

UNITED STATES  
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

RECEIVED BY OALJ

2017FEB13 PM 2:02

In the Matter of: ) Docket Number TSCA-HQ-2017-5001  
Tri-iso TryLine LLC )  
f/k/a The TryLine Group LLC )  
Bellevue, WA 98005 ) CIVIL COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF  
Respondent ) OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING  
)

**I. COMPLAINT**

This Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing (Complaint) is filed pursuant to section 16(a) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), 15 U.S.C. § 2615(a), and the *Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits* (Consolidated Rules of Practice), 40 C.F.R. Part 22, a copy of which is enclosed with this Complaint. The Complainant is Gregory Sullivan, Director, Waste and Chemical Enforcement Division, Office of Civil Enforcement, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), who has been duly delegated the authority to institute this action.

The Respondent is the TryLine Group LLC, merged into Tri-iso TryLine LLC, located at 365 118<sup>th</sup> Avenue, SE, Suite 100, Bellevue, WA 98005.

Complainant alleges that Respondent has violated the chemical data reporting (CDR) regulations of section 8(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2607(a) of TSCA as set forth below.

**COUNTS I -VIII**

1. Respondent is a corporation that owns or controls a facility located at 365 118<sup>th</sup> Avenue, SE, Suite 100, Bellevue, WA 98005.
2. Respondent is a “person” as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 710.3 and as such, is subject to TSCA and the regulations promulgated thereunder.

3. Respondent “manufactures” (imports) a “chemical substance” as defined respectively by section 3(9) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C § 2602(9) and section 3(2)(A) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2602(2)(A).
4. As a result of an EPA inspection on May 15, 2013 and its follow-up actions, Complainant found that Respondent violated the CDR reporting requirements at 40 C.F.R. § 711.15.
5. During the calendar year 2011, Respondent manufactured (imported) 25,000 pounds (11,340 kilograms) or more of the following eight (8) reportable chemical substances as described in 40 C.F.R. §§ 711.5 and 711.8.

Chemical 1: Chemical Abstracts Service Registration Number (CASRN): 8050-26-8

Chemical 2: CASRN 7664-38-2

Chemical 3: CASRN 8002-74-2

Chemical 4: CASRN 5567-15-7

Chemical 5: CASRN 8050-31-5

Chemical 6: CASRN 13463-67-7

Chemical 7: CASRN 9003-08-1

Chemical 8: CASRN 8052-10-6

6. Respondent failed to submit a CDR report (Form U), reflecting the total annual volume (in pounds) of the chemical substances manufactured (imported) (as set forth in paragraph 5) by the August 13, 2012 regulatory deadline (see 40 C.F.R. § 711.20) pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 711.15. The Respondent’s failure to submit Form Us for the chemical substances identified in paragraph 5 constitutes the basis for counts I-VIII.
7. Respondent subsequently sent Form Us, dated September 20, 2016, to EPA for the chemical substances set forth in paragraph 5.
8. Respondent’s violation of 40 C.F.R. § 711.15, a rule promulgated under TSCA section 8(a), 15 U.S.C. § 2607(a) is unlawful under section 15(3)(B) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2614 (3)(B).

## **II. CIVIL PENALTY ASSESSMENT**

Section 16 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2615, authorizes the assessment of a civil penalty for violations of TSCA section 15, 15 U.S.C. § 2614, in the maximum amount of \$37,500 for each day of violation.

For purposes of determining the amount of a civil penalty to be assessed, TSCA section 16(a)(2)(B), 15 U.S.C. § 2615(a)(2)(B) requires EPA to take into account the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violations alleged, as well as Respondent's ability to pay, effect on ability to continue to do business, any history of prior such violations, the degree of culpability, and such other matters as justice may require. In developing a proposed penalty, Complainant took into account the particular facts and circumstances of this case; the statutory factors set forth in TSCA section 16(a)(2)(B), 15 U.S.C. § 2615(a)(2)(B) and EPA's *Enforcement Response Policy (ERP) for Reporting and Recordkeeping Rules and Requirements for TSCA Sections 8, 12 and 13* (revised March 31, 1999 and effective June 1, 1999). The TSCA ERP was developed in accordance with the *Guidelines for Assessment of Civil Penalties under Section 16 of the Toxic Substances Control Act; PCB Penalty Policy*, which sets forth a general penalty assessment policy for TSCA violations at 45 Fed. Reg. 59,770 (Sept. 10, 1980). The TSCA ERP provides a rational, consistent, and equitable calculation methodology for applying the statutory factors to particular cases.

Based upon the facts alleged in this Complaint, and upon the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violations alleged, as well as Respondent's ability to pay, effect on ability to continue to do business, any history of prior such violations of TSCA, the degree of culpability, and such other matters as justice may require, the Complainant proposes that Respondent be assessed a penalty of *ONE HUNDRED AND SIXTY THREE THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED AND FORTY FOUR DOLLARS* (\$163,744) for the violations alleged in this Complaint.

