1	UNITED STATES			
2	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY			
3		REGION 8		
4		Docket No. TSCA-08-2007-0009		
5		,		
6	In the Matter of:	)		
7		)		
8	Mack Irrevocable Trust,	) PENALTY COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING		
0	Respondent.	)		
1 2	INTRO	ODUCTION (JURISDICTION)		
2				
4	<ol> <li>This civil administra</li> </ol>	tive enforcement action is authorized by Congress in the		
5	Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act ("Residential Lead Hazard Act") and the			
6	Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA"). 42 U.S.C. § 4851 et seq, and 15 U.S.C. § 2601 et seq.			
7		statutes are set out in part 745, subpart F of title 40 of the		
8	Code of Federal Regulations and, as set out in 42 U.S.C. § 4852d (b)(5), violations of the			
9	regulations constitute violations of section 16 of TSCA. The rules for this proceeding are the			
20	"Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties,			
21	Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders and the Revocation, Termination or			
22	Suspension of Permits ("Rules of P	ractice")," 40 C.F.R. part 22, a copy of which is enclosed.		
23				
24		A officials have been properly delegated the authority to issue		
25	this action.			
26				
27		spondent has failed to comply with federal regulations		
28		ed paint hazards, found at 40 C.F.R. part 745, subpart F and,		
29		dential Lead Hazard Act and TSCA. As a result, EPA		
30		enalty, as more fully explained below. 42 U.S.C.		
3 1	§ 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.			
32				
33	NOTICE OF	OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING		
34				
35		right to a public hearing before an administrative law judge		
36	(ALJ) to contest (1) any fact alleged by EPA in the complaint, or (2) the appropriateness of the			
37	proposed penalty, or both.			
88	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Complete a language falt to a harring Proposition		
19		Complaint and assert your right to a hearing, Respondent		
10	must file a written answer (and one copy) with the Regional Hearing Clerk (1595 Wynkoop			
11	Street, Denver, CO 80202-1129) not more than 30 days after receiving this Complaint and provide a copy to the enforcement attorney listed below. The answer must clearly admit, deny or			
12 13				
14	explain the factual allegations of the Complaint, the grounds for any defense, the facts you may dispute, and your specific request for a public hearing. Please see section 22.15 of the Rules of			

Practice for a complete description of what must be in your answer. FAILURE TO FILE AN ANSWER AND REQUEST FOR HEARING WITHIN 30 DAYS MAY WAIVE RESPONDENT'S RIGHT TO DISAGREE WITH THE ALLEGATIONS OR PROPOSED PENALTY AND RESULT IN A DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PENALTY PROPOSED IN THE COMPLAINT.

5 6

1

2

3

4

7

8 9

10

15 16

17 18

19

20

25 26 27

28 29

30

31

36

37

38 39 40

41 42

## QUICK RESOLUTION

Respondent may resolve this proceeding at any time by paying the specific penalty proposed in the Complaint. Such payment need not contain any response to, or admission of, the allegations in the Complaint. Such payment constitutes a waiver of Respondent's right to contest the allegations and to appeal the final order. See section 22.18 of the Rules of Practice for a full explanation of the quick resolution process, including how extensions of time to pay can be obtained.

## SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS

EPA encourages settlement discussions through informal settlement conferences. If you want to pursue the possibility of settling this matter, or have any other questions, contact Eduardo Quintana, Senior Enforcement Attorney, at 303-312-6924 or 1-800-227-8917; extension 312-6924 or at the address below. Please note that contacting the attorney or requesting a settlement conference does NOT delay the running of the 30 day period for either paying the penalty or filing an answer and requesting a hearing.

#### ALLEGATIONS

- Respondent is the Mack Irrevocable Trust, ("Respondent"). 8.
- EPA regulations require, among other things, that an owner of housing 9. constructed before 1978 shall, prior to obligating a lessee under a contract to lease or rent the housing, provide or include in or attach to the leasing contract, (1) an EPA-approved lead hazard information pamphlet, (2) a lead warning statement, (3) a statement disclosing the presence of any known lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards (or lack of knowledge of such presence), (4) a list of any records or reports available to the owner related to lead-based paint or hazards (or a statement that no such records exist), (5) a statement by the renter/lessee that he/she received the above information, and (6) signatures (dated) by both parties certifying the accuracy of their statements. 40 C.F.R. §§ 745.107(a)(1) and 745.113(b).
- Respondent is, and at all times relevant to this Complaint has been, the owner, as that term is defined in 40 C.F.R. § 745.103, of the Grosvenor Arms Apartments property located at 333 E. 16th Ave., Denver, Colorado 80203.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	

