UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION VII** 901 N. 5th Street KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

05 JUN 28 PM 2:57

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY-REGION VII **REGIONAL HEARING CLERK**

BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

Scott Lauritsen and) Docket No. CWA-07-2005-0248
Denise Lauritsen)
d.b.a.)
LAURITSEN CATTLE COMPANY Audubon County, Iowa)))
Respondents,)) FINDING OF VIOLATION) ORDER FOR COMPLIANCE)
Proceedings under)
Section 309(a)(3))
of the Clean Water Act,)
33 U.S.C. § 1319(a)(3))

Preliminary Statement

- The following Findings of Violation are made and Order for Compliance ("Order") 1. issued pursuant to the authority of Section 309(a)(3) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA" or "Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(a)(3). This authority has been delegated by the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") to the Regional Administrator, EPA Region VII, and redelegated to the Director of Region VII's Water, Wetlands, and Pesticides Division.
- 2. The Respondents are Scott and Denise Lauritsen ("Respondents"), doing business as Lauritsen Cattle Company, who own and operate a concentrated animal feeding operation ("Facility") located in Section 8 of Township 78 North, Range 35 West, in Audubon County, Iowa.

Statutory and Regulatory Authority

3. Section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1311(a), prohibits the discharge of pollutants except in compliance with, inter alia, Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.

- 4. Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342, provides that pollutants may be discharged only in accordance with the terms of a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit issued pursuant to that Section.
- 5. Section 504(12) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(12), defines the term "discharge of pollutant" to include "any addition of any pollutant to navigable waters from any point source."
- 6. To implement Section 402 of the CWA, the EPA promulgated regulations codified at 40 C.F.R. § 122. Under 40 C.F.R. § 122.1, a NPDES permit is required for the discharge of pollutants from any point source into waters of the United States.
- 7. "Pollutant" is defined by Section 502(6) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. 1362 to include, *inter alia*, biological materials and agricultural waste discharged to water.
- 8. "Point source" is defined by Section 502(14) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362 to include "any discernible, confined and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation . . . from which pollutants are or may be discharged."
- 9. "Animal feeding operation" or "AFO" is defined by 40 C.F.R. § 122.23(b)(1) as a lot or facility where animals have been, are, or will be stabled or confined and fed or maintained for a total of 45 days or more in any twelve month period, and where crops, vegetation, forage growth, or post-harvest residues are not sustained in the normal growing season over any portion of the lot or facility.
- 10. "Concentrated animal feeding operation" or "CAFO" is defined by 40 C.F.R.
 § 122.23(b)(2) as an animal feeding operation that is defined as a Large CAFO in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 122.23(b)(3).
- 11. "Large CAFO" is defined according to 40 C.F.R. § 122.23(b)(4)(iii) as an animal feeding operation that stables or confines more than "1,000 cattle other than mature dairy cows or veal calves."
- 12. "Waters of the United States" are defined in 40 C.F.R. § 122.2 to include intrastate rivers and streams, and tributaries thereto.
- 13. The Iowa Department of Natural Resources ("IDNR") is the agency within the State of Iowa with the delegated authority to administer the federal NPDES Program. The EPA maintains concurrent enforcement authority with delegated state NPDES programs for

violations of the CWA.

Factual Background and Findings of Violation

- 14. Respondents own and operate an animal feeding operation that is located in Section 8 of Township 78 North, Range 35 West, in Audubon County, Iowa.
- 15. On April 28, 2005, EPA personnel conducted a compliance evaluation inspection of the Facility.
- 16. The Facility confines and feeds or maintains cattle for a total of forty-five (45) days or more in any twelve month period.
- 17. Neither crops, vegetation, forage growth, nor post harvest residues are sustained over any portion of the Facility's feeding areas.
- 18. The Facility is an AFO as defined by 40 C.F.R. §122.23(b)(1), and as that phrase is used in Section 502(14) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14).
- 19. At the time of the EPA inspection, the Facility was confining approximately 3,500 head of cattle. The number of cattle confined and fed at the Facility is greater than 1,000 therefore the Facility is a large CAFO as that term is defined in 40 C.F.R. §122.23(b)(4).
- 20. Respondents do not have an NPDES permit for the Facility.
- 21. A majority of the runoff from Respondents' feeding area flows south into a county road ditch which in turn flows southwest for approximately 1.75 miles until it reaches the East Nishnabotna River. Runoff from a portion of the Respondents' northern feeding area flows east into an unnamed tributary of the East Nishnabotna River. This unnamed tributary runs east from Respondents' property and meets up with the East Nishnabotna River at a confluence that is located approximately 0.5 miles east of the facility.
- 22. The East Nishnabotna River and its tributaries are waters of the United States, as defined under 40 C.F.R. Part 122.2.
- 23. The Facility does not have adequate livestock waste control facilities to prevent the discharge of animal waste to the East Nishnabotna River or its tributaries.
- 24. Based on the size of the Facility, the distance from the Facility to waters of the United States, and the slope and condition of the land across that distance, wastewater containing pollutants from open feeding areas at the Facility will continue to flow into the East Nishnabotna River or its tributaries during significant precipitation events.

In the Matter of Lauritsen Cattle Company Docket No. CWA-07-2005-0248

25. The flow of wastewater from Respondents' Facility to the East Nishnabotna River and its tributaries constitutes unauthorized discharges of pollutants from a point source to waters of the United States. This is a violation of Sections 301 and 402 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1311 and 1342, and implementing regulations.

