

# ***Statement of Basis***

for the DRAFT CAAPP Permit for:

**Source Name:**

**Rexam Beverage Can Company**

Statement of Basis No.: 95120133-1312

I.D. No.: 031600BRL

Permit No.: 95120133

Date Prepared: December 24, 2013

Permitting Authority:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency  
Bureau of Air, Permit Section  
217/785-1705

This Statement of Basis is being provided to USEPA and any interested parties as required by Section 39.5(8)(b) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act.

## Table of Contents

### PREFACE

### INTRODUCTION

### CHAPTER I - LEGAL BASIS FOR THE PERMIT AND PERMIT CONDITIONS

- 1.1 Legal Basis for Program
- 1.2 Legal Basis for Issuance of CAAPP Permit
  - a. Application Status
  - b. Present Compliance Status
  - c. Payment of Fees
  - d. Additional Information Status
- 1.3 Legal Basis for Conditions in the CAAPP Permit
  - a. Applicable Federal Regulations
  - b. Applicable SIP Regulations
  - c. Other Applicable Requirements

### CHAPTER II - FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE PERMIT AND PERMIT CONDITIONS

- 2.1 Source History
- 2.2 Source Description
- 2.3 Single Source Status
- 2.4 Ambient Air Quality Status
- 2.5 Source Status
- 2.6 Annual Emissions
- 2.7 Fee Schedule
- 2.8 SIP Permit Facts

### CHAPTER III - SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE PERMIT AND CONDITIONS

- 3.1 Environmental Justice Discussions
- 3.2 Emission Testing Results
- 3.3 Compliance Reports
- 3.4 Field Inspection Results
- 3.5 Historical Non-Compliance
- 3.6 Source Wide Justifications and Rationale
- 3.7 Emission Unit Justifications and Rationale
  - a. Can Coating/Printing Lines
  - b. Can Bodymakers and Washer/Dryer
  - c. Natural Gas Fired Boiler and Makeup Air Units
  - d. Natural Gas Fired Boiler (EU02)
- 3.8 Insignificant Activities Discussion
- 3.9 Prompt Reporting Discussion
- 3.10 Emissions Reduction Market System (ERMS)
- 3.11 Incorporation by Reference Discussion
- 3.12 Periodic Monitoring General Discussions

CHAPTER IV - DESCRIPTION OF THE CHANGES FROM PREVIOUSLY ISSUED CAAPP PERMITS

4.1 Major Changes Summary

4.2 Specific Permit Condition Changes

ENDNOTES

## **PREFACE**

### **Reason For This Document**

This document is a requirement of the permitting authority in accordance with 502(a) of the Clean Air Act, 40 CFR 70.7(a)(5), and Section 39.5(8)(b) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act. Section 39.5(8)(b) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act states the following:

“The Agency shall prepare a ..... statement that sets forth the legal and factual basis for the Draft CAAPP permit conditions, including references to the applicable statutory or regulatory provisions.”

### **Purpose Of This Document**

The purpose of this Statement of Basis is to provide discussion regarding the development of this Draft CAAPP Permit. This document would also provide the permitting authority, the public, the source, and the USEPA with the applicability and technical matters that form the basis of the Draft CAAPP Permit.

### **Summary Of Historical Actions Leading Up To Today's Permitting Action**

Since the last Significant Modification for the CAAPP Permit issued on June 28, 2004, the source has not been issued any modifications or amendments.

### **Limitations**

This Statement of Basis is not enforceable and only sets forth the legal and factual basis for the Draft CAAPP Permit Conditions (Chapters I and II). Chapter III contains supplemental material that would assist in educating interested parties about this source and the Draft CAAPP Permit. The Statement of Basis does not shield the source from enforcement actions or its responsibility to comply with existing or future applicable regulations. Nor does the Statement of Basis constitute a defense to a violation of the Federal Clean Air Act or the Illinois Environmental Protection Act including implementing regulations.

This document does not purport to establish policy or guidance.

## INTRODUCTION

The Clean Air Act Permit Program (CAAPP) is the operating permit program established in Illinois for major stationary sources as required by Title V of the federal Clean Air Act and Section 39.5 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act. The Title V Permit Program (CAAPP) is the primary mechanism to apply the various air pollution control requirements established by the Clean Air Act to major sources, defined in accordance with Title V of the Clean Air Act. The Draft CAAPP Permit contains conditions identifying the state and federal applicable requirements that apply to the source. The Draft CAAPP Permit also establishes the necessary monitoring and compliance demonstrations. The source must implement this monitoring to demonstrate that the source is operating in accordance with the applicable requirements of the permit. The Draft CAAPP Permit identifies all applicable requirements for the various emission units as well as establishes detailed provisions for testing, monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting to demonstrate compliance with the Clean Air Act. Further explanations of the specific provisions of the Draft CAAPP Permit are contained in the following Chapters of this Statement of Basis.

The Illinois EPA has focused in on key elements of the permit that relate to the requirements of the CAAPP Program:

- Emissions of:
  - VOM/HAP from the beverage can coating operation
  
- Emission units:
  - CAN COATING/PRINTING LINES
  - CAN BODYMAKERS AND WASHER/DRYER
  - NATURAL GAS FIRED BOILERS AND MAKEUP AIR UNITS
  
- The area surrounding Rexam Beverage Can Company has the potential for environmental justice ("EJ") concerns. Therefore, the Illinois EPA has taken a careful review of the monitoring in the DRAFT CAAPP permit and has provided for public input. Given the nature of the source to be a beverage can coating facility, the Draft CAAPP permit requires the following. A discussion of this monitoring can be found in Section 3.1 of this Statement of Basis.
  - Monitoring and testing of coating materials and associated application processes to ensure VOM/HAP emissions do not exceed limitations from 40 CFR 60 Subtitle WW - Standards of Performance for the Beverage Can Surface Coating Industry and any applicable constructions permits.
  - Periodic inspections/tune-ups of natural gas fired boilers and heaters to ensure burning process is stable/efficient and emissions are minimized.

In addition, the Illinois EPA has committed substantial resources and effort in the development of an acceptable Statement of Basis (this document) that would meet the expectations of USEPA, Region 5. As a result, this document contains discussions that address applicability determinations, periodic monitoring, streamlining, prompt reporting, and SSM authorizations (as necessary). These discussions involve, where necessary, a brief description and justification for

the resulting conditions and terms in this Draft CAAPP Permit. This document begins by discussing the legal basis for the contents of the Draft CAAPP Permit, moves into the factual description of the permit, and ends with supplemental information that has been provided to further assist with the understanding of the background and genesis of the permit content.

It is Illinois EPA's preliminary determination that this source's Permit Application meets the standards for issuance of a "Final" CAAPP Permit as stipulated in Section 39.5(10)(a) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (see Chapter I - Section 1.2 of this document). The Illinois EPA is therefore initiating the necessary procedural requirements to issue a Final CAAPP Permit. The Illinois EPA has posted the Draft CAAPP permit and this Statement of Basis on USEPA website:

<http://www.epa.gov/reg5oair/permits/ilonline.html>

## **CHAPTER I – LEGAL BASIS FOR THE PERMIT AND PERMIT CONDITIONS**

### **1.1 Legal Basis for Program**

The Illinois EPA's state operating permit program for major sources established to meet the requirements of 40 CFR Part 70 are found at Section 39.5 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act [415 ILCS 5/39.5]. The program is called the Clean Air Act Permitting Program (CAAPP). The underlying statutory authority is found in the Illinois Environmental Protection Act at 415 ILCS 5/39.5. The CAAPP was given final full approval by USEPA on December 4, 2001 (see 66 FR 62946).

### **1.2 Legal Basis for Issuance of CAAPP Permit**

In accordance with Section 39.5(10)(a) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act, the Illinois EPA may only issue a CAAPP Permit if all of the following standards for issuance have been met:

- The applicant has submitted a complete and certified application for a permit, permit modification, or permit renewal consistent with Sections 39.5(5) and (14) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act, as applicable, and applicable regulations (Section a. below);
- The applicant has submitted with its complete application an approvable compliance plan, including a schedule for achieving compliance, consistent with Section 39.5(5) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act and applicable regulations (Section b. below);
- The applicant has timely paid the fees required pursuant to Section 39.5(18) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act and applicable regulations (Section c. below); and
- The applicant has provided any additional information as requested by the Illinois EPA (Section d. below).

#### **a. Application Status**

The source submitted an application for a Renewal CAAPP Permit on August 12, 2008. The source is currently operating under an application shield resultant from a timely and complete renewal application submittal. This Draft CAAPP Permit addresses application content and necessary revisions to meet the requirements for issuance of the permit.

#### **b. Present Compliance Status**

At the time of this Draft CAAPP Permit, there were no pending State or Federal enforcement actions against the source; therefore, a Compliance Schedule is not required for this source. The source submitted an approvable Compliance Plan as part of its Certified Permit Application. The source has certified compliance with all applicable rules and regulations. In addition, the draft permit requires the source to certify its compliance status on an annual basis.

#### **c. Payment of Fees**

The source is current on payment of all fees associated with operation of the emission units.

**d. Additional Information Status**

The source provided all the necessary additional application material as requested by the Illinois EPA.

