

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 7, 901 NORTH 5TH STREET, KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

STOP RECEIVED

DOCKET NO. <u>CWA-07-2009-0118</u>

On ____June 3, 2009

At: 17605 Highway Z, Dearborn, MO 64439 ,

Owned or operated by Trexcon, Inc., (Respondent), an authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached SPILL PREVENTION CONTROL AND COUNTERMEASURE INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS, AND PROPOSED PENALTY FORM (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

This proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(6)(B)(i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b). The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$1050.

This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

The EPA finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 C.F.R. Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. Respondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 C.F.R. Part 112 and that the EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to the EPA's jurisdiction. Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$1050, payable to the "Environmental Protection Agency," via certified mail to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Box 979077 St. Louis, Missouri 63197-9000

and Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check Docket No. CWA-07-2009-0118 and "OSLTF = 311." The original, signed Settlement Agreement and copy of the penalty payment check must be sent via certified mail to:

Paula Higbee
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 7, AWMD/STOP
901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, Kansas 66101

This Expedited Settlement resolves Respondent's liability for federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, the EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, the EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to the EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to the EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

APPROVED BY THE ERA
Chief, Storage Tanks and Oil Pollution Branch
Airland Waste Management Division
APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:
Name (print): 1 Rexcon. Luc, Lower Hart
Title (print): JAGE Den +

The estimated cost for correcting the violation(s) is:

s 300,000°

Signature:

IT ÎŞ SO ORDERED:

Robert L. Patrick

Regional Judicial Officer

___ Date: Jan. 25, 20/0



Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 7 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Respondent:	Docket Number:		
Trex Mart	C W A 2 0 0 9 - 0 1 1 8		
Facility Name:	Date:		
	June 3, 2009		
Address:	Inspection Number:		
17605 Highway Z	F Y - I N S P -		
City:	Inspector's Name:		
Dearborn	Adam Ruiz		
State: Zip Code:	EPA Approving Official:		
MO 64439	Margaret Stockdale, Branch Chief, AMWD/STOP		
Contact:	Enforcement Contacts:		
Beverly Bolinger	Paula Higbee 913-551-7028		
Summary of Findings (Bulk Storage Facilities) GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7(a), (b), (c), (d) (When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allowable of \$1,000.00.)			
No Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Pl Plan not certified by a professional engineer- 112.3(a)	an- 112.3		
No management approval of plan- 112.7	300.00		
Plan not maintained on site (applies if facility is mai	nned at least four (4) hours per day)- 112.3(e)(1)100.00		
Plan not available for review- 1/2.3(e)(1)	300.00		
X No evidence of five-year review of plan by owner/or	perator- 112.5(b)50.00		
No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a change or maintenance which affects the facility's discharge	ge in: design, construction, operation, potential- 112.5(a)		
Amendment(s) not certified by a professional engine	er- 112.5(c)100.00		
Plan does not follow sequence of the rule and/or cros	ss-reference not provided- 112.7100.00		
Plan does not discuss additional procedures/methods	/equipment not yet fully operational- 112.750.00		

		•
	Plan does not discuss conformance with SPCC requirement- 112.7(a)(1)	50.00
	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements- 112.7(a)(2)	50.00
	Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram- 112.7(a)(3)	50.00
	Plan has inadequate or no description of the physical layout of the facility- 112.7(a)(3)(i-vi)	100.00
	Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge- 112.7(a)(4)	100.00
	Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur- 112.7(a)(5)	100.00
	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges- 112.7(b)	100.00
	Plan does not discuss appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipment-112.7(c)	100.00
	- If claiming impracticability of appropriate containment/diversionary structures:	•
	Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated- 112.7(d)	400.00
	No contingency plan- 112.7(d)(1)	100.00
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)	100.00
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of conformance with SPCC rules or applicable State rules, regulations and guidelines- 112.7(j)	50.00
· =	WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)	
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)	50.00
	- Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records:	
	Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e)	50.00
	Are not kept with the plan- 112.7(e)	50.00
X	Are not maintained for three years- 112.7(e)	50.00
	PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)	
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges- 112.7(f)(1)	50.00
	No training on discharge procedure protocols- 112.7(f)(1)	50.00
X	No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules, and regulations- 112.7(f)(1)	50.00
	No training on general facility operations- 112.7(f)(1)	50.00
	No training on the contents of the SPCC Plan-112.7(f)(1)	
	No designated person accountable for spill prevention- 112.7(f)(2)	50.00
	Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted periodically- 112.7(f)(3)	50.00

