monitoring data to determine the attainment status of an area with ambient air quality standards, this term was defined as a "reference method, an equivalent method, or any other method determined by the Commissioner." This definition does not insure that the Commissioner would only choose EPA-approved monitoring methods.

Therefore, in the FRN cited above, EPA took "No Action" on the definition of the term "Acceptable Method" and codified its "No Action" at 40 CFR 52.380.

On May 16, 1985, the Commissioner of the Connecticut DEP submitted a letter to EPA certifying that the definition of "Acceptable Method" shall be interpreted to mean that any monitoring method used to collect ambient air pollution data in order to evaluate or designate attainment status must also be approved by EPA.

EPA is approving the definition of "Acceptable Method" found at 22a-174-24(a)(4) and incorporating it by reference into the Connecticut SIP. EPA is also approving the letter certifying that only EPA-approved monitoring methods may be used to evaluate and designate attainment status as part of the SIP.

EPA is approving this SIP revision without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. This action will be effective 60 days from the date of this **Federal Register** unless, within 30 days of its publication, notice is received that adverse or critical comments will be submitted. If such notice is received, this action will be withdrawn before the effective date by publishing two subsequent notices. One notice will withdraw the final action and another will begin a new rulemaking by announcing a proposal of the action and establishing a comment period. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this action will be effective February 11, 1986.

Final Action

EPA is approving Regulation 22a-174-24(a)(4) "Acceptable Method," submitted December 15, 1980 and the letter certifying that only EPA-approved monitoring methods may be used for attainment status evaluation or designation.

Under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b), I certify that this SIP revision will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (see 46 FR 8709).

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by February 11, 1986. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see 307(b)(2)).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Ozone, Sulfur oxides, Nitrogen dioxide, Lead, Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Note.—Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of Connecticut was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1982

Dated December 9, 1985.

Lee M. Thomas,
Administrator.

PART 52—[AMENDED]

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart H—Connecticut

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7642.

2. Section 52.370 is revised by adding paragraph (c)(35) as set forth below:

§ 52.370 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(35) Revisions to the State Implementation Plan were submitted December 15, 1980 and May 16, 1985 by the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Protection.

(i) Incorporation by reference:

(A) Amendments to Department of Environmental Protection Regulation 19-508-24(a)(4), "Acceptable Method" adopted by the State on October 8, 1980.

(ii) Additional Material:

(A) A letter dated May 16, 1985 certifying that an "Acceptable Method" shall be interpreted to mean that any monitoring method used to collect ambient air pollution data used for attainment status evaluation or designation must be approved by EPA.

§ 52.380 [Amended]

3. Section 52.380 is amended by removing paragraph (d)(11).

[FR Doc. 85-29563 Filed 12-12-85; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 52

[A-5-FRL-2938-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Michigan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Final rulemaking.

SUMMARY: Todays' action announces final rulemaking approving a revision to the Michigan State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) as it applies to the General Motors Corporation (GMC) Central Foundry Division's Saginaw Malleable Iron Plant in Saginaw County. This SIP revision consists of a State Consent Order No. APC. 08-1983, which revises a previously issued federally approved State Consent Order (APC 06-1980) for the facility. Consent Order APC 08-1983, revises control requirements applicable to six oil quench facilities at the plant. Specifically, the Order provides for equivalent visible emission limits (EVEL) for the direct oil quench (DOQ) units as well as the Harden, Quench, and Draw (HQD) units.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 13, 1986.

ADDRESSES: Copies of this revision to the Michigan SIP are available for inspection at: The Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 8401, Washington, DC 20408.

Copies of the SIP revision, public comments on the notice of proposed rulemaking and other materials relating to this rulemaking are available for inspection at the following addresses: (It is recommended that you telephone Ms. Toni Lesser, at (312) 886-6037, before visiting the Region V office).

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region V, Air and Radiation Branch (5AR-26), 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60601

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Public Information Reference Unit, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460

Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Air Quality Division, State Secondary Government Complex, General Office Building, 7150 Harris Drive, Lansing, Michigan 48821.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Toni Lesser, (312) 886-6037.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 27, 1983, the State of Michigan submitted Consent Order No. 08-1983 for the GMC Central Foundry Division's Saginaw Malleable Iron Plant, as a revision to the Michigan SIP for TSP. The Central Foundry Division operates the plant in Saginaw County, which is located in a secondary TSP nonattainment area.

HeinOnline -- 50 Fed. Reg. 50907 (1985)

Consent Order No. 08–1983 amends control strategy provisions of a previous State Consent Order and alteration thereto (APC No. 06–1980), submitted to USEPA on November 18, 1982, and approved on August 15, 1983 (49 FR 36818). Specifically, Consent Order No. 08–1983 relaxes opacity requirements for the six oil quench facilities at the plant.

