



SEP 02 2010

Mr. Larry Landis  
Chevron, USA  
P.O. Box 1392  
Bakersfield, CA 93302

**Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - ATC / Certificate of Conformity  
Facility # C-311  
Project # C-1102455**

Dear Mr. Landis:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of an application for Authority to Construct for Chevron, USA Fresno County heavy oil production fields, CA. The project is to install a 157 bhp diesel-fired emergency standby IC engine powering an electrical generator.

After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice and the 45-day EPA comment periods, the Authority to Construct will be issued to the facility with a Certificate of Conformity. Prior to operating with modifications authorized by the Authority to Construct, the facility must submit an application to modify the Title V permit as an administrative amendment, in accordance with District Rule 2520, Section 11.5.

The public notice will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Leonard Scandura, Permit Services Manager, at (661) 392-5500.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

David Warner  
Director of Permit Services

DW: DG/st

Enclosures

**Seyed Sadredin**  
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

---

**Northern Region**  
4800 Enterprise Way  
Modesto, CA 95356-8718  
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

**Central Region (Main Office)**  
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726-0244  
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

**Southern Region**  
34946 Flyover Court  
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725  
Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585



# San Joaquin Valley

AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT



HEALTHY AIR LIVING™

SEP 02 2010

Gerardo C. Rios, Chief  
Permits Office  
Air Division  
U.S. EPA - Region IX  
75 Hawthorne St.  
San Francisco, CA 94105

**Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - ATC / Certificate of Conformity**  
**Facility # C-311**  
**Project # C-1102455**

Dear Mr. Rios:

Enclosed for your review is the District's engineering evaluation of an application for Authority to Construct for Chevron, USA Fresno County heavy oil production fields, CA, which has been issued a Title V permit. Chevron, USA is requesting that a Certificate of Conformity, with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR Part 70, be issued with this project. The project is to install a 157 bhp diesel-fired emergency standby IC engine powering an electrical generator.

Enclosed is the engineering evaluation of this application and proposed Authority to Construct # C-311-239-0 with Certificate of Conformity. After demonstrating compliance with the Authority to Construct, the conditions will be incorporated into the facility's Title V permit through an administrative amendment.

Please submit your written comments on this project within the 45-day comment period that begins on the date you receive this letter. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Leonard Scandura, Permit Services Manager, at (661) 392-5500.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

David Warner  
Director of Permit Services

DW: DG/st

Enclosures

**Seyed Sadredin**  
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

**Northern Region**  
4800 Enterprise Way  
Modesto, CA 95356-8718  
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

**Central Region (Main Office)**  
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726-0244  
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

**Southern Region**  
34946 Flyover Court  
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725  
Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585



**San Joaquin Valley**  
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT



**HEALTHY AIR LIVING™**

SEP 02 2010

Mike Tollstrup, Chief  
Project Assessment Branch  
Air Resources Board  
P O Box 2815  
Sacramento, CA 95812-2815

Re: **Notice of Preliminary Decision - ATC / Certificate of Conformity**  
**Facility # C-311**  
**Project # C-1102455**

Dear Mr. Tollstrup:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of an application for Authority to Construct for Chevron, USA Fresno County heavy oil production fields, CA. The project is to install a 157 bhp diesel-fired emergency standby IC engine powering an electrical generator.

The public notice will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Leonard Scandura, Permit Services Manager, at (661) 392-5500.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

David Warner  
Director of Permit Services

DW: DG/st

Enclosures

**Seyed Sadredin**  
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

**Northern Region**  
4800 Enterprise Way  
Modesto, CA 95356-8718  
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

**Central Region (Main Office)**  
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726-0244  
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

**Southern Region**  
34946 Flyover Court  
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725  
Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585

Bakersfield Californian

**NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION  
FOR THE PROPOSED ISSUANCE OF  
AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District solicits public comment on the proposed issuance of Authority To Construct to Chevron, USA for its heavy oil facility at their Fresno County heavy oil production fields, California. The project is to install a 157 bhp diesel-fired emergency standby IC engine powering an electrical generator.

The analysis of the regulatory basis for these proposed actions, Project #C-1102455, is available for public inspection at [http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public\\_notices\\_idx.htm](http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public_notices_idx.htm) and the District office at the address below. Written comments on the proposed initial permit must be submitted within 30 days of the publication date of this notice to **DAVID WARNER, DIRECTOR OF PERMIT SERVICES, SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, 34946 FLYOVER COURT, BAKERSFIELD, CA 93308.**

**San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District  
Authority to Construct  
Application Review  
Diesel-Fired Emergency Standby IC Engine**

Facility Name: Chevron USA  
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1392  
Bakersfield, CA 93302

Date: 8/23/2010  
Engineer: Dolores Gough

Lead Engineer: Steve Leonard

Contact Person: Larry Landis or Doug Shaffer  
Telephone: 661-654-7141 or 661-333-7378  
Application #: C-311-239-0  
Project #: C-1102455  
Complete: July 6, 2010

 8/25/10

---

## I. Proposal

Chevron USA Inc. (CUSA) is proposing to install a 157 bhp diesel-fired emergency standby internal combustion (IC) engine powering an electrical generator (genset). The genset will only be used during emergencies and periodic testing and maintenance required for regulatory purposes.

CUSA received their Title V Permit on September 30, 2001. This modification can be classified as a Title V minor modification pursuant to Rule 2520, Section 3.20, and can be processed with a Certificate of Conformity (COC). Since the facility has specifically requested that this project be processed in that manner, the 45-day Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) comment period will be satisfied prior to the issuance of the Authority to Construct. CUSA must apply to administratively amend their Title V Operating Permit to include the requirements of the ATCs issued with this project.

## II. Applicable Rules

- Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (12/18/08)
- Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/01)
- Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)
- Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (5/20/04)
- Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
- Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)
- Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)
- Rule 4701 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 1 (8/21/03)
- Rule 4702 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 2 (1/18/07)
- Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)

CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment  
CH&SC 42301.6 School Notice  
Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary  
Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines  
California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)  
Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)  
California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387:  
CEQA Guidelines

### **III. Project Location**

The facility is located within the Fresno County heavy oil production fields. The equipment will not be located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

### **IV. Process Description**

Chevron is installing a solar still demonstration facility to provide small amount of steam for oilfield activities. In the event of a power failure and loss of control, the mirrors must be refocused to avoid overheating equipment and preserve personal safety. The emergency standby engine will power an electrical generator in emergency situations.