38

39

40

41 42

- 11. The property located at 333 E. 16<sup>th</sup> Ave. is "residential real property" within the meaning of § 1004(24) of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992, 42 U.S.C. § 4851b(24), that was constructed before 1978.
- 12. The Grosvenor Arms Apartments property consists of approximately 43 "residential dwelling" units, within the meaning of § 1004(23) of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992, 42 U.S.C. § 4851b(23), and 40 C.F.R. § 745.103.
- 13. Prior to February 15, 2007, Respondent was not providing an EPA-approved lead hazard information pamphlet prior to entering into lease contracts and was not including a lead warning disclosure statement within its lease contracts.
- 14. On March 4, 2007, Respondent entered into written lease agreement (lease contract) with Joshua Foust for the rental of Apartment 105, which is a residential apartment unit in the Grosvenor Arms Apartments property. As part of entering into this lease agreement, Respondent failed to comply with the following requirements:
  - a. Respondent failed to provide an EPA-approved lead hazard information pamphlet prior to entering into the lease contract of Apartment 105 with Joshua Foust as described above in violation of 40 C.F.R. § 745.107(a)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.
  - b. Respondent failed to include a lead warning statement within the lease contract described above before the renter/lessee was obligated in violation of 40 C.F.R. § 745.113(b)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.
  - c. Respondent failed to include a statement disclosing the presence of any known lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards (or lack of knowledge of such presence), within the lease contract described above before the renter/lessee was obligated in violation of 40 C.F.R. § 745.113(b)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.
  - d. Respondent failed to include a list of any records or reports available to the owner related to lead-based paint or hazards (or a statement that no such records exist) within the lease contract described above before the renter/lessee was obligated in violation of 40 C.F.R. § 745.113(b)(3), 42 U.S.C. § 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.
  - e. Respondent failed to include a statement by the renter/lessee that he received the information described above in violation 40 C.F.R. § 745.113(b)(4), 42 U.S.C. § 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.

5 6

7 8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15 16

17 18

23

28 29 30

31 32 33

34

35

36

37 38

39 40 41

42 43 ſ. Respondent failed to include the signature of the lessor and lessee certifying to the accuracy of the their statements, to the best of their knowledge along with the dates of signature, in violation 40 C.F.R. § 745.113(b)(6), 42 U.S.C. § 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.

### PROPOSED CIVIL PENALTY

- The Residential Lead Hazard Act and TSCA, as amended by subsequent penalty adjustment law, authorize the assessment of a civil penalty of up to \$11,000 for each violation of the EPA regulations. In determining the amount of any civil penalty assessed, EPA is required to take into account the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation or violations alleged and, with respect to the violator, ability to pay, effect on ability to continue to do business, any history of prior such violations, the degree of culpability, and such other factors as justice may require. EPA proposes that a penalty of Six Thousand One Hundred and Eighty-Seven Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$6,187.50) be assessed against Respondent for the violations alleged above.
- EPA calculates penalties through the application of a national enforcement response policy that provides a rational, consistent and equitable calculation methodology for applying the statutory factors to particular cases. As discussed in the policy, the severity of each violation alleged in the complaint is based on the extent to which each violation impairs the ability of a lessee to assess information regarding hazards associated with lead-based paint, and precludes the lessee from making a fully informed decision whether to lease the housing or take appropriate measures to protect against lead-based paint hazards.
- The penalty was calculated using the Section 1018 of Title X of the Residential 17. Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act – Disclosure Rule Enforcement Response Policy (ERP), dated February 2000, a copy of which is enclosed.
- Nature, Circumstances, Extent and Gravity of Violations
- The nature of the violations is hazard assessment, as discussed on page 9 of the ERP. The circumstance level of the violations ranged from Level 1 to Level 6, based on the circumstance level matrix in Appendix of the ERP. The extent level of the violations was minor, based on the extent level matrix on page B-4 of the ERP. Using the gravity-based penalty matrix on page B-4 of the ERP, which combines the circumstance and extent level for each Count, the gravity-based penalty amount is \$4,950.00.
- Statutory Factors
- Ability to pay/ability to continue in business: EPA does not have any information on the Respondent's ability to pay. No adjustment has been made using this factor.

History of prior violations: This factor only adjusts the penalty upward. No history of prior violations found, so no adjustment has been made using this factor

Degree of culpability: The Residential Lead Hazard Act has been in effect since 1996. The Respondent should have had sufficient knowledge to recognize the hazard created by his

conduct, and/or significant control over the situation to avoid committing the violation. A notice

of noncompliance was issued to Respondent on or about December 2, 1998. EPA has increased

Other factors as justice may require:

the penalty by 25%.

No adjustments made at this time regarding these factors.

# The total adjusted penalty is \$6,187.50.

 18. The ALJ is not bound by EPA's penalty policy nor the penalty proposed in the Complaint and may assess a penalty above the proposed amount, up to the maximum amount authorized by the statute.

	of: Mack Irrevocable Ti SCA-08-2007-0009	rust
		questions you may have about this process, please cement Attorney, at the number or address below.
		ited States Environmental Protection Agency gion 8, Office of Enforcement, Compliance and Environmental Justice, Complainant
Date:	Ву	: Martin Hestmark, Director Technical Enforcement Program
SEP 'Date:	2   2007 By	Michael T. Risner, Director David J. Janik, Supervisory Attorney
Date: <u>-9/(8</u>	2007	Eduardo Quintana, Senior Enforcement Attorney Legal Enforcement Program U.S.E.P.A. Region 8 1595 Wynkoop Street (ENF-L) Denver: CO 80202-1129 303.312.6924 1.800.227.6924; ext. 312-6924

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE		
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY		
REGION 8		
	CAA	
I hereby certify that on this \(\frac{75}{25}\) day of \(\frac{56ptember}{2007}\), a copy of the foregoing		
Penalty Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, with enclosures was served by		
certified mail, return receip	pt requested to:	
	No Dide India	
	Mr. Richard Pfeifer	
	Mack Irrevocable Trust	
	2701 Alcott St. Ste. 468	
	Denver, CO 80211	
The original and one copy was hand-delivered to:		
	Tina Artemis	
	Region 8 Hearing Clerk	
	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	
	1595 Wynkoop Street	
	Denver, Colorado 80202	
s = 2 2007	A Landing Control of the Control of	
	Simplify (1)	
Date:	Signature: June and	
	Name and Title:	
	The wife the same of the same	