



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 6
1445 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 1200
DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-2733

January 7, 2013

CERTIFIED MAIL—RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED: 7007 3020 0002 5102 1684

Mr. Doug Alexander, President
Quanico Oil & Gas, Inc.
P.O. Box 1714
El Dorado, AR 71731

Re: Notice of Proposed Assessment of Class I Civil Penalty
Docket Number: CWA-06-2013-1708
Facility Number: ARU000118

Dear Mr. Alexander:

Enclosed is an Administrative Complaint (Complaint) issued to Quanico Oil & Gas, Inc. for violation of Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act. Violations were identified as the result of inspections, conducted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on April 10, 2012, at two of your oil field production facilities located in Union County, Arkansas. The violations alleged are for the unauthorized discharge of pollutants, specifically oil field brine, to waters of the United States. Administrative Orders, Docket Numbers CWA-06-2012-1836 and CWA-06-2012-1837, were issued to these two facilities on June 1, 2012, addressing these same violations.

You have the right to request a hearing regarding the violations alleged in the Complaint and the proposed administrative civil penalty. Please pay particular attention to Section V of the Complaint entitled "Notice of Opportunity to Request a Hearing." Note that should you fail to request a hearing within thirty (30) days of receipt of the Complaint, you will waive your right to such a hearing, and the proposed civil penalty of \$2,563.00 may be assessed against you without further proceedings. You have the right to be represented by an attorney or to represent yourself at any stage of these proceedings.

Whether or not you request a hearing, we invite you to confer informally with the EPA concerning the alleged violations and the amount of the proposed penalty. You may represent yourself or be represented by an attorney at any conference, whether in person or by telephone. The EPA encourages all parties against whom it files a Complaint proposing assessment of a penalty to pursue the possibility of settlement as a result of an informal conference.

Re: Administrative Complaint
Quanico Oil & Gas, Inc.

2


Also enclosed for your review and signature is a Consent Agreement and Final Order (CAFO) that specifies the proposed settlement terms and agreement between EPA and Quanico Oil & Gas, Inc., resolving the violations alleged in the Complaint. If you wish to enter into this settlement agreement, please sign, date, and return the CAFO to Mr. Russell Murdock (6RC-EW) at the address above.

If you agree to settle this matter by signing and returning the enclosed CAFO, EPA will solicit public comments, which may impact the settlement. After consideration of public comments, EPA will sign and issue the CAFO which is effective upon filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk. Please do not send payment of the penalty until you receive the CAFO signed by EPA. By signing the CAFO and agreeing to settle the case, you waive your right to a hearing on, and to a judicial appeal of, the agreed civil penalty specified in the CAFO.

Please also find enclosed an "Information Sheet" relating to the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act and a "Notice of Registrant's Duty to Disclose" relating to the disclosure of environmental legal proceedings to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The EPA is committed to ensuring compliance with the requirements of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System program, and my staff will assist you in any way possible. If you have any questions, or wish to discuss the possibility of a settlement of this matter, please contact Mr. Matt Rudolph, of my staff, at (214) 665-6434.

Sincerely,



John Blevins
Director
Compliance Assurance and
Enforcement Division

Enclosures

cc: w/complaint-Regional Hearing Clerk

Mr. Steve Drown
Chief, Water Division
Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
5301 Northshore Drive
North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

**REGION 6
1445 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 1200
DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-2733**

January 7, 2013

Mr. Steve Drown
Chief, Water Division
Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
5301 Northshore Drive
North Little Rock, AR 72118

Re: Notice of Proposed Administrative Penalty Assessment
Docket Number: CWA-06-2013-1708
Facility Number: ARU000118

Dear Mr. Drown:

Enclosed is a copy of the Administrative Complaint (Complaint) which the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is issuing to Quanico Oil & Gas, Inc. (Respondent), pursuant to Section 309(g) of the Clean Water Act (CWA), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g). EPA is issuing the Complaint to administratively assess a Class I civil penalty of \$2,563.00 against the Respondent for violation of the CWA. Because the violation occurred in the State of Arkansas, I am offering you an opportunity to confer with us regarding the proposed penalty assessment.

You may request a conference within two weeks of receipt of this letter. The conference may be in person or by telephone and may cover any matters relevant to the proposed penalty assessment. If you wish to request a conference, or if you have any comments or questions regarding the matter, please contact Mr. Matt Rudolph, of my staff, at (214) 665-6434.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John Blevins", is written over the typed name.

