

8EHQ-0303-14984



RECEIVED
OPT/REGS

2003 MAR 24 7 11 AM '03

One Houston Center
1221 McKinney
Suite 1600
P.O. Box 3646
Houston, TX 77253-3646
Telephone: 713.652.7200

CERTIFIED MAIL

February 26, 2003

TSCA Document Control Office (7408)
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington DC 20460



Attention: TSCA 8(e) Coordinator

RE: **8EHQ Number: 8EHQ-01-14984 (Submission of Final Report)**
tert-Butyl Hydroperoxide (TBHP; CASRN 75-91-2) - Skin Sensitization Study with
TBHP-70 (70% TBHP in solution) in Guinea Pigs (Maximization Test)

Dear Sir or Madam:

In July 2001, Lyondell Chemical Company (Lyondell) submitted information to EPA in accordance with Section 8(e) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) and EPA's 1991 Section 8(e) Reporting Guide. In that correspondence, Lyondell reported the receipt of preliminary information which concluded that tert-Butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP; CASRN 75-91-2) is a sensitizer. This submission was assigned 8EHQ Number 8EHQ01-14984. At that time, the final report for this study was not available. Lyondell has now received the final report and is hereby providing a copy to EPA.

The study was conducted at TNO Nutrition and Food Research in the Netherlands and was sponsored by Lyondell Chemical Europe for the EU risk assessment of TBHP.

Should you have any questions or require additional details, please do not hesitate to call me at 713-309-2136. I may also be reached by facsimile at 713-951-1574 or by e-mail at patrick.gibson@lyondell.com.

Sincerely,

Patrick L. Gibson
Product Safety Specialist - Regulatory
Corporate TSCA Coordinator
Lyondell Chemical Company

Enclosure



RECEIVED
OPT/REGS
2003 MAR 24 AM 9:27

Contain NO CBI

TNO report
V3413/07

Sensitization study with TBHP-70 in guinea pigs (maximization test)

2003 MAR -7 11:11 AM

TNO Nutrition and Food Research

Utrechtseweg 48
P.O. Box 360
3700 AJ Zeist
The Netherlands

Phone +31 30 694 41 44
Fax +31 30 695 72 24

Date:
September 2001

Author(s):
M.K. Prinsen

At the request of:
Lyondell Chemical Europe, Inc., Lyondell Chemical House
Bridge Avenue, MAIDENHEAD
Berkshire SL6 1YP
Great Britain

TNO Project number:
42113/01.07

TNO Study code:
3413/07

Study director:
M.K. Prinsen

Status:
Final

Previous version:
Draft; July 2001

Number of pages:
21

Number of tables:
2

Number of figures:
1

Number of appendices:
2

Number of annexes:
2

All rights reserved
No part of this publication may be reproduced and/or published by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means without the previous written consent of TNO.

In case this report was drafted on instructions, the rights and obligations of contracting parties are subject to either the Standard Conditions for Research Instructions given to TNO or the relevant agreement concluded between the contracting parties
Submitting the report for inspection to parties who have a direct interest is permitted

© TNO

As contract research organisation in the *life sciences*, TNO Nutrition and Food Research translates fundamental knowledge into application in the fields of food and nutrition, pharmaceuticals and (agro)chemicals, focusing on health, quality and safety, product and process innovation.



Netherlands Organization for
Applied Scientific Research

MR 264863

Summary

1. The test material **TBHP-70** was examined for possible sensitizing properties by a maximization test in guinea pigs, according to EC Directive 96/54/EC, method B.6 and OECD Guideline no. 406, using 10 test animals and 5 controls.
2. The test comprised:
 - Test animals
 - induction treatment by intradermal injections of Freund's Complete Adjuvant (FCA) 1:1 diluted with isotonic saline, a 1% test dilution of **TBHP-70** in physiological saline, and a 1% test dilution of **TBHP-70** in FCA, followed one week later by topical application of a 30% test dilution of **TBHP-70** in physiological saline,
 - challenge treatment, 14 days after the last induction, by topical application of a 3% test dilution of **TBHP-70** in physiological saline,
 - Controls
 - induction treatment by intradermal injections of FCA/isotonic saline 1:1, the vehicle alone, and FCA/vehicle 1:1, followed one week later by topical application of physiological saline alone, and
 - challenge treatment, 14 days after the last induction, by topical application of a 3% test dilution of **TBHP-70** in physiological saline.
3. The challenge treatment with **TBHP-70** caused positive signs of sensitization in six of the ten test animals. On the basis of the results obtained under the conditions of this study and according to the EC-standards (mentioned in EEC Directive 93/21/EC and published in the Official Journal of the European Communities, L 110 A, Volume 36, 4 May 1993), it was concluded that **TBHP-70** is a sensitizer.


