

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 2 290 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10007-1866

SEP 2 0 2007

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Article Number: 7005 3110 0000 5931 7525

Scott M. Stoddard Environmental Manager Wyeth Pharmaceuticals 64 Maple Avenue Rouses Point, NY 12979

Re:

Notice of Proposed Assessment of a Civil Penalty

Docket No. CWA-02-2007-3342

Wyeth Pharmaceuticals

Dear Mr. Stoddard:

Enclosed is a Complaint which the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") is issuing to you as a result of our determination that Wyeth Pharmaceuticals located at 64 Maple Avenue, Rouses Point, NY 12979 has failed to submit pretreatment reports in violation of Sections307(d) and 308(a) of the Clean Water Act ("Act"), 33 U.S.C. §§1317(d) and 1318(a). This Complaint is filed pursuant to the authority of §309(g) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(g). The Complaint proposes that a penalty of \$30,000.00 be assessed jointly and severally against Wyeth Pharmaceuticals for this violation.

You have the right to a hearing to contest the factual allegations in the Complaint. If you admit the allegations, or they are found to be true after you have had an opportunity for a hearing on them, you have the right to contest the penalty proposed in the Complaint. I have enclosed a copy of the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits" ("CROP") (40 Code of Federal Regulations ("C.F.R.") Part 22) which the Agency follows in cases of this kind. Please note the requirements for an Answer at 40 C.F.R. §22.15. If you wish to contest the allegations in the Complaint or the penalty proposed in the Complaint, you must file an Answer within thirty (30) days of your receipt of the enclosed Complaint to the EPA Regional Hearing Clerk at the following address:

Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
290 Broadway, 16th Floor
New York, New York 10007-1866

If you do not file an Answer within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Complaint, you may be judged to have defaulted (See, §22.17 of the CROP). If a default order is entered, the entire

PROTECTION AGENCY REG. 13
2007 SEP 27 PH 2: 45
REGIONAL HEARING

proposed penalty may be assessed without further proceedings. Whether or not you request a formal hearing, you may informally confer with EPA concerning the alleged violations and the amount of the proposed penalty. EPA encourages all parties against whom it files a Complaint to pursue the possibility of settlement as a result of such informal conference with the Agency. The Agency also encourages the use of Supplemental Environmental Projects, where appropriate, as part of the settlement. Enclosed is a copy of the EPA Supplemental Environmental Projects Policy (May 1, 1998) for your consideration. You may represent yourself or be represented by an attorney at any stage of the proceedings, including any informal discussions, whether in person or by telephone. Please note that a request for an informal conference does not substitute for a written Answer or affect what you may choose to say in an Answer, nor does it extend the thirty (30) days by which you must file an Answer requesting a hearing. Any hearing held in this matter will be conducted in accordance with the CROP, including Subpart I thereof.

In Addition, Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") Regulation S-K, Item 103 - Legal Proceedings (17 CFR §229.103) requires registrants with the SEC (e.g., publicly-traded companies) to periodically disclose a broad array of environmental legal proceedings in statements filed with the Commission. In an effort to increase awareness of this duty, and encourage greater disclosure of environmental legal proceedings, we are enclosing a "Notice of SEC Registrants' Duty to Disclose Environmental Legal Proceedings."

If you have any questions or wish to discuss a settlement of this matter with the EPA by an informal conference, please immediately contact:

Timothy Murphy
Assistant Regional Counsel
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2
290 Broadway, 16th floor
New York, New York 10007-1866
(212) 637-3236

Sincerely,

Dore LaPosta, Director

Division of Enforcement and Compliance Assistance

Enclosures

- 1. Complaint
- 2. CROP
- 3. EPA Supplemental Environmental Projects Policy
- 4. Notice of SEC Registrants Duty to Disclose Environmental Legal Proceedings



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 2 290 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10007-1866

