



September 27, 2008

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

HP Hood, LLC 19 Ward Street Vernon, NY 13476 Attn: Phil Campbell, Manager

RE: In the Matter of HP Hood, LLC

Docket No. CERCLA-02-2008-2031

Dear Mr. Campbell,

Enclosed is the Complaint and Notice of Opportunity For Hearing and supporting documents in the above-referenced proceeding. This Complaint alleges violations of the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act.

You have the right to a formal hearing to contest any of the allegations in the Complaint and/or to contest the penalty proposed in the Complaint.

If you wish to contest the allegations or the penalty proposed in the Complaint, you must file an Answer within thirty (30) days of your receipt of the enclosed Complaint with the Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA") Regional Hearing Clerk at the following address:

Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 290 Broadway, 16th Floor New York, New York 10007-1866

If you do not file an Answer within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Complaint and you have not obtained a formal extension for filing an Answer from the Regional Judicial Officer, a default

order may be entered against you and the entire proposed penalty may be assessed without further proceedings.

Whether or not you request a formal hearing, you may request an informal conference with EPA to discuss any issue relating to the alleged violations and the amount of the proposed penalty. EPA encourages all parties against whom it files a Complaint to pursue the possibility of settlement and to have an informal conference with EPA. However, a request for an informal conference *does not* substitute for a written Answer, affect what you may choose to say in an Answer, or extend the thirty (30) days by which you must file an Answer requesting a hearing.

The proposed civil penalties in this matter have been determined in accordance with EPA's "Enforcement Response Policy for Sections 304, 311, and 312 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act and Section 103 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act," dated September 30, 1999 as modified pursuant to the June 5, 2006 memorandum from Stephanie Brown, Acting Director, Toxics and Pesticides Enforcement Division, Office of Civil Enforcement. A copy of this policy is enclosed.

Furthermore, enclosed is a copy of the "Consolidated Rules of Practice," which govern this proceeding. For your general information and use, I have also enclosed a "Notice of SEC Registrants' Duty to Disclose Environmental Legal Proceedings," which may or may not apply to you.

If you have any questions or wish to schedule an informal settlement conference, please contact the attorney for this case, Jean Regna, at (212) 637-3164 or at her address, as listed in the Complaint.

Sincerely yours,

George Pavlou, Acting Director

Emergency and Remedial Response Division

Enclosures

cc: Karen Maples, Regional Hearing Clerk

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REG.: REGION 2 PROTECTION S ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REG.: REGION 2 REGION 2

In the Matter of:

Docket No. CERCLA-02-2008-2031

HP Hood, LLC

Madministrative Complaint under

Section 109 of the Comprehensive

Vernon, NY 13476

Environmental Response, Compensation,
and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. § 9609, and
Section 325 of the Emergency Planning
and Community Right to Know Act,
42 U.S.C. § 11045.

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT

I. STATUTORY AUTHORITY

- 1. This Complaint ("Complaint") initiates an administrative action for the assessment of civil penalties pursuant to Section 109 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9609, and Section 325 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act ("EPCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 11045. The Complainant in this action is the Director of the Emergency and Remedial Response Division of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), Region 2, who has been delegated the authority to institute this action.
- 2. Section 109 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9609, provides for the assessment of penalties for violations of Section 103 of CERCLA. Section 325 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045, provides for the assessment of penalties for violations of Section 304 of EPCRA.
- 3. Section 103(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9603(a), requires any person in charge of a vessel or offshore or onshore facility, as soon as he or she has knowledge of a release (other than a federally permitted release) of a hazardous substance from such vessel or facility in a quantity equal to or greater than the reportable quantity, as designated pursuant to Section 102 of CERCLA, to immediately notify the National Response Center ("NRC") of such release.
- 4. Section 304 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11004, requires immediate notice by the owner or operator of a facility to the local emergency planning committees ("LEPCs") and the state emergency response commissions ("SERCs") for any area and state likely to be affected by a release if the release is of an extremely hazardous substance referred to in Section 302 of EPCRA, and it occurs from a facility at which a hazardous chemical is produced, used, or stored. Section 304(c) of EPCRA requires any owner or operator of a facility from which there has been a release that is reportable under Section 304(a) of EPCRA to provide, as soon as practicable, a

follow-up written notice (or notices) to the SERC and LEPC updating the information required under Section 304(b) of EPCRA.

