

#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 8 1595 Wynkoop Street DENVER, CO 80202-1129 Phone 800-227-8917 http://www.epa.gov/region08

Ref: 8ENF-UFO

SEP 2 6 2007

### <u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> <u>RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED</u>

Jerry Byrkeland, Owner/Operator JB Body Shop/Rivercity Sandblasting 1906 East Highway 50 Yankton, SD 57078

# Re: UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL PROGRAM (UIC) Penalty Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

Dear Mr. Byrkeland:

The enclosed document is a Penalty Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing (Complaint) for violations of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA). Please carefully read the Complaint soon, since it describes your rights and responsibilities in this matter as well as EPA's authority, the factual basis of the violations, and the background for the proposed penalties. Also enclosed is a copy of the Rules of Practice that govern these proceedings, the required Public Notice associated with this Complaint and, in case you meet the criteria, an information sheet about the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act.

Mr. Byrkeland, you are required to take action within 30 calendar days of your receipt of this Complaint to avoid the possibility of having a default judgment entered against you that could impose the penalty amount proposed in the Complaint.

Whether or not you request a hearing, we encourage an informal conference with EPA concerning the alleged violations in an effort to negotiate a settlement. You may wish to appear at an informal conference and/or be represented by legal counsel. To arrange for such a conference, you should contact Jim Eppers, Enforcement Attorney, Legal Enforcement Program, at the number provided below. Request for such a conference <u>does not</u> extend the 30 calendar day period during which a request for hearing must be submitted.

Public Notice of EPA's Complaint and the opportunity to provide written comments on the Complaint is being provided pursuant to section 1423 (c)(3)(B) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300h-2(c)(3)(B). Should a hearing be held, any person who comments on the Complaint has a right to participate in the hearing.

If you have technical questions relating to this matter, the person most knowledgeable on my staff is Carol L. Hutchings, UIC Enforcement Team, Technical Enforcement Program, at 1-800-227-8917 ext. 6485 or (303) 312-6485. For all legal questions, the person most knowledgeable on my staff is Jim Eppers at 1-800-227-8917 ext. 66893 or (303) 312-6913. Mrs. Hutchings and Mr. Eppers can also be reached at the following addresses:

> Carol L. Hutchings (Mail Code 8ENF-UFO) U.S. EPA Region 8 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, Colorado 80202-1129, or

Jim Eppers (Mail Code 8ENF-L) Enforcement Attorney U.S. EPA Region 8 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, Colorado 80202-1129

We urge your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

michael - Bisner

Assistant Regional Administrator Office of Enforcement, Compliance and Environmental Justice

Enclosures:

Penalty Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing 40 C.F.R. Part 22 Public Notice U.S. EPA Small Business Resources Fact Sheet

cc: Dennis Mines, Property Owner 1904 East Highway 50 Yankton, SD 57078 Ms. Anita Yan SD DENR 523 East Capitol Pierre, SD 57501-3181



#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 8 1595 Wynkoop Street DENVER, CO 80202-1129 Phone 800-227-8917 http://www.epa.gov/region08

SEP 2 6 2007

Ref: 8ENF-UFO

# <u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> <u>RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED</u>

Dennis Mines Property Owner JB Body Shop/Rivercity Sandblasting 1904 East Highway 50 Yankton, SD 57078

> Re: UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL PROGRAM (UIC) Penalty Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

Dear Mr. Mines:

The enclosed document is a Penalty Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing (Complaint) for violations of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA). Please carefully read the Complaint soon, since it describes your rights and responsibilities in this matter as well as EPA's authority, the factual basis of the violations, and the background for the proposed penalties. Also enclosed is a copy of the Rules of Practice that govern these proceedings, the required Public Notice associated with this Complaint and, in case you meet the criteria, an information sheet about the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act.

Mr. Mines, you are required to take action within 30 calendar days of your receipt of this Complaint to avoid the possibility of having a default judgment entered against you that could impose the penalty amount proposed in the Complaint.

Whether or not you request a hearing, we encourage an informal conference with EPA concerning the alleged violations in an effort to negotiate a settlement. You may wish to appear at an informal conference and/or be represented by legal counsel. To arrange for such a conference, you should contact Jim Eppers, Enforcement Attorney, Legal Enforcement Program, at the number provided below. Request for such a conference <u>does not</u> extend the 30 calendar day period during which a request for hearing must be submitted.

