

**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR**

**In the Matter of:**

**Lin-Cor Environmental, LLC,**

**Respondent.**

)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**Docket No. RCRA-01-2009-0081**

**PREHEARING ORDER**

As you have been previously notified, I am designated to preside over this proceeding. This proceeding will be governed by the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits, 40 C.F.R. Part 22. ("Rules of Practice"). The parties are advised to familiarize themselves with the applicable statute(s) and the Rules of Practice. An informal Practice Manual and significant decisions issued by the EPA Office of Administrative Law Judges are accessible on the world wide web at: <http://www.epa.gov/oalj>.

Agency policy strongly supports settlement and the procedures regarding documenting settlements are set forth in Section 22.18 of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.18. The parties are commended for attempting to resolve this matter informally and expeditiously by engaging in alternative dispute resolution. Each party is reminded that pursuing this matter through a hearing and possible appeals will require the expenditure of significant amounts of time and financial resources. The parties should also realistically consider the risk of not prevailing in the proceeding despite such expenditures. A settlement allows the parties to control the outcome of the case, whereas a judicial decision takes such control away. With such thoughts in mind the parties are directed to engage in a settlement conference on or before **June 18, 2010**, and attempt to reach an amicable resolution of this matter. Complainant shall file a status report regarding settlement discussions, without describing the parties' negotiations, on or before **June 25, 2010**. If the case is settled, the Consent Agreement and Final Order signed by the parties should be filed no later than **July 9, 2010**, with a copy sent to the undersigned.

Should a Consent Agreement not be finalized on or before the latter date, the parties must prepare for hearing and shall strictly comply with the prehearing requirements of this Order.

This Order is issued pursuant to Section 22.19(a) of the Rules of Practice. Accordingly, it is directed that the following prehearing exchange take place between the parties:

1. Pursuant to Section 22.19(a) of the Rules of Practice, each party shall file with the Regional Hearing Clerk and shall serve on the opposing party and on the Presiding Judge:

(A) the names of the expert and other witnesses intended to be called at hearing, identifying each as a fact witness or expert witness, with a brief narrative summary of their expected testimony, or a statement that no witnesses will be called;

(B) copies of all documents and exhibits intended to be introduced into evidence. Included among the documents produced shall be a curriculum vita or resume for each identified expert witness. The documents and exhibits shall be identified as Complainant's or Respondent's, as appropriate, and numbered with Arabic numerals (e.g., CX 1 or RX 1); and

(C) a statement as to its views as to the appropriate place of hearing and an estimate of the time needed to present its direct case. See Sections 22.21(d) and 22.19(d) of the Rules of Practice. Also, state whether translation services are necessary in regard to the testimony of any anticipated witness(es), and, if so, state the language to be translated.

2. In addition, Complainant shall submit the following as part of its Initial Prehearing Exchange:

(A) a copy of any report(s) of the inspection referenced in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, and a copy of any field notes, photographs, maps, illustrations, diagrams or videos produced during or as a result of the inspection;

(B) a copy of any documents in support of the allegations in Paragraphs 12, 13 and 15 of the Complaint;

(C) a copy of all documents and/or photographs in support of the factual allegations in Paragraphs 21-23, 25-27, 29-31, 35, 38, 42, 46, 48, 52-53, 57-59, 63-64, 68-69, and 73;

(D) a copy of, or a URL for access via the internet to, the State of Maine Hazardous Waste Management Rules as revised, or relevant portions thereof referenced in the Complaint;

(E) a copy of, or a URL for access via the internet to, any policy or guidance upon which Complainant has relied, or upon which it intends to rely, in consideration of a proposed penalty assessment, including the RCRA Civil Penalty Policy;

(F) a copy of any other documents in support of Complainant's Explanation of

Proposed Penalty; and

(G) a statement regarding whether the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (“PRA”), 44 U.S.C. § 3501 et seq., applies to this proceeding, whether there is a current Office of Management and Budget control number involved herein and whether the provisions of Section 3512 of the PRA are applicable in this case.

3. Respondent shall also submit the following as part of its Prehearing Exchange:

(A) a detailed narrative statement, and a copy of any documents in support, explaining in detail the factual and/or legal bases for denying Paragraph 12 of the Complaint;

(B) if Respondent takes the position that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty, a statement of such position and a copy of any and all documents upon which it intends to rely in support of such position, and

(C) if Respondent takes the position that the proposed relief should be reduced or eliminated on any other grounds, a copy of any and all documents upon which it intends to rely in support of such position.

4. Complainant shall submit as part of its Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange a statement and/or any documents in response to Respondent’s Prehearing Exchange as to paragraphs 3(A) through (C) above.

The prehearing exchanges called for above shall be filed in seriatim fashion, pursuant to the following schedule:

- July 9, 2010** - Complainant’s Initial Prehearing Exchange
- July 30, 2010** - Respondent’s Prehearing Exchange, including any direct and/or rebuttal evidence
- August 13, 2010** - Complainant’s Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange

Section 22.19(a) of the Rules of Practice provides that, except in accordance with Section 22.22(a), any document not included in the prehearing exchange shall not be admitted into evidence, and any witness whose name and testimony summary are not included in the prehearing exchange shall not be allowed to testify. Therefore, each party should thoughtfully prepare its prehearing exchange. Any supplements to a prehearing exchange shall be filed with

an accompanying motion to supplement the prehearing exchange.

