

BAKER BOTTS LLP

98 SAN JACINTO BLVD.
SUITE 1900
AUSTIN, TEXAS
78701-4078

TEL: +1 512 322 2500
FAX: +1 512 322 2501
www.bakerbotts.com

ABU DHABI
AUSTIN
BEIJING
DARIUS
DUBAI
HONG KONG
HOUSTON
LONDON
MOSCOW
NEW YORK
PALO ALTO
RIYADH
WASHINGTON

For receipt will not be confirmed by phone unless requested

FROM Jan Williamson
DATE February 10, 2012
PAGES 5

VOICE NO. 512.322.2563
FAX NO. 512.322.8348
RETURN TO Jan Williamson

TO Lorena Vaughn
EPA Region 6

FAX NO. 214.665.2182
VOICE NO.

MESSAGE

PLEASE DELIVER TO LORENA ASAP! THANKS

Lorena, attached is Respondent's Answer and Request for Hearing in Docket No. CWA-06-2012-1730. Please send a file-stamped copy of this Answer back to me at 512.322.8348 or a pdf-d copy to me at jan.williamson@bakerbotts.com.

Thanks so much for your assistance with this filing!

Jan

Notice of Confidentiality

The information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile is: 1. Subject to the Attorney-client Privilege; 2. Attorney Work Product; or 3. Confidential. It is intended only for the individual or entity designated above. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of or reliance upon the information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile by or to anyone other than the recipient designated above by the sender is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify Baker Botts L.L.P. by telephone at 512.322.2575 immediately. Any facsimile erroneously transmitted to you should be immediately returned to the sender by U.S. Mail or, if authorization is granted by the sender, destroyed.

If you do not receive all pages, please call: 512.322.2575 for assistance.

BILLING NO. 021234.0101

ATTORNEY/EMPLOYEE NO. 02583

CRITICAL DEADLINE, SEND BY ASAP

FILED

UNITED STATES
 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
 REGION 6

2012 FEB 10 PM 2:08
 REGIONAL HEARING CLERK
 EPA REGION VI

BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

<p>In the Matter of:</p> <p>EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES, a Texas Company, Respondent.</p> <p>TPDES Facility No. TXU010915</p>	<p>§</p> <p>§</p> <p>§</p> <p>§</p> <p>§</p> <p>§</p> <p>§</p>	<p>Docket No. CWA-06-2012-1730</p> <p>Proceeding to Assess a Civil Penalty under Section 309(g) of the Clean Water Act</p>
--	--	--

ANSWER AND REQUEST FOR HEARING

Exide Technologies, Inc. ("Exide") files this answer and request for hearing.

RESPONSE TO STATUTORY AUTHORITY

1. Exide notes that the Administrative Complaint misidentifies its corporate name and description in the style of the Administrative Complaint.
2. Exide denies the allegation under "I. Statutory Authority" that Exide violated the Clean Water Act, the regulations promulgated under the Act, and should be ordered to pay a civil penalty.

RESPONSE TO FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

3. In response to paragraph 1, Exide denies the description of its corporate name; Exide admits the remaining allegations of paragraph 1.
4. In response to paragraph 2, Exide admits only that owns and operates a secondary lead smelter located at 7174 South Fifth Street, in Frisco, Texas. Exide denies all remaining allegations in paragraph 2.
5. Exide denies all allegations in paragraph 3.
6. Exide denies all allegations in paragraph 4.
7. Exide admits the allegations in paragraph 5.
8. Exide admits the allegations in paragraph 6.
9. Exide denies all the allegations in paragraph 7.
10. Exide admits that it submitted a Notice of Intent to the EPA in 2000. Exide neither admits nor denies whether it obtained permit coverage under a NPDES general permit so

the allegations are deemed denied. Exide denies the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 8.

11. Exide denies the allegations in paragraph 9.
12. Exide denies all the allegations in paragraph 10.
13. Exide admits to the allegation that it submitted a Notice of Intent to TCEQ to reapply for permit coverage under the facility's TPDES general permit, but denies all other allegations in paragraph 11.
14. Exide denies all allegations in paragraph 12.
15. Exide denies all allegations in paragraph 13.
16. Exide denies all allegations in paragraph 14.
17. Exide admits that it received a copy of a certified letter to Ms. Susan Johnson of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality; Exide has no knowledge of whether that action satisfies the requirements of Section 309(g)(1) of the Act as alleged in paragraph 15, so the allegation is deemed denied.
18. Exide admits the allegations in paragraph 16.

RESPONSE TO PROPOSED PENALTY

19. To the extent paragraph 17 makes any allegations, Exide denies the allegations.
20. To the extent paragraph 18 makes any allegations, Exide denies the.
21. In response to paragraph 19, Exide has filed an answer and request for hearing in response to the Administrative Complaint contesting both the proposed findings of fact / conclusions of law and the proposed penalty amount.
22. In response to paragraph 20 - 29, Exide has followed the requirements set forth in 40 C.F.R. § 22.15. To the extent that paragraphs 20 - 29 make an allegation concerning a proposed finding of fact or conclusion of law, Exide denies the allegation.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

23. U.S. EPA's claims and penalty estimate are barred in whole or in part because under the terms of the Memorandum of Understanding between the U.S. EPA and the TNRCC (predecessor to the TCEQ) the EPA delegated enforcement of the NPDES program to TCEQ; therefore, any proposed penalty should be calculated under the applicable TCEQ penalty policy entitled *Penalty Policy of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, September 2002 (RC-253)*.

24. U.S. EPA did not provide Exide with fair and adequate notice that EPA would enforce a delegated program against Exide and apply a penalty policy different from the applicable TCEQ penalty policy.
25. U.S. EPA's enforcement based upon factors other than those factors described in TCEQ's penalty policy violates the Equal Protection Clause of the United States Constitution because EPA's enforcement treats similarly situated entities differently under the Clean Water Act.

REQUEST FOR HEARING

26. Exide requests a hearing to contest the material allegations in the complaint and the appropriateness of the proposed penalty.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.




Sara M. Burgin
Kevin D. Collins
Baker Botts L.L.P.
98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1500
Austin, Texas 78701
512.322.2500
512.322.8378 (fax)
sara.burgin@bakerbotts.com
kevin.collins@bakerbotts.com

ATTORNEYS FOR
EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February 10, 2012, I served a true and correct copy of this Answer and Request for Hearing on the following persons by certified mail, return receipt requested:



Kevin D. Collins

Original by Facsimile to:

Regional Hearing Clerk (6RC-D)
U.S. EPA, Region 6
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75202-2733

Copy by Certified Mail to:

Mr. Efren Ordoñez (6RC-EW)
U.S. EPA, Region 6
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75202-2733

Ms. Susan Johnson, Manager
Enforcement Section I, MC 169
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087