II. FINDINGS OF VIOLATIONS

- 5. Respondent is, and at all times referred to herein was a "person," within the meaning of Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(21), and Section 329(7) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(7).
- 6. Respondent is the operator of a dairy processing and manufacturing facility, located at 19 Ward Street, Vernon, New York 13476 (the "Facility"), which is a "facility," as defined in Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9) and Section 329(4) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(4).
- 7. Respondent was in charge of the Facility at the time of the release described below.
- 8. The Facility is located near both residential and commercial areas and an elementary school.
 - 9. Ammonia (anhydrous) is used in the refrigeration system at the Facility.
- 10. On or about July 15, 2007 at approximately 1:00 a.m., a "release," as defined in Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(22), and Section 329(8) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(8), of ammonia (anhydrous) was detected in the Facility's manufacturing compressor room by one of Respondent's employees during the routine performance of his duties as a Maintenance Technician. The release of ammonia (anhydrous) into the air continued until approximately 2:30 a.m. on July 15, 2007 when the Facility's hazardous material team entered the compressor room and closed the valves of the leaking compressor.
- 11. Ammonia is a "hazardous substance," as defined in Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14), and is an "extremely hazardous substance," as defined in Section 329(3) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(3).
- 12. The reportable quantity for ammonia is 100 pounds, as specified in 40 C.F.R. Sections 302.4 and 355.
- 13. Respondent did not begin to calculate the amount of ammonia released during the July 15, 2007 ammonia release until the following business day, Monday July 16, 2007, and it completed the calculation at approximately 8:30 a.m. on July 16, 2007.
- 14. On July 16, 2007 at 9:03 a.m., Respondent notified the NRC that 441 pounds of ammonia (anhydrous) were released from Respondent's Facility to the air during the July 15, 2007 ammonia release. Respondent notified the NRC of this release approximately 32 hours after it was first detected by Respondent's Maintenance Technician. A release of 441 pounds of

ammonia (anhydrous) is greater than four times the reportable quantity of 100 pounds for ammonia.

- 15. Respondent did not notify the LEPC of the ammonia release until approximately 3:00 p.m. on July 18, 2007.
- 16. In New York, the New York State Emergency Response Commission ("NYSERC") is the SERC.
- 17. Respondent called the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Spill Incidents Hotline on July 16, 2007 at 9:10 a.m. This call was not made immediately following the ammonia release.
- 18. Respondent failed to provide follow-up written notices as required by Section 304(c) of EPCRA to the SERC and LEPC updating the information required under Section 304(b) of EPCRA.
- 19. On November 27, 2007, EPA personnel conducted an inspection and release investigation at the Facility in response to the July 15, 2007 ammonia release and Respondent's subsequent reply to EPA's CERCLA 104(e) information request.

COUNT 1

- 20. Findings contained in Paragraphs "1" through "19" are incorporated herein by reference.
- 21. At approximately 9:03 a.m. on July 16, 2007 and approximately 32 hours after the release was first detected, Respondent notified the NRC of the July 15, 2007 ammonia release from the Facility.
- 22. Respondent failed to immediately notify the NRC of the July 15, 2007 ammonia release from the Facility.
- 23. The July 15, 2007 ammonia release from the Facility was not a federally permitted release, as defined in Section 101(10) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(10).
- 24. Respondent violated the notification requirements of Section 103(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9603(a), and is therefore subject to the assessment of penalties under Section 109 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9609.

COUNT II

25. Findings contained in Paragraphs "1" through "24" are incorporated herein by reference.

- 26. Respondent failed to immediately notify NYSERC of the July 15, 2007 ammonia release from the Facility pursuant to Section 304 of EPCRA.
- 27. Respondent violated the notification requirements of Section 304 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11004, and is therefore subject to the assessment of penalties under Section 325 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045.

COUNT III

- 28. Findings contained in Paragraphs "1" through "27" are incorporated herein by reference.
- 29. The LEPC for the area where the Facility is located, and which would likely be affected by the July 15, 2007 ammonia release from the Facility, is the Oneida County LEPC.
- 30. Respondent notified the Oneida County LEPC of the July 15, 2007 ammonia release from the Facility on July 18, 2007 at 3:00 p.m.
- 31. Respondent failed to immediately notify the LEPC for the area likely to be affected by the July 15, 2007 ammonia release from the Facility.
- 32. Respondent violated the notification requirements of Section 304 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11004, and is therefore subject to the assessment of penalties under Section 325 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045.