Contents

Summary	2
Contents	3
Statement of GLP compliance	4
Quality Assurance Statement	5
Testing facility	6
Contributors	6
1 Introduction	6
2 Experimental	6
2.1 Test substance	6
2.2 Animals and housing conditions	6
2.3 Experimental design	7
2.4 Scoring and evaluation of the results	9
2.5 Retention of records, samples and specimens	9
2.6 Deviations from the protocol	9
3 Results	10
3.1 Preliminary tests	10
3.2 Main study	10
4 Conclusion	11
5 Sensitivity of the test system	11
6 Literature	11
Tables	12
Figure 1	14
Appendices	15
Annexes	18

Statement of GLP compliance


We, the undersigned, hereby declare that this report constitutes a true and complete representation of the procedures followed and of the results obtained in this study by TNO Nutrition and Food Research, and that the study was carried out under our supervision.

The study was carried out in accordance with the OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice of 1997 (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris. ENV/MC/CHEM (98)17).



M.K. Prinsen
(Study director)

17 September 2001
Date



Dr R.A. Woutersen
(Management; Head, Department of General
Toxicology)

18 September 2001
Date

Quality Assurance Statement

On : Sensitization study with **TBHP-70** in guinea pigs (maximization test)
Report Number : V3413/07
Date : September 2001

The protocol of this study was inspected as follows:

Date of inspection:	Date of report:
19 June 2001	19 June 2001

The experimental phase of this study was inspected by the Quality Assurance Unit as follows:

Date of inspection:	Date of report:
26 June 2001	26 June 2001

This report was audited as follows:

Dates of audit:	Date of report:
13 September 2001	14 September 2001
18 September 2001 (Final)	18 September 2001

I, the undersigned, hereby declare that this report provides an accurate record of the procedures employed and the results obtained in this study; all inspections were reported to the study director and the management on the dates indicated.



Ing. S. Kanhai
(Quality Assurance Auditor)

Date: 18 September 2001

Testing facility

The toxicity study was conducted by:

TNO Nutrition and Food Research
Department of General Toxicology
Utrechtseweg 48, P.O. Box 360, 3700 AJ ZEIST, the Netherlands
Telephone +31 30 69 44 144; Telefax +31 30 69 60 264

Contributors

Study Director : Mr. M.K. Prinsen
Dep. Study Director : Dr M.J. Appel
Management : Dr R.A. Woutersen
Head of Animal Care : Mr. G. van Beek

1 Introduction

At the request of Lyondell Chemical Europe, Inc., Lyondell Chemical House, Bridge Avenue, Maidenhead, Berkshire SL6 1YP, Great Britain, a sample of the title substance was examined for possible sensitization potential in guinea pigs by means of the maximization test.

2 Experimental

2.1 Test substance

Date of receipt : 8 June 2001
Name : TBHP-70
Batch no. : SGS REF 534326
General appearance : clear colourless liquid
Quantity : 1 glass bottle with 250 ml
Chemical name : tertiary butyl hydroperoxide solution (70%)
CAS Reg. No. : 75-91-2
TNO internal reference no. : 0100AF
Storage conditions : in the dark in a safety cabinet at ambient room temperature.