Public Notice of EPA's Complaint and the opportunity to provide written comments on the Complaint is being provided pursuant to section 1423 (c)(3)(B) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300h-2(c)(3)(B). Should a hearing be held, any person who comments on the Complaint has a right to participate in the hearing.

If you have technical questions relating to this matter, the person most knowledgeable on my staff is Carol L. Hutchings, UIC Enforcement Team, Technical Enforcement Program, at 1-800-227-8917 ext. 6485 or (303) 312-6485. For all legal questions, the person most knowledgeable on my staff is Jim Eppers at 1-800-227-8917 ext. 66893 or (303) 312-6913. Mrs. Hutchings and Mr. Eppers can also be reached at the following addresses:

> Carol L. Hutchings (Mail Code 8ENF-UFO) U.S. EPA Region 8 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, Colorado 80202-1129, or

Jim Eppers (Mail Code 8ENF-L) Enforcement Attorney U.S. EPA Region 8 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, Colorado 80202-1129

We urge your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

michael T. Brisner

Assistant Regional Administrator Office of Enforcement, Compliance and Environmental Justice

Enclosures:

Penalty Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing 40 C.F.R. Part 22 Public Notice U.S. EPA Small Business Resources Fact Sheet

 cc: Jerry Byrkeland, Business Owner & Operator Rivercity Sandblasting and JB Body Shop 1906 East Highway 50 Yankton, SD 57078 Ms. Anita Yan SD DENR 523 East Capitol Pierre, SD 57501-3181

1 2		D STATES PROTECTION ACENCY	
3	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 8 2007 CTR 2007 CT		
4		GION 8 2007 SEP 2.5 AU 5:53 cket No. SDWA-08-2007-0092	
5		CRC(110. 3DWA-08-2007-0092	
6		ALL 1 1	
7	In the Matter of:		
8	)		
9	Jerry Byrkeland dba	PENALTY COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF	
10	Rivercity Sandblasting and JB Body Shop, )	OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING	
11	Yankton, South Dakota; and		
12	)		
13	Dennis Mines,		
14	)		
15	Respondents. )		
16			
17	INTRO	DUCTION	
18			
19	1. This civil administrative enforcement action is authorized by Congress in section 1423		
20		nown as the Safe Drinking Water Act (the Act).	
21		ection Agency (EPA) regulations authorized by the	
22	statute are set out in part 144 of title 40 of the		
23		ations constitute violations of the Act. The rules	
24	for this proceeding are the "Consolidated Rule		
25	Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Con	·	
26		mits (Rules of Practice)," 40 C.F.R. part 22, a copy	
27	of which is enclosed.		
28			
29		een properly delegated the authority to issue this	
30	Penalty Complaint and Notice of Opportunity	for Hearing (complaint).	
31	2 EDA allocation that I am Dembaland d	by Diversity Candblasting and ID Dady Chan and	
32		ba Rivercity Sandblasting and JB Body Shop, and	
33 34	Dennis Mines, property owner (together referr	s the assessment of a civil penalty, as more fully	
35	explained below.	s the assessment of a civit penalty, as more fully	
36	explained below.		
37	NOTICE OF OPPOPT	<b>TUNITY FOR A HEARING</b>	
38	NOTICE OF OTTOKI	TONITTFORATEARING	
39	4 Respondents have the right to a put	lic hearing before a presiding officer within the	
40		leged) by EPA in the complaint, (2) the grounds for	
41	any legal defense or (3) the appropriateness of		
42	any reparticipation of (3) the appropriateness of	the proposed penalty.	

.