### **III. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING**

As provided in TSCA § 16(a)(2)(A), 15 U.S.C. § 2615(a)(2)(A), and consistent with 40 C.F.R. § 22.14, Respondent has the right to request a formal hearing to contest any material fact set forth in this Complaint or to contest the appropriateness of the proposed penalty. To request a hearing pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.15, Respondent must file a written Answer to the Complaint with the Headquarters Hearing Clerk, within thirty (30) days of service of this Complaint, at the following address:

Headquarters Hearing Clerk (1900L)  
United States Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20460

Any hearing requested will be conducted in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 551 *et seq.*, and the Consolidated Rules of Practice. Pursuant to the Consolidated Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.15, Respondent's Answer must clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained in the Complaint of which Respondent has any knowledge. Where Respondent has no knowledge of a particular factual allegation, the Answer should so state. The Answer should contain: (1) the circumstances or arguments which are alleged to constitute the grounds of any defense; (2) the facts which Respondent disputes; (3) the basis for opposing any proposed relief; and (4) a statement as to whether a hearing is requested. The denial of any material fact or the raising of any affirmative defense shall be construed as a request for a hearing. All material facts not denied in the Answer will be considered as admitted.

If Respondent fails to file a written Answer within thirty (30) days of service of this Complaint, such failure shall constitute an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of Respondent's right to a hearing on such factual allegations. Failure to file a written Answer may result in Complainant's filing of a Motion for Default Order imposing the penalties herein without further proceedings.

A copy of Respondent's Answer and all other documents that Respondent files in this action should be sent to the attorney of record assigned to represent EPA in this matter:

Geraldine Gardner, Attorney-Advisor  
Office of Civil Enforcement  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20460  
Telephone: (202) 564-4032  
E-mail: Gardner.Geraldine@epa.gov

**IV. INFORMAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE**

Whether or not Respondent requests a hearing, Respondent may confer informally with EPA to discuss the facts of this case, or amount of the penalty, and the possibility of settlement. An informal settlement conference does not, however, affect Respondent's obligation to file a timely written Answer to the Complaint.

EPA has the authority, where appropriate, to modify the amount of the penalty, once determined, to reflect any settlement reached with Respondent in an informal conference. The terms of such an agreement would be embodied in a Consent Agreement. A Consent Agreement signed by EPA and Respondent would be binding as to all terms and conditions specified therein upon issuance of a Final Order by the Environmental Appeals Board.

Please be advised that the Consolidated Rules of Practice prohibit any *ex parte* (unilateral) discussion of the merits of this action with the Administrator, the members of the Environmental Appeals Board, the assigned Administrative Law Judge, or any person likely to advise these officials in the decision of the case, after the issuance of this Complaint. See 40 C.F.R. § 22.8.

## **V. PAYMENT OF PENALTY**

Instead of filing an Answer, requesting a hearing, or requesting an informal settlement conference, Respondent may choose to pay the proposed penalty to resolve this matter pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(a). Such payment should be made by sending either a cashier's or certified check with a notation of "Tri-iso TryLine LLC," Penalty Docket No. "TSCA-HQ-2017-5001," payable to the order of the "Treasurer, United States of America," to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Fines and Penalties  
Docket No. TSCA-HQ-2017-5001  
Cincinnati Finance Center  
P.O. Box 979077  
St. Louis, MO 63197-9000

or pay by wire transfer with a notation of "Tri-iso TryLine LLC", Penalty Docket No. "TSCA-HQ-2017-5001" by using the following instructions:

Federal Reserve Bank of New York  
ABA = 021030004  
Account = 68010727  
SWIFT address = FRNYUS33  
33 Liberty Street  
New York, NY 10045


Field Tag 4200 of the Fedwire message should read: "D 68010727 Environmental Protection Agency." A copy of the check or other instrument of payment must be sent to the attorney of record assigned to represent EPA in this matter.

**U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**TSCA-HQ-2017-5001**

**In the Matter of: Tri-iso TryLine LLC**

By:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Gregory Sullivan".

Date:

A handwritten date in blue ink, appearing to read "2/10/17".

Gregory Sullivan, Director  
Waste and Chemical Enforcement Division  
Office of Civil Enforcement  
Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

**ATTACHMENT**

*Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits (Consolidated Rules of Practice), 40 C.F.R. Part 22.*

**CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify that the original of the foregoing Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, Docket No. TSCA-HQ-2017-5001, has been filed with the Headquarters Hearing Clerk and that a copy was sent certified mail, return receipt requested to:

Tri-iso TryLine, LLC  
Attn: David Bruck, Chairman and CEO  
365 118<sup>th</sup> Avenue, SE, Suite 100  
Bellevue, WA 98005

Tony R. Ellis  
Tony R. Ellis, Case Development Officer  
Waste and Chemical Enforcement Division  
Office of Civil Enforcement  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Mail Code 2249A)  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 4102D – WJC S.  
Washington, DC 20460

2-13-17

Date