2.2 Animals and housing conditions

Species : SPF-bred albino guinea pigs (Dunkin Hartley).
Supplier : David Hall, England

Sex and age	: males, circa 3 weeks (when ordered)
Date of arrival	: 19 June 2001
Start date of study	: 26 June 2001
Quarantine/acclimatization period	: 7 days
Termination date of study	: 20 July 2001
Identification	: earmarking with tattoo
Caging	: in a mobile battery, containing 6 cages; maximal 10 animals per cage.
Lighting	: 12 hours light/12 hours dark cycle
Temperature during testing	: $22 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$
Humidity during testing	: 30-70% (occasionally up to 100% for a short period, because of wet cleaning of the room or meteorological circumstances).
Ventilation	: ca 10 air changes/hour
Diet	: standard laboratory diet <i>ad libitum</i> . Each batch of this diet is analyzed by the supplier (SDS Special Diets services, Whitham, England) for the nutrients and contaminants and the results are available upon request. Tap water (Hydron) <i>ad libitum</i> . Results of routine physical, chemical and micro- biological examination of drinking water as conducted by the supplier are available upon request.

2.3 Experimental design

The study was carried out at the testing facilities of the TNO Nutrition and Food Research, Utrechtseweg 48, 3704 HE Zeist, the Netherlands, according to protocol P3413/07, approved by the study director on 30 May 2001.

The procedure employed was in accordance with:

- OECD guideline no. 406, Skin Sensitization, adopted July 17, 1992, and
- EC Directive 96/54/EC, Annex IV C: B.6. Skin sensitization, dated 30 September 1996.

The study consisted of an induction treatment, followed by a resting period of 14 days, which preceded the challenge treatment.

2.3.1 Preliminary tests

The irritation response to intradermal injection of various concentrations of the test substance was examined in 2 guinea pigs. A sufficiently large area of the flanks was clipped free from hair with electric clippers. Amounts of 0.1 ml of the selected concentrations were applied by intradermal injection. Circa 24 hours after injection, the animals were examined for signs of irritation. A concentration causing slight to moderate irritation but otherwise well-tolerated by the animals, is usually taken for intradermal injection of the test substance in the induction phase of the main study.

The irritation response to topical treatment of various concentrations of the test substance was examined in 2 other guinea pigs. The flanks of each of the animals were clipped free from hair with electric clippers. Patches (Silverpatch, v.d. Bend B.V., Brielle, the Netherlands) were loaded with the test material and placed on the clipped skin of each animal, and covered with a piece of hypoallergenic paper bandage (Leukopor) that was secured by elastic adhesive bandage (Tensoplast), wound around the torso of the animal. The dressing was left in place for ca 24 hours. Circa twenty-four and 48 hours after removal of the dressing, the animals were examined for signs of skin irritation. A concentration causing slight to moderate skin irritation is usually chosen for topical induction and a non-irritant concentration for topical challenge.

2.3.2 Main study

Fifteen male guinea pigs were randomly divided into two groups, viz. one test group of 10 males and one control group of 5 males. The animals were weighed one day before the study was initiated and at the completion of the study.

2.3.2.1 Induction

Induction was effected in two different ways, firstly by intradermal injections and secondly, one week later, by topical application over the injection sites.

a. Intradermal injections

For this purpose an area of about 24 cm² of dorsal skin in the scapular region was clipped free from hair with electric clippers. Pairs of intradermal injections (0.1 ml each) were made simultaneously in the clipped area as shown in Figure 1.

The following preparations were injected:

test animals

- two injections with Freund's Complete Adjuvant (FCA) and saline (1:1),
- two injections with the selected test concentration,
- two injections with the selected test concentration in FCA (1:1),

control animals

- two injections with FCA/saline (1:1),
- two injections with the diluent,
- two injections with FCA/diluent (1:1).

Skin readings were made at ca 24 hours after the treatment.

b. Topical application

Six days after the intradermal injections, the dorsal skin in the scapular region of all test and control animals was closely clipped again. On the following day, the induction by topical application was made in this region. The test animals were treated as follows:

A circa 2 x 4 cm patch of Whatman No. 3 MM filter paper was loaded with the selected concentration of the test substance. The loaded patch was placed over the sites of the intradermal injections and was secured as described in section 2.3.1. The dressing was left in place for ca 48 hours. The control animals were similarly treated with the vehicle alone. Skin readings were made directly after removal of the patches.

