A. Summary (1/18/80)
B. Description of Source Categories and Pollutants (1/18/80)
C. Summary of Major Emitting Sources (9/29/82)
D. History of Alaskan Point Source Program (9/29/82)
F. Local Program Enforcement (9/29/82)
G. New Source Review and Approval (9/29/82)
H. Compliance Assurance (9/29/82)
I. State Air Quality Control Regulations (11/1/82)

Section V. *Ambient Air Monitoring*
A. Purpose (1/18/80)
B. Description of Previous Air Monitoring Network (1/18/80)
C. Air Monitoring Network (9/29/82)
E. Annual Review (9/29/82)

Volumn III. Appendices

I-1 Summary of Public Hearings, Written Testimony, etc. (1/18/80)
I-2 Recommendations for attainment/nonattainment designations (1/18/80)
II-I Alaska Statutes, except section 46.03.170 (1/18/80)
II-2 Regulations of the Fairbanks North Star Borough, except section 8.04.070 (1/18/80)
II-3 Fairbanks North Star Borough/Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Agreement (1/18/80)
II-4 Municipality of Anchorage/Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Agreement (1/18/80)
II-5 Alaska State Department of Law Legal Opinion (2/29/80)
III-1 A Review of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Motor Vehicles during Cold Temperature Operation (1/18/80)
III-2 Cold Weather Related Strategy Support Development (1/18/80)
III-3 Preliminary Assessment of Meteorological Conditions during Days of Ambient Air Quailty Violations in Anchorage (1/18/80)
III-4 Summary of the 1978 Fairbanks Voluntary Vehicle Emissions Inspection Program (1/18/80)
III-5 Approach to Evaluating an Alaska I/M Program (1/18/80)
III-6 Appendices to the Anchorage Air Quality Plan (1/18/80)
III-7 Appendices to the Fairbanks Air Quality Plan (1/18/80)
IV-1 Summaries of Emission Inventories (1/18/80)
IV-2 D Permit to Operate for the Fairbanks Municipal Utilities System (1/18/80)
IV-3 Testing Procedures (1/18/80)
IV-4 ADEC Ambient Analysis Procedures (9/29/82)
V-1 Air Quality Data (1/18/80)
Section V: Air Episode Plan (4/21/72)

Note.—Volume I is a public information document which has not been submitted for inclusion in the SIP.

7. Section 52.96 is revised to read as follows:

§ 52.96 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The State of Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Air Quality Control Regulations (specifically 18 AAC 50.020, 50.021, 50.300, 50.400, 50.510, 50.520, 50.530, 50.600, 50.620, and 50.900) and the State air quality control plan (specifically Section I.B. *Air Quality Control Regions*, Section I. C. *Attainment/Nonattainment Designations*, Section IV. G. *New Source Review and Approval*, and Appendix IV-4 *ADEC Ambient Analysis Procedures*) are approved as meeting the requirements of Part C for preventing significant deterioration of air quality.

(b) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met for Indian reservations since the plan does not include approvable procedures for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality on Indian reservations and, therefore, the provisions of 52.21 (b) through (w) are hereby incorporated and made part of the applicable reservation in the State of Alaska.

[FR Doc. 83-18022 Filed 7-1-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

---

40 CFR Part 52

[A-5-FRL 2342-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Michigan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rulemaking.

SUMMARY: EPA announces final rulemaking on revisions to the Michigan State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions approve Consent Orders No. 11-1982 and 12-1982 for the General Motors (GM) Corporation, Fisher Body Division, Fleetwood Plant and the Cadillac Motor Car Division, respectively. These Consent Orders provide detailed compliance schedules for surface coating operations which extend the final compliance deadlines until December 31, 1987. These facilities are located in the City of Detroit, which has an approved attainment date extension of December 31, 1987, for ozone nonattainment areas.

DATE: This action will be effective September 6, 1983 unless notice is received within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments.