1	5. To disagree with the complaint and assert its/their right to a hearing, Respondents
2	must file a written answer (and one copy) with the Regional Hearing Clerk (1595 Wynkoop
3	Street (8RC); Denver, Colorado 80202) within 30 calendar days of receiving this complaint. The
4	answer must clearly admit, deny or explain the factual allegations of the complaint, the grounds
5	for any defense, the facts you may dispute, and your specific request for a public hearing. Please
6	see section 22.15 of the Rules of Practice for a complete description of what must be in the
7	answer. FAILURE TO FILE AN ANSWER AND REQUEST FOR HEARING WITHIN
8	30 CALENDAR DAYS MAY WAIVE RESPONDENTS' RIGHT TO DISAGREE WITH
9	THE ALLEGATIONS OR PROPOSED PENALTY, AND RESULT IN A DEFAULT
10	JUDGMENT AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PENALTY PROPOSED IN THE
11	COMPLAINT, OR UP TO THE MAXIMUM AUTHORIZED BY THE ACT.
12	
13	QUICK RESOLUTION
14	
15	6. Respondents may resolve this proceeding at any time by paying the penalty amount
16	proposed in the complaint. Such payment need not contain any response to, or admission of, the
17	allegations in the complaint. Such payment constitutes a waiver of Respondents' right to contest
18	the allegations and to appeal the final order. See section 22.18 of the Rules of Practice for a full
19	explanation of the quick resolution process.
20	
21	SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS
22	
23	7. EPA encourages discussing whether cases can be settled through informal settlement
24	conferences. If Respondents want to pursue the possibility of settling this matter, or have any
25	other questions, contact the attorney listed at the end of this complaint. Please note that calling
26	the attorney or requesting a settlement conference does NOT delay the running of the
27	30 day period for filing an answer and requesting a hearing.
28	
29	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS
30	
31	The following general allegations apply to all times relevant to this action, and to each
32	violation of this complaint:
33	
34	8. Respondent, Jerry Byrkeland, is an individual who is doing business in the State of
35	South Dakota as Rivercity Sandblasting and JB Body Shop, both sole proprietorships.
36	
37	9. Respondent, Dennis Mines, an individual, owns the property and buildings, located at
38	1906 Highway 50, Yankton, South Dakota (facility), at which Jerry Byrkeland conducts his
39	businesses.
40	
41	10. Each Respondent is a "person" as defined in the Act, and therefore subject to the
42	requirements of the statute and/or regulations. 42 U.S.C. § 300f (11).
43	

1 11. Respondent Jerry Byrkeland, dba Rivercity Sandblasting and JB Body Shop, operates 2 the auto body repair and painting shop, and building exterior sandblasting contract business 3 located at the facility. 4 5 12. On April 29, 2004, EPA Region 8 received a completed Shallow Well Injection Well Inventory Request form prepared by Jerry Byrkeland of JB Body Shop dated April 23, 2004 6 7 (Inventory Form). Although the Inventory Form was incomplete, it did list that two floor drains 8 existed in a shop area where engine service, vehicle maintenance, or vehicle/equipment washing 9 was done. The Inventory Form did not list if the waste from two floor drains discharged to the 10 septic system which is also listed in the inventory. 11 12 13. Motor vehicle waste disposal wells are defined at 40 C.F.R. §144.8l(16) as follows: "Motor vehicle waste disposal wells that receive or have received fluids from vehicular repair or 13 14 maintenance activities, such as an auto body repair shop, automotive repair shop, new and used 15 car dealership, specialty repair shop (e.g., transmission and muffler repair shop), or any facility 16 that does any combustion engine repair/maintenance work." 17 14. On June 10, 2004, an authorized EPA employce entered the facility with the consent 18 19 of Jerry Byrkeland to inspect it for compliance with the law. 20 15. As of that date, it was verified that Respondents owned and were operating a motor 21 22 vehicle waste disposal well(s). 23 16. Respondents' disposal system, as identified in the Inventory Form above and the June 24 10, 2004 inspection, is classified as a "Class V Injection Well" as defined by 40 C.F.R. §144.6 25 and §146.5 and is a motor vehicle waste disposal well as defined by 40 C.F.R. §144.8l(16). 26 Respondents are subject to applicable Underground Injection Control (UIC) requirements of 27 28 40 C.F.R. §§ 124, 144 and 146. 29 17. By letter dated June 27, 2005, EPA, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 144.12 (c) & (d), 30 directed Jerry Byrkeland, dba Rivercity Sandblasting and JB Body Shop, to either permit or close 31 the well(s), by October 24, 2005. 32 33 34 18. Respondents never applied for a permit for the well(s). 35 19. On August 24, 2006, an authorized EPA employee again entered the facility with the 36 37 consent of Jerry Byrkeland to inspect it for compliance with the law. 38 39 20. As of the day of the August 2006 inspection, Respondents continued to own and 40 operate the motor vehicle waste disposal well(s). 41 42