2.3.2.2 Challenge

The topical challenge with the test substance was carried out 14 days after the topical induction as follows:

An area of circa 5 x 5 cm one flank of each test and control animal was clipped free from hair. A patch was loaded with the test concentration selected.

Subsequently, the loaded patch was placed on the clipped area of the flank of each test and control animal. The patch was covered with Leukopor bandage, and held in place by Tensoplast for ca 24 hours. Skin readings were made at ca 24 and 48 hours after removal of the patch.

2.4 Scoring and evaluation of the results

The skin reactions were evaluated and scored according to the scale as given in Appendix 1. The controls should not show any skin reaction. If so, the severity and type of reaction was taken into account upon evaluation of effects of the skin reactions exhibited by the test animals. In absence of skin reactions on the test site challenged with the test substance of the controls, the observed skin reactions on the challenged test site of the test animals were considered as signs of sensitization. If skin reactions are observed on the test site of the controls challenged with the test substance or with the vehicle alone or if skin reactions occur on the test site of the test animals challenged with the vehicle alone, the difference in type, severity, and persistence of these reactions were evaluated in order to establish whether or not the skin reactions of the test animals were indeed signs of sensitization. The positive results were evaluated according to the EC-standards (as published in the Official Journal of the European Communities, L 110 A, Volume 36, 4 May 1993), which states that a substance is considered a sensitizer if 30% or more of the test animals show a positive reaction.

2.5 Retention of records, samples and specimens

All raw data and the master copy of this report are filed in the archives of the TNO Nutrition and Food Research and will be retained in the archives for a period of at least fifteen years after reporting of the study. Unless otherwise agreed, remaining test substance will be retained for at least six months after submission of the report.

2.6 Deviations from the protocol

No deviations of the protocol occurred.

3 Results

3.1 Preliminary tests

The results of the preliminary irritation experiment are given in Table 1. The degree of irritation observed after intradermal treatment with a 1% test concentration was considered suitable for intradermal treatment during the induction phase. A 30% test concentration was considered acceptable for topical treatment during the induction phase and a 3% concentration was considered suitable for the challenge phase of the study. **The concentrations of 1, 3 and 30% of TBHP-70 are equivalent to 0.7, 2.1 and 21% of TBHP.** Since the 30% test concentration selected was irritating, the induction test site was not pretreated with a 10% sodium lauryl sulfate solution (SLS) in vaseline.

3.2 Main study

On 5 July 2001, one control animal showed a prolapse of the rectum and was sacrificed. The suspected cause was abdominal restriction due to over-tight wrapping with the elastic bandage. All other animals remained in good health during the experimental period.

3.2.1 Induction

The individual scores of the skin reactions made during the induction phase of the study are given in Appendices 2.1 and 2.2. The intradermal injections generally caused the following skin reactions:

test animals

- FCA/saline (1:1): moderate erythema,
- selected test concentration: no skin reactions,
- selected test concentration in FCA/diluent (1:1): moderate erythema,

control animals

- FCA/saline (1:1): moderate erythema,
- vehicle: no skin reactions,
- FCA/diluent (1:1): moderate erythema.

After the topical application of the vehicle, slight erythema was observed in one control. After the 48-hour topical application of the selected test concentration, slight erythema was observed in 5 test animals.

3.2.2 Challenge

The results of the challenge treatment are given in Table 2.

After the challenge treatment with the 3% test concentration of TBHP-70, slight, moderate or severe erythema was observed in six test animals at 24 hours after challenge. At 48 hours after challenge, the 6 test animals showed moderate or severe erythema at the test site. The controls did not show any skin reactions.

4 Conclusion

The challenge treatment with **TBHP-70** caused distinct signs of hypersensitivity in 6 out of the 10 test animals. On the basis of this result it is concluded that, under the conditions of this test and according to the EC-standards (mentioned in EEC Directive 93/21/EC and published in the Official Journal of the European Communities, L 110 A, Volume 36, 4 May 1993), **TBHP-70** is a sensitizer.