ADDRESSES: Copies of these SIP revisions and other materials relating to this rulemaking are available for inspection at the following address:

The Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street, N.W., Room 8401, Washington, D.C. 20408

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air Programs Branch, Region V, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604

Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Air Quality Division, State Secondary Government Complex, General Office Building, 7150 Harris Drive, Lansing, Michigan 48910

Written comments should be sent to: Gary Gulezian, Chief, Regulatory Analysis Section, Air Programs Branch, Region V, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Toni Lesser, Regulatory Analysis Section, Air Programs Branch, Region V, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6037.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 8, 1982, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) submitted Consent Orders for GM Corporation's Fisher Body Division, Fleetwood Plant (No. 11-1982), and the Cadillac Motor Car Division (No. 12-1982) as revisions to the Michigan SIP. These Consent Orders provide detailed compliance schedules for surface coating operations which extend the final compliance deadline until December 31, 1987. On March 10, 1983, MDNR submitted additional information clarifying elements of Consent Orders No. 11-1982 and 12-1982.

The GM Corporation's Fisher Body Division, Fleetwood Plant and the Cadillac Motor Car Division facilities are located in the City of Detroit, Wayne County, which is designated non-attainment for ozone. Michigan's 1979 attainment plan for the ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), under the provisions of Section 172(a)(2) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), Part D, extends the final attainment date for the Detroit nonattainment area until December 31, 1987.

Michigan's Rule 336.1603 requires individual sources to submit compliance schedules containing specific increments of progress, for achieving compliance with volatile organic compound (VOC) emission limits in Part 6 of the Commission's Rules. On May 6, 1980, (45 FR 29790) EPA conditionally approved R336.1603. The condition required that the State submit the individual compliance schedules to EPA as revisions to the SIP, in order that the SIP conform to the requirements of 40 CFR 51.1(Q) and 51.15. Michigan's Rule 336.1610 contains interim and final VOC

HeinOnline -- 48 Fed. Reg. 30626 (1983)

emission limits for various automobile plant coating operations.

On October 20, 1981, EPA published a Policy Statement (46 FR 51386) to reduce the regulatory burden on the automotive industry. The policy established criteria whereby States would defer certain compliance dates for surface coating operations at automotive and light truck assembly plants. The Michigan SIP revision complies with this policy statement.

Michigan's Consent Orders for GM's Fisher Body Division, Fleetwood Plant (No. 11–1982) and the Cadillac Motor Car Division (No. 12–1982) contain compliance schedules for prime operations, primer-surfacer operations, topcoat operations, and final repair operations.

Consent Order No. 11–1982 provides for the following schedule and emission limitations:

Prime Operations: After December 31, 1982, VOC emissions shall not exceed 1.43 pounds per gallon of applied coating solids.

Primer Surfacer Operations: After December 31, 1982, VOC emissions shall not exceed 14.9 pounds per gallon of applied coating solids.

Topcoat Operations: Until December 31, 1987, VOC emissions shall not exceed 85.3 pounds per gallon of applied coating solids. After December 31, 1987, VOC emissions shall not exceed 14.9 pounds per gallon of applied coating solids.

Final Repair Operations: Until December 31, 1987, VOC emissions shall not exceed 135 pounds per gallon of applied coating solids. After December 31, 1987, VOC emissions shall not exceed 34.3 pounds per gallon of applied coating solids.

Consent Order No. 12–1982 provides for the following schedule and emission limitations:

Prime Operations: Until December 31, 1987, VOC emissions shall not exceed 27.5 pounds per gallon of applied coating solids. After December 31, 1987, VOC emissions shall not exceed 1.43 pounds per gallon of applied coating solids.

Primer Surfacer Operations: After December 31, 1982, VOC emissions shall not exceed 14.9 pounds per gallon of applied coating solids.

Topcoat Operations: Until December 31, 1987, VOC emissions shall not exceed 85.3 pounds per gallon of applied coating solids. After December 31, 1987, VOC emissions shall not exceed 14.9 pounds per gallon of applied coating solids.

Final Repair Operations: Until December 31, 1987, VOC emissions shall not exceed 135 pounds per gallon of applied coating solids. After December 31, 1987, VOC emissions shall not exceed 34.3 pounds per gallon of applied coating solids.