Other than emergency standby operation, the engine will be operated up to 50 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes.

### **V. Equipment Listing**

**C-0311-239-0: 157 BHP PERKINS MODEL 1104D-E44TA TIER 3 CERTIFIED  
DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING  
AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR**

### **VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation**

The applicant has proposed to install a Tier 3 certified diesel-fired IC engine that is fired on very low-sulfur diesel fuel (0.0015% by weight sulfur maximum).

The proposed engine(s) meet the latest Tier Certification requirements; therefore, the engine meets the latest ARB/EPA emissions standards for diesel particulate matter, hydrocarbons, nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide (see Appendix C for a copy of the emissions data sheet and/or the ARB/EPA executive order).

The use of very low-sulfur diesel fuel (0.0015% by weight sulfur maximum) reduces SO<sub>x</sub> emissions by over 99% from standard diesel fuel.

## VII. General Calculations

### A. Assumptions

Emergency operating schedule: 24 hours/day  
 Non-emergency operating schedule: 50 hours/year  
 Density of diesel fuel: 7.1 lb/gal  
 EPA F-factor (adjusted to 60 °F): 9,051 dscf/MMBtu  
 Fuel heating value: 137,000 Btu/gal  
 BHP to Btu/hr conversion: 2,542.5 Btu/bhp-hr  
 Thermal efficiency of engine: commonly ≈ 35%  
 PM<sub>10</sub> fraction of diesel exhaust: 0.96 (CARB, 1988)

The engine has certified NO<sub>x</sub> + VOC emissions of 2.76 g/bhp-hr. It will be assumed the NO<sub>x</sub> + VOC emission factor is split 95% NO<sub>x</sub> and 5% VOC (per the District's Carl Moyer program).

### B. Emission Factors

| Emission Factors |                            |                             |
|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Pollutant        | Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr) | Source                      |
| NO <sub>x</sub>  | 2.53                       | ARB/EPA Certification       |
| SO <sub>x</sub>  | 0.0051                     | Mass Balance Equation Below |
| PM <sub>10</sub> | 0.15                       | ARB/EPA Certification       |
| CO               | 1.34                       | ARB/EPA Certification       |
| VOC              | 0.13                       | Engine Manufacturer         |

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb} - S}{\text{lb} - \text{fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb} - \text{fuel}}{\text{gallon}} \times \frac{2 \text{ lb} - SO_2}{1 \text{ lb} - S} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{137,000 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{1 \text{ bhp input}}{0.35 \text{ bhp out}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{\text{bhp} - \text{hr}} \times \frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{\text{lb}} = 0.0051 \frac{\text{g} - SO_x}{\text{bhp} - \text{hr}}$$

### C. Calculations

#### 1. Pre-Project Emissions (PE1)

Since this is a new emissions unit, PE1 = 0.

#### 2. Post-Project PE (PE2)

The daily and annual PE are calculated as follows:

| Pollutant        | Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr) | Rating (bhp) | Daily Hours of Operation (hrs/day) | Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/yr) | Daily PE2 (lb/day) | Annual PE2 (lb/yr) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| NO <sub>x</sub>  | 2.53                        | 157          | 24                                 | 50                                 | 21.0               | 44                 |
| SO <sub>x</sub>  | 0.0051                      | 157          | 24                                 | 50                                 | 0.0                | 0                  |
| PM <sub>10</sub> | 0.15                        | 157          | 24                                 | 50                                 | 1.2                | 3                  |
| CO               | 1.34                        | 157          | 24                                 | 50                                 | 11.1               | 23                 |
| VOC              | 0.13                        | 157          | 24                                 | 50                                 | 1.1                | 2                  |

### 3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to Section 4.9 of District Rule 2201, the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Facility emissions are already above the Offset and Major Source thresholds for all criteria pollutant emissions; therefore, calculations of the SSPE1 is not necessary.

### 4. Post-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to Section 4.10 of District Rule 2201, the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs, except for emissions units proposed to be shut down as part of the Stationary Project, at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Facility emissions are already above the Offset and Major Source thresholds for all criteria pollutant emissions; therefore, calculations of the SSPE2 is not necessary.

### 5. Major Source Determination

Pursuant to Section 3.23 of District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with post project emissions or a Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2), equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values.

| Major Source Threshold (b/yr) |        |         |         |         |        |
|-------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
|                               | NOx    | SOx     | PM10    | CO      | VOC    |
| Major Source Threshold        | 20,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 200,000 | 20,000 |

This source is an existing Major Source for all criteria pollutants and will remain a Major Source for all criteria pollutant emissions with this project.

## 6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

BE = Pre-project Potential to Emit for:

- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise,

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to Section 3.22

Since this is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all criteria pollutants.

## 7. SB 288 Major Modification

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (as in effect on Dec. 19, 2002) as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

For the purposes of this definition, the SB 288 major modification thresholds for existing major sources are listed as follows:

| SB 288 Major Modification Thresholds (lb/yr) |        |        |                  |        |
|--|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
|  | NOx    | SOx    | PM <sub>10</sub> | VOC    |
| Net Project Increases                        | 44     | 0      | 3                | 2      |
| Threshold                                    | 50,000 | 80,000 | 30,000           | 50,000 |
| SB 288 Major Mod?                            | No     | No     | No               | No     |

As shown above, the project is not a significant increase and therefore does not constitute a SB 288 Major Modification.

## 8. Federal Major Modification

A Federal Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (as in effect on Dec. 19, 2002) as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation

of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

Emissions increases are significant if they exceed the significance thresholds specified below:

| Federal Major Modification Thresholds (lb/yr) |     |        |                  |     |
|---|-----|--------|------------------|-----|
|   | NOx | SOx    | PM <sub>10</sub> | VOC |
| Net Project Increases                         | 44  | 0      | 3                | 2   |
| Threshold                                     | 0   | 80,000 | 30,000           | 0   |
| SB 288 Major Mod?                             | Yes | No     | No               | Yes |

As shown above, the project is a significant increase and therefore constitutes a Federal Major Modification. Alternative siting and compliance by other owned, operated, or controlled source are required for any New Major Source or a Federal Major Modification project. The proposed engine will be located at an existing to support current operations; therefore, an alternative site would be impractical. Chevron provided a compliance certification to demonstrate that all major stationary sources owned by Chevron in California, which are subject to emissions limitations, are in compliance or on a schedule for compliance with all applicable emission limitations and standards (Appendix D). Therefore, Chevron is in compliance with these requirements.

