

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 7, 11201 RENNER BOULEVARD, LENEXA, KANSAS, 66219

2016 SEP 29 PM 3: 26

DOCKET NO. CWA-07-2016-0076

On: February 10, 2016

At: 35790 Plum Creek Road, Osawatomie, KS

Owned or operated by, JTC Oil, Inc. Caylor Lease (Respondent), an authorized representative of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached SPILL PREVENTION CONTROL AND COUNTERMEASURES INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS, AND PROPOSED PENALTY FORM (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

This proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the authority vested in the Administrator of the EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(6)(B)(i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 C.F.R. § 22.13(b). The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$1,875.

This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

The EPA finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 C.F.R. Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. Respondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 C.F.R. Part 112 and that the EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to the EPA's jurisdiction.

Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$1,875, payable to the "Environmental Protection Agency," via certified mail to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency P.O. Box 979077 St. Louis, Missouri 63197-9000

and Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check Docket No. CWA-07-2016-0076 and "OSLTF – 311." The original, signed Settlement Agreement and copy of the penalty payment check must be sent via certified mail to:

Christine Hoard
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 7, AWMD/CORP
11201 Renner Boulevard
Lenexa, Kansas 66219

This Expedited Settlement resolves Respondent's liability for Federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, the EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, the EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to the EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to the EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

APPRO	VED BYE	PA:			
	to	9	Date 5	1/29/	,
Chief, C	hemical &	Oil Release	Preven		
Air & V	Vaste Manag	gement Divi	sion		

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name (print): Jon (AIII)

Title (print): Owner

Signature: 220:1/4

The estimated cost for correcting the violation(s) is:

s 1875 00

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Karina Borromeo Date Sept. 29,2016

Regional Judicial Officer



Januar Brimmer Sept. 39,2016

Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 7 under the authority vested in the Administrator of the EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Company Name

Docket Number

UNITED STATES

JTC Oil, Inc. Caylor Lease	CWA-07-2016-0076	
Facility Name	Date S	
JTC Oil, Inc. Caylor Lease	Date 2/10/2016 Inspection Number N/A	
Address	Inspection Number	
35790 Plum Creek Road	N/A	
City	Inspector's Name	
Osawatomie	Paul Doherty	
State Zip Code	EPA Approving Official	
KS 66064	Scott Hayes	
Contact	Enforcement Contacts:	
Tom Cain	Christine Hoard	
(When the SPCC Plan review penalty exceeds No Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure F Plan not certified by a professional engineer/12.3(a)	all that has an endered	
Certification lacks one or more required elements/	and the second of the second	
No management approval of plan112.7 (\$450)		
Plan not maintained on site (if facility is manned at for review 112.3(e)(1) (\$300)	t least 4 hrs/day) or not available	
No evidence of five-year review of plan by owner/	operator 12.5(b) (\$75)	
No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a char or maintenance which affects the facility's disc	•	
Amendment(s) not certified by a professional engin	neerl 12.5(c). (\$150)	
Plan does not follow sequence of the rule and/or cr	ross-reference not provided 12.7 (\$150)	
Plan does not discuss additional procedures/methods/equipment not yet fully operational 12.7 (\$75)		

Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements 12.7(a)(2) (\$200)				
Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram 112.7(a)(3) (\$75)				
Inadequate or no listing of type of oil and storage capacity layout of containers 12.7(a)(3)(i) (\$50)				
Inadequate or no discharge prevention measures/12.7(a)(3)(ii) (\$50)				
Inadequate or no description of drainage controls/12.7(a)(3)(iii) (\$50)				
Inadequate or no description of countermeasures for discharge discovery, response and cleanup 112.7(a)(3(iv) (\$50)				
Recovered materials not disposed of in accordance with legal requirements 12.7(a)(3)(v) (\$50)				
No contact list & phone numbers for response & reporting discharges 12.7(a)(3)(vi) (\$50)				
Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge 12.7(a)(4) (\$100)				
Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur 12.7(a)(5) (\$150)				
Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges 12.7(b) (\$150)				
Plan does not discuss and facility does not implement appropriate containment/diversionary stuctures/ equipment (including truck transfer areas)112.7(c) (\$400)				
- If claiming impracticability of appropriate containment/diversionary structures:				
Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated in plan 12.7(d) (\$100)				
No contingency plan $112.7(d)(1)$ (\$150)				
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials 12.7(d)(2) (\$150)				
No periodic integrity and leak testing, if impracticability is claimed/12.7(d) (\$150)				
Plan has no or inadequate discussion of general requirements not already specified 12.7(a)(1) (\$75)				
QUALIFIED FACILITY REQUIREMENTS: 112.6				
Qualified Facility: No Self certification 112.6(a) (\$450)				
Qualified Facility: Self certification lacks required elements/12.6(a) (\$100)				
Qualified Facility: Technical amendments not certified 112.6(b) (\$150)				
Qualified Facility: Un-allowed deviations from requirements/12.6(c) (\$100)				
Qualified Facility: Environmental Equivalence or Impracticability not certified by PB12.6(d) (\$350)				
 WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)				
Plan does not include inspections and test procedures in accordance with 40 CFR Part 112/12.7(e) (\$75)				