**1.3 Legal Basis for Conditions in the CAAPP Permit**

This industrial source is subject to a variety of Federal and SIP regulations, which are the legal basis for the conditions in this permit (see Sections a. and b. below). Also, the CAAPP provides the legal basis for additional requirements such as periodic monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping. The following list summarizes those regulations that form the legal basis for the conditions in this Draft CAAPP Permit and are provided in the permit itself as the origin and authority.

**a. Applicable Federal Regulations**

This source operates emission units that are subject to the following Federal regulations.

40 CFR Part 60 - Subpart A, General Provisions  
40 CFR Part 60 - Subpart WW, Standards of Performance for the Beverage Can Surface Coating Industry

**b. Applicable SIP Regulations**

This source operates emission units that are subject to the following SIP regulations:

35 IAC Part 201 - Permits And General Provisions  
35 IAC Part 205 - Emissions Reduction Market System  
35 IAC Part 212 - Visible And Particulate Matter Emissions  
35 IAC Part 214 - Sulfur Limitations  
35 IAC Part 216 - Carbon Monoxide Emissions  
35 IAC Part 217 - Nitrogen Oxides Emissions  
35 IAC Part 218 - Organic Material Emissions Standards And Limitations For The Chicago Area  
35 IAC Part 228 - Asbestos  
35 IAC Part 244 - Episodes  
35 IAC Part 254 - Annual Emissions Report

**c. Other Applicable Requirements**

There are no other applicable requirements for this source.

**CHAPTER II - FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE PERMIT AND PERMIT CONDITIONS**

**2.1 Source History**

There is no significant source history warranting discussion for this source.

**2.2 Description of Source**

SIC Code: 3411  
County: Cook

The source is a beverage can manufacture and coating facility. In addition, the source uses natural gas fired dryers and boilers as part of the manufacturing process and to heat the facility.

The source contains the following processes:

<i>Emission Units</i>	<i>Description</i>
Beverage Can Coating and Printing Lines	Coating lines, pin ovens and associated control equipment for application of coating material and inks to the inside and outside of beverage cans.
Beverage Can Bodymakers and Can Washer/Dryers	Equipment for the manufacturing and cleaning of beverage cans.
Natural Gas Fired Boilers and Makeup Air Units	Equipment to supply steam and heated air for the manufacturing process and to heat the facility.

**2.3 Single Source Status**

This source does not have any collocated facilities that would be considered a single source with this facility based on information found in the certified application.

**2.4 Ambient Air Quality Status for the Area**

The source is located in an area that is currently designated nonattainment for the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone and PM<sub>2.5</sub> and attainment or unclassifiable for all other criteria pollutants carbon monoxide, lead, nitrogen dioxide, PM<sub>10</sub>, and sulfur dioxide. (See 40 CFR Part 81 - Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes)

**2.5 Source Status**

The source requires a CAAPP permit because this source is considered major (based on its PTE) for the following regulated pollutants: volatile organic material (VOM).

The source also requires a CAAPP Permit because the source is subject to a standard, limitation, or other requirement under Section 111 (NSPS) or Section 112 (HAPs) of the CAA for which USEPA requires a CAAPP Permit, or because the source is in a source category designated by the USEPA. Specifically, this source is subject to the federal standards for performance for beverage can surface coating industry (40 CFR 60, Subpart WW).

This source is considered a natural minor for the following regulated pollutants: PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and/or hazardous air pollutant (HAP).

Based on available data, this source is not a major source of emissions for GHG, because the estimated potential emissions of GHG that are less than 100 ton per year (mass) and 100,000 tons per year (CO<sub>2</sub>e). Rexam Beverage Can Company submitted data in its application for which the Illinois EPA estimated the PTE of GHG emissions to be 51,438 tons per year. The emissions consist of 51,488 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>, 30 tons of N<sub>2</sub>O, and 20 tons of methane.

This source is not currently subject to any "applicable requirements," as defined by Section 39.5(1) of the Act, for emissions of greenhouse gases (GHG) as defined by 40 CFR 86.1818-12(a), as referenced by 40 CFR 52.21(b)(49)(i). There are no GHG-related requirements under the Illinois Environmental Protection Act, Illinois' State Implementation Plan, or the Clean Air Act that apply to this facility, including terms or conditions in a Construction Permit addressing emissions of GHG or BACT for emissions of GHG from a major project at this facility under the PSD rules. In particular, the USEPA's Mandatory Reporting Rule for GHG emissions, 40 CFR Part 98, does not constitute an "applicable requirement" because it was adopted under the authority of Sections 114(a)(1) and 208 of the Clean Air Act. This permit also does not relieve the Permittee from the legal obligation to comply with the relevant provisions of the Mandatory Reporting Rule for this facility.

## 2.6 Annual Emissions

The following table lists annual emissions (tons) of criteria pollutants for this source, as reported in the Annual Emission Reports (AER) sent to the Illinois EPA:

<i>Pollutant</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>2009</i>	<i>2010</i>	<i>2011</i>
CO	6.68	6.30	5.56	5.75	3.45
NO <sub>x</sub>	7.95	7.50	6.62	6.84	4.11
PM	6.81	4.62	3.77	4.69	0.31
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02
VOM	144.98	145.28	139.85	142.94	73.25
CO <sub>2E</sub> *	9593.99	9057.35	7997.62	8257.44	4960.81
HAP** (Total)	7.79	7.75	7.32	7.55	3.88

\* CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions based on reported emissions in AER of CO<sub>2</sub> with GWT of 1, Methane with GWT of 21 and N<sub>2</sub>O with GWT of 310.

\*\* Total for the Following HAPs as reported on AER: Ethyl Benzene, Formaldehyde, Glycol Ethers, Hydrogen Sulfide, Hydrogen Fluoride, Methanol and Xylenes

## 2.7 Fee Schedule

The following table lists the approved annual fee schedule (tons) submitted in the Source's permit application:

<i>Pollutant</i>		<i>Tons/Year</i>
Volatile Organic Material	(VOM)	249.5
Sulfur Dioxide	(SO <sub>2</sub> )	0.3
Particulate Matter	(PM)	45.4
Nitrogen Oxides	(NO <sub>x</sub> )	41.4
HAP, not included in VOM or	(HAP)	----
Total		336.6

## 2.8 SIP Permit Facts (T1 Limits)

CAAPP Permits must address all "applicable requirements," which includes the terms and conditions of preconstruction permits issued under regulations approved by USEPA in accordance with Title I of the CAA (See definition of applicable requirements in Section 39.5(1) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act). Preconstruction permits, commonly referred to in Illinois as Construction Permits, derive from the New Source Review ("NSR") permit programs required by Title I of the CAA. These programs include the two major NSR permit programs: (1) the Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") program<sup>1</sup> and (2) the nonattainment NSR program.<sup>2</sup> These programs also encompass state construction permit programs for projects that are not major.

In the CAAPP or Illinois's Title V permit program, the Illinois EPA's practice is to identify requirements that are carried over from an earlier Title I permit into a New or Renewed CAAPP Permit as "TI" conditions (i.e., Title I conditions). Title I Conditions that are revised as part of their incorporation into a CAAPP Permit are further designated as "TIR." Title I Conditions that are newly established through a CAAPP Permit are designated as "TIN." It is important that Title I Conditions be identified in a CAAPP Permit because these conditions will not expire when the CAAPP Permit expires. Because the underlying authority for Title I Conditions comes from Title I of the CAA and their initial establishment in Title I Permits, the effectiveness of T1 Conditions derives from Title I of the CAA rather than being linked to Title V of the A. For "changes" to be made to Title I Conditions, they must either cease to be applicable based on obvious circumstances, e.g., the subject emission unit is permanently shut down, or appropriate Title I procedures must be followed to change the conditions.

- Previously Incorporated Construction Permits:

<i>Permit No.</i>	<i>Date Issued</i>	<i>Subject</i>
02110035	02/21/2003	Addition of bodymaker and modification to Coating Line #1 and #2. Cleaning solvent limits for Coating line #1 and #2.
91030029	04/23/1991	Addition of two inside spray drying ovens
92030044	03/23/92	Addition of 5.2 mmBtu/hr boiler (EU01)
93090012	11/04/1993	Addition of 12 bodymakers
95050064	07/01/1998	Operating Permit for Can Coating Line #3. Included emission limits identified as [T1] in current Title V Permit for natural gas can washer dryer and natural gas water heating boiler (EU02)

<i>Permit No.</i>	<i>Date Issued</i>	<i>Subject</i>
99020010	02/08/99	Addition of oil mist collecting system (CD02) to control oil mist from the can body making process and improve air quality within the facility for working employees. NOTE: The oil mist collection was not necessary to comply with the process weight rate limitation for PM emissions

- Newly Issued Construction Permits:

<i>Permit No.</i>	<i>Date Issued</i>	<i>Subject</i>
02110035 Revised	09/18/2012	Modification of VOM usage limits for Coating line #1 and #2, at request of source, to provide flexibility in types of over-varnish coatings used.
05050080	12/20/2005	Addition of new basecoater line using new applicator and existing drying oven. Coating Line #2 applicator EU13 was replaced by Basecoating Line applicator EU52.
11040040	07/20/2011	Modification to existing can coating line #3 to add new machine to apply coating material to interior of 2-piece cans. Source wide limits for cleaning solvents were imposed.
13020014	02/25/2013	Modification to existing can coating line #1 to apply coating of interior of 2-piece cans.
13050017	07/30/2013	Replacement of existing natural gas fired boiler EU02 with slightly larger boiler.

