. Limits and Standards for Parcel E-2 Aquifers– Metals
Table 5-9. Limits and Standards for Parcel E-2 Aquifers– Pesticides and PCBs
Table 5-10. Limits and Standards for Parcel E-2 Aquifers– SVOCs
Table 5-11. Limits and Standards for Parcel E-2 Aquifers– VOCs
Table 5-12. Limits and Standards for Parcel E-2 Aquifers– Petroleum Hydrocarbons
Table 5-13. List of All RIECs that Apply to Parcel E-2 Aquifers
Table 5-14. List of All Possible Areas of Concern in Parcel E-2 Aquifers
Table 7-1. Human Health Risk Assessment Potentially Complete Exposure Pathways
Table 7-2. Total Risk -- Summary of Cancer Risks and Hazard Indices for Recreational Exposure Scenario, Surface Soil (0 to 2 feet bgs)
Table 7-3. Incremental Risk -- Summary of Cancer Risks and Hazard Indices for Recreational Exposure Scenario, Surface Soil (0 to 2 feet bgs)
Table 7-4. Total Risk – Risk Characterization Analysis for Surface Soil (0 to 2 feet bgs) for Recreational Exposure Scenario
Table 7-5. Incremental Risk – Risk Characterization Analysis for Surface Soil (0 to 2 feet bgs) for Recreational Exposure Scenario
Table 7-6. Total Risk -- Summary of Cancer Risks and Hazard Indices by Planned Reuse, Subsurface Soil (0 to 10 feet bgs), Construction Worker Scenario
Table 7-7. Incremental Risk -- Summary of Cancer Risks and Hazard Indices by Planned Reuse, Subsurface Soil (0 to 10 feet bgs), Construction Worker Scenario
Table 7-8. Risk Characterization Summary for A-Aquifer Groundwater, Construction Worker Scenario
Table 7-9. Total Risk: Risk Characterization Analysis for Subsurface Soil (0 to 10 feet bgs), Construction Worker Scenario

List of Tables *(continued)*

Table 7-10. Incremental Risk: Risk Characterization Analysis for Subsurface Soil (0 to 10 feet bgs), Construction Worker Scenario
Table 7-11. Risk Characterization Summary for B-Aquifer Groundwater Based on Domestic Use
Table 7-12. Lead Evaluation for Groundwater
Table 7-13. Remediation Goals for Chemicals of Concern in Soil
Table 7-14. Remediation Goals for Chemicals of Concern in A-Aquifer Groundwater
Table 7-15. Remediation Goals for Chemicals of Concern in B-Aquifer Groundwater
Table 7-16. Risk and Hazard Drivers and Associated Sampling Locations Exceeding Remediation Goals for Recreational Receptor Scenario, Surface Soil (0 to 2 feet bgs)
Table 7-17. Summary Statistics for Parcel E-2 Onshore SLERA
Table 8-1. Groundwater COPCs for Aquatic Receptors
Table 11-1. Containment Technology Evaluation
Table 12-1. Summary of Remedial Alternatives for the Parcel E-2 Feasibility Study
Table 13-1. Cost Estimate Summaries
Table 14-1. Comparative Analysis of Parcel E-2 Remedial Alternatives

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Final Parcel E Nonstandard Data Gaps Investigation Landfill Gas Characterization (provided on compact disc only)
Appendix B	Final Parcel E Nonstandard Data Gaps Investigation Landfill Lateral Extent Evaluation (provided on compact disc only)
Appendix C	Final Parcel E Nonstandard Data Gaps Investigation Landfill Liquefaction Potential (provided on compact disc only)
Appendix D	Final Parcel E Nonstandard Data Gaps Investigation Wetlands Delineation and Function and Values Assessment Parcel B and E (provided on compact disc only)
Appendix E	Final Removal Action Landfill Cap Closeout Report (provided on compact disc only)

The following appendices are on the second CD

Appendix F	Draft Removal Action Closeout Report, Landfill Gas Removal Action (provided on compact disc only)
Appendix G	Draft Shoreline Characterization Technical Memorandum (provided on compact disc only)
Appendix H	EPA Presumptive Remedy Guidance (provided on compact disc only)
Appendix I	Groundwater Beneficial Use Evaluation
Appendix J	Analytical Results and Boring Logs (provided on compact disc only)
Appendix K	Human Health Risk Assessment
Appendix L	Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessment for Onshore Areas
Appendix M	Evaluation of Groundwater Chemical Migration to the Aquatic Environment
Appendix N	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
Appendix O	Wetlands Evaluation and Mitigation Options
Appendix P	Cap Infiltration Evaluation
Appendix Q	Qualitative Slope Stability Evaluation
Appendix R	Detailed Cost Estimates and Assumptions

Acronyms and Abbreviations

14 CCR	Title 14 California Code of Regulations
22 CCR	Title 22 California Code of Regulations
27 CCR	Title 27 California Code of Regulations
40 CFR	Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations
16 USC	Title 16 United States Code
§	Section
µg/L	micrograms per liter
ACM	asbestos-containing material
AFA	AFA Construction Group
ARAR	applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
ATT	Aqua Terra Technologies
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
Basin Plan	Comprehensive Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay Basin
Bay	San Francisco Bay
BCDC	San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission
BCT	Base Realignment and Closure Cleanup Team
BERA	baseline ecological risk assessment
BGMP	Basewide Groundwater Monitoring Program
bgs	below ground surface
BHC	benzene hexachloride
BMP	best management practice
BRAC	Base Realignment and Closure
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CDM	CDM Federal Programs Corporation