SEP 2 0 2007

<u>CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED</u>

Article Number: 7005 3110 0000 5931 3855

Ms. Sandra Allen, Esq., Director Division of Water New York State Department of Environmental Conservation 625 Broadway - 4th Floor Albany, New York 12233-3506

Re:

Notice of Proposed Assessment of a Civil Penalty

Docket No. CWA-02-2007-3342

Wyeth Pharmaceuticals

Dear Ms. Allen:

Enclosed is a copy of the Administrative Complaint and Notice of Proposed Assessment which the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") has issued Wyeth Pharmaceuticals pursuant to Section 309(g) of the Clean Water Act ("Act"). EPA has issued the Complaint to begin the process to assess a Class I administrative penalty of \$30,000.00 against Wyeth Pharmaceuticals for violations of the Act. Because the violations have occurred in the State of New York, EPA is offering an opportunity for you to confer with us regarding the proposed assessment.

You may confer with me at (212) 637-4000. A copy of the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits," 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 22, is enclosed for your reference.

Sincerely,

Dore LaPosta Director

Division of Enforcement and Compliance Assistance

Enclosures

PROTECTION ACEMICY TAEG. II
2007 SEP 27 FM 2: 45
REGIONAL HEARING

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCE REGION 2

IN THE MATTER OF

Wyeth Pharmaceuticals 64 Maple Avenue Rouses Point, NY 12979

Respondent

Proceeding pursuant to §309(g) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(g)

<u>ORDER</u>

Proceeding to Assess Class I Civil Penalty Under Section 309(g) of the Clean Water Act

Docket No. CWA-02-2007-3342

COMPLAINT FINDINGS OF VIOLATION, NOTICE OF PROPOSED ASSESSMENT OF A CIVIL PENALTY, AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING

I. Statutory Authority

- 1. This Findings of Violation, Notice of Proposed Assessment of a Civil Penalty, and Notice of Opportunity to Request a Hearing ("Complaint") is issued under the authority vested in the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") by Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the Clean Water Act ("Act"), 33 U.S.C. §1319(g)(2)(A), which authority has been duly delegated to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 2 who in turn has redelegated it to the Director, Division of Enforcement and Compliance Assistance ("DECA"), EPA Region 2 ("Complainant").
- 2. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the Act, and in accordance with the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits" ("CROP"), 40 Code of Federal Regulations ("C.F.R.") Part 22 (July 1, 2000), a copy of which is attached, Complainant hereby requests that the Regional Administrator assess a civil penalty against Wyeth Pharmaceuticals ("Respondent") for its violations of federal pretreatment standards promulgated pursuant to Section 307(b) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1317(b), and enforceable pursuant to Section 307(d) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1317(d).
- 3. The Town of Rouses Point, New York does not have an "Approved Pretreatment Program" within the meaning of 40 C.F.R §403.3(d). The State of New York is not approved to operate a State pretreatment program in New York in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §403.10. Therefore, EPA is the "Control Authority" within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. §403.3(d) and EPA is the "Control Authority" for the Respondent pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §403.12(a), as defined for the purposes of 40 C.F.R. §\$403.12(e) and (g) and 414.

