

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region 7, 901 North 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101 FINDINGS OF VIOLATIONS and ORDER FOR COMPLIANCE Docket Number: CWA-07-2005-0101

Permit No.: **MO-R106388** 

STATUTORY AUTHORITY

The following FINDINGS are made, and ORDER issued, under the authority vested in the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), by Sections 308(a) and 309(a) of the Clean Water Act (herein the "Act"), 33 U.S.C. §§ 1318(a) and 1319(a). The Administrator of EPA has delegated the authority to issue this Order to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 7, who has further delegated this authority to the Director of the Water, Wetlands, and Pesticides Division.

## **FINDINGS**

1. **Fairway Meadows Corporation** (herein the "Respondent") is a "person," within the meaning of § 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5), and 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.

2. Attached is an "Expedited Settlement Offer Worksheet" (herein the "Form"), which is hereby incorporated by reference. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

3. At all times relevant to this Order, Respondent owned or operated the facility described in the Form (herein "the facility"), and for which was legally organized as described on the Form.

4. At all times relevant to this Order, the facility was a "point source" of a "discharge" of "pollutant[s]" in and with its storm water discharges to the receiving waters of "waters of the United States" within the meaning of § 502 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362, and 40 C.F.R. § 122.2. As a result, Respondent and the facility were subject to the Act and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program.

5. Under § 301 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311, it is unlawful for any person to discharge any pollutant from a point source to waters of the United States except with the authorization of, and in compliance with, a NPDES permit issued pursuant to § 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.

6. Section 402(p) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342(p), and 40 C.F.R. § 122.26 provide that storm water discharges associated with industrial activity are point sources subject to NPDES permitting requirements under § 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. The Missouri Department of Natural Resources, (MDNR) is the state agency with the authority to administer the federal NPDES program in Missouri pursuant to § 402 of the Act. EPA maintains concurrent authority with delegated states for violations of the Act.

7. Under 40 C.F.R. § 122.26(b)(14), the following 14. The facility was category of facilities is among those considered to be engaging in Form. As a result of this inspe storm water "industrial activity" for purposes of § 402(p) of the Act violation of its NPDES permit.

05 JAN 20 AM 8: 37

ENVIRON MIRCHAE PROTECTION Agency-region VII Regional hearing clerk

and 40 C.F.R. § 122.26:

Category (x) Construction

Construction activity including clearing, grading and excavation activities except: operations that result in the disturbance of less than five [5] acres of total land area Construction activity also includes the disturbance of less than five acres of total land area that is a part of a large common plan of development or sale if the large common plan will ultimately disturb five acres or more.

8. Pursuant to Section 402(p) of the Act, MDNR issue a General Permit for the discharge of storm water under the NPDES Permit No. MO-R101xxx (the General Permit). The General Permit became effective on February 8, 2002, and expire on February 7, 2007.

9. Respondent applied for and was issued a perm coverage under the General Permit described above. MDNI issued permit MO-R106388 on May 14, 2004, which expires of February 7, 2007.

10. At all times relevant to this action, the facility wa involved in construction activities including clearing, grading, and excavation. The approximate number of acres for the common plan is documented on the Form.

 The facility was conducting construction activities a described in the Form, which continued throughout the time perior relevant to this action.

12. Each person that meets the definition of "owner" of "operator", and engages in construction subject to the NPDES program and defined by 40 CFR § 122.26(b)(14)(x) or 40 CFR § 122.26(b)(15), must apply for and obtain an individual permit of seek coverage under a promulgated storm water general permit before and during conducting activities that are subject to storm water discharges that carry pollutants to waters of the United States.

13. At all times relevant to this action, Respondent was an "owner" or "operator" within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 122.2 and the permit, and was therefore required to obtain NPDES perm coverage for its construction activities at the facility.

14. The facility was inspected as documented on the Form. As a result of this inspection, the facility was found to be in violation of its NPDES permit.

conditions of the permit or regulations described above is a certain contracts, grants, or loans under Section 508 of the Act. violation of Section 301 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311.

#### ORDER

Based on these Findings and pursuant to the authority of §§ 308(a) and 309(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1318(a) and 1319(a), EPA HEREBY ORDERS Respondent to take the following action:

A. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall take whatever corrective action is necessary to correct the deficiencies and eliminate and prevent recurrence of the violations cited above and in the Form, and to come into compliance with all of the applicable requirements of the permit.

B. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall submit a written report detailing the specific actions taken to correct the violations cited herein and 'Leo J. Alder explaining why such actions are anticipated to be sufficient the prevent recurrence of these or similar violations.