5 Sensitivity of the test system

The sensitivity of this test system was checked by means of a positive control study with formaldehyde (37%). Formaldehyde was selected as a reference because of its known weak sensitization potential in the guinea pig maximization test. The results of this positive control study, performed in May/June 2001, are given in Annex 1 and 2 at the end of this report. The challenge treatment with a 10 and 3% test dilution of formaldehyde (37%) induced positive reactions in, respectively, 100 and 60% of the test animals, whereas no skin reactions were observed in the controls. Therefore, it was concluded that the experimental design and the strain of guinea pigs used for routine sensitization testing are suitable to detect possible sensitization potential of test materials.

6 Literature

- Draize, J.H., G. Woodard and H.O. Calvery J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 82 (1944) 377-390.
- EC Directive 96/54/EC, Annex IV C: B.6. Skin sensitization, dated 30 September 1996
- EC standards, EEC-Directive 93/21/EC, Official Journal of the European Communities, L 110 A, Volume 36, 4 May 1993.
- Magnusson, B. and A.M. Kligman J. Invest. Dermat. 52 (1969) 168-276.
- Magnusson, B. and A.M. Kligman Allergic contact dermatitis in the guinea pig; identification of contact allergens, Thomas, Springfield, Illinois, 1970.
- OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, nr. 406, adopted 17 July 1992.

Table 1

Preliminary irritation experiment: Scores obtained after topical applications of various concentrations of **TBHP-70** in physiological saline

Intradermal treatment: Scores at ca 24 h after injection

Animal no.	Concentration			
	3%	1%	0.3%	0.1%
168	1n	1	0	0
170	1n	1	0	0

The grading system is given in Appendix 1.

Topical treatment: Scores at ca 24 and 48 h after a ca 24-hour topical treatment

Animal no.	Concentration:							
	30%		10%		3%		1%	
	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h
176	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
178	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0

The grading system is given in Appendix 1.

Selection of concentrations:

- Intradermal induction : 1% in physiological saline
- Topical induction : 30% in physiological saline
- Topical challenge : 3% in physiological saline

Table 2

Dermal reactions elicited by the challenge application of a 3% dilution of **TBHP-70** in physiological saline

Skin scores obtained at 24 and 48 hours after removal of the dressing		
Animal no.	24 hours	48 hours

Control group

22	0	0
24	0	0
26	0	0
28	sacrificed <i>in extremis</i> , unrelated to test article application (see section 3.2)	
30	0	0


Test group

2	1	2
4	2	3
6	2	3
8	0	0
10	3	3
12	0	0
14	2	2
16	0	0
18	2	3
20	0	0

The grading system is given in Appendix 1.

Figure 1

Position of intradermal injections in the shoulder region of the guinea pig made in the induction phase of the study



1 + 2 = 0.1 ml Freund's Complete Adjuvant (FCA) and saline (1:1), control and test animals

3 + 4 = 0.1 ml of the selected concentration of the test substance in a suitable carrier (test animals), or the carrier alone (controls); no injections are made if the undiluted test substance is given to the test animals

5 + 6 = 0.1 ml of the selected dilution of the test substance in FCA 1:1 (test animals), or FCA and the carrier 1:1 (controls: if the undiluted test substance or a dilution in saline is used, just FCA/saline 1:1 will be given)

Appendix 1

READING OF SKIN REACTIONS

1. Reading of skin reactions after intradermal injection

- 0 = no visible changes
- 1 = slight erythema
- 2 = moderate erythema
- 3 = severe erythema; eschar formation (injuries in depth)

a = abscesses; n = necrosis; i = incrustation

2. Reading of skin reactions after topical application (Magnusson)

- 0 = no visible change
- 1 = discrete or patchy (slight) erythema
- 2 = moderate and confluent erythema
- 3 = intense (severe) erythema and swelling

Appendix 2.1

Individual body weights and skin reactions observed in **controls** during the induction phase of the study with **TBHP-70**