- There are no newly issued Construction Permits for projects not yet constructed for this source.
- New Boiler EU02 was in the process of being installed under Construction Permit #13050017, while this Statement of Basis was being drafted. Installation should be complete prior to end of public notice phase for this permit. Therefore, upgraded equipment was considered to be in place in this draft permit.
- The Illinois EPA has not established any T1R or T1N Limits in this Draft CAAPP permit.
- Cleaning solvent usage limits in Construction Permit #02110035 for Coating Lines 1 and #2 and Construction Permit #95050064 for Coating Line #3 were superseded by source wide limits in Construction Permit #11040400.
- VOM usage limits in Construction Permit #02110035 for Coating lines #1 and #2 were superseded by VOM usage limits in Construction Permit #11320014.
- VOM usage and emission limits in Construction Permit #95050064 for Coating line #3 were superseded by VOM usage and emission limits in Constructions Permit #11040040.

- TIR in the previous Title V Permit Condition 7.1.5(c) modifying Construction Permit #91030029 are identified as a T1 condition in renewal to Title V in Condition 3.3(b)(i)(c).

## **Chapter III - Supplemental Discussions regarding the Permit**

The information provided in this Chapter of the Statement of Basis is being provided to assist interested parties in understanding what additional information may have been relied on to support this draft CAAPP permit.

### **3.1 Environmental Justice Discussions**

While the Illinois EPA is sensitive to the location of this facility in a potential EJ community, Title V does not provide for substantive emission control requirements beyond those arising under currently applicable regulations. Thus, when issuing a CAAPP Permit for this facility, the Illinois EPA does not have the authority to impose additional emission control requirements to reduce emissions beyond the levels provided for by applicable state and federal regulations. At the same time, CAAPP Permits do not allow for additional emissions.

Having a facility subject to a CAAPP Permit provides benefits for air quality, the public and the environment generally. CAAPP Permits require more reporting on a facility's compliance status than is required by underlying state operating permits. For example, the requirements for semi-annual reports for all monitoring and annual compliance certifications only become applicable upon the effectiveness of a CAAPP Permit. In addition, CAAPP Permits generally provide clarity and awareness of applicable regulations and the mechanisms by which sources must comply with these regulations. CAAPP Permits add to the compliance checks put on facilities. Where a facility has outstanding compliance deficiencies, CAAPP Permits may establish compliance schedules and other additional conditions for monitoring and reporting.

With this Statement of Basis, the Illinois EPA has made very clear the applicable emission limitations, standards, and other enforceable terms and conditions, as well as attendant monitoring, reporting, recordkeeping, and certifications to assure compliance. The Illinois EPA has provided an explanation of same, as well as a justification for why the conditions that assure compliance are appropriate. The level of detail in the Statement of Basis is atypically involved and is in recognition of the public interest in the permitting of this complex facility in a potential EJ community. The Statement of Basis has been provided to the USEPA for its review. The extremely detailed explanation of the requirements, particularly Periodic Monitoring, applicable to this source is intended to further meaningful public participation.

### **3.2 Emission Testing Results**

The source has performed the following emission testing:

<i>Emission Unit</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Pollutant</i>	<i>Results of Run #1</i>	<i>Results of Run #2</i>	<i>Results of Run #3</i>	<i>3-Run Average</i>	<i>Compliance Margin %</i>
Coating Line #3 Thermal Oxidizer	04/17/2012	VOC Destruction Efficiency	97.7	97.9%	96.1%	97.9%	8.78%
Coating Line #3 Thermal Oxidizer	05/6-7/1997	VOC Destruction Efficiency	-	-	-	97.62	8.47%

Capture Efficiency determined to be 94.7% during test on May 6-7, 1997.

2012 Overall Efficiency =  $100 - ((100 - 94.7) + (94.7 \times (1 - 0.979))) = 100 - (5.3 + 2.0) = 92.7\%$

2012 Compliance Margin =  $((92.7 - 75) / 75) \times 100 = 23.6\%$

1997 Overall Efficiency =  $100 - ((100 - 94.7) + (94.7 \times (1 - 0.976))) = 100 - (5.3 + 2.3) = 92.4\%$

1997 Compliance Margin =  $((92.4 - 75) / 75) \times 100 = 23.2\%$

NOTE: Condition 4.1.2(f)(i)(B) requires capture system and control device to provide at least 75% reduction of overall emissions of VOM and control device to provide at least 90% efficiency pursuant to 35 IAC 207(h)(2)

**3.3 Compliance Reports (Annual Certifications, Semiannual Monitoring, NESHAP, etc.)**

A review of the source's compliance reports demonstrates the sources ability to comply with all applicable requirements.

**3.4 Field Inspection Results**

A review of the source's latest field inspection report completed 01/25/2012 demonstrates the source's ability to comply with all applicable requirements.

**3.5 Historical Non-Compliance**

There is no historical non-compliance for this source.

**3.6 Source Wide Justifications and Rationale**

<b>Applicable Requirements Summary</b>		
Applicable Requirement	Type	Location
Fugitive Particulate Matter (35 IAC 212.301 and 35 IAC 212.314)	Applicable Standard	See the Permit, Condition 3.1(a)
HAP Requirement for natural minor of HAPs (Construction Permits #11040040, #02110035, and #13020014)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 3.3(a)
Operational and Production Requirement for cleanup operations (Construction Permit #11040040, #13020014 and #91030029)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 3.3(b)

**Visible Emissions (i.e., Opacity)**

✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 3.1(a)(ii))

- o Permittee must conduct observations at the source property line for visible emissions of fugitive particulate matter upon request of IEPA
  - o When requested, daily observations must be conducted for one week of particulate areas of concern.
  - o These observations must begin within one or three day of written request from the IEPA depending on whether observations are to be conducted by site personal of a third party.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 3.1(a)(ii)):
- o Records must be kept of any observations completed, which include specific information listed in the CAAPP Permit.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- There is a small likelihood of an exceedance.
- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Visible emissions at the source property line are easily observed the human eye and records of observations completed will be sufficient to verify completion of any requested observation.

**HAP Emissions**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 3.3(a)(ii)(A-B))
- o Source must determine on a monthly basis that the HAP emissions remain below the limits for being a minor source of HAP emissions.
  - o Specific testing requirements are imposed in the permit should HAP emissions be found to be within 80% of threshold limits
  - o Testing must be conducted in accordance with standard testing procedure included in Section 7.1 of the CAAPP Permit
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 3.3(a)(ii)(C)):
- o Permittee must keep monthly and annual records of individual and combined HAP emissions used to verify compliance with thresholds for maintaining minor source HAP emission limitations.
  - o Permittee must also keep record of an required testing completed
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 3.5(a)(i)(A)(III)):
- o Permittee must promptly notify IEPA (within 30 days) if minor HAP limits have been exceeded

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- HAP emissions come primarily from coating material used and compliance can be easily verified by review of records.
- Imposed compliance method is consistent with previous CAAPP permit, which was reference in the noted construction permits.

### Cleanup Operations Emissions

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 3.3(b)(ii)(A))
  - o Source must determine on a monthly basis that compliance with annual VOM usage and emission limitations have been maintained
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 3.3(b)(ii)(B)):
  - o Permittee must maintain records of all cleanup operations to verify compliance with established limits for cleanup operations for the entire source.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 3.5(a)(i)(A)(III)):
  - o Permittee must promptly notify IEPA (within 30 days) VOM usage or emission limits have been exceeded

### Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- There is a small likelihood of an exceedance.
- Emissions do not vary significantly under normal operation and/or vary slowly with time.
- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Source wide limit was imposed in a noted construction permit.
- Limits imposed can be easily verified by review of recordkeeping requirements from the permit.

### Prompt Reporting Discussion

Prompt reporting of deviations for source wide emission units has been established as 30 days. See rationale in Chapter III Section 3.9.

### 3.7 Emission Unit Justifications and Rationale

<b>a. Can Coating/Printing Lines</b>		
<b>Applicable Requirements Summary</b>		
Applicable Requirement	Type	Location
Opacity Requirement (35 IAC 212.123(a))	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.1.2(a)
PM Requirement (35 IAC 212.321)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.1.2(b)
SO <sub>2</sub> Requirement (35 IAC 214.301, 303)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.1.2(c)
VOM/HAP Requirement (40 CFR 60.492; 40 CFR 60 Subpart A & WW; 35 IAC 218.204(b); and Construction Permits #91030029, #05050080, #11040040 and #13020014)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.1.2(d)
NO <sub>x</sub> Requirement (Constructions Permits #02110035, and #95050064)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.1.2(e)

<b>a. Can Coating/Printing Lines</b>		
<b>Applicable Requirements Summary</b>		
<b>Applicable Requirement</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Location</b>
Operational and Production Requirement (35 IAC 218.207(a) & (h)(2); 218.105(d)(2)(A)(i) Constructions Permits #95050064, #05050080, and #02110035)	Applicable Limit and Work Practice	See the Permit, Condition 4.1.2(f)
Work Practice Requirement (40 CFR 60.11(d))	Applicable Work Practice	See the Permit, Condition 4.1.2(g)

**Visible Emissions (i.e., Opacity)**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.1.2(a)(ii)(A))
  - o Required annual observation for visible emissions in accordance with USEPA Method 22.
  - o Permittee must take prompt corrective action, within 4 hours, if visible emission are observed which may include shutdown, maintenance, repair and/or adjustment of applicable coating line.
  - o If corrective action taken, a follow-up observation in accordance with USEPA Method 22 must be completed. If visible emissions continue, opacity measurement must be completed, within one week, in accordance with USEPA Method 9 and Condition 2.4.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.1.2(a)(ii)(B-C)):
  - o Permittee must keep record of each visual emission observation conducted along with any necessary corrective actions taken.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.1.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Any visible emissions can be easily observed by the human eye and can be accurately measured by qualified individuals using USEPA Method 9.
- Annual observations of opacity, including records of these observations, are sufficient to verify compliance with the 30% opacity limit for the coating lines.
- The likelihood of a violation is small because natural gas is exclusively used as the fuel source for the drying ovens and thermal oxidizer.