C. In the event that Respondent believes complete correction of the violations cited herein is not possible within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall, within those thirty (30) days, submit a comprehensive written plan for the elimination of the cited violations within the shortest possible time. Such plan shall describe in detail the specific corrective actions to be taken and why such actions are sufficient to correct the violations. The plan shall include a detailed schedule for the elimination of the violations within the shortest possible time, as well as measures to prevent these or similar violations from recurring.

D. Any information or correspondence submitted by Respondent to EPA under this Order shall be addressed to the following:

> Mr. Nick Peak Water Enforcement Branch **EPA Region 7** 901 North 5th Street Kansas City, KS 66101

### **GENERAL PROVISIONS**

Issuance of this Order shall not be deemed an election by EPA to forego any administrative or judicial, civil or criminal action to seek penalties, fines, or any other relief appropriate under the Act for the violations cited herein, or other violations that become known. EPA reserves the right to seek any remedy available under the law that it deems appropriate.

Failure to comply with this Order or the Act can result in further administrative action, or a civil judicial action initiated by the U.S. Department of Justice. If the United States initiates a civil judicial action, Respondent will be subject to civil penalties of up to \$32,500 per day per violation.

If a criminal action is initiated by U.S. Department of Justice, and Respondent is convicted of a criminal offense under

15. If so documented in the Form, each violation of the Section 309(c) of the Act, Respondent may become ineligible fo

This Order does not constitute a waiver or modification o the terms or conditions of Respondent's NPDES permit, which remains in full force and effect. Compliance with the terms and conditions of this Order does not relieve Respondent of its obligations to comply with any applicable federal, state, or local law or regulation.

The effective date of this Order is the date it is received by Respondent.

Date

Director Water, Wetlands, and Pesticides Division

# Expedited Settlement Offer Worksheet Findings and Alleged Violations

Findings and Alleged Violations Consult instructions regarding eligibility criteria and procedures prior to use Version: MO-R101



Legal Name and Mailing Address of Operator	Telephone Number	NPDES Permit Number			
Fairway Meadows Corporation	573-268-1574		MO-R10	6388	
5714 S Shortline					
Columbia, MO 65203					
· · ·					
Location and Address of Site	_				
Vintage Falls Plat 1					
Silvey Street and Worley Street					
Columbia, MO 65203					
te of Site Contact (ESO Worksheet recipient):	Scott Daugherty				
ne of Authorized Official (40 CFR 122.22; 10 CSR 20-6.010(2)(B))	Jack Daugherty			-	
Dection Date:	7/7/2004				
t Construction Date:	5/14/2004				
mated Completion Construction Date:					
npermitted, Number of Months Unpermitted:					
e of Receiving Water Body (Indicate whether 303(d) listed):	Perche and Unnamed	Tributary to Harn	nony Cree	∍k	
es Disturbed (whole common plan):	19				
ite Eligible for Rainfall Erosivity or TMDL Waiver per FR 122.26(b)(15); 10 CSR 20-6.200(1)(B)(15)?	No				
		National			
	Missouri	Permit	No. of		
	Citation	Citation	Vio-	Violation	Settlement
	Reference*	Reference**	lations	Amount	Offer
Operator(s) in control of site specifications unpermitted for months (# of months = # of violations)	10 CSR 20-6.010(5)	CWA 301	0	\$500.00	\$0
Operator(s) in control of day-to-day activities unpermitted for months (# of months = # of violations)	10 CSR 20-6.010(5)	CWA 301	0	\$500.00	\$0
SWPPP not prepared (If no SWPPP, leave elements 6 - 31 blank)	MOGP p.5(7)	CGP 3.1.A	0	\$4,000.00	\$0
SWPPP prepared but prepared after construction start (# of months = # of violations)	MOGP p.6(7)	CGP 3.1.A	0	\$75.00	\$0
SWPPP does not identify all potential sources of pollution to	MOGP p.7(8)(i)	CGP 3.1.B	1	\$250.00	\$250
include: porta-pottys, fuel tanks, staging areas, waste					
containers, chemical storage areas, concrete cure, paints,					
solvents, etc			-		
SWPPP does not identify all operators for the project site and the	N/A	CGP 3.3.A	0	\$500.00	\$0
areas of the site over which each operator has control SWPPP does not have site description, as follows:				•	• -
A Nature of activity in description	MOGP p.5(8)(a)	CGP 3.3.B.1	0	\$100.00	\$0
B Intended sequence of major activities	MOGP p.6(8)(c)	CGP 3.3.B.2		\$100.00	\$100
C Total disturbed acreage	MOGP p.5(8)(a)	CGP 3.3.B.3	- i	\$100.00	\$0
D General location map	MOGP p.5(8)(a)	CGP 3.3.B.4	<b>d</b>	\$100.00	\$0
E Site map	MOGP p.6(8)(c)	CGP 3.3.C	<b>d</b>	\$500.00	\$0
F Site map does not show drainage patterns, slopes, areas of	• • • • •				
	MOGP p.6(8)(c)	CGP 3.3.C.1-8	2	\$50.00	\$100
disturbance, locations of major controls, structural					
practices shown, stabilization practices, offsite					
materials, waste, borrow or equipment storage ageas,					
surface waters, discharge points, areas of final stabilization					<b>.</b> -
(count each omission under 9F as 1 violation)	5.17A			<b>.</b>	\$0
G. Location/description industrial activities, like concrete or	N/A	CGP 3.3.D	0	\$500.00	\$0
asphalt batch plants					
SWPPP does not:					
A Describe all pollution control measures (e.g. BMPs)	MOGP p.6(8)(c)	CGP 3.4.A	0	\$750.00	\$0
B Describe sequence for implementation	MOGP p.6(8)(c)	CGP 3.4.A	1	\$250.00	\$250
C Detail operator(s) responsible for implementation	N/A	CGP 3.4.A	0	\$250.00	\$0
SWPPP does not describe interim stabilization practices	MOGP p.6(8)(f,g)	CGP 3.4.B	Ö	\$250.00	\$0
		00, 0.4.0	L	ψ200.00	ΨΟ