V	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility/12.7(e) (\$75)	\$75
	No Inspection records were available for review 12.7(e) (\$200)	
	Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records:	
V	Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspectod 12.7(e) (\$75)	\$75
✓	Are not maintained for three years 112.7(e) (\$75)	\$75
	DEDCONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE DREVENTION DROGEDURES 114 7/0	
	PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)	=
V	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges 12.7(f)(1) (\$75)	\$75
	No training on discharge procedure protocols/12.7(f)(1) (\$75)	
	No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules and regulations 12.7(f)(1) (\$75)	
	Training records not maintained for three years/12.7(f) (\$75)	
	No training on the contents of the SPCC Plan 112.7(f)(1) (\$75)	
	No designated person accountable for spill prevention/12.7(f)(2) (\$75)	
V	Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted periodically 12.7(f)(3) (\$75)	\$75
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures 12.7(f) (\$75)	
:	FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING 112.7(c) and/or (h-j)	
	Inadequate containment for Loading Area [not consistent with 112.7(c)] 12.7(c) (\$400)	
	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin treatment system, or quick drainage system 12.7(h)(1) (\$750)	
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck 112.7(h)(1) (\$450)	
	There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake (\$300) interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines 12.7(h)(2)	
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck-112.7(h)(3) (\$150)	
	Plan has no or inadequate discussion of general requirements not already specified 12.7(j) (\$75)	
	QUALIFIED OIL OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT 112.7(k)	=
	Failure to establish and document procedures for inspections or a monitoring program to detect equipment failure and/or a discharge 112.7(k)(2)(i) (\$150)	
	Failure to provide an oil spill contingency plan/12.7(k)(2)(ii)(A) (\$150)	
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials 12.7(k)(2)(ii)(B) (\$150)	

Drains for the secondary containment systems at tank batteries and separation and central treating areas are not closed and sealed at all times except when uncontaminated rainwater is being drained 12.9(b)(1) (\$600) Prior to drainage of diked areas, rainwater is not inspected, valves opened and resealed under responsible supervision and records kept of such events 112.9(b)(1) (\$450) Accumulated oil on the rainwater is not removed and returned to storage or disposed of in accordance with legally approved methods 12.9(b)(1) (\$300) Field drainage system (drainage ditches and road ditches), oil traps, sumps and/or skimmers are not regularly inspected and/or oil is not promptly removed 12.9(b)(2) (\$300) Inadequate or no records maintained for drainage events 12.7 (\$75) Plan has inadequate or no discussion or procedures for facility drainages 12.7(a)(1) (\$75) OIL PRODUCTION FACILITY BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.9 (c) Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture 112.7(i) (\$75) Failure to conduct evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture 12.7(i) (\$300) Container material and construction are not compatible with the oil stored and the \Box conditions of storage 112.9(c)(1) (\$450) Size of secondary containment appears to be inadequate for containers and treating facilities12.9(c)(2) (\$750) Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity of the containment 12.9(c)(2) (\$150) Walls of containment system are slightly eroded or have low areas 12.9(c)(2) (\$300) Secondary containment materials are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil 12.9(c)(2) (\$375) Visual inspections of containers, foundation and supports are not conducted periodically 1 for deterioration and maintenance needs/12.9(c)(3) (\$450) \$450 Tank battery installations are not in accordance with good engineering practice because none of the following are present/12.9(c)(4) (\$450) (1) Adequate tank capacity to prevent tank overfill-112.9(c)(4)(i), or (2) Overflow equalizing lines between the tanks-112.9(c)(4)(ii), or (3) Vacuum protection to prevent tank collapse-112.9(c)(4)(ii), or (4) High level alarms to generate and transmit an alarm signal where facilities are part of a computer control system-112.9(c)(4)(iv). Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks/12.7(a)(1) (\$75) FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, OIL PRODUCTION FACILITY 112.9(D) Above ground valves and pipelines are not examined periodically on a scheduled basis for 1

OIL PRODUCTION FACILITY DRAINAGE 112.9(b)

general condition (includes items, such as: flange joints, valve glands 2 bodies, drip pans,

		TOTAL	\$1,875.00	
(Do not us	Plan does not include a signed copy of the Certification of the Applicability of the Substantial Harm Criteria per 40 CFR Part 112.20(e) (\$150) (Do not use this if FRP subject; go to traditional enforcement)			\$150
			*	_
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of oil production facilities-112.7(a)(1) (\$75)			
	Inadequate or no flowline maintenance program (includes: examination, corrosion protection, flowline replacement)-112.9(d)(3) (\$450)			
<u> </u>	Brine and saltwater disposal facilities are not examined often-112.9(d)(2) (\$450)			\$450
	pipeline supports, bleeder and gauge valves, polish rods/stuffing box. J12.96	d)(1) (\$450)		\$450

The first of the first of the state of the s

The second secon

the state of the s

IN THE MATTER Of JTC Oil, Inc. Caylor Lease, Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2016-0076

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Order was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy emailed to Attorney for Complainant:

hoard.christine@epa.gov

Copy by First Class Mail to Respondent:

Tom Cain JTC Oil, Inc 35790 Plum Creek Road Osawatomie, Kansas 66064

Dated:

Kathy Robinson

Hearing Clerk, Region 7

The state of the s

The second secon