Acronyms and Abbreviations *(continued)*

CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CIWMB	California Integrated Waste Management Board
cm/sec	centimeters per second
COC	chemical of concern
COPC	chemical of potential concern
CPT	cone penetrometer test
CSC	California species of special concern
DCA	dichloroethane
DCB	dichlorobenzene
DCE	dichloroethene
DDD	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane
DDE	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethene
DDT	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
DHS	California Department of Health Services
DNAPL	dense non-aqueous phase liquid
DoD	U.S. Department of Defense
DQO	data quality objective
DTSC	Department of Toxic Substance Control
EE/CA	engineering evaluation/cost analysis
EEC	Eagle Environmental Construction
EFA West	Engineering Field Activity West
ELCR	excess lifetime cancer risk
EMCON	EMCON Associates
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERA	ecological risk assessment
ER-M	effects range-median
ERRG	Engineering/Remediation Resources Group, Inc.
ESAP	environmental sampling and analysis plan

Acronyms and Abbreviations *(continued)*

ESL	environmental screening level
FFA	federal facilities agreement
FS	feasibility study
FSP	field sampling plan
FUDS	formerly used defense site
GAC	granular activated carbon
GCL	geosynthetic clay liner
GDGI	groundwater data gaps investigation
GES	groundwater extraction system
GMP	gas monitoring probe
gpd	gallons per day
GRA	general response action
HELP-3	Hydrogeologic Evaluation of Landfill Performance, Version 3
HDPE	high-density polyethylene
HGAL	Hunters Point groundwater ambient levels
HHRA	human health risk assessment
HI	hazard index
HLA	Harding Lawson Associates
HPAL	Hunters Point ambient level
HPS	Hunters Point Shipyard
HQ	hazard quotient
HRA	Historical Radiological Assessment
IAS	initial assessment study
IDW	investigation-derived waste
IR	Installation Restoration
IRP	Installation Restoration Program
IT	International Technology Corporation

Acronyms and Abbreviations *(continued)*

ITSI	Innovative Technical Solutions, Inc
Kleinfelder	Kleinfelder, Inc.
LEL	lower explosive limit
LFR	Levine-Fricke-Recon
LNAPL	light non-aqueous phase liquid
LRL	laboratory reporting limit
MCL	maximum contaminant level
MDL	method detection limit
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram
mg/L	milligrams per liter
MPE	maximum probable earthquake
msl	mean sea level
NACIP	Navy Assessment and Control of Installation Pollutants
NAVFAC	Naval Facilities Engineering Command
NAVSEA	Naval Sea Systems Command
Navy	U.S. Department of the Navy
NCP	National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan
NDGI	nonstandard data gaps investigation
NEESA	Naval Energy and Environmental Support Activity
NMOC	nonmethane organic compound
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPL	National Priorities List
NRDL	Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory
NTCRA	non-time-critical removal action
O&M	operation and maintenance
OU	operable unit

Acronyms and Abbreviations *(continued)*

PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PAMP	perimeter air monitoring program
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PCE	tetrachloroethene
pCi/L	picoCuries per liter
PeCDF	pentachlorodibenzofuran
PMO	Program Management Office
ppm	parts per million
ppmv	parts per million by volume
PQL	practical quantitation limit
PRC	PRC Environmental Management
PRG	preliminary remediation goal
PSC	protective soil concentration
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
QAPP	quality assurance project plan
QCSR	quality control summary report
R&D	research and development
RAO	remedial action objective
RASO	Radiological Affairs Support Office
RBC	risk-based concentration
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RI	remedial investigation
RIEC	remedial investigation evaluation criteria
ROD	record of decision
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SCRS	surface confirmation radiation survey
SDGI	standard data gap investigation
SFDA	San Francisco District Attorney

Acronyms and Abbreviations *(continued)*

SFRA	San Francisco Redevelopment Agency
Shaw	Shaw Environmental, Inc.
SLERA	screening-level ecological risk assessment
SPT	standard penetration test
SSF	site specific factors
SulTech	Sullivan Consulting Group and Tetra Tech EM, Inc.
SVOC	semi-volatile organic compound
SWAQAT	Solid Waste Air Quality Assessment Test
SWDMP	stormwater discharge management plan
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
TCA	trichloroethane
TCE	trichloroethene
TCRA	time-critical removal action
TDS	total dissolved solids
TIZ	tidal influenced zone
TMZ	tidal mixing zone
TOG	total oil and grease
TPH	total petroleum hydrocarbons
TPH-d	TPH as diesel
TPH-g	TPH as gasoline
TPH-mo	TPH as motor oil
Triple A	Triple A Machine Shop, Inc.
TRV	toxicity reference value
TtEMI	Tetra Tech EM, Inc.
TtFW	Tetra Tech FW, Inc.
UCL	upper confidence limit
UCSF	University of California, San Francisco
Uribe	Uribe and Associates, Inc.
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Acronyms and Abbreviations *(continued)*

VOC	volatile organic compound
WBZ	water-bearing zone
WESTEC	WESTEC Services, Inc.
WQO	water quality objective