The Complaint herein gave Respondent notice and opportunity for a hearing, in accordance with Section 554 of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. § 554. In its Answer to the Complaint, Respondent requested such a hearing. In this regard, Section 554(c)(2) of the APA sets out that a hearing be conducted under Section 556 of the APA. Section 556(d) provides that a party is entitled to present its case or defense by oral or documentary evidence, to submit rebuttal evidence, and to conduct such cross-examination as may be required for a full and true disclosure of the facts. Thus, Respondent has the right to defend against Complainant's charges by way of direct evidence, rebuttal evidence or through cross-examination of Complainant's witness. Respondent is entitled to elect any or all three means to pursue its defenses. If Respondent intends to elect only to conduct cross-examination of Complainant's witnesses and to forgo the presentation of direct and/or rebuttal evidence, Respondent shall serve a statement to that effect on or before the date for filing its prehearing exchange. **Respondent is hereby notified that its failure to either comply with the prehearing exchange requirements set forth herein, or to state that it is electing only to conduct cross-examination of Complainant's witnesses, can result in the entry of a default judgment against it.** Complainant is notified that its failure to file its prehearing exchange in a timely manner can result in a dismissal of the case with prejudice.

**THE MERE PENDENCY OF SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS OR EVEN THE EXISTENCE OF A SETTLEMENT IN PRINCIPLE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A BASIS FOR FAILING TO STRICTLY COMPLY WITH THE PREHEARING EXCHANGE REQUIREMENTS. ONLY THE FILING WITH THE HEARING CLERK OF A FULLY EXECUTED CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER, OR ON AN ORDER OF THE JUDGE, EXCUSES NONCOMPLIANCE WITH FILING DEADLINES.**

The parties are advised **NOT** to include, attach or refer to any terms of settlement offers or agreements in any document submitted to the Presiding Judge, and no copies of Consent Agreements and Final Orders shall be submitted, or attached to any document submitted to the Presiding Judge except those that are fully executed and filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

Prehearing exchange information required by this Order to be sent to the Presiding Judge, as well as any other further pleadings, if sent by mail, shall be addressed as follows:

The Honorable Susan L. Biro, Chief Administrative Law Judge  
Office of Administrative Law Judges  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Mail Code 1900L  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20460

Hand-delivered packages transported by Federal Express or any other delivery service that x-rays their packages as part of its routine security procedures may be sent directly to the Office of the Administrative Law Judges at 1099 14<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 350, Washington, D.C. 20005.

Telephone contact may be made with my legal assistant, Maria Whiting-Beale at (202) 564-6259 or my staff attorney, Lisa Knight, Esquire, at (202) 564-6291. The facsimile number is (202) 565-0044.


If any party wishes to receive, by e-mail or by facsimile, an expedited courtesy copy of decisions and substantive orders issued in this proceeding, that party shall submit a request for expedited courtesy copies by letter addressed to Maria Whiting-Beale, Legal Staff Assistant, Office of Administrative Law Judges, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 1900 L, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20460. The letter shall include the case docket number, the e-mail address and facsimile number to which the copies are to be sent, and a statement as to whether the party requests: (A) expedited courtesy copies of the initial decision and/or any orders on motion for accelerated decision or dismissal, or (B) expedited courtesy copies of all decisions and substantive orders. The undersigned's office will endeavor to comply with such requests, and will send the copies by facsimile, or by e-mail at the office's discretion, but does not guarantee the party's receipt of expedited courtesy copies.

Prior to filing any motion, the moving party is directed to contact the other party or parties to determine whether a party has any objection to the granting of the relief sought in the motion. The motion shall then state the position of the other party or parties. The mere consent of another party to the relief sought does not assure that the motion will be granted and no reliance should be placed on the granting of an unopposed motion. Furthermore, all motions must be submitted in sufficient time to permit the filing of a response by the other party and/or the issuance of a ruling on the motion before any relevant deadline set by this or any subsequent order. Sections 22.16(b) and 22.7(c) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F. R. §§ 22.16(b) and 22.7(c), allow a fifteen-day response period for motions with an additional five days added thereto if the pleading is served by mail. Motions not filed in a timely manner may not be considered.

Furthermore, upon the filing of a motion, a response to a motion, or a reply to a motion, a party may submit a written request for an oral argument on the motion pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.16(d). Included in the request for oral argument shall be a statement as to the proposed appropriate location(s) for the argument to take place. The Office of Administrative Law Judges has acquired access to state of the art videoconferencing capabilities, and strongly encourages the parties to consider utilizing such technology for oral arguments on motions so as to minimize the expenditure of time and monetary resources in connection with such arguments. A request for oral argument may be granted, at the undersigned's discretion, where further clarification and elaboration of arguments would be of assistance in ruling on the motion.

If either party intends to file any dispositive motion regarding liability, such as a motion

for accelerated decision or a motion to dismiss under 40 C.F.R. § 22.20(a), it shall be filed **within thirty days after the due date for Complainant's Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange.**



---


Susan L. Biro  
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Dated: June 3, 2010  
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of Lin-Cor Environmental, LLC, Respondent  
Docket No. RCRA-01-2009-0081

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing **Prehearing Order**, dated June 3, 2010, was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees listed below.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Maria Whiting-Beale  
Staff Assistant

Dated: June 3, 2010

Original And One Copy By Pouch Mail To:

Wanda Santiago  
Regional Hearing Clerk  
U.S. EPA  
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100  
Boston, MA 02109-3912

Copy By Pouch Mail To:

Amanda J. Helwig, Esquire  
Enforcement Counsel (ORA-1801)  
U.S. EPA  
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100  
Boston, MA 02109-3912

Copy By Regular Mail To:

E. Chris L'Hommedieu, Esquire  
L'Hommedieu Law Office, P.A.  
190 Bates Street  
Lewiston, ME 04240