COUNT IV

- 33. Findings contained in Paragraphs "1" through "32" are incorporated herein by reference.
- 34. As of August 24, 2007, Respondent failed to provide follow-up written notices to the SERC and LEPC as required by Section 304(c) of EPCRA.
- 35. Respondent violated the requirements of Section 304 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11004, and is therefore subject to the assessment of penalties under Section 325 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045.

III. NOTICE OF PROPOSED ORDER ASSESSING A CIVIL PENALTY

Pursuant to Section 109 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9609, Section 325 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045, as modified pursuant to the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, which was mandated by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 and as codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 19, EPA is currently authorized to assess civil penalties not to exceed \$32,500 per day for each violation of Section 103(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9603(a), and Section 304 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11004, that occurred after March 15, 2004. This amount is subject to revision under federal law and regulation.

On the basis of the violations of Section 103 of CERCLA and Section 304 EPCRA described above, Complainant has determined that Respondent is subject to penalties under Section 109 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9609, and Section 325 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045. Accordingly, Complainant proposes a civil penalty of \$64,476 for the CERCLA and EPCRA violations described above, pursuant to the authority of Section 109 of CERCLA and Section 325 of EPCRA, as set forth below.

Count I	Failure of Respondent to immediately notify the NRC of the July 15, 2007 ammonia release:	\$16,119
Count II	Failure of Respondent to immediately notify the SERC for the state likely to be affected by the July 15, 2007 ammonia release.	\$16,119
Count III	Failure of Respondent to immediately notify the LEPC for the area likely to be affected by the July 15, 2007 ammonia release:	\$16,119
Count IV	Failure of Respondent to provide written follow-up emergency notices to the appropriate SERC and LEPC within 14 calendar days following the release.	\$16,119
TOTAL PENALTY PROPOSED \$6		

In calculating the CERCLA and EPCRA penalties, Complainant considered the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violations, and with respect to the Respondent, ability to pay, prior history of violations, degree of culpability, economic benefit or savings resulting from the violations, and such other matters as justice may require.

The proposed civil penalties in this matter have been determined in accordance with EPA's "Enforcement Response Policy for Sections 304, 311 and 312 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act and Section 103 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act" (September 30, 1999) as modified pursuant to the June 5, 2006 memorandum from Stephanie Brown, Acting Director, Toxics and Pesticides Enforcement Division, Office of Civil Enforcement. Attached to this Complaint as Attachment 1 are Penalty Calculation Worksheets which show how the proposed penalty for each count was calculated.

IV. PROCEDURES GOVERNING THIS ADMINISTRATIVE LITIGATION

The rules of procedure governing this civil administrative litigation are entitled, "CONSOLIDATED RULES OF PRACTICE GOVERNING THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT OF CIVIL PENALTIES AND THE REVOCATION/TERMINATION OR SUSPENSION OF PERMITS" (hereinafter, the "Consolidated Rules"), and are codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 22. A copy of the Consolidated Rules accompanies this Complaint.

A. Notice of Opportunity to Request a Hearing and Answering The Complaint

To request a hearing, Respondent must file an Answer to the Complaint, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.15(a) - (c). Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(a), such Answer must be filed within 30 days after service of the Complaint.

An Answer is also to be filed, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(a), if Respondent contests any material fact upon which the Complaint is based, contends that the proposed penalty is inappropriate, or contends that Respondent is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. If filing an Answer, Respondent must file with the Regional Hearing Clerk of EPA, Region 2, both an original and one copy of a written Answer to the Complaint. The address of the Regional Hearing Clerk of EPA, Region 2, is:

Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 290 Broadway, 16th floor New York, New York 10007-1866

Respondent shall also serve one copy of the Answer to the Complaint upon Complainant and any other party to the action. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(a). Complainant's copy of Respondent's Answer, as well as a copy of all other documents that Respondent files in this action, shall be sent to:

Jean Regna
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
290 Broadway, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10007
Phone: (212) 637-3164

Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(b), Respondent's Answer to the Complaint must clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained in the Complaint with regard to which Respondent has any knowledge. Where Respondent lacks knowledge of a particular factual allegation and so states that in its Answer, the allegation is deemed denied, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(b). The Answer shall also set forth: (1) the circumstances or arguments that are alleged to constitute the grounds of defense; (2) the facts which Respondent disputes; (3) the basis for opposing any proposed relief; and (4) whether Respondent requests a hearing.