II. Findings of Violation

- 4. Respondent is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware and a "person" within the meaning of Section 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1362(5).
- 5. At all times relevant to this Administrative Complaint, Respondent owned and/or operated a facility (the "facility") located at 64 Maple Avenue Rouses Point, NY 12979, where it operates a pharmaceutical manufacturing facility.
- 6. The Respondent has discharged wastewater from its manufacturing processes into the Village of Rouses Point Wastewater Treatment Facility, which is a "publicly owned treatment works" within the meaning of Section 212(2)(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1292(2)(a). Wastewater is a "pollutant" within the meaning of Section 502(6) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1362(6).
- 7. At all times relevant to this Administrative Complaint, the facility was a "source" within the meaning of Section 306(a)(3) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1316(a)(3) and an "industrial user" ("IU") within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. §403.3(h).
- 8. Pursuant to Sections 307(b) and 308(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §§1317 and 1318, EPA has promulgated General Pretreatment Regulations for IUs as stated in 40 C.F.R. Part 403.
- 9. As a non-domestic user of a POTW, the Respondent is required to comply with the requirements and standards promulgated by EPA pursuant to Section 307 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1317, including the General Pretreatment Regulations found at 40 C.F.R. Part 403.
- 10. Pursuant to the authority of Section 307(b) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1317(b), EPA promulgated Categorical Pretreatment Standards for the Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Point Source Category ("PMPSC") as stated in 40 C.F.R. Part 439, including §439.46 ("Pretreatment Standards for Existing Sources") and §439.47 ("Pretreatment Standards for New Sources"). The PMPSC Standards were effective December 12, 1983. Furthermore, on May 2, 1995, EPA proposed revisions for the Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Category Effluent Limitations Guidelines. These regulations became effective on November 20, 1998 and contain final Pretreatment Standards for the Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Point Source Category. Respondent is subject to the revisions of the PMPSC as stated in 40 C.F.R. Part 439.
- 11. Respondent's manufacturing activities fall within the scope of PMPSC, as it is set out in 40 C.F.R. §439.40. Consequently, Respondent's discharges of non-domestic wastewater to the Village of Rouses Point Wastewater Treatment Facility are subject to the Categorical Pretreatment Standards for the PMPSC set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 439.
- 12. Section 307(d) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1317(d), prohibits the owner or operator of any source from discharging pollutants into a POTW in violation of the applicable pretreatment standards for that source.

- Pursuant to Sections 307 and 308 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §§1317 and 1318, the Administrator of EPA promulgated "General Pretreatment Regulations" at 40 C.F.R. Part 403 for certain facilities which introduce pollutants into Publicly Owned Treatment Works ("POTW").
- 14. Pursuant to Section 307(b) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1317(b), the Administrator of EPA promulgated "Categorical Pretreatment Standards (Pretreatment Standards for Existing Sources)" for the Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Point Source Category, 40 C.F.R. §439.46.
- 15. Pursuant to Sections 307(b) and 308(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §§1317(b) and 1318(a), the Administrator of EPA promulgated 40 C.F.R. §403.12(e) that requires an industrial user subject to a categorical pretreatment standard to submit to the "Control Authority" (defined at 40 C.F.R. §403.12(a)) Periodic Reports on Continued Compliance ("Periodic Reports"). These reports, due during the months of June and December of each year, must, among other things, indicate the nature and concentration of those pollutants in the effluent subject to the applicable Categorical Pretreatment Standards.
- 16. The Facility is subject to 40 C.F.R. §§403.12(b), (d), and (e) and was required to submit the Periodic Compliance Reports to EPA by June 30 and December 31 of every year thereafter.
- 17. On or about September, 1992, the Facility submitted a BMR to EPA in accordance with 40 CFR 403.12 and 40 CFR §439.46.
- 18. From 1993 to 2001, the Facility submitted Periodic Compliance Reports to EPA as required by 40 C.F.R. §§403.12(b), (d), and (e).
- 19. On September 6, 2001, EPA sent a letter to Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, indicating that as an IU, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals was required to submit Periodic Reports on Continued Compliance pursuant to §403.12(e)) every six (6) months due by June 30 and December 31 of each year.
- 20. By June 30, 2002, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals was required to submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals did not submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA as required.
- 21. By December 31, 2002, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals was required to submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals did not submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA as required.
- 22. By June 30, 2003, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals was required to submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals did not submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA as required.
- 23. By December 31, 2003, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals was required to submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals did not submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA as required.