1 21. By letter dated March 27, 2007, EPA informed each Respondent the facility was in 2 violation of EPA regulations and directed the Respondents to close the well. 3 4 22. Additionally, all owners and operators of motor vehicle waste disposal systems that 5 existed prior to the April 5, 2000, ban of construction of new motor vehicle waste disposal systems, were required by 40 C.F.R. § 144.88(b) to have submitted a completed permit 6 7 application or have completed the closure of the motor vehicle waste disposal systems by 8 January 1, 2007. Respondents were notified of this ban in the March 27, 2007 letter. 9 10 23. On March 30, 2007, EPA was contacted by Dennis Mines by telephone. Mr. Mines was provided compliance assistance on permanent closure of the motor vehicle waste disposal 11 well; and told that he needed to submit a closure plan to EPA for approval prior to the closure. 12 He was also told to submit documentation of the closure after it was completed. Mr. Mines was 13 14 referred back to the March 27, 2007, EPA letter for complete instructions and EPA's address. 15 16 24. On March 30, 2007, EPA contacted Jerry Byrkeland by telephone to discuss the need 17 to permanently close the motor vehicle waste disposal well, to submit the closure plane for EPA approval prior to closure and to submit documentation of the closure when it was complete per 18 the March 27, 2007 letter sent to him by EPA. 19 20 21 25. A closure plan was never submitted to EPA for approval. 22 26. On April 6, 2007, EPA received documentation from Jerry Byrkeland of permanent 23 closure of the floor drains. 24 25 27. Lying underneath the disposal system are underground sources of drinking water 26 (USDWs), including but not limited to the surficial alluvium of the nearby Missouri River, 27 50 feet or less below land surface. This alluvium overlies other major aquifers. 28 29 28. Respondents' ownership and operation of the well was in violation of the following 30 EPA regulations and therefore the Act. 42 U.S.C. § 3000h-2 (c)(1): 31 32 33 -for owning, operating, and maintaining a Class V disposal facility which, through injection activity, allows the movement of fluid containing any contaminant into 34 underground sources of drinking water, if the presence of that contaminant may cause a 35 violation of any primary drinking water regulation under 40 C.F.R. part 142 or may 36 otherwise adversely affect the health of persons, 40 C.F.R. § 144.12(a) and 40 C.F.R. 37 38 §144.82(a)(1); 39 -for failure to close or retrofit the Class V disposal system in a manner that would keep 40 contaminants from entering a USDW, 40 C.F.R. §144.12(c)(1) and (2) and 40 C.F.R. 41 42 §144.88(b); and 43

1 2	-for operating a motor vehicle waste disposal system after the January 1, 2007 ban of all • motor vehicle disposal wells. 40 C.F.R. § 144.88(b).		
3			
4	PROPOSED CIVIL PENALTY		
5			
6	29. For an administrative proceeding, the Act authorizes the assessment of a civil penalty		
7	of up to \$11,000.00 per day, for each violation of the Act, up to a maximum of \$157,500.00. 42		
8 9	U.S.C. § 3000h-2 (c)(1). The Act requires EPA to take into account the following factors in assessing a civil penalty, including the seriousness of the violations, the economic benefit		
10	resulting from the violations, any history of such violations, any good-faith efforts to comply		
11	with the Act's requirements, the economic impact on the violator, and such other matters as		
12	justice may require. 42 U.S.C. § 300h-2(c)(4)(B).		
13			
14 15	30. In light of the statutory factors and the specific facts of this case, EPA proposes that a penalty of thirty four thousand-six hundred dollars (\$34,600.00), be assessed against		
16	Respondents for owning and operating the prohibited Class V well, as explained below:		
17	respondents for o minig and operating the promotion of ass + men, as explained outs in		
18	Seriousness of the Violations		
19			
20	Respondents' ownership and operation of the prohibited MVWD Class V well is serious		
21	because the injection of dangerous motor vehicle waste constituents has been shown to be		
22	hazardous to human health and the environment and can render an underlying USDW		
23	unfit for human consumption. The nearly two year duration of noncompliance makes it		
24	even more serious. Respondents have been responsible for maintaining compliance and		
25	have been in complete control of the facility from the effective date of the program.		
26	Despite this, for penalty purposes, EPA, is only considering Respondents' non-		
27	compliance from the closure date of October 24, 2005, as specified in the Permit or Close		
28	Letter dated June 27, 2005, through the date the system was permanently closed by		
29	sealing the two floor drains in the shop area and installing a holding tank on March 30,		
30	2007.		
31			
32	Economic Benefit		
33	Respondents enjoyed a minimal economic benefit by delaying spending of money to		
34 35	come into compliance.		
36	come into compnance.		
37	Prior Compliance History		
38	The compliance matery		
39	EPA Region 8 has not taken any prior formal enforcement actions against Respondents		
40	requiring compliance with the applicable UIC regulations.		
41			
42			
43			