	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures
	SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(g)
X	Facility not fully fenced and entrance gates are not locked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production- 112.7(g)(1)
	Master flow and drain valves that permit direct outward flow to the surface are not secured in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status- 112.7(g)(2)
	Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status- 112.7(g)(3)
	Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status- 112.7(g)(4)
	Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism- 112.7(g)(5)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security
-	FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING RACK 112.7(h)
	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quick drainage system- 112.7(h)(1)
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(1)
	There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines- 112.7(h)(2)200.00
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(3)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack
	FACILITY DRAINAGE FROM DIKED AREAS 112.8(b) & (c)
X	Valves used for drainage from diked storage areas to drainage system, watercourse, or effluent treatment system not controlled to prevent a discharge- 112.8(b)(2)
	Run-off rainwater from diked areas is not inspected- 112.8(c)(3)(ii)
	Valves not opened and resealed under responsible supervision- 112.8(c)(3)(iii)100.00
	Adequate records (or NPDES permit records) of drainage from diked areas not maintained- 112.8(c)(3)(iv) 50.00
	FACILITY DRAINAGE FROM UNDIKED AREAS 112.8(b)
X	Drainage from undiked areas do not flow into catchment basins ponds, or lagoons, or no diversion systems to retain or return a discharge to the facility- 112.8(b)(3)&(4)
	Two "lift" pumps are not provided for more that one treatment unit-112.8(b)(5)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage

BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.8(c)

lysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground 50.00	
not compatible to the oil stored and the conditions of storage 112.8(c)(1)	<u> </u>
be inadequate- 112.8(c)(2) 500.00	
ralls and floors are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil- 112.8(c)(2) 250.00	
s the integrity and/or walls slightly eroded200.00	X
t sealed closed when not draining rainwater- 112.8(c)(3)(i)	
rotected from corrosion or are not subjected to 100.00	
buried sections protected from corrosion- 112.8(c)(5)	
to visual inspections- 112.8(c)(6)	
to periodic integrity testing, such as hydrostatic, (c)(6)	
ary business records) do not include inspections of tank discharges and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas- 112.8(c)(6) 100.00	
eating coils which discharge into an open water course are ttling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)	
eered if:	Cont
vel alarm- 112.8(c)(8)(i), or300.00	
evices- 112.8(c)(8)(ii), or	
ications between tank gauger and pumping station- 112.8(c)(8)(iii), or300.00	
ining liquid levels, such as computers, telepulse or	
evices to ensure proper operation- 112.8(c)(8)(v)	
discharge directly to navigable waters are not observed (c)(9)	E fi
lations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected- 112.8(c)(10) 300.00	
ers are not positioned to prevent discharged oil from reaching	M N
for mobile or portable storage tanks- 112.8(c)(11)	

Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks
 FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d)
Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection -112.8(d)(1)100.00
Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found- 112.8(d)(1)300.00
Not-in-service or standby piping are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin- 112.8(d)(2)50.00
Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction- 112.8(d)(3)
Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly- 112.8(d)(4)200.00
Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted- 112.8(d)(4)
Vehicle traffic is not warned of aboveground piping or other oil transfer operations- 112.8(d)(5)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and facility process50.00
ጥርጥልን ቀ 1 በጀበ

IN THE MATTER OF Trexcon, Inc., Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2009-0118

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Expedited SPCC Settlement Agreement was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy hand delivered to Attorney for Complainant:

Howard Bunch Assistant Regional Counsel Region VII United States Environmental Protection Agency 901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Copy by Certified Mail Return Receipt to:

Beverly Bolinger Trex Mart 17605 Highway Z Dearborn, Missouri 64439

Dated:

Kathy Robinson

Hearing Clerk, Region 7