- 24. By June 30, 2004, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals was required to submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals did not submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA as required.
- 25. By December 31, 2004, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals was required to submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals did not submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA as required.
- 26. By June 30, 2005, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals was required to submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals did not submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA as required.
- 27. By December 31, 2005, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals was required to submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals did not submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA as required.
- 28. By June 30, 2006, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals was required to submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals did not submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA as required.
- 29. By December 31, 2006, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals was required to submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals did not submit a Periodic Report on Continued Compliance to EPA as required.
- 30. Based upon the Paragraphs above, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals has violated federal pretreatment requirements in violation of the Act and its implementing regulations. Specifically, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals violated the requirements at 40 C.F.R. §403.12(e) and Section 307(b) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1317(b) by failing to submit the required Periodic Report on Continued Compliance. Thus, based on the Findings cited above, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals is in violation of Section 307 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1317.
- Violations of the Act subject Respondent to an administrative penalty not to exceed \$11,000.00 per violation, up to a maximum administrative penalty of \$32,500.00.

III. Notice of Proposed Order Assessing a Civil Penalty

Based on the foregoing Findings of Violation, and pursuant to the authority of Section 309(g) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(g), and the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, EPA, Region 2 hereby proposes to issue a Final Order Assessing Administrative Penalties ("Final Order") to Respondent assessing a penalty of \$30,000.00. EPA determined the proposed penalty after taking into account the applicable factors identified at Section 309(g)(3) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(g)(3). EPA has taken account of the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violations, and Respondent's prior compliance history, degree of culpability, economic benefit or savings accruing to Respondent by virtue of the violations, and Respondent's ability to pay the proposed penalty. Based on the Findings set forth above, the Respondent has been found to have violated the Act in instances cited in Section II of this Administrative Penalty Order.

IV. Procedures Governing This Administrative Litigation

The rules of procedure governing this civil administrative litigation have been set forth in the CROP which have been codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 22. A copy of these rules accompanies this Complaint.

A. Answering The Complaint

Where Respondent intends to contest any material fact upon which the Complaint is based, to contend that the proposed penalty is inappropriate or to contend that Respondent is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, Respondent must file with the Regional Hearing Clerk of EPA, Region 2, both an original and one copy of a written answer to the Complaint, and such Answer must be filed within thirty (30) days after service of the Complaint. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(a). The address of the Regional Hearing Clerk of EPA, Region 2, is:

Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 290 Broadway, 16th floor New York, New York 10007-1866

Respondent shall also then serve one copy of the Answer to the Complaint upon Complainant and any other party to the action. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(a).

Respondent's Answer to the Complaint must clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations that are contained in the Complaint and with regard to which Respondent has any knowledge. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(b). Where Respondent lacks knowledge of a particular factual allegation and so states in their Answer, the allegation is deemed denied. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(b). The Answer shall also set forth: (1) the circumstances or arguments that are alleged to constitute the grounds of defense, (2) the facts that Respondent disputes (and thus intend to place at issue in the proceeding), (3) the basis for opposing the proposed relief and (4) whether Respondent requests a hearing. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(b).

Respondent's failure to affirmatively raise in the Answer facts that constitute or that might constitute the grounds of its defense may preclude the Respondent, at a subsequent stage in this proceeding, from raising such facts and/or from having such facts admitted into evidence at a hearing.

B. Opportunity To Request A Hearing

If requested by Respondent in its Answer, a hearing upon the issues raised by the Complaint and Answer may be held. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(c). If, however, Respondent does not request a hearing, the Presiding Officer (as defined in 40 C.F.R. §22.3) may hold a hearing if the Answer raises issues appropriate for adjudication. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(c).

Any hearing in this proceeding will be held at a location determined in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §22.21(d). A hearing of this matter will be conducted in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§551-59, and the procedures set forth in Subparts D and I of 40 C.F.R. Part 22.

Should Respondent request a hearing on this proposed penalty assessment, members of the public, to whom EPA is obligated to give notice of this proposed action, will have a right under Section 309(g)(4)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(g)(4)(B), to be heard and to present evidence on the appropriateness of the penalty assessment. Should Respondent not request a hearing, EPA will issue a Final Order, and only members of the public who submit timely comment on this proposal will have an additional thirty (30) days to petition EPA to set aside the Final Order and to hold a hearing thereon. EPA will grant the petition and will hold a hearing only if the petitioner's evidence is material and was not considered by EPA in the issuance of the Final Order.