Order For Compliance

Based on the Findings of Violation set forth above, and pursuant to Sections 308(a) and 309(a)(3) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1318(a) and 1319(a)(3), Respondents are hereby ORDERED to take the following actions to eliminate its violations of the CWA:

- 26. Within fifteen (15) days of the effective date of this Order, Respondents shall submit an Interim Measures Plan to the EPA for review and approval. The Plan shall describe, in detail, actions that Respondents will take to reduce the discharge of runoff from Respondents' feedlot to waters of the United States. The Plan shall also include a schedule for implementation of the interim measures. The interim controls shall remain in place and operated until Respondents complete construction of the feedlot waste control structures necessary to comply with the Clean Water Act. Upon EPA approval of the Interim Measures Plan, Respondents shall implement the Plan immediately.
- 27. Respondents shall apply to the IDNR for a NPDES permit for the Facility.
- 28. By January 1, 2006, Respondents shall complete construction of feedlot waste control structures that prevent the unauthorized discharges from the Facility to waters of the United States. If construction is not completed by this date, Respondents shall cease operations within areas of the Facility where feedlot runoff cannot be managed to prevent discharges to waters of the United States.
- 29. Beginning thirty (30) days after the effective date of this Order and continuing monthly on the seventh of each month until Respondents submit a Notice of Construction Completion to the EPA, Respondents shall submit written monthly progress reports to the EPA that describe, in detail, the construction and related activities that occurred at the facility during the reporting period, construction and related activities anticipated during the upcoming reporting period, and a description of any problems encountered or anticipated and how these problems were/will be addressed.
- 30. Respondents shall submit to EPA a Notice for Construction Completion within thirty (30) days of when construction of all feedlot waste control structures is completed. The notification shall be in writing and shall include as-built drawings of the constructed improvements.

31. Upon receipt of this Order and continuing until such time as Respondents are issued an NPDES Permit for the Facility, Respondents shall maintain the following operational records: 1) storage facility liquid levels after every event with the potential to change the amount of liquid in the storage facility; 2) land application records, including dates, location, amounts applied, and application rates; and 3) precipitation records. Respondents shall submit copies of these records to the EPA on a quarterly basis for the periods of January-March, April-June, July-September and October-December. Records must be submitted on or before the 7th day of the month following the end of the period (October 7, January 7, April 7 and July 7). Upon issuance of an NPDES permit, Respondents shall maintain and submit records as required by the NPDES permit.

Effect of Order

- 32. Compliance with the terms of this Order shall not relieve Respondents of liability for, or preclude the EPA from initiating an administrative or judicial enforcement action to recover penalties for any violations of the CWA, or seek additional injunctive relief, pursuant to Section 309 of the CWA, 42 U.S.C. § 1319.
- 33. This Order shall not constitute a permit under the CWA. Compliance with the terms of this Order shall not relieve Respondents of their responsibility to obtain any required local, state and/or federal permits.
- 34. Nothing in this Order shall limit the EPA's right to obtain access to, and/or inspect Respondents' Facility, and/or to request additional information from Respondents, pursuant to the authority of Section 308 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1318.
- 35. The EPA may subsequently amend this Order in accordance with the authority of the CWA. For example, the EPA may amend this Order to address any noncompliance with the CWA, including, but not limited to, any noncompliance with the requirements of Section 402 of the CWA. In the event of any such subsequent amendment to this Order, all requirements for performance of this Order not affected by the amendment shall remain as specified by this original Order.
- 36. If any provision or authority of this Order or the application of this Order to Respondents is held by federal judicial authority to be invalid, the application to Respondents of the remainder of this Order shall remain in full force and effect and shall not be affected by such a holding.
- 37. All submissions to the EPA required by this Order shall be sent to:

5

In the Matter of Lauritsen Cattle Company Docket No. CWA-07-2005-0248

Stephen Pollard CAFO Enforcement Program Water, Wetlands and Pesticides Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region VII 901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, KS 66101

- 38. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. 2.201-2.311, Respondents may assert a business confidentiality claim covering any portion of the submitted information which is entitled to confidential treatment and which is not effluent data. For any such claim, describe the basis for the claim under the applicable regulation. Any material for which business confidentiality is claimed should be placed in a separate envelope labeled, "Confidential Business Information." Failure to assert a claim in the manner described in 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b) allows the EPA to release the submitted information to the public without further notice. The EPA may disclose information subject to the business confidentiality claim only to the extent set forth in the above-cited regulations. Special rules governing information obtained under the Clean Water Act appear in 40 C.F.R. § 2.302.
- 39. Notice is hereby given that violation of, or failure to comply with, any of the provisions of the foregoing Order may subject Respondents to (1) civil penalties of up to \$32,500 per day for each violation, pursuant to Section 309(d) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d); or (2) civil action in federal court for injunctive relief, pursuant to Section 309(b) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(b).
- 40. The terms of this Order shall be effective and enforceable against Respondents upon their receipt of the Order.

Date 6/28/05

Leo/J. Alder

Director Water, Wetlands and Pesticides Division

J. Daniel Breedlove Assistant Regional Counsel

In the Matter of Lauritsen Cattle Company Docket No. CWA-07-2005-0248

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the date note below I filed the original and one true and correct copy of the signed original Findings of Violation and Order of Compliance with the Regional Hearing Clerk, Region VII.

I further certify that I sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, a true and correct copy of the signed original Findings of Violation and Order for Compliance together with cover letter and small business assistance information, to the following.

Scott and Denise Lauritsen Lauritsen Cattle Company 3015 Highway 71 Exira, Iowa 50076

I further certify that on the date noted below, I sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, a true and correct copy of the signed original Findings of Violation and Order for Compliance to the following representative of the State of Iowa:

Barbara Lynch Bureau Chief Compliance & Enforcement Bureau Iowa Department of Natural Resources Wallace State Office Building 900 East Grand Des Moines, Iowa 50319

j.DI ku

C/25/05

Date