**Particulate Matter Emission**

- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.1.2(b)(ii)(A-B)):
  - o Permittee is subject to process weight requirements for PM emissions and must keep records related to those emissions from the coating lines.

- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.1.5(a)):
  - Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Compliance with Process weight rule for PM emissions is easily determined by maintaining appropriate records.

**Sulfur Emissions**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.1.2(c)(ii)(A))
  - Permittee required to use pipeline quality natural gas or natural gas certified by supplier that SO2 emissions in flue gases from drying ovens or the thermal oxidizer will not exceed the 2000 ppm limit imposed on process emission sources.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.1.2(c)(ii)(B)):
  - Permittee must retain records of hours of operation of each oven and the thermal oxidizer, the type and quantity of fuel used and certification documents for the natural gas used.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.1.5(a)):
  - Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Emissions do not vary significantly under normal operation and/or vary slowly with time.
- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- The drying ovens are process emission units because of direct heat from the flame to cans being dried forced air making them applicable to the standard in 35 IAC 214.310.

**Organic Material Emission**

- ✓ Monitoring and Testing as follows (Condition 4.1.2(d)(ii)(A-B))
  - Compliance with annual limits from Construction Permits must be determined each month on a running 12-month basis.
  - Monthly verification are required to demonstrate compliance with VOC emission rates imposed by the 40 CFR 60 Subpart WW.

- o VOM content emission limitations must be demonstrated through coating analysis, test methods and procedures imposed by federal regulations and applicable SIP approved standards.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.1.2(d) (ii) (C-H)):
  - o Records for each ink and coating material are required to demonstrate compliance with applicable VOM content limitations.
  - o Records and associated calculations for coating material used in each coating line are required to demonstrate compliance with applicable VOM emission limitations.
  - o Records are required to demonstrate compliance with CAM Plan for Coating Line #3.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.1.5(a-b)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Deviations from NSPS Subpart WW requirements for Coating Line #3 must be reported to the IEPA in accordance with the associated permit conditions.
  - o Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Presumed as the source is subject to CAM for Coating Line #3.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Required records and associated calculations are sufficient to demonstrate compliance with required VOM content and emission limitations. The Permittee currently uses USEPA approved VOC data sheets for necessary calculations.
- Coating Lines are subject to applicable requirements of NSPS Subpart WW as amended on October 17, 2000.

**Nitrogen Oxides Emissions**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.1.2(e) (ii) (A))
  - o Permittee must ensure compliance with annual NO<sub>x</sub> limits imposed by Constructions Permits on a monthly basis using data from the current month and preceding 11 months.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.1.2(e) (ii) (B)):
  - o Permittee must maintain records of the firing rate of the coating lines and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions with supporting calculations.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.1.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Emissions do not vary significantly under normal operation and/or vary slowly with time.
- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Emissions are considered negligible
- Maintaining the required records is adequate to verify compliance.

**Operational and Production Requirements**

- ✓ Monitoring and Testing as follows (Condition 4.1.2(f)(ii)(A-F))
  - o Temperature measurement devices for Coating line #3, which is applicable to Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM), must be calibrated annually and maintained in accordance with applicable requirements delineated in the permit.
  - o Annual usage and production limits imposed by Construction Permits must be determined each month on a running 12-month basis.
  - o Capture system and afterburner on Coating Line #3 must be operated, maintained, calibrated, and tested in accordance with SIP approved standards.
  - o Capture system and afterburner for coating line #3 must be thoroughly inspected each calendar year.
  - o Efficiency of the capture system must be re-testing within 5 year of permit issuance and every 20 years thereafter.
  - o Destruction efficiency of the afterburner must be verified by testing every 5 years.
  
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.1.2(f)(ii)(G-N)):
  - o Permittee must maintain daily records of afterburner firebox combustion temperature.
  - o Records for the quantity of coating material used and number of cans produced must be maintained to demonstrate compliance with monthly and annual limitations imposed by Construction Permits.
  - o Operating and maintenance records (data and logs) must be maintained for the capture and control devices for Coating Line #3.
  - o Permittee must maintain records of annual inspections of capture system and afterburner on Coating Line #3 along with any corrective actions taken.
  - o Permittee must maintain records of periodic testing on capture system and afterburner.
  
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.1.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- The source has a substantial margin of compliance.
- There is a small likelihood of an exceedance.
- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Usage and productions limits were imposed by Construction Permits.

- Destruction efficiency testing of thermal oxidizer completed on April 17, 2012, as requested by USEPA, demonstrated consistent results with testing completed 15 years earlier on May 6-7, 1997. This also provides indication that equipment is reliable and being properly maintained.
- Margin of compliance for overall efficiency exceeded regulated limit by over 20%.
- Annual inspections and periodic testing imposed are adequate to verify compliance with limitations imposed by federal regulations and SIP approved standards.

**Work Practice Requirements**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.1.2(g)(ii)(A))
  - o Monthly inspections required to verify the coating lines and associated auxiliary equipment are maintained and operated in a manner consistent with good air pollutions control practices for minimizing emissions.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.1.2(g)(ii)(B)):
  - o Records of each inspection performed along with any maintenance or repair must be generated and maintained.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.1.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Periodic inspections and maintenance would be sufficient to confirm equipment is properly maintained.

**Non-Applicability Discussion**

Complex non-applicability determinations were not made for this emission unit. All non-applicability discussions can be found in the Draft CAAPP Permit.

**Prompt Reporting Discussion**

Prompt reporting of deviations has been established as 30 days. See rationale in Chapter III Section 3.9.

<b>b. Can Bodymakers and Washer/Dryer</b>		
<b>Applicable Requirements Summary</b>		
Applicable Requirement	Type	Location
Opacity Requirement (35 IAC 212.123(a))	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.2.2(a)

<b>b. Can Bodymakers and Washer/Dryer</b>		
<b>Applicable Requirements Summary</b>		
Applicable Requirement	Type	Location
PM Requirement (35 IAC 212.321 and Construction Permit #9902010)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.2.2(b)
SO <sub>2</sub> Requirement (35 IAC 214.301 and .303)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.2.2(c)
Organic Material Requirements (35 IAC 218.301)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.2.2(d)
NO <sub>x</sub> Requirement (Construction Permit 9505064)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.2.2(e)
Operational and Production Requirement (Section 39.5(7)(a) of the Act)	Applicable Work Practice	See the Permit, Condition 4.2.2(f)
Work Practice Requirement (Section 39.5(7)(a) of the Act)	Applicable Work Practice	See the Permit, Condition 4.2.2(g)

#### **Visible Emissions (i.e., Opacity)**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.2.2(a)(ii)(A))
  - Required annual observation for visible emissions in accordance with USEPA Method 22.
  - Permittee must take prompt corrective action, within 4 hours, if visible emission are observed which may include shutdown, maintenance, repair and/or adjustment of applicable equipment
  - If corrective action taken, a follow-up observation in accordance with USEPA Method 22 must be completed. If visible emissions continue, opacity measurement must be completed, within one week, in accordance with USEPA Method 9 and Condition 2.4.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.2.2(a)(ii)(B-C)):
  - Permittee must keep record of each visual emission observation conducted along with any corrective actions taken.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.2.5(a)):
  - Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

#### **Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Any visible emissions can be easily observed by the human eye and can be accurately measured by qualified individuals using USEPA Method 9.

- Annual observations of opacity, including records of these observations, are sufficient to verify compliance with the 30% opacity limit for the dryers.
- The likelihood of a violation is small because natural gas is exclusively used as the fuel source for the can washer dryers.

**Particulate Matter Emission**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.2.2(b)(ii)(A))
  - o Permittee is subject to process weight requirements for PM emissions and must keep records related to those emissions from the bodymakers.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.2.2(b)(ii)(B)):
  - o Permittee must maintain records of material throughput for the bodymakers including aluminum and lubricating oil used in lbs/hr, tons/month and tons/year.
  - o Permittee must maintain records of annual emissions of PM and supporting calculations.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.2.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Compliance with Process weight rule to limit PM emissions is easily determined by maintaining appropriate records. NOTE: Oil mist collection system was not needed to comply with this limit.