13 SWPPP does not describe a schedule to implement stabilization practices	MOGP p.6(8)(c)	CGP 3.4.B	1	\$250.00	
14 Following dates are not recorded: major grading activities; construction temporarily or permanently ceased; stabilization measures initiated	MOGP p.6(8)(c)	CGP 3.4.C.1-3	3 3	\$250.00	
(count each omission under 14 as 1 violation) 15 SWPPP does not have description of structural practices to divert	MOGP p.7(8)(g)	CGP 3.4.D	0	\$500.00	
flows from exposed soils, retain flows, or limit runoff from exposed areas					
16 SWPPP does not have a description of measures that will be installed during the construction process to control pollutants in storm water discharges that will occur AFTER construction operations have been completed	MOGP p.7(8)(j)	CGP 3.4.E	1	\$500.00	
17 SWPPP does not describe measures to prevent discharge of solid materials to waters of the US, except as authorized by 404 permit	MOGP p.7(8)(i)	CGP 3.4.F	1	\$500.00	
18 SWPPP does not describe measures to minimize off-site vehicle	MOGP p.6(8)(f)	CGP 3.4.G	1	\$500.00	
tracking and generation of dust 19 SWPPP does not include description of construction or waste	MOGP p.7(8)(i)	CGP 3.4.H	1	\$250.00	
materials expected to be stored on site w/updates re: controls used to reduce pollutants from these materials					
20 SWPPP does not have description of pollutant sources from areas other than construction (asphalt or concrete plants) w/ updates re:	MOGP p.7(8)(i)	CGP 3.4.1	0	\$500.00	
controls to reduce pollutants from these materials 21 SWPPP does not identify allowable sources of non-storm	MOGP p.5(7)	CGP 3.5	1	\$500.00	
water discharges listed in subpart 1.3.B of the CGP 22 SWPPP does not identify/ensure implementation of pollution	MOGP p.5(7)	CGP 3.5	1	\$500.00	
prevention measures for non-storm water discharges 23 Endangered Species Act documentation is not in SWPPP	MOGP p.5(8)(a)	CGP 3.7	0	\$500.00	
24 Historic Properties (Reserved) 25 Copy of permit and/or NOI not in SWPPP (count each omission	N/A MOGP p.9(1)	CGP 1.3.C.7 CGP 3.8	0	\$500.00 \$250.00	
under 25 as 1 violation)					
26 SWPPP is not consistent with requirements specified in applicable sediment and erosion site plans or site permits, or storm water management plans or site permits approved by State, Tribal or local officials (e.g., MS4 requirements)	MOGP p.5(7)	CGP 3.9	0	\$750.00	
27 SWPPP has not been updated to remain consistent with changes applicable to protecting surface waters in State, Tribal or local erosion plans	MOGP p.7(9)	CGP 3.9	0	\$250.00	
28 Copies of inspection reports have not been retained as part of the SWPPP for 3 years from date permit coverage terminates	MOGP p.8(10)	CGP 3.10.G	0	\$500.00	
29 SWPPP has not been updated/modified to reflect change at site effecting discharge, or where inspections identify SWPPP/BMPs as ineffective, updates to SWPPP regarding modifications to BMPs not made within 7 days of such inspection (count each omission under under 29 as 1 violation)	MOGP p.7(9)	CGP 3.11.C	3	\$50.00	
30 Copy of SWPPP not retained on site	MOGP p.9(1)	CGP 3.12.A	0	\$500.00	
A SWPPP not made available upon request 31 SWPPP not signed/certified	MOGP p.9(2) 10 CSR 20-6.200(6)(D)(4)	CGP 3.12.C	0	\$500.00 \$500.00	
<ul> <li>32 Inspections not performed either once every 7 days, or once every</li> <li>14 days and within 24 hours after storm event greater than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: temp stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter conditions; construction during and penods in arid areas)</li> </ul>	MOGP p.8(10)	CGP 3.12.D CGP 3.10.A,B		\$500.00 \$75.00	
<ul><li>33 Inspections not conducted by qualified personnel</li><li>34 All areas disturbed by construction activity or used for storage of</li></ul>	MOGP p.8(10) MOGP p.8(10)	CGP 3.10.D CGP 3.10.E.	0	\$500.00 \$50.00	
materials and which exposed to precipitation not inspected 35 All pollution control measures not inspected to ensure	MOGP p.8(10)	CGP 3.10.E.	1	\$500.00	
proper operation 36 Discharge locations are not observed and inspected	MOGP p.8(10)	CGP 3.10.E.	1	\$50.00	
37 For discharge locations that are not accessible, nearby	MOGP p.8(10)	CGP 3.10.E.	0	\$50.00	
locations are not inspected 38 Entrance/exit not inspected for off-site tracking	MOGP p.8(10)	CGP 3.10.E.	0	\$500.00	
39 Site inspection report does not include: date, name and qualifications of inspector, weather information, location of sediment/pollutant discharge, BMP(s) requiring maintenance, BMP(s) that have failed, BMP(s) that are needed, corrective action required including changes/updates to SWPPP and schedule/dates	MOGP p.8(10)	CGP 3.10.G	5	\$50.00	