### 9. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District's PAS emissions profile screen. The QNEC calculations are included below:

| QNEC (lb/qtr) |     |     |      |    |     |
|---------------|-----|-----|------|----|-----|
|               | NOx | SOx | PM10 | CO | VOC |
| PE2 (lb/yr)   | 44  | 0   | 3    | 23 | 2   |
| BE (lb/yr)    | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0   |
| QNEC (lb/qtr) | 11  | 0   | 1    | 6  | 1   |

## VIII. Compliance

### Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

#### A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

##### 1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis for the following\*:

- a) Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- b) The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- c) Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
- d) Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in an SB 288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, as directed in this rule.

\*Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

As discussed in Section I, the facility is proposing to install a new emergency standby IC engine.

| New Emissions Unit BACT Applicability |  |                                    |               |                 |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Pollutant                             | Daily Emissions for unit #239-0 (lb/day) | BACT Threshold (lb/day)            | SSPE2 (lb/yr) | BACT Triggered? |
| NO <sub>x</sub>                       | 21.0                                     | > 2.0                              | n/a           | Yes             |
| SO <sub>x</sub>                       | 0.0                                      | > 2.0                              | n/a           | No              |
| PM <sub>10</sub>                      | 1.2                                      | > 2.0                              | n/a           | No              |
| CO                                    | 11.1                                     | > 2.0 and<br>SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/yr | 0             | No              |
| VOC                                   | 1.1                                      | > 2.0                              | n/a           | No              |

As shown above, BACT will be triggered for NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from the engine for this project.

Additionally, as determined in Section VII.C.8, this project does result in a federal Major Modification for NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC. Therefore, BACT is triggered for NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC for major modification purposes.

## 2. BACT Guideline

BACT Guideline 3.1.1, which appears in Appendix B of this report, covers diesel-fired emergency IC engines.

## 3. Top Down BACT Analysis

Per District Policy APR 1305, Section IX, "A top-down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the Application Review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District's NSR Rule for source categories or classes covered in the BACT Clearinghouse, relevant information under each of the following steps may be simply cited from the Clearinghouse without further analysis."

Pursuant to the Top-Down BACT Analysis, which appears in Appendix B of this report, BACT is satisfied with:

- NO<sub>x</sub>: Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range
- VOC: Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range

## **B. Offsets**

Per Section 4.6.2, emergency equipment that is used exclusively as emergency standby equipment for electric power generation or any other emergency equipment as approved by the APCO that does not operate more than 200 hours per year for non-emergency purposes and is not used pursuant to voluntary arrangements with a power supplier to curtail power, is exempt from providing emission offsets. Therefore, this proposed unit is exempt from providing offsets and no offset calculations are required.

## **C. Public Notification**

### **1. Applicability**

Public noticing is required for:

- a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB 288 Major Modifications,

As shown in Section VII.C.8, this project constitutes a Federal Major Modification; therefore, public noticing is required.

- b. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 lb/day for any one affected pollutant

As calculated in Section VII.C.2, daily emissions for all pollutants are less than 100 lb/day.

- c. Any modifications that increase the SSPE1 above offset threshold levels

As shown in Section VII.C.3, SSPE1 is already greater than the offset threshold; therefore, an offset threshold will not be surpassed and public noticing is not required.

- d. Any new stationary source with SSPE2 exceeding the emissions offset threshold level

This is an existing facility; therefore, public noticing for new stationary source exceeding offset threshold purposes is not required.

- e. Any project with an Stationary Source Project Increase in Potential (SSIPE) Emissions greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant.

For this project, the proposed engine is the only emissions source that will generate an increase in Potential to Emit. Since the proposed engine emissions are well below 20,000 lb/year for all pollutants (See Section VII.C.2), the SSIPE for this project will be below the public notice threshold.

## **2. Public Notice Action**

As demonstrated above, this project will require public noticing. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation prior to the issuance of the ATC(s) for this equipment.

## **D. Daily Emissions Limits**

Daily Emissions Limitations (DELs) and other enforceable conditions are required by Section 3.15 to restrict a unit's maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. Per Sections 3.15.1 and 3.15.2, the DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 2.53 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 1.34 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.13 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201, 17 CCR 93115, and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]
- Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.15 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, 17 CCR 93115, and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]
- Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, 17 CCR 93115, and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]

## **E. Compliance Assurance**

### **1. Source Testing**

Pursuant to District Policy APR 1705, source testing is not required for emergency standby IC engines to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

### **2. Monitoring**

No monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

### 3. Recordkeeping

Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4702, will be discussed in Section VIII, *District Rule 4702*, of this evaluation.

### 4. Reporting

No reporting is required to ensure compliance with Rule 2201.

### F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

Section 4.14.1 of this Rule requires that an ambient air quality analysis (AAQA) be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The Technical Services Division of the SJVAPCD conducted the required analysis.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and SO<sub>x</sub>. The proposed location is also in an attainment area for PM<sub>10</sub> under federal standard and non attainment for state standard. The AAQA modeling results are as follows:

#### Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results\*

Values are in µg/m<sup>3</sup>

| Diesel ICE       | 1 Hour | 3 Hours | 8 Hours | 24 Hours | Annual |
|------------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|--------|
| CO               | Pass   | X       | Pass    | X        | X      |
| NO <sub>x</sub>  | Pass   | X       | X       | X        | Pass   |
| SO <sub>x</sub>  | Pass   | Pass    | X       | Pass     | Pass   |
| PM <sub>10</sub> | X      | X       | X       | Pass     | Pass   |

\*Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheets.

<sup>1</sup>The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

As shown above and by the AAQA summary sheet in Appendix D, the proposed equipment will not cause or significantly contribute to a violation of a State or National AAQS. Therefore, this project is not expected to cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard.

### Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

This facility is subject to this Rule, and has received their Title V Operating Permit. The proposed modification is a Minor Modification to the Title V Permit pursuant to Section 3.20 of this rule. As discussed previously in the proposal section, the facility has applied for a Certificate of Conformity (COC). Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {1830} This Authority to Construct serves as a written certificate of conformity with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR 70.7 and 70.8 and with the compliance requirements of 40 CFR 70.6(c). [District NSR Rule]
- {1831} Prior to operating with modifications authorized by this Authority to Construct, the facility shall submit an application to modify the Title V permit with an administrative amendment in accordance with District Rule 2520 Section 5.3.4. [District Rule 2520, 5.3.4]

In addition, the facility must apply to modify their Title V permit with an administrative amendment, prior to operating with the proposed modifications. Continued compliance with this rule is expected.

**Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)**

**40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII – Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines**

The following table demonstrates how the proposed engine will comply with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII.

| 40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII Requirements for New Emergency IC Engines Powering Generators (2007 and Later Model Year)   | Proposed Method of Compliance with 40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII Requirements  |
|--|---|
| Engine(s) must meet the appropriate Subpart IIII emission standards for new engines, based on the model year, size, and number of liters per cylinder.   | The applicant has proposed the use of engine(s) that are certified to the latest EPA Tier Certification level for the applicable horsepower range, guaranteeing compliance with the emission standards of Subpart IIII.   |
| Engine(s) must be fired on 500 ppm sulfur content fuel or less, and fuel with a minimum centane index of 40 or a maximum aromatic content of 35 percent by volume. Starting in October 1, 2010, the maximum allowable sulfur fuel content will be lowered to 15 ppm. | The applicant has proposed the use of CARB certified diesel fuel, which meets all of the fuel requirements listed in Subpart IIII. A permit condition enforcing this requirement was included earlier in this evaluation.   |
| The operator/owner must install a non-resettable hour meter prior to startup of the engine(s).   | The applicant has proposed to install a non-resettable hour meter. The following condition will be included on the permit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This engine shall be equipped with an operational non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative. [District Rule 4702, 17 CCR 93115, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII]</li> </ul> |
| Emergency engine(s) may be operated for the purpose of maintenance and testing up to 100 hours per year. There is no limit on emergency use.   | The Air Toxic Control Measure for Stationary Compression Ignition Engines (Stationary ATCM) limits this engine maintenance and testing to 50 hours/year. Thus, compliance is expected.  |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>The owner/operator must operate and maintain the engine(s) and any installed control devices according to the manufacturers written instructions.</p> | <p>The following condition will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702 and 40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII]</li></ul> |
|--|---|

## **Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants**

### **40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ – National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Emissions (RICE)**

Emergency engines are subject to this subpart if they are operated at a major or area source of Hazardous Air Pollutant (HAP) emissions. A major source of HAP emissions is a facility that has the potential to emit any single HAP at a rate of 10 tons/year or greater or any combinations of HAPs at a rate of 25 tons/year or greater. An area source of HAPs is a facility is not a major source of HAPs. The proposed engine is a new stationary RICE located at an area source of HAP emissions; therefore, this engine are subject to this Subpart.

40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ requires the following engines to comply with 40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII:

1. New emergency engines located at area sources of HAPs
2. Emergency engines rated less than or equal to 500 bhp and located at major sources of HAPs

The proposed engine(s) will be in compliance with 40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII.

Additionally, 40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ requires engines rated greater 500 bhp and located at major sources of HAPs to meet the notification requirements of §63.6645(h); however, that section only applies if an initial performance test is required. Since an initial performance test is not required for emergency engines, the notification requirement is not applicable.

The proposed engines are expected to be in compliance with 40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ.

## **Rule 4101 Visible Emissions**

Rule 4101 states that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark

as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

**Rule 4102 Nuisance**

Rule 4102 states that no air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

**California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)**

District Policy APR 1905 - Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (dated 3/2/01) specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite. Therefore, a risk management review (RMR) was performed for this project. The RMR results are summarized in the following table, and can be seen in detail in Appendix D.

| RMR Results |                    |                      |             |                  |
|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Unit        | Acute Hazard Index | Chronic Hazard Index | Cancer Risk | T-BACT Required? |
| C-311-239-0 | N/A                | N/A                  | 6.13E-08    | No               |

The following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance with the RMR:

- {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
- Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.15 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, 17 CCR 93115, 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]
- The engine shall be operated only for maintenance, testing and required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the

engine for maintenance, testing and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per year. [District Rules 2201 and 4701]

**Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration**

Rule 4201 limits particulate matter emissions from any single source operation to 0.1 g/dscf, which, as calculated below, is equivalent to a PM<sub>10</sub> emission factor of 0.4 g-PM<sub>10</sub>/bhp-hr.

$$0.1 \frac{\text{grain-PM}}{\text{dscf}} \times \frac{\text{g}}{15.43 \text{ grain}} \times \frac{1 \text{ Btu}_{in}}{0.35 \text{ Btu}_{out}} \times \frac{9,051 \text{ dscf}}{10^6 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{1 \text{ bhp-hr}} \times \frac{0.96 \text{ g-PM}_{10}}{1 \text{ g-PM}} = 0.4 \frac{\text{g-PM}_{10}}{\text{bhp-hr}}$$

The new engine has a PM<sub>10</sub> emission factor less than 0.4 g/bhp-hr. Therefore, compliance is expected and the following condition will be listed on the ATC:

- {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

**Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 1**

Pursuant to Section 7.5.2.3 of District Rule 4702, as of June 1, 2006 District Rule 4701 is no longer applicable to diesel-fired emergency standby or emergency IC engines. Therefore, the proposed emergency internal combustion engine(s) will comply with the requirements of District Rule 4702 and no further discussion is required.

**Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 2**

The following table demonstrates how the proposed engine(s) will comply with the requirements of District Rule 4702.

| District Rule 4702 Requirements<br>Emergency Standby IC Engines   | Proposed Method of Compliance with<br>District Rule 4702 Requirements   |
|---|---|
| Operation of emergency standby engines is limited to 100 hours or less per calendar year for non-emergency purposes, verified through the use of a non-resettable elapsed operating time meter.   | The Air Toxic Control Measure for Stationary Compression Ignition Engines (Stationary ATCM) limits this engine maintenance and testing to 50 hours/year. Thus, compliance is expected.  |
| Emergency standby engines cannot be used to reduce the demand for electrical power when normal electrical power line service has not failed, or to produce power for the electrical distribution system, or in conjunction with a voluntary utility demand reduction program or interruptible power contract. | The following conditions will be included on the permit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702]</li> <li>• {3808} This engine shall not be used to</li> </ul> |

|   |  |
|---|--|
|   | <p>produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702]</p>   |
| <p>The owner/operator must operate and maintain the engine(s) and any installed control devices according to the manufacturers written instructions.</p>  | <p>A permit condition enforcing this requirement was shown earlier in the evaluation.</p>  |
| <p>The owner/operator must monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.</p>  | <p>The following condition will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]</li> </ul>   |
| <p>Records of the total hours of operation of the emergency standby engine, type of fuel used, purpose for operating the engine, all hours of non-emergency and emergency operation, and support documentation must be maintained. All records shall be retained for a period of at least five years, shall be readily available, and be made available to the APCO upon request.</p> | <p>The following conditions will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]</li> <li>• The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]</li> <li>• {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for</li> </ul> |

|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] |
|--|---|

**Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds**

Rule 4801 requires that sulfur compound emissions (as SO<sub>2</sub>) shall not exceed 0.2% by volume. Using the ideal gas equation, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Volume SO}_2 = (n \times R \times T) \div P$$

n = moles SO<sub>2</sub>

T (standard temperature) = 60 °F or 520 °R

$$R \text{ (universal gas constant)} = \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot \text{°R}}$$

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb-S}}{\text{lb-fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb}}{\text{gal}} \times \frac{64 \text{ lb-SO}_2}{32 \text{ lb-S}} \times \frac{1 \text{ MMBtu}}{9,051 \text{ scf}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{0.137 \text{ MMBtu}} \times \frac{\text{lb-mol}}{64 \text{ lb-SO}_2} \times \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb-mol} \cdot \text{°R}} \times \frac{520 \text{°R}}{14.7 \text{ psi}} \times 1,000,000 = 1.0 \text{ ppmv}$$

Since 1.0 ppmv is ≤ 2,000 ppmv, this engine is expected to comply with Rule 4801. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, 17 CCR 93115, and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart III]

**California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)**

The District has verified that this site is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

**Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines**

The following table demonstrates how the proposed engine(s) will comply with the requirements of Title 17 CCR Section 93115.

| Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements for New Emergency IC Engines Powering Electrical Generators | Proposed Method of Compliance with Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements   |
|---|--|
| Emergency engine(s) must be fired on CARB diesel fuel, or an approved alternative diesel fuel.      | The applicant has proposed the use of CARB certified diesel fuel. The proposed permit condition, requiring the use of CARB certified diesel fuel, was included earlier in this evaluation. |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>The engine(s) must emit diesel PM at a rate less than or equal to 0.15 g/bhp-hr or must meet the diesel PM standard, as specified in the Off-road compression ignition standards for off-road engines with the same maximum rated power (Title 13 CCR, Section 2423).</p>  | <p>The applicant has proposed the use of engine(s) that are certified to the latest EPA Tier Certification level for the applicable horsepower range, guaranteeing compliance with the emission standards of Subpart IIII. Additionally, the proposed diesel PM emissions rate is less than or equal to 0.15 g/bhp-hr.</p>   |
| <p>The engine may not be operated more than 50 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes.</p>   | <p>The following condition will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702, 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]</li> </ul> |
| <p>New stationary emergency standby diesel-fueled CI engines (&gt; 50 bhp) must meet the standards for off-road engines of the same model year and maximum rated power as specified in the Off-Road Compression Ignition Engine Standards (title 13, CCR, section 2423).</p>  | <p>The applicant has proposed the use of engine(s) that are certified to the latest EPA Tier Certification level for the applicable horsepower range.</p>  |
| <p>Engines, with a PM10 emissions rate greater than 0.01 g/bhp-hr and located at schools, may not be operated for maintenance and testing whenever there is a school sponsored activity on the grounds. Additionally, engines located within 500 feet of school grounds may not be operated for maintenance and testing between 7:30 AM and 3:30 PM</p>         | <p>The District has verified that this engine is not located within 500' of a school.</p>  |
| <p>An owner or operator shall maintain monthly records of the following: emergency use hours of operation; maintenance and testing hours of operation; hours of operation for emission testing; initial start-up testing hours; hours of operation for all other uses; and the type of fuel used. All records shall be retained for a minimum of 36 months.</p> | <p>Permit conditions enforcing these requirements were shown earlier in the evaluation.</p>  |

### California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San

Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001.

The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities.
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced.
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible.
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

Consistent with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines requirements, the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (District) has adopted procedures and guidelines for implementing CEQA. The District's Environmental Review Guidelines (ERG) establishes procedures for avoiding unnecessary delay during the District's permitting process while ensuring that significant environmental impacts are thoroughly and consistently addressed. The ERG includes policies and procedures to be followed when processing permits for projects that are exempt under CEQA.

The State Legislature granted a number of exemptions from CEQA, including projects that require only ministerial approval. Based upon analysis of its own laws and consideration of CEQA provisions, the District has identified a limited number of District permitting activities considered to be ministerial approvals. As set forth in §4.2.1 of the ERG, projects permitted consistent with the District's *Guidelines for Expedited Application Review* (GEAR) are standard application reviews in which little or no discretion is used in issuing Authority to Construct (ATC) documents.

For the proposed project, the District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) and determined that the project qualifies for processing under the procedures set forth in the District's Permit Services Procedures Manual in the Guidelines for Expedited Application Review (GEAR). Thus, as discussed above, this issuance of such ATC(s) is a ministerial approval for the District and is not subject to CEQA provisions.

