

Size limit

Minimum: 3 1/2" x 5 1/2".
 Maximum greatest length 36 inches.
 Greatest length and girth combined: 78 inches.

Weight Limit

44 lbs. (20 kg.)

Service Standard From International Exchange Office

See Table 7-2.

POSTAGE RATES
 [Custom Designed Service ¹]

Weight not over (pounds)	Rate
1	\$26.00
2	27.30
3	28.60
4	29.90
5	31.20
6	32.50
7	33.80
8	35.10
9	36.40
10	37.70
11	39.00
12	40.30
13	41.60
14	42.90
16	44.20
16	45.50
17	46.80
18	48.10
19	49.40
20	50.70
21	52.00
22	53.30
23	54.60
24	55.90
25	57.20
26	58.50
27	59.80
28	61.10
29	62.40
30	63.70
31	65.00
32	66.30
33	67.60
34	68.90
35	70.20
36	71.50
37	72.80
38	74.10
39	75.40
40	76.70
41	78.00
42	79.30
43	80.60
44	81.90

¹Rates in this table are applicable to each piece of International Custom Designed Express Mail shipped under a Service Agreement providing for tender by the customer at a designated Post Office.

An appropriate amendment to 39 CFR 10.3 to reflect these changes will be published when the final rule is adopted.

(39 U.S.C. 401, 404, 407)

W. Allen Sanders,

Associate General Counsel, Office of General Law and Administration.

[FR Doc. 82-17479 Filed 6-28-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[A-5-FRL-2115-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan: Michigan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: On May 6, 1980, (45 FR 29790) and on May 22, 1981 (46 FR 27923), EPA conditionally approved Michigan's total suspended particulate (TSP) State Implementation Plan (SIP), on the condition that the State adopt and submit final industrial fugitive dust regulations representing reasonable available control technology (RACT).

On March 6, 1981, the State of Michigan submitted the general rules for fugitive dust control as a revision to the Michigan SIP. On January 25, 1982, and May 3, 1982, the State of Michigan submitted additional information outlining the general criteria for selection of the sources required to implement a fugitive dust control program. This submittal, along with the additional information, satisfies the State's commitment to submit industrial fugitive dust regulations that represent RACT for industrial fugitive dust sources. The purpose of today's action is to propose rulemaking action and to solicit public comment on this revision.

ADDRESSES: Copies of this SIP revision are available for review at the following addresses:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air Programs Branch, Region V, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Air Quality Division, State Secondary Government Complex, General Office Building, 7150 Harris Drive, Lansing, Michigan 48917.

Written comments on this action should be sent to: Gary Gulezian, Chief, Regulatory Analysis Section, Air Programs Branch, Region V, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Toni Lesser, Regulatory Analysis Section, Air Programs Branch, Region V, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-8037.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 6, 1980, (45 FR 29793) EPA conditionally approved Michigan's particulate plan for those primary and secondary

nonattainment areas which did not include iron and steel sources. One of the conditions upon which this plan was approved was the condition that the State would adopt final industrial fugitive dust regulations that represent RACT for traditional sources and submit the finally effective regulations to EPA. In addition, on May 22, 1981, (46 FR 27923) EPA approved Michigan Part D plan for those primary and secondary nonattainment areas which did not include iron and steel sources.

In the September 4, 1980, Federal Register (45 FR 58527) EPA announced approval of Michigan's schedule of deadlines for remedying identified deficiencies. That notice, indicated the commitment by the State to a schedule for the adoption of industrial fugitive dust regulations that represent RACT for traditional sources. The schedule called for the State to submit the regulations to EPA by January 1981.

On March 6, 1981, the State of Michigan submitted as a SIP revision general rules for fugitive dust control. These rules were approved by the Michigan Air Pollution Control Commission (Commission) on January 20, 1981, and became effective at the State level on February 17, 1981. The following elements are contained in the fugitive dust control rules under: Part 1—General Provisions, which include the definitions of fugitive dust, numbered R336.1106; and Part 3—Emission limitations and Prohibitions—Particulate Matter, numbered R336.1370, R336.1371, and R336.1372, which includes collected air contaminants, fugitive dust control programs, required activities and typical control methods. These rules are structured such that the Commission has the authority to request a fugitive dust control program from a company located in a nonattainment area, provided that sufficient reasons are specified in the program request. Generally, these rules are applicable to non-stack sources located in nonattainment areas and also to non-stack sources which contribute to excessive ambient TSP levels or cause substantive complaints.

Fugitive dust control programs are generally required from fugitive dust sources within 6 months after notification by the Commission. These fugitive dust control programs require identification of the control technologies, methods, or control equipment, if any, to be implemented or installed and the schedule, including increments of progress, for implementation or installation. For sources in nonattainment areas, final control program implementation is

required by December 31, 1982. These control programs are subject to review and approval by the Commission, which can only approve a control program upon the entry of a legally enforceable order or an approved permit to install or operate. If an adequate program is not submitted, the Commission may proceed toward the entry of a final order which contains a provision for the submittal of an acceptable control program.