Animal no.	Body weight (g)		Intradermal scores at injection site no.:						Topical
	start	end	1	2	3	4	5	6	
22	231	407	2	2	0	0	2	2	0
24	221	369	2	2	0	0	2	2	1
26	215	374	2	2	0	0	2	2	0
28	223	- ¹	2	2	0	0	2	2	-
30	238	456	2	2	0	0	2	2	0

¹ = animal killed because of a prolapse of the rectum

The grading system is given in Appendix 1.

injection no. 1 and 2 : FCA/saline (1:1)
 injection no. 3 and 4 : vehicle
 injection no. 5 and 6 : FCA/vehicle (1:1)

topical application : physiological saline

Appendix 2.2

Individual body weights and skin reactions observed in test animals during the induction phase of the study with TBHP-70

Animal no.	Body weight (g)		Intradermal scores at injection site no.:						Topical
	start	end	1	2	3	4	5	6	
2	235	418	2	2	0	0	2	2	1
4	262	404	2	2	0	0	2	2	0
6	234	325	2	2	0	0	2	2	1
8	223	379	2	2	0	0	2	2	0
10	239	361	2	2	0	0	2	2	0
12	231	359	2	2	0	0	2	2	0
14	219	372	2	2	0	0	2	2a	1
16	262	430	2	2	0	0	2	2	0
18	229	362	2	2	0	0	2	2	1
20	229	409	2	2	0	0	2	2	1

The grading system is given in Appendix 1.

injection no. 1 and 2: FCA/saline (1:1)

injection no. 3 and 4: selected test concentration

injection no. 5 and 6: selected test concentration in FCA (1:1)

topical application : selected test concentration

Annex 1.1

Individual skin reactions observed in controls during the induction phase of a positive control study with Formaldehyde (study performed in May/June 2001, according to protocol 42113/01.01)

Animal no.	Body weight (g)		Intradermal scores at injection site no.:						Topical
	start	end	1	2	3	4	5	6	
162	296	455	2	2	0	0	2	2	1
164	269	423	2	2	0	0	2	2	1
166	251	393	2	2	0	0	2	2	1

The grading system is given in Appendix 1.

injection no. 1 and 2 : FCA/saline (1:1)

injection no. 3 and 4 : saline

injection no. 5 and 6 : FCA/saline (1:1)

topical application : patches moistened with saline (test sites pretreated with 10% SLS)

Annex 1.2

Individual skin reactions observed in test animals during the induction phase of a positive control with Formaldehyde (study performed in May/June 2001, according to protocol 42113/01.01)

Animal no.	Body weight (g)		Intradermal scores at injection site no.:						Topical
	start	end	1	2	3	4	5	6	
152	292	427	2	2	1	1	2a	2a	2
154	242	372	2	2	1	1	2a	2a	1
156	272	444	2	2	1	1	2a	2a	1
158	276	417	2	2	1	1	2a	2a	2
160	255	410	2	2	1	1	2a	2a	1

a = abscesses

The grading system is given in Appendix 1.

injection no. 1 and 2: FCA/saline (1:1)

injection no. 3 and 4: 0.3% test dilution of formaldehyde (37%) in saline

injection no. 5 and 6: 0.3% test dilution in FCA/saline (1:1)

topical application : 20% test dilution of formaldehyde (37%) in saline (test sites pretreated with 10% SLS)

Annex 2.1

Dermal reactions elicited by the challenge application of a 10% dilution of formaldehyde 37% (study performed in May/June 2001, according to protocol 42113/01.01)

Skin scores obtained at 24 and 48 hours after removal of the dressing		
Animal no.	24 hours	48 hours

Control group

162	0	0
164	0	0
166	0	0

Test group

152	1	1
154	0	1
156	1	2
158	2	3
160	2	2

The grading system is given in Appendix 1.

Annex 2.2

Dermal reactions elicited by the challenge application of a 3% dilution of formaldehyde 37% (study performed in May/June 2001, according to protocol 42113/01.01)

Skin scores obtained at 24 and 48 hours after removal of the dressing		
Animal no.	24 hours	48 hours

Control group

162	0	0
164	0	0
166	0	0

Test group

152	0	0
154	0	0
156	1	1
158	2	2
160	1	2

The grading system is given in Appendix 1.