On December 17, 2009, the District's Governing Board adopted the first comprehensive regional policy and guidance on addressing and mitigating GHG emission impacts caused by industrial, commercial, and residential development in the San Joaquin Valley. The adopted District policy – *Addressing GHG Emission Impacts for Stationary Source Projects Under CEQA When Serving as the Lead Agency* applies to projects for which the District has discretionary approval authority over the project and serves as

the lead agency for CEQA purposes. The policy relies on the use of performance based standards, otherwise known as Best Performance Standards (BPS) to assess significance of project specific greenhouse gas emissions on global climate change during the environmental review process, as required by CEQA.

Use of BPS is a method of streamlining the CEQA process of determining significance and is not a required emission reduction measure. However, consistent with the District's objective to achieve the GHG emission reduction targets established pursuant to AB 32, BPS will be incorporated into the District's GEAR application review process. In the interim, projects meeting the existing GEAR requirements will continue to be processed as ministerial approvals.

### IX. Recommendation

Pending a successful NSR Public Noticing period, issue Authority to Construct C-311-239-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft Authority to Construct in Appendix A.

### X. Billing Information

| Billing Schedule |              |                   |            |
|------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|
| Permit Number    | Fee Schedule | Fee Description   | Fee Amount |
| C-0311-239-0     | 3020-10-D    | 157 bhp IC engine | \$314.00   |

### Appendixes

- A. Draft ATC
- B. BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis
- C. Emissions Data
- D. HRA and AAQA Summaries
- E. Compliance Certifications

Appendix A  
Draft ATC

San Joaquin Valley  
Air Pollution Control District

**AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT**

ISSUANCE DATE: DRAFT

PERMIT NO: C-311-239-0

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: CHEVRON USA INC  
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 1392  
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93302

LOCATION: HEAVY OIL PRODUCTION  
FRESNO COUNTY, CA

SECTION: 19 TOWNSHIP: 28S RANGE: 15E

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:  
157 BHP TIER 3 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED IC ENGINE POWERING A CATERPILLAR MODEL LC3034B EMERGENCY ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

**CONDITIONS**

1. {1830} This Authority to Construct serves as a written certificate of conformity with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR 70.7 and 70.8 and with the compliance requirements of 40 CFR 70.6(c). [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
2. {1831} Prior to operating with modifications authorized by this Authority to Construct, the facility shall submit an application to modify the Title V permit with an administrative amendment in accordance with District Rule 2520 Section 5.3.4. [District Rule 2520, 5.3.4] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
3. {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
4. {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
5. {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
6. {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
7. This engine shall be equipped with an operational non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative. [District Rule 4702, 17 CCR 93115, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart III]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (559) 230-5950 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director, APCO

DAVID WARNER, Director of Permit Services

C-311-239-0 : Aug 23 2010 4:11PM - GOUGHD : Joint Inspection NOT Required

8. Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, 17 CCR 93115, 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]
9. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 2.53 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 1.34 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.13 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201, 17 CCR 93115, and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]
10. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.15 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, 17 CCR 93115, and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]
11. This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702 and 40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII]
12. {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]
13. {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702]
14. {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702]
15. {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702]
16. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702, 17 CCR 93115 and 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart IIII]
17. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
18. {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

DRAFT

# Appendix B

## BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

# San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

|   |
|---|
| <b>Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 3.1.1</b><br><b>Last Update: 7/10/2009</b><br><b>Emergency Diesel IC Engine</b> |
|---|

| Pollutant | Achieved in Practice or in the SIP   | Technologically Feasible | Alternate Basic Equipment |
|-----------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| CO        | Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range  |                          |                           |
| NOX       | Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range  |                          |                           |
| PM10      | 0.15 g/hp-hr or the Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent. (ATCM) |                          |                           |
| SOX       | Very low sulfur diesel fuel (15 ppmw sulfur or less)   |                          |                           |
| VOC       | Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range  |                          |                           |

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

## Top Down BACT Analysis for the Emergency IC Engine(s)

### 1. BACT Analysis for NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC Emissions:

#### a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.1 identifies achieved in practice BACT for emissions from emergency diesel IC engines as follows:

| Pollutant       | Achieved in Practice  |
|-----------------|---|
| NO <sub>x</sub> | Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range |
| VOC             | Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range |

No technologically feasible alternatives or control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

#### b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from Step 1.

#### c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

No ranking needs to be done because only one control option is listed in Step 1.

#### d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control option listed for each pollutant. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

#### e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC emissions from this emergency standby diesel IC engine is the latest EPA Tier Certification level for the applicable horsepower range. The applicant has proposed to install a Tier 3 certified 157 bhp emergency standby diesel IC engine, which is the latest Tier Certification for an engine this size as shown in the attached Tier Certification table at the end of this Appendix.

**Appendix C**  
**Emissions Data Sheet**

**Title 13 CCR 2423**  
**(December 2005)**  
**Tier Certification & Exhaust Emission Standards**  
(grams per brake horsepower-hour)

| Power Rating (hp) | Tier | Model Year               | NO <sub>x</sub> | HC  | NMHC + NO <sub>x</sub> | CO  | PM   |
|-------------------|------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----|------------------------|-----|------|
| 50 ≤ hp < 75      | 1    | 1998 – 2003              | 6.9             | -   | -                      | -   | -    |
|                   | 2    | 2004 - 2007              | -               |     | 5.6                    | 3.7 | 0.3  |
|                   | 3    | 2008 - 2011              |                 |     | 3.5                    |     |      |
|                   | 4*   | 2008 – 2012<br>(Interim) |                 |     | 3.5                    |     |      |
| 75 ≤ hp < 100     | 1    | 1998 – 2003              | 6.9             | -   | -                      | -   | -    |
|                   | 2    | 2004 – 2007              | -               |     | 5.6                    | 3.7 | 0.3  |
|                   | 3    | 2008 – 2011              |                 |     | 3.5                    |     |      |
| 100 ≤ hp < 175    | 1    | 1997 – 2002              | 6.9             | -   | -                      | -   | -    |
|                   | 2    | 2003 – 2006              | -               |     | 4.9                    | 3.7 | 0.22 |
|                   | 3    | 2007 – 2011              |                 |     | 3.0                    |     |      |
| 175 ≤ hp < 300    | 1    | 1996 – 2002              | 6.9             | 1.0 | -                      | 8.5 | 0.4  |
|                   | 2    | 2003 – 2005              | -               | -   | 4.9                    | 2.6 | 0.15 |
|                   | 3    | 2006 - 2010              |                 | 3.0 |                        |     |      |
| 300 ≤ hp < 600    | 1    | 1996 – 2000              | 6.9             | 1.0 | -                      | 8.5 | 0.4  |
|                   | 2    | 2001 – 2005              | -               | -   | 4.8                    | 2.6 | 0.15 |
|                   | 3    | 2006 – 2010              |                 | 3.0 |                        |     |      |
| 600 ≤ hp ≤ 750    | 1    | 1996 – 2001              | 6.9             | 1.0 | -                      | 8.5 | 0.4  |
|                   | 2    | 2002 – 2005              | -               | -   | 4.8                    | 2.6 | 0.15 |
|                   | 3    | 2006 – 2010              |                 | 3.0 |                        |     |      |
| > 750             | 1    | 2000 – 2005              | 6.9             | 1.0 | -                      | 8.5 | 0.4  |
|                   | 2    | 2006 – 2010              | -               | -   | 4.8                    | 2.6 | 0.15 |