If Respondent fails in its Answer to admit, deny, or explain any material factual allegation contained in the Complaint, such failure constitutes an admission of the allegation, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(d).

Respondent's failure to affirmatively raise in the Answer facts that constitute or that might constitute the grounds of its defense may preclude Respondent, at a subsequent stage in this proceeding, from raising such facts and/or from having such facts admitted into evidence at a hearing.

Any hearing in this proceeding will be held at a location determined in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.21(d). A hearing of this matter will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 551-59, and the procedures set forth in Subpart D of 40 C.F.R. Part 22.

B. Failure To Answer

If Respondent fails to file a timely answer to the Complaint, EPA may file a Motion for Default pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.17(a) and (b), which may result in the issuance of a default order assessing the proposed penalty pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.17(c). If a default order is issued, any penalty assessed in the default order shall become due and payable by Respondent without further proceedings 30 days after the default order becomes final. If necessary, EPA may then seek to enforce such final order of default against Respondent, and to collect the assessed penalty amount, in federal court.

V. INFORMAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

Whether or not Respondent requests a formal hearing, EPA encourages settlement of this proceeding consistent with the provisions and objectives of CERCLA and EPCRA and the applicable regulations. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b). At an informal conference with a representative(s) of Complainant, Respondent may comment on the charges made in this Complaint, and Respondent may also provide whatever additional information that it believes is relevant to the disposition of this matter, including: (1) actions Respondent has taken to correct any or all of the violations herein alleged; (2) any information relevant to Complainant's calculation of the proposed penalty; (3) the effect the proposed penalty would have on Respondent's ability to continue in business; and/or (4) any other special facts or circumstances Respondent wishes to raise. Complainant has the authority to modify the amount of the proposed penalty, where appropriate, to reflect any settlement agreement reached with Respondent, to reflect any relevant information previously not known to Complainant or to dismiss any or all of the charges, if Respondent can demonstrate that the relevant allegations are without merit and that no cause of action as herein alleged exists.

Any request for an informal conference or any questions that Respondent may have regarding this Complaint should be directed to the EPA Assistant Regional Counsel identified in Section IV.A., above.

Respondent's request for a formal hearing does not prevent it from also requesting an informal settlement conference; the informal conference procedure may be pursued simultaneously with the formal adjudicatory hearing procedure. A request for an informal settlement conference constitutes neither an admission nor a denial of any of the matters alleged in the Complaint.

Complainant does not deem a request for an informal settlement conference as a request for a hearing pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(c).

A request for an informal settlement conference does not affect Respondent's obligation to file a timely Answer to the Complaint pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.15. No penalty reduction will be made simply because an informal settlement conference is held.

In the event settlement is reached, its terms shall be recorded in a written Consent Agreement signed by the parties and incorporated into a Final Order, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.18(b)(2) and (3).

Respondent's entering into a settlement through the signing of such Consent Agreement and its complying with the terms and conditions set forth in such Consent Agreement terminates this administrative litigation and the civil proceedings arising out of the allegations made in this Complaint. Respondent's entering into a settlement does not extinguish, waive, satisfy or otherwise affect its obligation and responsibility to comply with all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, and to maintain such compliance.

VI. RESOLUTION OF THIS PROCEEDING WITHOUT HEARING OR CONFERENCE

Instead of filing an Answer, Respondent may choose to pay the total amount of the proposed penalty within 30 days after receipt of the Complaint, provided that Respondent files with the Regional Hearing Clerk, Region 2 (at the address provided in Section IV.A., above), a copy of the check or other instrument of payment, as provided in 40 C.F.R.§ 22.18(a). A copy of the check or other instrument of payment should be provided to the EPA Assistant Regional Counsel identified in Section IV.A., above. Payment of the penalty assessed should be made by sending a cashier's or certified check, payable to the "Treasurer, United States of America," in the full amount of the penalty assessed in this Complaint to the following addressee:

US Environmental Protection Agency Fines and Penalties Cincinnati Finance Center PO Box 979077 St. Louis, MO 63197-9000

The check must be identified with a notation of the name and docket number of this case set forth in the caption on the first page of this Complaint. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R.§ 22.18(a)(3), upon EPA's receipt of such payment a Final Order shall be issued. Furthermore, as provided in 40 C.F.R.§ 22.18(a)(3), the making of such payment by Respondent shall constitute a waiver of Respondent's rights to contest the allegations made in the Complaint and to appeal the Final Order. Such payment does not extinguish, waive, satisfy or otherwise affect Respondent's obligation and responsibility to comply with all applicable regulations and requirements, and to maintain such compliance.

