

Douglas Paulino -

11am

8/25/08

6 properties - 3 ~~trip's~~ trips  
3 single = 12 units TH

HUD vouchers - 2 Sect 8 + Public Hsg assistance  
no grants

2 EBL - 9 Orange #2 ~~both~~  
- not abated

misunderstanding re what props at issue: thought only those brought - 1 insurance for 43-45 Colonial (3 unit)

1) deed; deed + ins for 9 Orange  
2) <sup>some</sup> response to Subp ques

need: who lives in 9 Orange #2? <sup>1</sup> tenants HAVE for other 2  
properties (9 Orange - <sup>3 unit</sup> #1, 356 Franklin Ave)  
- DP will fix by 8/29/08

⇒ ② EBL dep re 9 Orange St #2

<sup>brought</sup> has leases for: 12 Orange #1, 14 #2 + 3  
76 Ellington St

no leases for 43-45 Colonial  
105 Hebrew (no one living there)

[2 props not listed 9 Orange #1, 356 Franklin Ave)  
③ leases for 9 Orange #2 + 356 Franklin Ave)  
HAVE \ HAVE

no disclosure forms - Douglas Paulino.

suggesting he take a LBP course

DP brought to car: Risk Assment + leases from 9 Orange



address of ESL

Colonial

- he said, fam lived @ Orange St ~~7th~~ <sup>til</sup> ESL then moved

Franklin (#356) + then to 43 Colonial

- DP will check records but he thinks only got ltr(s) for  
9 Orange



MEMO TO: File  
FROM: Ronnie Levin and Alma Padilla  
SUBJECT: Meeting with Douglas Paulino re Subpoena response  
DATE: August 27, 2008

On August 25, 2008, Alma Padilla and Ronnie Levin met with Douglas Paulino at the US District Court in Hartford CT to receive his response to EPA's subpoenas of August 9, 2007 and November 2, 2007. Accompanying us was Jorge Burgos, who offered to provide Spanish translation services if necessary. The date and time were ordered by the U.S. District Court, District of Connecticut, in response to EPA's request that the Court order Mr. Paulino to respond to EPA's subpoenas. Attachment 1.

RL gave DP her business card and added Jorge Burgos' phone number in case he has questions. RL also provided a Compliance Assistance package to DP as well as 10 copies of Protect Your Family in English and Spanish, and 30 blank Disclosure Forms. She explained the requirements of both Sections 1018 and 406(b), stopping frequently to assure that DP understood and where there were questions, JB provided translations.

Mr. Paulino said that he owns 6 properties in Hartford, 3 3-family homes and 3 single-family homes, constituting 12 units altogether. All were built before 1978, and hence are 'target housing'. He had with him deeds, insurance forms, and leases for most of the units in response to EPA's subpoenas. He said that he had NO disclosure forms, and signed RL's notes attesting to that.

His properties are: 9-11 Orange St (triple)  
12-14 Orange St (triple)  
43-45 Colonial (triple)  
356 Franklin (single)  
76 Ellington St (single)  
5 Hebron (single) – currently vacant

He said that 2 of his current tenants use Section 8 vouchers and that he has received no federal, state or city funds for his properties.

He has received 2 Abatement Orders from the City of Hartford, both for 9 Orange St #2; a total of 3 children with EBLs lived there, 2 of which were his own children. He has not abated the unit. We were very direct that his properties were in deteriorated condition, and that the Abatement Orders needed to be complied with.

RL described the penalties associated with noncompliance with 1018, and also mentioned that the City of Hartford can also issue penalties for not complying with the Abatement Orders.

DP provided the following leases:

He also provided a risk assessment for 9 Orange St #2.

RL asked him to find OR OBTAIN copies of the Abatement Orders for 9 Orange St #2, and get them to us either by fax or delivered by 8/29/08. She reiterated that request and asked that he confirm that he understood what was needed and by when.

In addition, RL made the following suggestions:

- 1) DP to take LBP training course.
- 2) DP to get signed disclosure forms from his tenants in the next few weeks.
- 3) DP to start cleaning up his properties, collect receipts, and keep track of time and other resources.

NOTE: DP was resistant to the fact that he may incur penalties. He felt that as long as he was going to use the disclosure forms, he should not be penalized. RL explained that the penalties were for past actions (and even if he sold the properties, he was still liable for the penalties), and that his record of compliance was not very good. He refused to assume any culpability, and was merely distressed that EPA had finally 'caught' him. Whenever we pointed out his poor record of meeting with us and that we had spent a year trying and finally had to go to court to get him to meet with us, he merely shrugged.

Ronnie Levin /R1/USEPA/US  
08/28/2009 02:16 PM

To smith.catherine@epa.gov

cc padilla.alma@epa.gov

bcc

Subject Memo to the File re Paulino knowledge of Disclosure Rule

This note attests that in our discussions, Douglas Paulino repeatedly stated that he had never heard of the Disclosure Rule or the EPA pamphlet, Protect Your Family. He signed my notes at our meeting on 8/25/08 that he had never given anyone information about disclosure, including the Disclosure Form and the pamphlet.