**Sulfur Emissions**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.2.2(c)(ii)(A))
  - o Permittee required to use pipeline quality natural gas or natural gas certified by supplier that SO2 emissions in flue gases from can washer dryers will not exceed the 2000 ppm limit imposed on process emission sources
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.2.2(c)(ii)(B)):
  - o Permittee must retain records of hours of operation of each washer dryer, the type and quantity of fuel used and certification documents or the natural gas used.
  - o Permittee must maintain records of annual emissions with supporting calculations associated with use of sulfuric acid in the can washers.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.2.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.

- o Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

#### **Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Emissions do not vary significantly under normal operation and/or vary slowly with time.
- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Emissions are considered negligible
- The can dryers are process emission units because of direct heat from the flame to cans being dried via forced air making them applicable to the standard in 35 IAC 214.310.

#### **Organic Material Emission**

- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.2.2(d)(ii)(A)):
  - o Permittee must maintain records to demonstrate compliance with applicable organic material emission limitation or that no odor nuisance exists and organic material discharges do not contain photochemical reactive material in excess of the limitations defined in 35 IAC 211.4690 .
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.2.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

#### **Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Required records are sufficient to demonstrate compliance with required organic material discharge limitations.
- The Permittee has obtained documentation from supplier that the lubricating oil currently used in body making process does not contain photochemically reactive material in excess of limitations in 35 IAC 211.4690.

#### **Nitrogen Oxides Emissions**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.2.2(e)(ii)(A))
  - o Permittee must ensure compliance with annual NOx limits imposed by Constructions Permit on a monthly basis using data from the current month and preceding 11 months.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.2.2(e)(ii)(B)):
  - o Permittee must maintain records of the firing rate of the can washer dryers and NOx emissions with supporting calculations.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.2.5(a)):

- o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
- o Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Emissions do not vary significantly under normal operation and/or vary slowly with time.
- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Emissions are considered negligible.
- Maintaining the required records is adequate to verify compliance.

**Operational and Production Requirements**

- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.2.2(f)(ii)(A)):
  - o Records of natural gas consumption in the dryers are required to demonstrate natural gas usage on a monthly and annual basis.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.2.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for this emission unit because:

- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Natural gas is the only fuel which can be used in the dryers

**Work Practice Requirements**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.2.2(g)(ii)(A))
  - o Monthly inspections required to verify the can bodymakers, can washer dryers and associated auxiliary equipment are maintained and operated in a manner consistent with good air pollutions control practices for minimizing emissions
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.2.2(g)(ii)(B)):
  - o Records of each inspection performed along with any maintenance or repair must be generated and maintained.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.2.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations reported in Semiannual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Periodic inspections and maintenance would be sufficient to confirm equipments is being properly maintained.

**Non-Applicability Discussion**

Complex non-applicability determinations were not made for this emission unit. All non-applicability discussions can be found in the Draft CAAPP Permit.

**Prompt Reporting Discussion**

Prompt reporting of deviations has been established as 30 days. See rationale in Chapter III Section 3.9.

<b>c. Natural Gas Fired Boiler and Makeup Air Units</b>		
<b>Applicable Requirements Summary</b>		
Applicable Requirement	Type	Location
Opacity Requirement (35 IAC 212.123(a))	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.3.2(a)
NO <sub>x</sub> Requirement (Construction Permit #95050064 and Permit #95120133)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.3.2(b)
Operational and Production Requirement (Illinois EPA Act 39.5(7)(a))	Applicable Work Practice	See the Permit, Condition 4.3.2(c)

**Visible Emissions (i.e., Opacity)**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.3.2(a)(ii)(A))
  - o Required annual observation for visible emissions in accordance with USEPA Method 22.
  - o Permittee must take prompt corrective action, within 4 hours, if visible emission are observed which may include shutdown, maintenance, repair and/or adjustment of the effected emission unit
  - o If corrective action taken, a follow-up observation in accordance with USEPA Method 22 must be completed. If visible emissions continue, opacity measurement must be completed, within one week, in accordance with USEPA Method 9 and Condition 2.4.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.3.2(a)(ii)(B-C)):
  - o Permittee must keep record of each visual emission observation conducted and corrective actions taken.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.3.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations are reported in Semi-Annual Monitoring Report.

### **Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Any visible emissions can be easily observed by the human eye and can be accurately measured by qualified individuals using USEPA Method 9.
- Annual observations of opacity, including records of these observations, are sufficient to verify compliance with the 30% opacity limit for the boilers and makeup air units.
- The likelihood of a violation is small because natural gas is exclusively used as the fuel source for the boilers and makeup air units.

### **Nitrogen Oxides Emissions**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.3.2(b)(ii)(A))
  - o Permittee must ensure compliance with annual NOx limits on a monthly basis using data from the current month and preceding 11 months.
  - o Permittee must complete an inspections/tune-up of the boiler and makeup air units at least every 2 years. Minimum requirements for inspection/tune-up are specified in the permit.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.3.2(b)(ii)(B)):
  - o Permittee must maintain records of the firing rate of the boilers/makeup air units and NOx emissions with supporting calculations.
  - o Permittee must keep records of each biennial tune-up.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.3.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations are reported in Semi-Annual Monitoring Report.

### **Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Emissions do not vary significantly under normal operation and/or vary slowly with time.
- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Emissions are considered negligible.
- Maintaining the required records is adequate to verify compliance.
- Natural gas boilers with regular inspections/tune-ups of the burners will keep the burning process stable and efficient, which will result in emissions being minimized. This is also consistent with manufacturer requirements.

### **Operational and Production Requirements**

- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.3.2(c)(ii)):

- o Records of natural gas consumption required to demonstrate natural gas usage on a monthly and annual basis.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.3.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations are reported in Semi-Annual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Natural gas is the only fuel which can be used in the boilers and makeup air units

**Non-Applicability Discussion**

Complex non-applicability determinations were not made for this emission unit. All non-applicability discussions can be found in the Draft CAAPP Permit.

**Prompt Reporting Discussion**

Prompt reporting of deviations has been established as 30 days. See rationale in Chapter III Section 3.9.

<b>d. Natural Gas Fired Boiler (EU02)</b>		
<b>Applicable Requirements Summary</b>		
Applicable Requirement	Type	Location
Opacity Requirement (35 IAC 212.123(a))	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.4.2(a)
PM/PM10 Requirement (Construction Permit #13050017)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.4.2(b)
SO <sub>2</sub> Requirement (Construction Permit #13050017)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.4.2(c)
VOM Requirement (Construction Permit #13050017)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.4.2(d)
CO Requirement (Construction Permit #13050017)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.4.2(e)
NOx Requirement (Construction Permit #13050017)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.4.2(f)
Operational and Production Requirement (39.5(7)(a) of the Act))	Applicable Work Practice	See the Permit, Condition 4.4.2(g)
GHG Requirement (Construction Permit #13050017)	Applicable Limit	See the Permit, Condition 4.4.2(h)

**Visible Emissions (i.e., Opacity)**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.4.2(a)(ii)(A))
  - o Required annual observation for visible emissions in accordance with USEPA Method 22.

- o Permittee must take prompt corrective action, within 4 hours, if visible emission are observed which may include shutdown, maintenance, repair and/or adjustment of boiler.
- o If corrective action taken, a follow-up observation in accordance with USEPA Method 22 must be completed. If visible emissions continue, opacity measurement must be completed, within one week, in accordance with USEPA Method 9 and Condition 2.4.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.4.2(a)(ii)(B-C)):
  - o Permittee must keep record of each visual emission observation conducted and corrective action taken.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.4.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations are reported in Semi-Annual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for this emission unit because:

- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Any visible emissions can be easily observed by the human eye and can be accurately measured by qualified individuals using USEPA Method 9.
- Annual observations of opacity, including records of these observations, are sufficient to verify compliance with the 30% opacity limit for the boilers and makeup air units.
- The likelihood of a violation is small because natural gas is exclusively used as the fuel source for the boilers and makeup air units.

**Particulate Matter Emission**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.4.2(b)(ii)(A))
  - o Permittee must determine actual emissions and in compliance with annual permit limitations on a monthly basis based on rolling 12 month total.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.4.2(b)(ii)(B)):
  - o Permittee must maintain monthly records of actual emissions along with calculations, which support the emissions determination on a rolling 12 month basis.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.4.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations are reported in Semi-Annual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for this emission unit because:

- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.

- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Emissions are considered negligible.
- Only minimal level of emissions was imposed on Construction Permit #13050017.

**Sulfur Emissions**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.4.2(c)(ii)(A))
  - o Permittee must determine actual emissions and in compliance with annual permit limitations on a monthly basis based on rolling 12 month total.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.4.2(c)(ii)(B)):
  - o Permittee must maintain monthly records of actual emissions along with calculations, which support the emissions determination on a rolling 12 month basis.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.4.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations are reported in Semi-Annual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for this emission unit because:

- Emissions do not vary significantly under normal operation and/or vary slowly with time.
- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Emissions are considered negligible.
- Only minimal level of emissions was imposed on Construction Permit #13050017.

**Organic Material Emission**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.4.2(d)(ii)(A))
  - o Permittee must determine actual emissions and in compliance with annual permit limitations on a monthly basis based on rolling 12 month total.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.4.2(d)(ii)(B)):
  - o Permittee must maintain monthly records of actual emissions along with calculations, which support the emissions determination on a rolling 12 month basis.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.4.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations are reported in Semi-Annual Monitoring Report.