VII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

EPA reserves all rights against Respondent, including but not limited to, the following: the right to expend and recover funds under CERCLA; the right to bring enforcement actions seeking injunctive relief under Section 106 of CERCLA and/or other statutes; the right to address releases including those identified in this Complaint; and the right to require further action as necessary to respond to the release addressed in this complaint. EPA also reserves all of its rights to obtain access to the Facility and require Respondent's submission of information to EPA.

Dated: September 27, 2008

George Pavlou, Acting Director

Emergency and Remedial Response Division

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Region 2

290 Broadway

New York, NY 10007-1866

TO: HP Hood, LLC

19 Ward Street Vernon, NY 13476

Attn: Phil Campbell, Manager

cc: Karen Maples, Region 2 Hearing Clerk

VALLACHMENT 1

H.P. Hood LLC, Vernon, New York

Respondent:

Chemi		Ammonia – RQ - 100 lbs.		
NATU	JRE:	Type of Violation: CERCLA 103		
EXTENT:		Time passed from deadline to actual date of compliance (in hours or days): No notification to the NRC within 2 hours of when the person in charge had knowledge of a reportable release. Matrix Level:1		
by 100 (RQ/TPQ)		Divide amount of chemical involved in the violation by 100 (RQ/TPQ) = 4.4 times the threshold Matrix Level: \underline{C}	on (lbs.): 441 lbs.	
CIRC	UMSTANCES:	Specify choice of penalty amount from range listed matrix based on circumstance factors: Top of Mat a release of an Extremely Hazardous Substance wi result in serious harm to human health and the env	rix Box since it was th the potential of	
1.	Base Penalty		\$ 16,119.	
2.	If per day, continuing	reportable release, multiply line 1 by	·	
3.	days, beginning with the second day of violation. \$ Other per day violations, multiply line 1 by .01 = Multiply the per day penalty by days, beginning with the second day of violation. \$ 16,119.			
4.	Add lines 1-3 \$			
5. 6.	Prior History: (Treble, 25%, 50%: +)			
7.	Other factors as justice may require (%) (\$)			
8.	Size of business reduc	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
9.	Attitude (- <u>%</u>)	(\$)		
10. 11.	Voluntary Disclosure	nmental Project (%) (\$) (\$) (\$)		
12.	Subtract lines (5-11) f	· — — · — —	\$16,119.	

Repeat procedure for each violation.

Prepared by: E. Banner

Signature: Ellen Banner Date: 9/8/08

Count	#: 2	LLC, Vernon, New York		
Chemi	ical Name/RQ/TPQ:	_Ammonia – RQ - 100 lbs.		
NATU	J RE:	Type of Violation: EPCRA 304(a)		
EXTE	ENT:	Time passed from deadline to actual date of compadays): No notification to the SERC within 2 hours in charge had knowledge of a reportable release. Matrix Level:1	•	
GRAVITY:		Divide amount of chemical involved in the violation (lbs.): 441 lbs. by 100 (RQ/TPQ) = 4.4 times the threshold Matrix Level:		
CIRC	UMSTANCES:	Specify choice of penalty amount from range listed matrix based on circumstance factors: Top of Mata release of an Extremely Hazardous Substance with result in serious harm to human health and the environment.	trix Box since it was ith the potential of	
1.	Base Penalty		\$ 16,119.	
2.	•	g reportable release, multiply line 1 by	Ψ 10,117.	
		nning with the second day of violation.	\$	
3.		ions, multiply line 1 by .01 =		
		penalty by days, beginning with		
the second day of vio		olation.	\$ 16,119	
4.	Add lines 1-3		\$	
5.	Prior History: (Treble, 25%, 50%: +) \$			
6.	Culpability (% increase or decrease +/%) \$ Other factors as justice may require (%) (\$)			
7. •				
8. 9.	Size of business reduction (%) (\$)			
9. 10.	Attitude (- <u>%</u>)			
11.	Voluntary Disclosur	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
12.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		\$16,119.	
		· 	*******	

Repeat procedure for each violation.