The final compliance date of December 31, 1987, contained in consent orders No. 11–1982 and 12–1982 will not interfere with the approved attainment date extension of December 31, 1987, for the demonstration of attainment in the NAAQS in the Detroit area. In addition, these consent orders, based upon Michigan's 1979 ozone attainment plan, will not interfere with reasonable further progress (RFP) since the growth cushion for 1982 is 20,000 tons per year and 23,000 tons per year for 1983. The compliance date extensions will use 1484 tons per year of the 1982 growth cushion and 2311 tons per year of the 1983 growth cushion. The Detroit growth cushion increases at the rate of about 3,000 tons per year until 1987, at which time it reaches about 34,000 tons per year.

In summary, EPA has reviewed Consent Orders No. 11–1982 and 12–1982 for the GM facilities described above (EPA Technical Support Documents: October 21, 1982 and March 16, 1983), and finds the December 31, 1987, date extension to be consistent with the October 20, 1981, policy statement. EPA believes these extensions will ultimately result in more cost-effective compliance and can be implemented without jeopardizing the attainment and maintenance of the ozone NAAQS by the granted extension attainment date of December 31, 1987. EPA also believes these consent orders assure continued compliance with the requirements of Sections 110 and 172 of the Clean Air Act. For these reasons, EPA approves these consent orders as revisions to the Michigan VOC SIP.

Because EPA considers today's action noncontroversial and routine, we are approving it today without prior proposal. This action will become effective on September 6, 1983. However, if we receive notice by August 4, 1983, that someone wishes to submit critical comments, then EPA will publish: (1) A notice that withdraws this action, and (2) a notice that begins a new rulemaking by proposing the action and establishing a comment period.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Under 5 U.S.C. Section 605(b), I certify that SIP approvals do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Under Section 307(b)(1) of the Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by 60 days from today. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See Section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subject in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Ozone, Sulfur oxides, Nitrogen dioxides, Lead, Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations.

Note.—Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of Michigan was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1982.

This notice is issued under authority of Section 110 of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7410).

Dated: June 28, 1983.

William D. Ruckelshaus,

Administrator.

Part 52 of Chapter 1, Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Subpart X—Michigan

1. Section 52.1170 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(69) and (70) as follows:

§ 52.1170 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(69) On September 8, 1982, the State of Michigan submitted as a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision Consent Order No. 11–1982, between the General Motors Fisher Body Division, Fleetwood Plant and the Michigan Air Pollution Control Commission. On March 10, 1983, the State submitted additional information for this SIP revision. The Consent Order establishes a Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) emissions compliance schedule as required under Michigan's Rule 336.1603 and 336.1610, and extends the final compliance date for surface coating operations until December 31, 1987.

(70) On September 8, 1982, the State of Michigan submitted as a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision Consent Order No. 12–1982, between the General Motors, Cadillac Motors Car Division and the Michigan Air Pollution Control Commission. On March 10, 1983, the State submitted additional information for this SIP revision. The Consent Order establishes a Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) emission compliance schedule as required under Michigan's Rule 336.1603 and R336.1610, and extends the compliance date for

HeinOnline -- 48 Fed. Reg. 30627 (1983)

surface coating operations until December 31, 1987.

[FR Doc. 83-18015 Filed 7-1-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 52

[A-5-FRL 2291-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Ohio

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The EPA announces today final rulemaking on a revision to the ozone portion of the Ohio State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) for Uniroyal Plastic Products in Ottawa County, Ohio. The revision will provide for an alternative emission control program (bubble) and an extended compliance schedule for eight vinyl coating lines. This revision allows Uniroyal Plastic Products additional time to convert to waterborne inks and coatings.

EPA's action is based upon a revision which was submitted by the State to satisfy the requirements of part D of the Clean Air Act (Act).

EFFECTIVE DATE: This action is effective September 6, 1983 unless notice is received within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments.

ADDRESSES: Copies of this revision to the Ohio SIP are available for inspection at: The Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street, N.W., Room 8401, Washington, D.C. 20408

Copies of the SIP revision, and other materials relating to this rulemaking are available for inspection at the following addresses: (It is recommended that you telephone Uylaine McMahan, at (312) 353-0396 before visiting the Region V Office.)