John Blevins
Director
Compliance Assurance and
Enforcement Division

Enclosure

UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 6

In the Matter of	§ Docket No. CWA-06-2013-1708
	§
	§
Quanico Oil & Gas, Inc.	§ Proceeding to Assess a Class I
	§ Civil Penalty under Section 309(g)
	§ of the Clean Water Act
Respondent	§
	§ ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT
Facility No. ARU000118	§

I. Statutory Authority

This Complaint is issued under the authority vested in the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) by Section 309(g) of the Clean Water Act (“the Act”), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g). The Administrator of EPA delegated the authority to issue this Complaint to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 6, who further delegated this authority to the Director of the Compliance Assurance and Enforcement Division of EPA Region 6 (“Complainant”). This Class I Administrative Complaint is issued in accordance with, and this action will be conducted under, the “Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits,” including rules related to administrative proceedings not governed by Section 554 of the Administrative Procedures Act, 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.50 through 22.52.

Based on the following Findings, Complainant finds that Quanico Oil & Gas, Inc. (“Respondent”) violated the Act and the regulations promulgated under the Act and should be ordered to pay a civil penalty.

II. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

1. Respondent is a company incorporated under the laws of the State of Arkansas, and as such, Respondent is a “person,” as that term is defined at Section 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5), and 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.

2. At all times relevant to this action (“all relevant times”), Respondent owned or operated two oil field production facilities known as the Anthony Long A Tank Battery (“Long TB”) and the E.D. Doss Tank Battery (“Doss TB”). The Long TB is located in the Northwest Quarter of Section 18, Township 17 South, Range 14 West, Union County, Arkansas, and the Doss TB is located in the Northeast Quarter of Section 16, Township 17 South, Range 14 West, Union County, Arkansas (“facilities”), and was therefore an “owner or operator” within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.

3. At all relevant times, the facilities were “point sources” of “discharges” of “pollutants,” specifically oil field brine and produced wastewater, to the receiving waters of a tributary of Salt Creek and to Mill Creek, which are considered “waters of the United States” within the meaning of Section 502 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362, and 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.

4. Because Respondent owned or operated facilities that acted as point sources of discharges of pollutants to waters of the United States, Respondent and the facilities were subject to the Act and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (“NPDES”) program.

5. Under Section 301 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311, it is unlawful for any person to discharge any pollutant from a point source to waters of the United States, except with the authorization of, and in compliance with, an NPDES permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. According to the NPDES program, the discharge of oil field brine to “waters of the United States” is a non-permitted discharge.

6. On April 10, 2012, the Long TB was inspected by an EPA field inspector.

The inspector observed that oil field brine and produced wastewater had been discharged from the facility (located at Latitude 33° 14.729' North and Longitude 92° 35.626' West) to a tributary of Salt Creek (located at Latitude 33° 14.670' North and Longitude 92° 35.550' West). The inspector determined that the water at the point of entry into the creek contained 24,500 parts-per-million ("ppm") total soluble salts ("TSS").

7. On April 10, 2012, the Doss TB was inspected by an EPA field inspector.

The inspector observed that oil field brine and produced wastewater had been discharged from the facility (located at Latitude 33° 14.619' North and Longitude 92° 35.829' West) to Mill Creek (located at Latitude 33° 14.630' North and Longitude 92° 35.500' West). The inspector determined that the water at the point of entry into the creek contained 6,000 to 14,000 ppm TSS.

8. Each day of unauthorized discharge was a violation of Section 301 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311.

9. Under Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(A), as modified by 40 C.F.R. Part 19, Respondent is liable for a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed \$16,000 per day for each day during which a violation occurs or continues, up to a maximum of \$37,500.

10. EPA has notified the Arkansas Department on Environmental Quality ("ADEQ") of the issuance of this Complaint and has afforded the ADEQ an opportunity to consult with EPA regarding the assessment of an administrative penalty against Respondent as required by Section 309(g)(1) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1).

11. EPA has notified the public of the filing of this Complaint and has afforded the public thirty (30) days in which to comment on the Complaint and on the proposed penalty as required by Section 309(g)(4)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(4)(A). At the expiration of the notice period, EPA will consider any comments filed by the public.

III. Proposed Penalty

12. Based on the foregoing Findings, and pursuant to the authority of Sections 309(g)(1) and (g)(2)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(g)(1) and (g)(2)(A), EPA Region 6 hereby proposes to assess against Respondent a civil penalty of two thousand five hundred and sixty-three dollars (\$2,563.00).

13. The proposed penalty amount was determined based on the statutory factors specified in Section 309(g)(3) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(3), which includes such factors as the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation(s), economic benefits, if any, prior history of such violations, if any, degree of culpability, and such matters as justice may require.