\* Manufacturers may optionally certify engine families to the interim Tier 4 for this power category through 2012.

|                           |                   |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Permit #: C-311-239-0     | Last Updated      |
| Facility: CHEVRON USA INC | 08/23/2010 GOUGHD |

Equipment Pre-Baselined: NO

|  | <u>NOX</u> | <u>SOX</u> | <u>PM10</u> | <u>CO</u> | <u>VOC</u> |
|--|------------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| Potential to Emit (lb/Yr):                           | 44.0       | 0.0        | 3.0         | 23.0      | 2.0        |
| Daily Emis. Limit (lb/Day)                           | 21.0       | 0.0        | 1.2         | 11.1      | 1.1        |
| Quarterly Net Emissions Change (lb/Qtr)              |            |            |             |           |            |
| Q1:  | 11.0       | 0.0        | 1.0         | 6.0       | 1.0        |
| Q2:  | 11.0       | 0.0        | 1.0         | 6.0       | 1.0        |
| Q3:  | 11.0       | 0.0        | 1.0         | 6.0       | 1.0        |
| Q4:  | 11.0       | 0.0        | 1.0         | 6.0       | 1.0        |
| Check if offsets are triggered but exemption applies | N          | N          | N           | N         | N          |
| Offset Ratio   |            |            |             |           |            |
| Quarterly Offset Amounts (lb/Qtr)                    |            |            |             |           |            |
| Q1:  |            |            |             |           |            |
| Q2:  |            |            |             |           |            |
| Q3:  |            |            |             |           |            |
| Q4:  |            |            |             |           |            |

Appendix D  
HRA and AAQA Summaries

# San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Risk Management Review

**RECEIVED**

**JUL 26 2010**

SJVAPCD  
Southern Region

To: Dolores Gough – Permit Services  
 From: Cheryl Lawler - Technical Services  
 Date: July 23, 2010  
 Facility Name: Chevron USA  
 Location: Coalinga Oilfield  
 Application #(s): C-311-239-0  
 Project #: C-1102455

## A. RMR SUMMARY

| RMR Summary                    |                                   |                  |                 |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Categories                     | Emergency Diesel ICE (Unit 239-0) | Project Totals   | Facility Totals |
| Prioritization Score           | N/A <sup>1</sup>                  | N/A <sup>1</sup> | >1              |
| Acute Hazard Index             | N/A <sup>2</sup>                  | N/A <sup>2</sup> | N/A             |
| Chronic Hazard Index           | N/A <sup>2</sup>                  | N/A <sup>2</sup> | N/A             |
| Maximum Individual Cancer Risk | 6.13E-08                          | 6.13E-08         | 5.51E-07        |
| T-BACT Required?               | No                                |                  |                 |
| Special Permit Conditions?     | Yes                               |                  |                 |

1. Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0.
2. Acute and Chronic Hazard Indices were not calculated since there is no risk factor, or the risk factor is so low that the risk has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

### Proposed Permit Conditions

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following permit conditions must be included for:

#### Unit # 239-0

1. Modified {1901} The PM10 emissions rate shall not exceed 0.15 g/hp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rule 2201]
2. {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102] N
3. Modified {1344} The engine shall be operated only for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per year. [District NSR Rule and District Rule 4701]N

## B. RMR REPORT

### I. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on July 6, 2010, to perform a Risk Management Review for a 157 bhp emergency diesel IC engine.

### II. Analysis

Technical Services performed a screening level health risk assessment using the District's Diesel Exhaust Risk Screening spreadsheet.

The following parameters were used for the review:

| Analysis Parameters |        |                          |              |               |            |                      |
|---------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|
| Unit #s             | bhp-hr | PM <sub>10</sub> g/hp-hr | Receptor (m) | Quad          | Hours/Year | Load%                |
| 239-0               | 157    | 0.15                     | 609.6        | 2             | 50         | 100                  |
| Location Type       |        |                          | Rural        | Receptor Type |            | Residence & Business |

### III. Conclusion

The individual cancer risk associated with the operation of the proposed emergency diesel IC engine is **6.13E-08** which is less than the 1 in a million threshold. In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved as proposed **without** Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit conditions listed on Page 1 of this report must be included for each proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

## San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Risk Management Review

To: Dolores Gough – Permit Services  
 From: Cheryl Lawler – Technical Services  
 Date: August 19, 2010  
 Facility Name: Chevron USA  
 Location: Coalinga Oilfield  
 Application #(s): C-311-239-0  
 Project #: C-1102455

---

### A. RMR SUMMARY

| RMR Summary                           |   |                   |                    |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| Categories                            | Emergency Diesel<br>ICE<br>(Unit 239-0) | Project<br>Totals | Facility<br>Totals |
| <b>Prioritization Score</b>           | N/A*                                    | N/A               | N/A                |
| <b>Acute Hazard Index</b>             | N/A                                     | N/A               | N/A                |
| <b>Chronic Hazard Index</b>           | N/A                                     | N/A               | N/A                |
| <b>Maximum Individual Cancer Risk</b> | N/A                                     | N/A               | N/A                |
| <b>T-BACT Required?</b>               | No                                      |                   |                    |
| <b>Special Permit Conditions?</b>     | No                                      |                   |                    |

\*A Risk Management Review was not required for this project, because one has already been performed and is still valid. Only an Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) was required. See Page Two of this memo for AAQA results.