### **Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for this emission unit because:

- Emissions do not vary significantly under normal operation and/or vary slowly with time.
- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Emissions are considered negligible.
- Only minimal level of emissions was imposed on Construction Permit #13050017.

### **Carbon Monoxide Emissions**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.4.2(e)(ii)(A-B))
  - Permittee must determine actual emissions and in compliance with annual permit limitations on a monthly basis based on rolling 12 month total.
  - Permittee required to complete inspection/tune-up of the boiler every two years in accordance with Condition 4.4.2(e)(ii)(B)
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.4.2(e)(ii)(C-D)):
  - Permittee must maintain monthly records of actual emissions along with calculations, which support the emissions determination on a rolling 12 month basis.
  - Permittee require to maintain records of inspections/tune-up completed every 2 years which contain at least the information specified in Condition 4.4.2(D).
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.4.5(a)):
  - Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - Other deviations are reported in Semi-Annual Monitoring Report.

### **Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for this emission unit because:

- Emissions do not vary significantly under normal operation and/or vary slowly with time.
- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Emissions are considered minor.
- Recordkeeping would be sufficient to verify compliance.
- Natural gas boilers with regular inspections/tune-ups of the burners will keep the burning process stable and efficient, which will result in emissions being minimized. This is also consistent with manufacturer requirements.

### **Nitrogen Oxides Emissions**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.4.2(f)(ii)(A))

- o Permittee must determine actual emissions and in compliance with annual permit limitations on a monthly basis based on rolling 12 month total.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.4.2(f)(ii)(B))):
  - o Permittee must maintain monthly records of actual emissions along with calculations which support the emissions determination on a rolling 12 month basis.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.4.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations are reported in Semi-Annual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for this emission unit because:

- Emissions do not vary significantly under normal operation and/or vary slowly with time.
- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Emissions are considered negligible.
- Recordkeeping would be sufficient to verify compliance.

**Operational and Production Requirements**

- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.4.2(g)(ii))):
  - o Records of natural gas consumption required to demonstrate natural gas usage on a monthly and annual basis.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.4.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations are reported in Semi-Annual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for these emission units because:

- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Natural gas is the only fuel which can be used in the boiler

**GHG Emissions**

- ✓ Monitoring as follows (Condition 4.4.2(h)(ii)(A))
  - o Permittee must determine actual emissions and in compliance with annual permit limitations on a monthly basis based on rolling 12 month total.
- ✓ Recordkeeping as follows (Condition 4.4.2(h)(ii)(B)):

- o Permittee must maintain monthly records of actual emissions along with calculations which support the emissions determination on a rolling 12 month basis.
- ✓ Reporting as follows (Condition 4.4.5(a)):
  - o Prompt and timely reporting of deviations of applicable requirements within 30 days of deviation occurrence and summarized with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports.
  - o Other deviations are reported in Semi-Annual Monitoring Report.

**Rationale and Justification for Periodic Monitoring**

Periodic Monitoring is sufficient for this emission unit because:

- Emissions do not vary significantly under normal operation and/or vary slowly with time.
- Source has not exhibited a history of non-compliance.
- Monitoring is consistent with other sources in this source category.
- Emissions are considered negligible.
- Only minimal level of emissions was imposed on Construction Permit #13050017,

**Non-Applicability Discussion**

Complex non-applicability determinations were not made for this emission unit. All non-applicability discussions can be found in the Draft CAAPP Permit.

**Prompt Reporting Discussion**

Prompt reporting of deviations has been established as 30 days. See rationale in Chapter III Section 3.9.

**3.8 Insignificant Activities Discussion**

There are no insignificant activities for the source subject to specific regulations which are obligated to comply with Sections 9.1(d) and Section 39.5 of the Act; Sections 165, 173, and 502 of the Clean Air Act; or any other applicable permit or registration requirements and therefore there are no periodic monitoring requirements that need to be separately addressed.

**3.9 Prompt Reporting Discussion**

Among other terms and conditions, CAAPP Permits contain reporting obligations to assure compliance with applicable requirements. These reporting obligations are generally four-fold. More specifically, each CAAPP Permit sets forth any reporting requirements specified by state or federal law or regulation, requires prompt reports of deviations from applicable requirements, requires reports of deviations from required monitoring and requires a report certifying the status of compliance with terms and conditions of the CAAPP Permit over the calendar year.

The number and frequency of reporting obligations in any CAAPP Permit is source-specific. That is, the reporting obligations are directly related to factors, including the number and type of emission units and applicable requirements, the complexity of the source and the compliance status. This four-fold approach to reporting is common to virtually all CAAPP Permits as

described below. Moreover, this is the approach established in the Draft CAAPP Permit for this source.

### **Regulatory Reports**

Many state and federal environmental regulations establish reporting obligations. These obligations vary from rule-to-rule and thus from CAAPP source to CAAPP source and from CAAPP Permit to CAAPP Permit. The variation is found in the report triggering events, reporting period, reporting frequency and reporting content. Regardless, the CAAPP makes clear that all reports established under applicable regulations shall be carried forward into the CAAPP Permit as stated in Section 39.5(7)(b) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act. Generally, where sufficiently detailed to meet the exacting standards of the CAAPP, the regulatory reporting requirements are simply restated in the CAAPP Permit. Depending on the regulatory obligations, these regulatory reports may also constitute a deviation report as described below.

The Draft CAAPP Permit for this source would embody all regulatory reporting as promulgated under federal and state regulations under the Clean Air Act and the Illinois Environmental Protection Act. Depending on the frequency of the report, the regulatory report may also satisfy the prompt reporting obligations discussed below. These reports must be certified by a responsible official.

These reports are generally found in the reporting sections for each emission unit group. The various regulatory reporting requirements are summarized in the table at the end of this Reporting Section.

### **Deviation Reports (Prompt Reporting)**

Section 39.5(7)(f)(ii) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act mandates that each CAAPP Permit require prompt reporting of deviations from the permit requirements.

Neither the CAAPP nor the federal rules upon which the CAAPP is based and was approved by USEPA define the term "prompt". Rather, 40 CFR Part 70.6(a)(3)(iii)(B) intended that the term have flexibility in application. The USEPA has acknowledged for purposes of administrative efficiency and clarity that the permitting authority (in this case, Illinois EPA) has the discretion to define "prompt" in relation to the degree and type of deviation likely to occur at a particular source. The Illinois EPA follows this approach and defines prompt reporting on a permit-by-permit basis. In instances where the underlying applicable requirement contains "prompt" reporting, the Illinois EPA typically incorporates the pre-established timeframe in the CAAPP permit (e.g. a NESHAP or NSPS deviation report). Where the underlying applicable requirement fails to explicitly set forth the timeframe for reporting deviations, the Illinois EPA generally uses a timeframe of 30 days to define prompt reporting of deviations.

This approach to prompt reporting of deviations as discussed herein is consistent with the requirements of Section 39.5(7)(f)(ii) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act as well as 40 CFR Part 70 and the CAA. The reporting arrangement is designed so that the source will appropriately notify the Illinois EPA of those events that might warrant attention. The timing for these event-specific notifications is necessary and appropriate as it gives the source enough time to conduct a thorough investigation into the causes of an event, collecting any necessary data, and developing preventive measures, to reduce the likelihood of similar events, all of which must be addressed in the

notification for the deviation, while at the same time affording regulatory authority and the public timely and relevant information. The approach also affords the Illinois EPA and USEPA an opportunity to direct investigation and follow-up activities, and to make compliance and enforcement decisions in a timely fashion.

The Draft CAAPP Permit for this source would require prompt reporting as required by the Illinois Environmental Protection Act in the fashion described in this subsection. In addition, pursuant to Section 39.5(7)(f)(i) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act, this Draft CAAPP Permit would also require the source to provide a summary of all deviations with the Semi-Annual Monitoring Report. These reports must be certified by a responsible official, and are generally found in the reporting sections for each emission unit group.

### **Semi-Annual Monitoring Reports**

Section 39.5(7)(f)(i) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act mandates that each CAAPP Permit require a report relative to monitoring obligations as set forth in the permit. Depending upon the monitoring obligation at issue, the semi-annual monitoring report may also constitute a deviation report as previously discussed. This monitoring at issue includes instrumental and non-instrumental emissions monitoring, emissions analyses, and emissions testing established by state or federal laws or regulations or as established in the CAAPP Permit. This monitoring also includes recordkeeping. Each deviation from each monitoring requirement must be identified in the relevant semi-annual report. These reports provide a timely opportunity to assess for compliance patterns of concern. The semi-annual reports shall be submitted regardless of any deviation events. Reporting periods for semi-annual monitoring reports are January 1 through June 30 and July 1 through December 31 of each calendar year. Each semi-annual report is due within 30 days after the close of reporting period. The reports shall be certified by a responsible official. The Draft CAAPP Permit for this source would require such reports at Condition 3.5(b).

### **Annual Compliance Certifications**

Section 39.5(7)(p)(v) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act mandates that each CAAPP Permit require a source to submit a certification of its compliance status with each term and condition of its CAAPP Permit. The reports afford a broad assessment of a CAAPP sources compliance status. The CAAPP requires that this report be submitted, regardless of compliance status, on an annual basis. Each CAAPP Permit requires this annual certification be submitted by May 1 of the year immediately following the calendar year reporting period. The report shall be certified by a responsible official. The Draft CAAPP Permit for this source would require such a report at Condition 2.6(a).