Prepared by: E. Banner

Signature: Ellen Banner Date: 9/8/08

H.P. Hood LLC, Vernon, New York

Respondent:

Count Chem		_Ammonia – RQ - 100 lbs.		
NATU	U RE:	Type of Violation: EPCRA 304(a)		
EXTE	ENT:	Time passed from deadline to actual date of comp days): No notification to the LEPC within 2 hours in charge had knowledge of a reportable release. Matrix Level:1	•	
GRAVITY:		Divide amount of chemical involved in the violation (lbs.): 441 lbs. by 100 (RQ/TPQ) = 4.4 times the threshold Matrix Level:		
CIRC	UMSTANCES:	Specify choice of penalty amount from range lister matrix based on circumstance factors: Top of Mara release of an Extremely Hazardous Substance with result in serious harm to human health and the envented of the serious harm to human health and	trix Box since it was ith the potential of	
1.	Base Penalty		\$ 16,119.	
2.	•	g reportable release, multiply line 1 by	Ψ 10,112.	
		uning with the second day of violation.	\$	
3.		ions, multiply line 1 by .01 = penalty by days, beginning with		
	the second day of vie		\$ 16,119.	
4.	Add lines 1-3		\$ -	
5.	Prior History: (Trebl	e, 25%, 50%: +)	<u> </u>	
6.	Culpability (% incre	ase or decrease +/%)		
7.	Other factors as justice may require (%) (\$)			
8.	Size of business redu	uction (- <u>%</u>) (\$)		
9.	Attitude (- <u>%</u>)	(\$)		
10.		onmental Project (- <u>%</u>) (\$)		
11.	Voluntary Disclosure			
12.	Subtract lines (5-11)	from line 4	\$16,119.	

Repeat procedure for each violation.

Prepared by: E. Banner

Signature: Ellen Banner Date: 9/8/08

_		d LLC, Vernon, New York		
Cour		:_Ammonia – RQ - 100 lbs.		
			-	
NAT	URE:	Type of Violation: EPCRA 304(c)		
EXT	ENT:	Time passed from deadline to actual date of comp days): A written follow-up emergency notice was appropriate SERC and LEPC within 14 calendar release. Matrix Level:1	s not provided to the	
GRAVITY:		Divide amount of chemical involved in the violation (lbs.): 441 lbs. by 100 (RQ/TPQ) = 4.4 times the threshold Matrix Level:		
CIR	CUMSTANCES:	Specify choice of penalty amount from range listed matrix based on circumstance factors: Top of Matarelease of an Extremely Hazardous Substance we result in serious harm to human health and the en	atrix Box since it was with the potential of	
1. 2.	Base Penalty If per day, continui	ng reportable release, multiply line 1 by	\$ 16,119.	
3.	days, beg Other per day viola Multiply the per da	\$		
the second day of viol			\$ 16,119.	
4. 5.	Add lines 1-3	\$		
6.	Prior History: (Treble, 25%, 50%: +)			
7.	Other factors as justice may require (%)			
8.	Size of business re	· · · · — · · · — — · · — — · · — — · · — · · — ·		
9.	Attitude (- <u>%</u>)	(\$)		
10.		ronmental Project () (\$)		
11.	Voluntary Disclosu	· — · — · — — · — — — · — — — · — — — · — — — · — — — · — — — · — — · — — · — — · — — · · — — · · — — ·	#16110	
12.	Subtract lines (5-1)	i) from line 4	\$16,119.	

Repeat procedure for each violation.

Prepared by: E. Banner

Signature: Ellen Banner Date: 9/8/08

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 2

In the Matter of:)	Docket No. CERCLA-02-2008-2031
)	
HP Hood, LLC)	Administrative Complaint under
19 Ward Street)	Section 109 of the Comprehensive
Vernon, NY 13476)	Environmental Response, Compensation
)	and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. § 9609, and
)	Section 325 of the Emergency Planning
	Respondent.)	and Community Right to Know Act,
			42 U.S.C. § 11045.

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Administrative Complaint has been sent this day in the following manner to the addresses listed below:

Original and One Copy by hand delivery to:

Karen Maples Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 290 Broadway, 16th Floor New York, New York 10007-1866

Copy by certified mail to:

Phil Campbell, Manager HP Hood, LLC 19 Ward Street Vernon, NY 13476

Date: 9/30/08

Name: Brenda Madley

Title: Branch Secretain

Address: 290 Broadway, NYC 1000