On July 18, 1984 (49 FR 29108), USEPA proposed to disapprove Consent Order No. 08–1983 for the Saginaw Malleable Iron Plant, citing as major deficiencies: (1) The Order appeared to allow a relaxation of mass particulate limits and there was no demonstration that attainment and maintenance of the National Ambient Quality Standards (NAAQS) for particulates in Saginaw County would not be jeopardized by the relaxation, and (2) the lack of concurrent mass/opacity tests to support the approval of alternative opacity limits. During the 30-day public comment period, USEPA received comments from the State and General Motors which clarified that Consent Order No. 08–1983 did not allow a relaxation of the mass particulate limits established in the previous consent order.

On March 18, 1985 (52 FR 10794), USEPA reproposed to approve the State of Michigan's SIP for TSP as its applies to GMC Central Foundry Division's Saginaw Malleable Iron Plant. As a condition in reproposing to approve the General Motors site-specific SIP revision, USEPA required the State to perform additional opacity measurements on the DOQ units and the HQD units to demonstrate that the proposed alternate opacity limits do not permit mass emissions in excess of the applicable mass particulate limits. USEPA specified that the opacity readings be conducted in accordance with USEPA Method 9 (40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A) and that operating parameters of the quench units during the readings must duplicate the conditions during the previously conducted mass emission test showing compliance with the mass limits. The opacity data obtained from these observations was to be submitted to USEPA on or before the end of the public comment period. This information was necessary to complete evaluation of the approvability of the proposed alternate opacity limits.

No public comments were received by USEPA on its reproposal to approve this SIP revision. USEPA did receive the results of the opacity observations conducted by the State of Michigan on the oil quench units, including records of operating parameters when the opacity readings were taken. USEPA reviewed this data and has concluded that the proposed alternate opacity limits for the quench units correspond to compliance with the applicable mass particulate limits.

Therefore, USEPA is today taking final rulemaking action to approve Consent Order No. 08–1983 for the Saginaw Malleable Iron Plant. This Consent Order does not relax the mass emission limits for the quench units established in Consent Order No. 06–1980 that USEPA previously approved and continues to rely on as meeting the requirement for reasonably available control technology (RACT) in nonattainment areas. 48 FR 36818 (August 15, 1983). The Consent Order does not establish alternate opacity limits for the quench units less stringent than the limit in Michigan's Rule 336.1301 (the currently applicable SIP limit), but these will be adequate to ensure that the RACT-level control system is properly operated and maintained on the affected units. General Motors Corporation has demonstrated that these alternate limits correspond to compliance with the mass emission limits.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Under Section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by 60 days from the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register.** This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. [See Section 307(b)(2)].

Lists of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Particulate matter, Intergovernmental relations.

Note.—Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan of Michigan was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1982.

Dated: December 9, 1985.

Lee M. Thomas,

Administrator.

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Subpart X—Michigan

Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter I, part 52 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7642.

2. Section 52.1170 is revised by adding new paragraph (c)(80) as follows.

§ 52.1170 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(80) On July 27, 1983, the State of Michigan submitted Consent Order No. 08–1983 for the General Motors Corporation Central Foundry Division's Saginaw Malleable Iron Plant, as a revision to the Michigan State Implementation Plan for Total Suspended Particulates. Consent order No. 08–1983 amends control strategy provisions of federally approved (November 18, 1982 and August 15, 1983) Consent Order No. 06–1980 and its alteration.

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) Stipulation for Entry of Consent Order and Final Order No. 08–1983 for the General Motors Corporation Central Foundry Division's Saginaw Malleable Iron Plant amending Control Strategy Provisions issued June 9, 1983.

[FR Doc. 85–29560 Filed 12–12–85; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

---

40 CFR Part 52

[A-5-FRL-2938-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Illinois

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final determination.

SUMMARY: USEPA announces final disapproval of a proposed revision to the Illinois State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Total Suspended Particulates. This proposed SIP revision, if finally approved, would have granted Sours Grain Company relief from Illinois Pollution Control Board (IPCB) Rules 203(d)(8)(B)(ii)(a) and 203(d)(8)(B)(iv) for particulate emissions from the truck dumping pit and watercraft loading spouts until November 8, 1985. This rulemaking also addresses the public comments received in response to USEPA's April 4, 1985, proposed rulemaking (50 FR 13390) and USEPA's May 28, 1985, proposed rulemaking; correction and extension of the public comment period (50 FR 21629).

EFFECTIVE DATE: This final rulemaking becomes effective on January 13, 1986.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the SIP revision, public comments on the notice of proposed rulemaking and other materials relating to this rulemaking are available for inspection at the following addresses: (It is recommended that you

HeinOnline -- 50 Fed. Reg. 50908 (1985)