

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 7, 901 N. 5th ST., KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

09 AUG -5 AM II: 25

ENVIRONATEMBAL PROTECTION AGENCY-REGION VII

REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

Date Huz. 5, 2009

DOCKET NO. <u>CWA-07-2009-0087</u>

Paula Higbee

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 7, AWMD/STOP 901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, KS 66101

On March 13, 2009

2003 Princeton Road, Ottawa, Kansas , Owned or operated by Reusch Well Service, Cook Lease, This Expedited Settlement resolves Respondent's liability (Respondent), an authorized representative of the United for Federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPCC States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted regulations described in the Form. However, EPA does an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached SPILL PREVENTION CONTROL AND COUNTERMEASURE INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS, AND PROPOSED PENALTY Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or FORM (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference. appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to This proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b). The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$950. EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice. This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature. the Form for a penalty of \$950. This settlement is subject to the following terms and APPROVED BY EPA conditions: The EPA finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 C.F.R. Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. Respondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 C.F.R. Part 112 and that EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States - Date **7** Chief Storage Tank and Oil Pollution Branch (STOP) Air and Waste Management Division APPROVED BY RESPONDENT: Name (print): for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$950, payable to the "Environmental Protection Agency," Title (print): Signature: via certified mail to: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency P.O. Box 979077 St. Louis, MO 63197-9000 The estimated cost for correcting the violation(s) is: and Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check Docket No. CWA-07-2009-0087 and "OSLTF – 311." The original, signed Settlement Agreement and copy of the penalty payment check must be sent via certified mail to:

Robert L. Patrick

Regional Judicial Officer



Company Name

Reusch Well Service

Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 7 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Docket Number:

CWA --07-2009-0087

Facility Name		Date		
Cook Lease		March 13, 2008		
Address		Inspection Number		
2003 Princeton Road		FY-INSP-		
City:		Inspectors Name:		
Ottawa		Adam Ruiz		
State:	Zip Code:	EPA Approving Official:		
KS.	66067	Stanley A. Walker		
Contact:		Enforcement Contacts:		
Home	r Baker	Paula Higbee 913-551-7028		
(Onshore Oil Production Facilities) GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a),(d),(e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) (When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allowable of \$1,000.00.)				
	No Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure 1	Plan- //2.3\$1,000.00		
☐ F	Plan not certified by a professional engineer- 112.3	(d)400.00		
	No management approval of plan- 112.7	300.00		
F	Plan not maintained on site (applies if facility is ma	anned at least four (4) hours per day)- 112.3(e)(1)100.00		
F	Plan not available for review- 112.3(e)(1)	300.00		
N	No evidence of five-year review of plan by owner/operator- 112.5(b)			
	No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a char	nge in: design, construction, operation,		
Page 1 of 4				

	or maintenance which affects the facility's discharge potential- //2.5(a)			
	Amendment(s) not certified by a professional engineer- 112.5(c)			
	Plan does not follow sequence of the rule and/or cross-reference not provided- 112.7			
	Plan does not discuss additional procedures/methods/equipment not yet fully operational- //2.7			
	Plan does not discuss conformance with SPCC requirement- 112.7(a)(1)			
	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements- 1/2.7(a)(2)			
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of conformance with SPCC rules or applicable State rules, regulations and guidelines- 112.7(j)			
	Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram- 1/2.7(a)(3)			
	Plan has inadequate or no description of the physical layout of the facility- 112.7(a)(3)(i-vi)			
	Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge- 112.7(a)(4)			
	Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur- 112.7(a)(5)			
	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges- 112.7(b)			
	Plan does not discuss and facility does not implement appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipment- (including truck transfer areas) 112.7(c)			
	Claiming installation of appropriate containment/diversionary structures is impractical but:			
	Impracticability has not been clearly denoted & demonstrated			
	No contingency plan- //2.7(d)(1)			
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)			
	WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)			
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)			
	Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records:			
$\begin{bmatrix} X \end{bmatrix}$	Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e)			
	Are not kept with the plan- 112.7(e)			
	Are not maintained for three years- 112.7(e)			

PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)

	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges- 1/2.7(f)(1)			
	No training on discharge procedure protocols- 112.7(f)(1)			
	No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules, and regulations- 112.7(f)(1)			
	No training on general facility operations- 112.7(f)(1)			
	No training on the contents of the SPCC Plan- 112.7(f)(1)			
	No designated person accountable for spill prevention- 112.7(f)(2)			
	Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted periodically- 1/2.7(f)(3)			
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures			
	FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING RACK 112.7(h)			
				
	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quick drainage system- 1/2.7(h)(1)			
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(1)			
	There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines- 112.7(h)(2)200.00			
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(3).			
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack			
OIL PRODUCTION FACILITY DRAINAGE 112.9(b)				
	Drains for the secondary containment systems at tank batteries and separation and central treating areas are not closed and sealed at all times except when uncontaminated rainwater is being drained- 112.9(b)(1)400.00			
	Prior to drainage of diked areas, rainwater is not inspected, valves opened and resealed under responsible supervision and records kept of such events- 1/2.9(h)(1)			
X	Accumulated oil on the rainwater is not removed and returned to storage or disposed of in accordance with legally approved methods- 1/2.9(b)(1)			
	Field drainage system (drainage ditches and road ditches), oil traps, sumps and/or skimmers are not regularly inspected and/or oil is not promptly removed- 112.9(b)(2)			

OIL PRODUCTION FACILITY BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.9(c)

	Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture- 112.7(i)
To your a last	Container material and construction are not compatible with the oil stored and the conditions of storage- 112.9(c)(1)
X	Size of secondary containment appears to be inadequate for containers and treating facilities- 112.9(c)(2)500.00
X	Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity and/or walls of containment system are slightly eroded or have low areas- 112.9(c)(2)
	Drainage from undiked areas is not confined in a catchment basin or holding pond- 1/2.9(c)(2)
	Visual inspections of containers, foundation and supports are not conducted periodically for deterioration and maintenance needs- 112.9(c)(3)
	Tank battery installations are not in accordance with good engineering practice because none of the following are present- 112.9(c)(4)
	 Adequate tank capacity to prevent tank overfill- 112.9(c)(4)(i), or Overflow equalizing lines between the tanks- 112.9(c)(4)(ii), or Vacuum protection to prevent tank collapse- 112.9(c)(4)(ii), or High level alarms to generate and transmit an alarm signal where facilities are part of a computer control system- 112.9(c)(4)(iv).
	FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, OIL PRODUCTION FACILITY 112.9(D)
	Above ground valves and pipelines are not examined periodically on a scheduled basis for general condition (includes items, such as: flange joints, valve glands 2 nd bodies, drip pans, pipeline supports, bleeder and gauge valves, polish rods/stuffing box.)- 112.9(d)(1) 300
	Brine and saltwater disposal facilities are not examined often- 112.9(d)(2)
	Inadequate or no flowline maintenance program (includes: examination, corrosion protection, flowline replacement)- 112.9(d)(3)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of oil production facilities

TOTAL <u>\$950</u>

IN THE MATTER OF Reusch Well Service, Cook Lease, Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2009-0087

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Expedited SPCC Settlement Agreement was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy hand delivered to Attorney for Complainant:

Howard Bunch Assistant Regional Counsel Region VII United States Environmental Protection Agency 901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Copy by Certified Mail Return Receipt to:

Robert G. Ruesch, President Reusch Well Service, Cook Lease 2003 Princeton Road Ottawa, Kansas 66067

Dated: 8/5/09

Kathy Robinson

Hearing Clerk, Region 7