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Programs Branch, Region V, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604

Environmental Protection Agency, Public Information Reference Unit, 401 M Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Pollution Control, 361 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43216

Written comments should be sent to: Gary Gulezian, Chief, Regulatory Analysis Section, Air Programs Branch, Region V, Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Uylaine McMahan; (312) 353-0396.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 7, 1982 (47 FR 15076), EPA issued a proposed Emissions Trading Policy Statement which sets forth general principles for the creation, banking and use of emission reduction credits. This statement indicated that it is the policy of EPA to encourage use of emissions trades to achieve more flexible, rapid and efficient attainment of national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). It describes emissions trading, sets out general principles EPA will use to evaluate emissions trades under the Act, and expands opportunities for States and industry to use these less costly control approaches. The April 7, 1982, notice noted that, until EPA takes final action on its policy statement, State actions involving emission trades would be evaluated under the provisions set forth in the proposed statement. On August 26, 1982, the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) submitted a revision to its ozone SIP for Uniroyal Plastic Products. The SIP will provide for an alternative emission control program (bubble) and an alternative compliance schedule. The August 26, 1982, SIP revision is in the form of a variance for eight vinyl coating lines located at the Uniroyal Plastic Products facility in Ottawa County, Ohio. Under the existing Federally approved SIP, each vinyl coating line is subject to the control requirements contained in Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) Rule 3745-21-09(H), and to the compliance schedule in OAC Rule 3745-21-04(C)(7). EPA approved these rules as meeting the Clean Air Act Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) requirements on June 29, 1982.

In lieu of limitations contained in the SIP, the State is proposing a bubble for eight vinyl coating lines. Under the proposed bubble, Uniroyal Plastics will convert to waterborne inks and coatings to achieve an emission limitation of 4.8 lbs of VOC per gallon (gal) of coating and ink employed, excluding water. Compliance with this limitation will be determined on a monthly basis and will result in a 68 percent VOC reduction from the uncontrolled emission levels. In addition, the eight vinyl coating lines are also limited to a daily maximum of 1170 lbs of VOC emissions, based upon VOC emissions at the rate of 4.8 lb VOC/gal of coating for production at an average daily rate in 1978. The 68 percent emission reduction attributable to the alternative control program is equivalent to the reduction under OAC Rule 3745-21-09(H) of the existing Federally approved SIP limitations.

The variance contains a compliance schedule which includes legally enforceable increments of progress toward compliance. To ensure reasonable progress in achieving the allowable emission limitations, Uniroyal Plastic Products is required to meet specific goals or requirements on the total percentage (by volume) of waterborne coatings and inks, that must be used in the eight vinyl coating lines during calendar years 1982, 1983, 1984, and 1985. The requirements (in percent by volume of all coatings employed) are 10 percent for all topcoatings by July 1, 1982; 50 percent for top coatings and 25 percent of all inks by July 1, 1983; 60 percent of all top coatings and 35 percent of all inks, by July 1, 1984; and 70 percent of top coatings and 75 percent of inks by July 1, 1985; Uniroyal Plastics is required to submit a report to the State after each calendar year which demonstrates compliance with these minimum usage levels. If Uniroyal Plastics cannot achieve compliance with the emission limitations by July 1, 1985, solely through the use of waterborne coatings and inks, Uniroyal Plastics is required to proceed with the installation of carbon adsorption or incineration for VOC emissions controls, according to a schedule contained in the variance, to achieve final compliance by October 1, 1987.

Uniroyal Plastic Products has demonstrated that it will be very costly to install carbon adsorption or incineration systems to control the VOC emissions on the eight vinyl coating lines. Currently, Uniroyal Plastic Product's waterborne coatings and inks, which will be used to comply with the proposed bubble, are still under development and are not available for full production. Because of the costly installation of carbon adsorption or incineration systems, Uniroyal Plastic Products is requesting this alternative emissions control program and compliance date extension.

The Uniroyal Plastic Products facility is located in Ottawa County, Ohio, a rural nonattainment area for ozone. Ohio's ozone SIP for this county was approved on October 31, 1980 (45 FR 72122). According to the approved ozone SIP, it is assumed that Ottawa County will attain the ozone NAAQS by December 31, 1982. This is based upon EPA's belief that control of sources in major urbanized areas will result in attainment of the ozone standard in downwind rural nonattainment areas.

EPA has determined that the bubble is consistent with EPA's proposed Emission Trading Policy Statement. The bubble consists of enforceable emission

HeinOnline -- 48 Fed. Reg. 30628 (1983)