Prompt reporting of deviations is critical in order to have timely notice of deviations and the opportunity to respond, if necessary. The effectiveness of the permit depends upon, among other important elements, timely and accurate reporting. The Illinois EPA, USEPA, and the public rely on timely and accurate reports submitted by the source to measure compliance and to direct investigation and follow-up activities. Prompt reporting is evidence of the source's good faith in disclosing deviations and describing the steps taken to return to compliance and prevent similar incidents.

Any occurrence that results in an excursion from any emission limitation, operating condition, or work practice standard as specified in this Draft

CAAPP Permit is a deviation subject to prompt reporting. Additionally, any failure to comply with any permit term or condition is a deviation of that permit term or condition and must be reported to the Illinois EPA as a permit deviation. The deviation may or may not be a violation of an emission limitation or standard. A permit deviation can exist even though other indicators of compliance suggest that no emissions violation or exceedance has occurred. Reporting permit deviations does not necessarily result in enforcement action. The Illinois EPA has the discretion to take enforcement action for permit deviations that may or may not constitute a deviation from an emission limitation or standard or the like, as necessary and appropriate.

As a result, the Illinois EPA's approach to prompt reporting of deviations as discussed herein is consistent with the requirements of Section 39.5(7)(f)(ii) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act as well as 40 CFR Part 70 and the CAA. This reporting arrangement is designed so that the source will appropriately notify the Illinois EPA of those events that might warrant individual attention.

### **3.10 Emissions Reduction Market System (ERMS)**

The Emissions Reduction Market System (ERMS) is a "cap and trade" market system for major stationary sources located in the Chicago ozone nonattainment area. It is designed to reduce VOM emissions from stationary sources to contribute to reasonable further progress toward attainment, as required by Section 182(c) of the CAA.

The ERMS addresses VOM emissions during a seasonal allotment period from May 1 through September 30. Participating sources must hold "allotment trading units" (ATUs) for their actual seasonal VOM emissions. Each year participating sources are issued ATUs based on allotments set in the sources' CAAPP permits. These allotments are established from historical VOM emissions or "baseline emissions" lowered to provide the emissions reductions from stationary sources required for reasonable further progress.

By December 31 of each year, the end of the reconciliation period following the seasonal allotment period, each source shall have sufficient ATUs in its transaction account to cover its actual VOM emissions during the preceding season. A transaction account's balance as of December 31 will include any valid ATU transfer agreements entered into as of December 31 of the given year, provided such agreements are promptly submitted to the Illinois EPA for entry into the transaction account database. The Illinois EPA will then retire ATUs in sources' transaction accounts in amounts equivalent to their seasonal emissions. When a source does not appear to have sufficient ATUs in its transaction account, the Illinois EPA will issue a notice to the source to begin the process for Emissions Excursion Compensation.

In addition to receiving ATUs pursuant to their allotments, participating sources may also obtain ATUs from the market, including ATUs bought from other participating sources and general participants in the ERMS that hold ATUs (35 IAC 205.630) and ATUs issued by the Illinois EPA as a consequence of VOM emissions reductions from an Emissions Reduction Generator or an Intersector Transaction (35 IAC 205.500 and 35 IAC 205.510). During the reconciliation period, sources may also buy ATUs from a secondary reserve of ATUs managed by the Illinois EPA, the "Alternative Compliance Market Account" (ACMA) (35 IAC 205.710). Sources may also transfer or sell the ATUs that they hold to other sources or participants (35 IAC 205.630).

### **3.11 Incorporation by Reference Discussion**

Based on guidance found in White Paper 2 and past petition responses by the Administrator, it is recognized that Title V permit authorities may, within their discretion, incorporate plans by reference. As recognized in the *White Paper 2*, permit authorities can effectively streamline the contents of a Title V permit, avoiding the inevitable clutter of restated text and preventing unnecessary delays where, as here, permit issuance is subject to a decision deadline.<sup>3</sup> However, it is also recognized that the benefits of incorporation of plans must be carefully balanced by a permit authority with its duty to issue permits in a way that is "clear and meaningful" to the Permittee and the public.<sup>4</sup>

The criteria that are mentioned in USEPA Administrator Petition Responses stress the importance of identifying, *with specificity*, the object of the incorporation.<sup>5</sup> The Illinois EPA agrees that such emphasis is generally consistent with USEPA's pronouncements in previous guidance.

For each condition incorporating a plan, the Illinois EPA is also briefly describing the general manner in which the plan applies to the source. Identifying the nature of the source activity, the regulatory requirements or the nature of the equipment associated with the plan is a recommendation of the *White Paper 2*.<sup>6</sup> The Illinois EPA has stopped short of enumerating the actual contents of a plan, as restating them in the permit would plainly defeat the purpose of incorporating the document by reference and be contrary to USEPA guidance on the subject.<sup>7</sup>

Plans may need to be revised from time to time, as occasionally required by circumstance or by underlying rule or permit requirement. Except where expressly precluded by the relevant rules, this Draft CAAPP Permit allows the Permittee to make future changes to a plan without undergoing formal permit revision procedures. This approach will allow flexibility to make required changes to a plan without separately applying for a revised permit and, similarly, will lessen the impacts that could result for the Illinois EPA if every change to a plan's contents required a permitting transaction.<sup>8</sup> Changes to the incorporated plans during the permit term are automatically incorporated into the Draft CAAPP Permit unless the Illinois EPA expresses a written objection.

The Draft CAAPP Permit incorporates by reference the following plans Fugitive Particulate Matter Operating Program, and/or Episode Action Plan.<sup>9</sup>

### **3.12 Periodic Monitoring General Discussions**

Pursuant to Section 504(c) of the Clean Air Act, a Title V permit must set forth monitoring requirements, commonly referred to as "Periodic Monitoring," to assure compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit. A general discussion of Periodic Monitoring is provided below. The Periodic Monitoring that is proposed for specific operations and emission units and at this source is discussed in Chapter III of this Statement of Basis. Chapter III provides a narrative discussion of and justification for the elements of Periodic Monitoring that would apply to the different emission units and types of emission units at the facility.

As a general matter, the required content of a CAAPP Permit with respect to such Periodic Monitoring is addressed in Section 39.5(7) of the Illinois

Environmental Protection Act.<sup>10</sup> Section 39.5(7)(b) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act<sup>11</sup> provides that in a CAAPP Permit:

The Agency shall include among such conditions applicable monitoring, reporting, record keeping and compliance certification requirements, as authorized by paragraphs d, e, and f of this subsection, that the Agency deems necessary to assure compliance with the Clean Air Act, the regulations promulgated thereunder, this Act, and applicable Board regulations. When monitoring, reporting, record keeping and compliance certification requirements are specified within the Clean Air Act, regulations promulgated thereunder, this Act, or applicable regulations, such requirements shall be included within the CAAPP Permit.

Section 39.5(7)(d)(ii) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act further provides that a CAAPP Permit shall:

Where the applicable requirement does not require periodic testing or instrumental or noninstrumental monitoring (which may consist of recordkeeping designed to serve as monitoring), require Periodic Monitoring sufficient to yield reliable data from the relevant time period that is representative of the source's compliance with the permit ...

Accordingly, the scope of the Periodic Monitoring that must be included in a CAAPP Permit is not restricted to monitoring requirements that were adopted through rulemaking or imposed through permitting. When applicable regulatory emission standards and control requirements or limits and control requirement in relevant Title 1 permits are not accompanied by compliance procedures, it is necessary for Monitoring for these standards, requirements or limits to be established in a CAAPP Permit.<sup>12, 13</sup> Monitoring requirements must also be established when standards and control requirement are accompanied by compliance procedures but those procedures are not adequate to assure compliance with the applicable standards or requirements.<sup>14, 15</sup> For this purpose, the requirements for Periodic Monitoring in a CAAPP Permit may include requirements for emission testing, emissions monitoring, operational monitoring, non-instrumental monitoring, and recordkeeping for each emission unit or group of similar units at a facility, as required by rule or permit, as appropriate or as needed to assure compliance with the applicable substantive requirements. Various combinations of monitoring measures will be appropriate for different emission units depending on their circumstances, including the substantive emission standards, limitations and control requirements to which they are subject.

What constitutes sufficient Periodic Monitoring for particular emission units, including the timing or frequency associated with such Monitoring requirements, must be determined by the permitting authority based on its knowledge, experience and judgment.<sup>16</sup> For example, as Periodic Monitoring must collect representative data, the timing of Monitoring requirements need not match the averaging time or compliance period of the associated substantive requirements, as set by the relevant regulations and permit provisions. The timing of the various requirements making up the Periodic Monitoring for an emission unit is something that must be considered when those Monitoring requirements are being established. For this purpose, Periodic Monitoring often consists of requirements that apply on a regular basis, such as routine recordkeeping for the operation of control devices or the implementation of the control practices for an emission unit. For certain units, this regular monitoring may entail "continuous" monitoring of emissions, opacity or key operating parameters of a

process or its associated control equipment, with direct measurement and automatic recording of the selected parameter(s). As it is infeasible or impractical to require emissions monitoring for most emission units, instrumental monitoring is more commonly conducted for the operating parameters of an emission unit or its associated control equipment. Monitoring for operating parameter(s) serves to confirm proper operation of equipment, consistent with operation to comply with applicable emission standards and limits. In certain cases, an applicable rule may directly specify that a particular level of an operating parameter be maintained, consistent with the manner in which a unit was being operated during emission testing. Periodic Monitoring may also consist of requirements that apply on a periodic basis, such as inspections to verify the proper functioning of an emission unit and its associated controls.