Fugitive dust control programs are required for the following sources:

- (1) The loading or unloading of open storage piles of bulk materials,
- (2) Outdoor transporting of bulk materials,
- (3) Outdoor conveying,
- (4) Roads and lots,
- (5) Inactive storage piles,
- (6) Building ventilation, and
- (7) Construction, renovation, or demolition.

In addition, the rules for fugitive dust specify typical control methods that can be used for controlling the sources listed above. The list of control methods is sufficiently comprehensive so as to allow an adequate and flexible control program. The listing of appropriate control methods within these rules is very comprehensive. However, in the event a source's control program includes an alternative control method not specifically listed, then that control plan must be submitted to EPA for approval as a source specific SIP revision.

Rule 336.1372(7) contains provisions which apply to fugitive dust emissions from building openings and is generally acceptable. Enforceable emission limitations, with an appropriate test method are required for process fugitive sources subject to this rule. On March 7, 1980, the State of Michigan submitted a letter to EPA confirming the use of general opacity rules 336.1301-1303, for both stack and non-stack sources and for both continuous and intermittent discharges. The 3 minutes per hour of emissions which are allowed between 20 percent and 40 percent opacity are evaluated as an aggregate. That is, if 13 or more instantaneous 15 second readings indicate opacity between 20 percent and 40 percent, it is considered a violation. On May 22, 1981, EPA approved Michigan's letter of March 7, 1980, as part of the federally approved Michigan SIP (46 FR 27923).

Since the applicability portion of the rules for fugitive dust is not specific enough to determine exactly what sources will be covered, the State of Michigan, on January 25, 1982, submitted a method for selecting sources required to implement a fugitive dust control program. Following are the general

criteria to be used by Michigan to document whether a particular fugitive dust source has sufficient ambient impact as to warrant a fugitive dust control program:

1. The company's history of complaints related to fugitive dust emissions.
2. The company's history of violations of opacity regulations.
3. Whether the fugitive dust sources of concern, within the company, exceed the exemption limits specified in Michigan Rule 372.
4. Whether there exists evidence that the company has either not adhered to a required fugitive dust control program or that a fugitive dust control program currently in effect is not adequate.
5. Where there exists evidence of a fugitive dust problem based on photographs or samples taken in the vicinity of a company.
6. Where there exists evidence of a fugitive dust problem based on the ongoing studies (emission inventories, dispersion modeling, receptor modeling, etc.) of the sources impacting on the nonattainment area.

The criteria listed above will be evaluated for all companies with potentially significant fugitive dust problems that are located in or near the primary non-attainment area. A summary of this analysis will be submitted to EPA Region V. In addition, on May 3, 1982, the State of Michigan submitted a letter listing ten sources with fugitive dust control program, which represent a substantial portion of the Wayne County nonattainment area. EPA has reviewed this revision and believes that Michigan's General Rules and criteria for fugitive dust control satisfy the State's commitment for adopting industrial fugitive regulations that represent RACT for traditional sources. EPA's review of these regulations is discussed in its technical support documents of June 4, 1981, and March 24, 1982. EPA, therefore, proposes to approve the March 6, 1981, and January 25, 1982, submittals concerning the general rules for fugitive dust control as a revision to the Michigan SIP.

A 30-day public comment period is being provided on this notice of proposed rulemaking. Public comment received on or before July 29, 1982 will be considered in EPA's final rulemaking. When possible, comments should be submitted in triplicate. All comments will be available for inspection during normal business hours at the Region V Office listed at the beginning of this notice. Please call the contact person listed at the beginning of this notice, before visiting the Region V Office.

Pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. Section 605(b), the Administrator certified on January 27, 1981 (46 FR 8709) that approvals or conditional approvals of SIPs under Section 110 and 172 of the Clean Air Act and revisions of attainment status designations under Section 107(d) would not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Today's action approves an action submitted pursuant to the provisions of Section 110 of the Act. It imposes no new requirements beyond those which the State has already imposed.

Under Executive Order 12291, (46 FR 134139) USEPA must also judge whether a regulation is "Major" and, therefore, subject to the requirements of a Regulatory Impact Analysis. Today's action does not constitute a major regulation since it proposes to approve provisions which the State adopted and submitted to EPA as part of their conditional approval commitment.

EPA is not imposing any requirements which are different from those already required by the State. This regulation was exempt from the Office of Management and Budget under 12291.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air Pollution control, Ozone, Sulfur oxides, Nitrogen dioxide, Lead, Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons.

(This proposed rulemaking is issued pursuant to the authority of Section 110 of the Clean Air Act.)

Dated: April 20, 1982.

Valdas V. Adamkus,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc 82-17534 Filed 6-28-82; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6550-50-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 87

[PR Docket No. 82-319; FCC 82-260]

Amendment of the Commission's Rules To Remove Unnecessary Reporting and Record Keeping Requirements

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposal rule.

SUMMARY: This Notice proposes to eliminate certain reporting and record keeping requirements in the Aviation Services. These proposals result from the FCC's program to reduce paperwork requirements. The proposed