C. Failure To Answer

If Respondent fails in its Answer to admit, deny, or explain any material factual allegation contained in the Complaint, such failure constitutes an admission of the allegation. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(d). If Respondent fails to file a timely [i.e. in accordance with the 30-day period set forth in 40 C.F.R. §22.15(a)] Answer to the Complaint, Respondent may be found in default upon motion. 40 C.F.R. §22.17(a). Default by Respondent constitutes, for purposes of the pending proceeding only, an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of Respondent's right to contest such factual allegations. 40 C.F.R. §22.17(a). Following a default by Respondent for a failure to timely file an Answer to the Complaint, any order issued shall be issued pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §22.17(c).

Any penalty assessed in the default order shall become due and payable by Respondent without further proceedings 30 days after the default order becomes final pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §22.17(d). If necessary, EPA may then seek to enforce such final order of default against Respondent, and to collect the assessed penalty amount, in federal court.

V. Informal Settlement Conference

Whether or not Respondent requests a formal hearing, EPA encourages settlement of this proceeding consistent with the provisions of the Act and its applicable regulations. 40 C.F.R. §22.18(b). At an informal conference with a representative(s) of Complainant, Respondent may comment on the charges made in this Complaint, and Respondent may also provide whatever additional information that it believes is relevant to the disposition of this matter, including: (1) actions Respondent has taken to correct any or all of the violations herein alleged, (2) any information relevant to Complainant's calculation of the proposed penalty, (3) the effect the proposed penalty would have on Respondent's ability to continue in business and/or (4) any other special facts or circumstances Respondent wishes to raise.

Complainant has the authority to modify the amount of the proposed penalty, where appropriate, to reflect any settlement agreement reached with Respondent, to reflect any relevant information

previously not known to Complainant or to dismiss any or all of the charges, if Respondent can demonstrate that the relevant allegations are without merit and that no cause of action as herein alleged exists. Respondent is referred to 40 C.F.R. §22.18.

Any request for an informal conference or any questions that Respondent may have regarding this Complaint should be directed to:

Timothy Murphy
Assistant Regional Counsel
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2
290 Broadway, 16th floor
New York, New York 10007-1866
(212) 637-3236

The parties may engage in settlement discussions irrespective of whether Respondent has requested a hearing. 40 C.F.R. §22.18(b)(1). Respondent's request for a formal hearing does not prevent them from also requesting an informal settlement conference; the informal conference procedure may be pursued simultaneously with the formal adjudicatory hearing procedure. A request for an informal settlement conference constitutes neither an admission nor a denial of any of the matters alleged in the Complaint. Complainant does not deem a request for an informal settlement conference as a request for a hearing as specified in 40 C.F.R. §22.15(c). A request for an informal settlement conference does not affect Respondent's obligation to file a timely Answer to the Complaint pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §22.15. No penalty reduction, however, will be made simply because an informal settlement conference is held.

Any settlement that may be reached as a result of an informal settlement conference shall be embodied in a written Consent Agreement. 40 C.F.R. §22.18(b)(2). In accepting the Consent Agreement, Respondent waives its right to contest the allegations in the Complaint and waives its right to appeal the Final Order that is to accompany the Consent Agreement. 40 C.F.R. §22.18(b)(2). In order to conclude the proceeding, a Final Order ratifying the parties' agreement to settle will be executed. 40 C.F.R. §22.18(b)(3).

Respondent's entering into a settlement through the signing of such Consent Agreement and its complying with the terms and conditions set forth in the such Consent Agreement terminate this administrative litigation and the civil proceedings arising out of the allegations made in the Complaint. Respondent's entering into a settlement does not extinguish, waive, satisfy or otherwise affect its obligation and responsibility to comply with all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, and to maintain such compliance.