The Periodic Monitoring for an emission unit may also include measures, such as emission testing, that would only be required once or only upon specific request by the Illinois EPA. These requirements would always be accompanied by Monitoring requirements would apply on a regular basis. When emission testing or other measure is only required upon request by the Illinois EPA, it is included as part of the Periodic Monitoring for an emission unit to facilitate a response by the Illinois EPA to circumstances that were not contemplated when Monitoring was being established, such as the handling of a new material or a new mode of operation. Such Monitoring would also serve to provide further verification of compliance, along with other potentially useful information. As emission testing provides a quantitative determination of compliance, it would also provide a determination of the margin of compliance with the applicable limit(s) and serve to confirm that the Monitoring required for an emission unit on a regular basis is reliable and appropriate. Such testing might also identify specific values of operating parameters of a unit or its associated control equipment that accompany compliance and can be relied upon as part of regular Monitoring.

There are a number of considerations or factors that are or may be relevant when evaluating the need to establish new monitoring requirements as part of the Periodic Monitoring for an emission unit. These factors include: (1) The nature of the emission unit or process and its emissions; (2) The variability in the operation and the emissions of the unit or process over time; (3) The use of add-on air pollution control equipment or other practices to control emissions and comply with the applicable substantive requirement(s); (4) The nature of that control equipment or those control practices and the potential for variability in their effectiveness; (5) The nature of the applicable substantive requirement(s) for which Periodic Monitoring is needed; (6) The nature of the compliance procedures that specifically accompany the applicable requirements; (7) The type of data that would already be available for the unit; (8) The effort needed to comply with the applicable requirements and the expected margin of compliance; (9) The likelihood of a violation of applicable requirements; (10) The nature of the Periodic Monitoring that may be readily implemented for the emission unit; (11) The extent to which such Periodic Monitoring would directly address the applicable requirements; (12) The nature of Periodic Monitoring commonly required for similar emission units at other facilities and in similar circumstances; (13) The interaction or relationship between the different measures in the Periodic Monitoring for an emission unit; and (14) The feasibility and reasonableness of requiring additional measures in the Periodic Monitoring for an emission unit in light of other relevant considerations.<sup>17</sup>

## **CHAPTER IV - CHANGES FROM PREVIOUSLY ISSUED CAAPP PERMITS**

### **4.1 Major Changes Summary**

This renewal CAAPP draft is presented in a new format. The new format is the result of recommendations by the USEPA, comments made by sources, and interactions with the public.

	<i>Previous CAAPP Permit Layout</i>	<i>New CAAPP Permit Layout</i>
Section 1	Source Identification	Source Information
Section 2	List Of Abbreviations/Acronyms	General Permit Requirements
Section 3	Insignificant Activities	Source Requirements
Section 4	Significant Emission Units	Emission Unit Requirements
Section 5	Overall Source Conditions	Title I Requirements
Section 6	Emission Control Programs	Insignificant Activities
Section 7	Unit Specific Conditions	Other Requirements
Section 8	General Permit Conditions	State Only Requirements
Section 9	Standard Permit Conditions	---
Section 10	Attachments	Attachments

### **4.2 Specific Permit Condition Changes**

This renewal incorporated construction permits issued or revised since the initial CAAPP permit was issued. Any updates or revisions to applicable federal or state regulations were also incorporated into the permit conditions. Source wide requirements regarding asbestos management were also added to the permit.

## Endnotes

<sup>1</sup> The federal PSD program, 40 CFR 52.21, applies in Illinois. The Illinois EPA administers PSD permitting for major projects in Illinois pursuant to a delegation agreement with USEPA.

<sup>2</sup> Illinois has a state nonattainment NSR program, pursuant to state rules, Major Stationary Sources Construction and Modification ("MSSCM"), 35 IAC Part 203, which have been approved by USEPA as part of the State Implementation Plan for Illinois.

<sup>3</sup> Among other things, USEPA observed that the stream-lining benefits can consist of "reduced cost and administrative complexity, and continued compliance flexibility...". *White Paper 2*, page 41.

<sup>4</sup> See, *In the Matter of Tesoro Refining and Marketing*, Petition No. IX-2004-6, Order Denying in Part and Granting in Part Petition for Objection to Permit, at page 8 (March 15, 2005); see also, *White Paper 2* at page 39 ("reference must be detailed enough that the manner in which any referenced materials applies to a facility is clear and is not reasonably subject to misinterpretation").

<sup>5</sup> The Order provides that permit authorities must ensure the following: "(1) referenced documents be specifically identified; (2) descriptive information such as the title or number of the document and the date of the document be included so that there is no ambiguity as to which version of the document is being referenced; and (3) citations, cross references, and incorporations by reference are detailed enough that the manner in which any referenced material applies to a facility is clear and is not reasonably subject to misinterpretation." See, *Petition Response* at page 43, citing *White Paper 2* at page 37.

<sup>6</sup> See, *White Paper 2* at page 39.

<sup>7</sup> Nothing in USEPA guidance, including the *White Paper 2* or previous orders responding to public petitions, supports the notion that permit authorities incorporating a document by reference must also restate contents of a given plan in the body of the Title V permit. Such an interpretation contradicts USEPA recognition that permit authorities need not restate or recite an incorporated document so long as the document is sufficiently described. *White Paper 2* at page 39; see also, *In the matter of Consolidated Edison Co. of New York, Inc., 74th St. Station*, Petition No. II-2001-02, Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Petition for Objection to Permit at page 16 (February 19, 2003).

<sup>8</sup> This approach is consistent with USEPA guidance, which has previously embraced a similar approach to certain SSM plans. See, *Letter and Enclosures*, dated May 20, 1999, from John Seitz, Director of Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, to Robert Hodanbosi and Charles Lagges, STAPPA/ALAPCO, pages 9-10 of Enclosure B.

<sup>9</sup> Each incorporated plan addressed by this Section of the Statement of Basis is part of the source's permit file. As such, these plans are available to any person interested in viewing the contents of a given plan may do so at the public repository during the comment period or, alternatively, may request a copy of the same from the Illinois EPA under the Freedom of Information Act. See also 71 FR 20447.

<sup>10</sup> The provisions of the Act for Periodic Monitoring in CAAPP permits reflect parallel requirements in the federal guidelines for State Operating Permit Programs, 40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(i)(A), (a)(3)(i)(B), and (c)(1).

<sup>11</sup> Section 39.5(7)(p)(i) of the Act also provides that a CAAPP permit shall contain "Compliance certification, testing, monitoring, reporting and record keeping requirements sufficient to assure compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit."

<sup>12</sup> The classic example of regulatory standards for which Periodic Monitoring requirements must be established in a CAAPP permit are state emission standards that pre-date the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments that were adopted without any associated compliance procedures. Periodic Monitoring must also be established in a CAAPP permit when standards and limits are accompanied by compliance procedures but those procedures are determined to be inadequate to assure compliance with the applicable standards or limits.

<sup>13</sup> Another example of emission standards for which requirements must be established as part of Periodic Monitoring is certain NSPS standards that require initial performance testing but do not require periodic testing or other measures to address compliance with the applicable limits on a continuing basis.

<sup>14</sup> The need to establish Monitoring requirements as part of Periodic Monitoring when existing compliance procedures are determined to be inadequate, as well as when they are absent, was confirmed by the federal appeals court in *Sierra Club v. Environmental Protection Agency*, 536 F.3d 673, 383 U.S. App. D.C. 109.

<sup>15</sup> The need to establish Monitoring requirements as part of Periodic Monitoring is also confirmed in USEPA's Petition Response. USEPA explains that "...if there is periodic monitoring in the applicable requirements, but that monitoring is not sufficient to assure compliance with permit terms and conditions, permitting authorities must supplement monitoring to assure such compliance." Petition Response, page 6.

<sup>16</sup> The test for the adequacy of "Periodic Monitoring" is a context-specific determination, particularly whether the provisions in a Title V permit reasonably address compliance with relevant substantive permit conditions. 40 CFR 70.6(c)(1); see also 40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(i)(B); see also, *In the Matter of CITGO Refinery and Chemicals Company L.P.*, Petition VI-2007-01 (May 28, 2009); see also, *In the Matter of Waste Management of LA. L.L.C. Woodside Sanitary Landfill & Recycling Center, Walker, Livingston Parish, Louisiana*, Petition VI-2009-01 (May 27, 2010); see also, *In the Matter of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation's JP Pulliam Power Plant*, Petition V-2009-01 (June 28, 2010).

<sup>17</sup> A number of these factors are specifically listed by USEPA in its Petition Response. USEPA also observes that the specific factors that it identifies in its Petition Response with respect to Periodic Monitoring provide "...the permitting authority with a starting point for its analysis of the adequacy of the monitoring; the permitting authority also may consider other site-specific factors." Petition Response, page 7.