### B. RMR REPORT

#### I. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on August 10, 2010, to perform an Ambient Air Quality Analysis only for a 157 bhp emergency Diesel ICE. A Risk Management Review was not required, because one has already been performed and is still valid.

## II. Analysis

The following parameters were used for the Ambient Air Quality Analysis:

| Analysis Parameters      |       |                          |                      |
|--------------------------|-------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Source Type              | Point | Closest Receptor (m)     | 609.6                |
| Stack Height (m)         | 2.19  | Closest Receptor Type    | Residence & Business |
| Inside Diameter (m)      | 0.13  | Project Location Type    | Rural                |
| Gas Exit Temperature (K) | 795   | Stack Gas Velocity (m/s) | 23.02                |

Technical Services performed AAQA modeling for the criteria pollutants NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, SO<sub>x</sub>, and PM<sub>10</sub>. The emission rates used for criteria pollutant modeling were 0.88 lb/hr of NO<sub>x</sub>, 0.46 lb/hr of CO, 0 lb/hr of SO<sub>x</sub>, and 0.05 lb/hr of PM<sub>10</sub>.

The results from the Criteria Pollutant Modeling are as follows:

### Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results\*

Values are in µg/m<sup>3</sup>

| Diesel ICE       | 1 Hour | 3 Hours | 8 Hours | 24 Hours | Annual |
|------------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|--------|
| CO               | Pass   | X       | Pass    | X        | X      |
| NO <sub>x</sub>  | Pass   | X       | X       | X        | Pass   |
| SO <sub>x</sub>  | Pass   | Pass    | X       | Pass     | Pass   |
| PM <sub>10</sub> | X      | X       | X       | Pass     | Pass   |

\*Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheets.

<sup>1</sup>The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

## III. Conclusion

The criteria modeling runs indicate the emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or significantly contribute to a violation of a State or National AAQS.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

**Appendix E**  
**Compliance Certifications**

RECEIVED  
JUN 24 2010  
SJVAPCD  
Southern Region

San Joaquin Valley  
Unified Air Pollution Control District

TITLE V MODIFICATION - COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION FORM

I. TYPE OF PERMIT ACTION (Check appropriate box)

- SIGNIFICANT PERMIT MODIFICATION                       ADMINISTRATIVE  
 MINOR PERMIT MODIFICATION                                      AMENDMENT

|  |                           |
|--|---------------------------|
| COMPANY NAME: <b>Chevron U.S.A. Inc. (CUSA)</b>  | FACILITY ID: <b>C-311</b> |
| 1. Type of Organization: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corporation <input type="checkbox"/> Sole Ownership <input type="checkbox"/> Government <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership <input type="checkbox"/> Utility |                           |
| 2. Owner's Name: <b>Chevron U.S.A. Inc. (CUSA)</b>   |                           |
| 3. Agent to the Owner: <b>N/A</b>  |                           |

II. COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION (Read each statement carefully and initial all circles for confirmation):

- Based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the equipment identified in this application will continue to comply with the applicable federal requirement(s).
- Based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the equipment identified in this application will comply with applicable federal requirement(s) that will become effective during the permit term, on a timely basis.
- Corrected information will be provided to the District when I become aware that incorrect or incomplete information has been submitted.
- Based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, information and statements in the submitted application package, including all accompanying reports, and required certifications are true accurate and complete.

I declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California, that the forgoing is correct and true:

William Fall  
Signature of Responsible Official

6/24/10  
Date

**William Fall**

Name of Responsible Official (please print)

**HES Manager**

Title of Responsible Official (please print)

ATC Application w/ COC for Emergency IC Engine



**Bruce A. Johnson**  
Vice President and General  
Manager

**San Joaquin Valley BU**  
Chevron North America  
Exploration and Production  
P.O. Box 1392  
Bakersfield, CA 93302

August 25, 2010

Mr. Leonard Scandura  
Regional Manager, Permit Services  
San Joaquin Valley APCD  
34946 Flyover Court  
Bakersfield, CA 93308

**RE: Statewide Compliance Certification for Pending Permit Applications**

Dear Mr. Scandura:

As required under SJVAPCD District Rule 2201 § 4.15.2, and Section 173 (a) (3) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 7503, Chevron U.S.A. Inc. hereby submits this letter of certification regarding statewide compliance for pending permit applications.

Based on reasonable inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, the major stationary sources, as defined in the jurisdiction where the facilities are located, that are owned or operated by Chevron U.S.A. Inc. in the state of California, as listed below, are subject to emission limitations, and are in compliance, or on a schedule for compliance with all applicable emission limitations and standards under the Clean Air Act:

- El Segundo Refinery
- Richmond Refinery
- Banta Marketing Terminal
- Huntington Beach Marketing Terminal
- Montebello Marketing Terminal
- Sacramento Marketing Terminal
- Van Nuys Marketing Terminal
- San Joaquin Valley Business Unit:
  - Cross Valley Carneras Gas Compressor Facility (Kern County)
  - Fresno County Heavy Oil Source (Coalinga)
  - Fresno County Natural Gas Source (Coalinga)
  - Kern County Central Heavy Oil Source (Kern River)
  - Kern County Western Heavy Oil Source (Midway Sunset and Cymric)
  - Kern County Western Light Oil Source (Midway Sunset, Cymric, and Lost Hills)
  - Kern County Western Gas Source (Cymric and Lost Hills)
  - San Ardo (Monterey County)

- Chevron Global Power (Joint Venture Facility):
  - Coalinga Cogeneration Company in Fresno County
  - Kern River Cogeneration Company in Kern County
  - Mid-Set Cogeneration Company in Kern County
  - Salinas River Cogeneration Company in Monterey County
  - Sargent Canyon Cogeneration Company in Monterey County
  - Sunrise Power Company LLC in Kern County
  - Sycamore Cogeneration Company in Kern County

Please contact Martin Lundy at (661) 654-7142 if you have any questions. Thank you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Bruce A. Johnson". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Bruce A. Johnson