VI. Resolution of this Proceeding Without Hearing or Conference

Instead of filing an Answer, Respondent may choose to pay the total amount of the proposed penalty within 30 days after receipt of the Complaint, provided that Respondent files with the Regional Hearing Clerk, Region 2 (at the address noted above), a copy of the check or other instrument of payment. 40 C.F.R. §22.18(a). A copy of the check or other instrument of

payment should be provided to the EPA Assistant Regional Counsel identified on the previous page. Payment of the penalty assessed should be made by sending a cashier's or certified check payable to the "Treasurer, United States of America", in the full amount of the penalty assessed in this Complaint to the following addressee:

Regional Hearing Clerk U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 P.O. Box 360188M Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251

Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §22.18(a)(3), if Respondent elects to pay the full amount of the penalty proposed in the Complaint within 30 days of receiving the Complaint, then, upon EPA's receipt of such payment, the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region 2 (or, if designated, the Regional Judicial Officer), shall issue a Final Order in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §22.18(a)(3). In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §22.45(c)(3), no Final Order shall be issued until at least ten (10) days after the close of the comment period on this Complaint. Issuance of a Final Order terminates this administrative litigation and the civil proceedings arising out of the allegations made in the Complaint. Further, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §22.18(a)(3), the making of such payment by Respondent shall constitute a waiver of Respondent's right both to contest the allegations made in the Complaint and to appeal said Final Order to federal court. Such payment does not extinguish, waive, satisfy or otherwise affect Respondent's obligation and responsibility to comply with all applicable regulations and requirements, and to maintain such compliance.

VII. Filing of Documents

1. The Answer and any Hearing Request and all subsequent documents filed in this action should be sent to:

Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
290 Broadway - 16th Floor
New York, New York 10007-1866

A copy of the Answer, any Hearing Request and all subsequent documents filed in this action shall be sent to:

Timothy Murphy
Assistant Regional Counsel
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2
290 Broadway, 16th floor
New York, New York 10007-1866
(212) 637-3236

VIII. General Provisions

- 1. Respondent has the right to be represented by an attorney at any stage of these proceedings.
- 2. This Complaint does not constitute a waiver, suspension or modification of the requirements of the Act, regulations promulgated thereunder, or any applicable permit.
- 3. Neither assessment nor payment of an administrative civil penalty pursuant to Section 309(g) of the Act will affect Respondent's continuing obligation to comply with the Act, and with any separate Compliance Order issued under Section 309(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(a), for the violations alleged herein.

ISSUED THIS 2007 DAY OF SECTIONS , 2007.

Dore LaPosta, Director

Division of Enforcement and Compliance Assistance United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 2 290 Broadway

New York, New York 10007

CWA-02-2007-3342

To:

Scott M. Stoddard Environmental Manager Wyeth Pharmaceuticals 64 Maple Avenue Rouses Point, NY 12979

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 2

IN THE MATTER OF

Wyeth Pharmaceuticals 64 Maple Avenue Rouses Point, NY 12979

Respondent

Proceeding pursuant to §309(g) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(g)

ORDER

Proceeding to Assess Class I Civil Penalty Under Section 309(g) of the Clean Water Act

Docket No. CWA-02-2007-3342

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that, on the date noted below, I caused to be mailed, by certified mail, return receipt requested, a copy of the foregoing "ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT" and a copy of the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits," (40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 22 (July 1, 2000)) to the following persons at the addresses listed below:

> Scott M. Stoddard Environmental Manager Wyeth Pharmaceuticals 64 Maple Avenue Rouses Point, NY 12979

Ms. Sandra Allen, Esq., Director Division of Water **NYSDEC** 625 Broadway - 4th Floor Albany New York 12233-3506

I [hand carried / mailed] the original and a copy of the foregoing Complaint to the office of the Regional Hearing Clerk, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2.

Date: $\frac{9-27-07}{\text{New York, New York}}$

[NOTE: must be over 18]