1		
2	Good-Faith Efforts to Comply	
3		
4	Respondents came into compliance on March 30, 2007. Given the relatively low cost of	
5	coming into compliance, together with the long period of time it took the Respondents to	
6	comply after formal notice, EPA did not reduce the proposed penalty for this factor.	
7		
8	Economic Impact on Respondents	
9		
10	Because little information is available at this time to document financial status of the	
11	Respondents, and the EPA's knowledge of the facility and surrounding area indicates the	
12	Respondents run small businesses, EPA did reduce the proposed penalty due to this	
13	factor. EPA will consider information Respondents may present regarding Respondents'	
14 15	ability to pay the proposed penalty.	
16	Other Factors that Justice may Require	
17		
18	EPA has made no additional adjustments to the penalty based on this factor.	
19		
20	31. Respondent's payment of the penalty shall be made by money order or certified	
21	check made payable to "Treasurer, United States of America" and mailed to the following	
22	address:	
23	US Environmental Protection Agency - Region 8	
24	Fines and Penalties	
25	Cincinnati Finance Center	
26	P.O. Box 979077	
27	St. Louis, MO 63197-9000	
28		
29	A copy of said check shall be mailed to the following address:	
30		
31	Jim Eppers (8ENF-L)	
32	Enforcement Attorney	
33	U.S. EPA - Region 8	
34	1595 Wynkoop Street	
35	Denver, CO 80202-1129	
36		
37	32. As required by the Act, prior to the assessment of a civil penalty, EPA will provide	
38	public notice of the proposed penalty, and reasonable opportunity for the people to comment on	
39	the matter, and present evidence in the event a hearing is held. 42 U.S.C. § 3000h-2 (c)(3)(B).	
40		
41	33. The Administrative Law Judge is not bound by EPA's penalty policy or the penalty	
42	proposed by EPA, and may assess a penalty above the proposed amount, up to the \$11,000 per	
43	day per violation authorized in the statute.	

1	34. To discuss settlement of	or ask any questions you may have about this case or process,
2	please contact Jim Eppers, Enforcer	ment Attorney, at 303-312-6893, or the address below.
3		
4		United States Environmental Protection Agency
5		Region 8, Office of Enforcement, Compliance and
6		Environmental Justice, Complainant
7		1595 Wynkoop (ENF-L)
8		Denver, CO 80202
9		
10		
11	1 1	
12	Date: 9/25/07	By: michael T. Begner
13	1 1 1 1	for Assistant Regional Administrator
14		Office of Enforcement, Compliance
15		And Environmental Justice
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		

1	Jerry Byrkeland dba Rivercity Sandblasting and JB Body Shop	
2	Dennis Mines	
3	Docket No. SDWA-08-2007- 0092	
4		
5		
6		
7	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
8		
9		
10	I hereby certify that the original and one true copy of this Penalty Complaint and Notice of	
11	Opportunity for Hearing were hand carried to the Regional Hearing Clerk, EPA Region 8, 1595	
12	Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado, and that true copies were sent via Certified Mail; Return	
13	Receipt Requested to Jerry Byrkeland dba Rivercity Sandblasting and JB Body Shop at the	
14	following address:	
15		
16	Jerry Byrkeland, Owner	
17	Rivercity Sandblasting and JB Body Shop	
18	1906 East Highway 50	
19	Yankton, SD 57078	
20		
21	and to Dennis Mines at the following address:	
22		
23	Dennis Mines	
24	1904 East Highway 50	
25	Yankton, SD 57078	
26		
27		
28		
29	ala las	
30	Dated: 126 01 By: fidith Mc lernan	
31	Judith McTernan	
	U State Stat	