Table I-4-6. FEP 5 Yearly Simulation Results[1]						
Simulation Year	**Precipitation (meters)**	**Precipitation Rank**	**Potential Evaporation (meters)**	**Actual Evaporation (meters)**	**Upper Boundary Net Flux[2] (meters)**	**Deep Flux[3] (meters)**
1	0.1425	6	-1.1087	-0.4356	-0.2943	<1.0E-04
2	0.0819	14	-1.2152	-0.4122	-0.3306	<1.0E-04
3	0.1549	5	-1.1585	-0.3850	-0.2303	<1.0E-04
4	0.1062	10	-1.1401	-0.3731	-0.2672	0.0079
5	0.1225	7	-1.0903	-0.3602	-0.2026	0.0764
6	0.1213	9	-1.1318	-0.3593	-0.2382	0.1884
7	0.0921	13	-1.1539	-0.3543	-0.2627	0.2321
8	0.1208	8	-1.1254	-0.3436	-0.2226	0.2564
9	0.1019	12	-1.1179	-0.3492	-0.2478	0.2420
10	0.0728	15	-1.1554	-0.3493	-0.2770	0.2523
11	0.2468	1	-0.9984	-0.3387	-0.0925	0.2322
12	0.1893	4	-1.0751	-0.3647	-0.1783	0.1838
13	0.1915	3	-1.0810	-0.3613	-0.5284	0.1789
14	0.2055	2	-1.1155	-0.3792	-0.2793	0.1916
15	0.1043	11	-1.0927	-0.3408	-0.2370	0.2028

Notes: [1]The FEP 5 model was in equilibrium with boundary conditions beginning in simulation year 1.
[2]Negative values at the upper boundary indicate a net evaporative flux, positive values at the upper boundary indicate a net infiltration flux.
[3]Negative values of deep flux indicate a downward net flux, positive values of deep flux indicate an upward net flux.