

### PART III. RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY

**For Public Comments received during the Public Comment Period  
for the Glendale South Operable Unit Interim Remedy  
at the San Fernando Valley Superfund Site  
Los Angeles County, California**

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Responsiveness Summary addresses comments received from the public, State agencies, and local agencies on EPA's proposed interim cleanup plan for the Glendale South OU. Comments from the California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) on the RI report for the Glendale Study Area, the Glendale South FS Report, and the draft Proposed Plan for the Glendale South OU were received by EPA prior to issuing the Proposed Plan and initiating the public comment period. DTSC's comments and EPA's responses are available for review in the Administrative Record for the Glendale South OU and are not included in this responsiveness summary.

EPA held a 107-day public comment period on the RI and FS reports, Proposed Plan and other Glendale South OU administrative record documents between October 5, 1992 and January 19, 1993. A public meeting was held in Glendale on October 21, 1992. Approximately 25 representatives of the community, local agencies, and EPA attended the meeting. EPA staff made a presentation on the Glendale South OU alternatives, including EPA's preferred alternative, and answered questions. A transcript of the meeting is included in the Administrative Record for the Glendale South OU.

EPA received comments orally from three members of the public during the October 21, 1992 public meeting.

EPA also received approximately 10 letters containing comments from interested community members, the City of Glendale, and the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP). These letters are included in the Glendale South OU Administrative Record.

EPA received numerous comments from ITT General Controls, Inc. on several issues relating to the RI and FS documents and the Proposed Plan for the Glendale South OU interim remedy. Most of these comments criticized EPA for not justifying its decisions including its preferred alternative selection, suggested that EPA did not provide the proper supporting documentation and stated that the interim remedy for Glendale South OU did not demonstrate consistency with a permanent remedy for the San Fernando Valley sites. EPA responded that the Glendale South OU is an interim action and not a permanent remedy, that the RI/FS and remedy selection were conducted in accordance with the NCP, applicable EPA

guidance, that an entire Administrative Record with supporting documentation is available for review at the San Fernando Valley information repositories, and finally that the Glendale South OU interim remedy would not be inconsistent with nor preclude implementation of any final remedy for the San Fernando Valley sites.

The Responsiveness Summary is divided into two parts. Part I focuses on EPA's responses to the concerns and major issues raised by members of the local community including the City of Glendale. Part II includes detailed responses to the comments received (by ITT) that were more legal or technical in nature.