14. Complainant has specified that the administrative procedures specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 22, Subpart I, shall apply to this matter, and the administrative proceedings shall not be governed by Section 554 of the Administrative Practice Act.

IV. Failure to File an Answer

15. If Respondent wishes to deny or explain any material allegation listed in the above Findings or to contest the amount of the penalty proposed, Respondent must file an Answer to this Complaint within thirty (30) days after service of this Complaint whether or not Respondent requests a hearing as discussed below.

16. The requirements for such an Answer are set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 22.15 (copy enclosed). Failure to file an Answer to this Complaint within thirty (30) days of service of the Complaint shall constitute an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of the right to hearing. Failure to deny or contest any individual material allegation contained in the Complaint will constitute an admission as to that finding or conclusion under 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(d).

17. If Respondent does not file an Answer to this Complaint within thirty (30) days after service, a Default Order may be issued against Respondent pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.17. A Default Order, if issued, would constitute a finding of liability, and could make the full amount of the penalty proposed in this Complaint due and payable by Respondent without further proceedings thirty (30) days after a final Default Order is issued.

18. Respondent must send its Answer to this Complaint, including any request for a Hearing, and all other pleadings to:

Regional Hearing Clerk (6RC-D)
U.S. EPA, Region 6
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75202-2733

19. Respondent shall also send a copy of its Answer to this Complaint to the following EPA attorney assigned to this case:

Mr. Russell Murdock (6RC-EW)
U.S. EPA, Region 6
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75202-2733

20. The Answer must be signed by Respondent, Respondent's counsel, or other representative on behalf of Respondent and must contain all information required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.5 and 22.15, including the name, address, and telephone number of Respondent and Respondent's counsel. All other pleadings must be similarly signed and filed.

V. Notice of Opportunity to Request a Hearing

21. Respondent may request a hearing to contest any material allegation contained in this Complaint, or to contest the appropriateness of the amount of the proposed penalty, pursuant to Section 309(g) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g). The procedures for hearings are set out at 40 C.F.R. Part 22, including 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.50 through 22.52.

22. Any request for hearing should be included in Respondent's Answer to this Complaint; however, as discussed above, Respondent must file an Answer meeting the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 22.15 in order to preserve the right to a hearing or to pursue other relief.

23. Should a hearing be requested, members of the public who commented on the issuance of the Complaint during the public comment period will have a right to be heard and to present evidence at such hearing under Section 309(g)(4)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(4)(B).

VI. Settlement

24. EPA encourages all parties against whom civil penalties are proposed to pursue the possibility of settlement through informal meetings with EPA. Regardless of whether a formal hearing is requested, Respondent may confer informally with EPA about the alleged violations or the amount of the proposed penalty. Respondent may wish to appear at any informal conference or formal hearing personally, by counsel or other representative, or both. To request an informal conference on the matters described in this Complaint, please contact Mr. Matt Rudolph, of my staff, at (214) 665-6434.


25. If this action is settled without a formal hearing and issuance of an opinion by the Presiding Officer pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.27, this action will be concluded by issuance of a Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO") pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b). The issuance of a CAFO would waive Respondent's right to a hearing on any matter stipulated therein or alleged in the Complaint. Any person who commented on this Complaint would be notified and given an additional thirty (30) days to petition EPA to set aside any such CAFO and to hold a

hearing on the issues raised in the Complaint. Such a petition would be granted and a hearing held only if the evidence presented by the petitioner's comment was material and was not considered by EPA in the issuance of the CAFO.

26. Neither assessment nor payment of a penalty in resolution of this action will affect Respondent's continuing obligation to comply with all requirements of the Act, the applicable regulations and permits, and any separate Compliance Order issued under Section 309(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(a), including one relating to the violations alleged herein.

JAN 07 2013

Date



John Blevins
Director
Compliance Assurance and
Enforcement Division

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Class I Administrative Complaint was sent to the following persons, in the manner specified, on the date below:

Original hand-delivered: Regional Hearing Clerk (6RC-D)
U.S. EPA, Region 6
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75202-2733

Copy by certified mail,
return receipt requested: Mr. Doug Alexander, President
Quanico Oil & Gas, Inc.
P.O. Box 1714
El Dorado, AR 71731

Copy by mail: Mr. Steve Drown
Chief, Water Division
Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
5301 Northshore Drive
North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

Copy hand-delivered: Mr. Russell Murdock (6RC-EW)
U.S. EPA, Region 6
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75202-2733

Dated: _____

Draft CAFO Concurrence

Quanico Oil & Gas, Inc.
Docket Number: CWA-06-2013-1708
Facility Number: ARU000118

Signature: _____

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be the initials 'AA', written over a horizontal line.

Date: _____

1-7-13