\_\_\_\_\_

40 Inspection reports not properly signed/certified (count each failure to	MOGP p.8(10)	CGP 3.10.G	0	\$50.00	\$0	
to sign/certify as 1 violation)	<b>N</b> 1/A	CGP 3.12.B		\$250.00	\$0	
41 Sign/notice not posted	N/A		0	\$250.00 \$50.00	\$0 \$0	
A Does not contain copy of complete NOI	N/A	CGP 3.12.B	0	\$50.00 \$50.00	\$0 \$0	
B Location of SWPPP or contact person for scheduling viewing	N/A	CGP 3.12.B	0	\$50.00	ΦŬ	
times where on-site location for SWPPP unavailable not noted on						
sign		000 2 42 5		\$500.00	\$500	
42 No velocity dissipation devices located at discharge locations or	MOGP p.7(8)(g)	CGP 3.13.F		\$500.00	\$300	
outfall channels to ensure non-erosive flow to receiving water						
43 Control measures are not properly:		000 0 40 4		<b>#</b> 500.00	£1 500	
A Selected	MOGP p.6(8)(c)	CGP 3.13.A		\$500.00	\$1,500 \$2,000	
B Installed	MOGP p.6(8)(e)	CGP 3.13.A	4	\$500.00	\$2,000	
C Maintained	MOGP p.8(11)	CGP 3.13.A	0	\$500.00	\$0	
1. Maintenance not performed prior to next anticipated storm event	MOGP p.8(10)	CGP 3.6.B	Ų	\$250.00	\$0	
(count each failure of operator to properly select, install, or maintain each BMP as 1 violation)						
44 When sediment escapes the site, it is not removed at a frequency	MOGP p.8(11)	CGP 3.13.B	0	\$500.00	\$0	
necessary to minimize off-site impacts						
45 Litter, construction debris, and construction chemicals	MOGP p.7(8)(i)	CGP 3.13.C	_0	\$500.00	\$0	
exposed to storm water are not prevented from becoming a						
pollutant source (e.g. screening outfalls, pickup daily, etc.)						
46 Stabilization measures are not initiated as soon as practible on	MOGP p.6(8)(d)	CGP 3.13.D	0	\$500.00	\$0	
portions of the site where construction activities have temporarily						
or permanently ceased within 14 days after such cessation						
*Exceptions:						
(a) Snow or frozen ground conditions	N/A	CGP 3.13.D.1				
(b) Activities will be resumed within 14 days	N/A	CGP 3.13.D.2				
(c) Arid or Semi-arid areas (<20 inches per year)	N/A	CGP 3.13.D.3				
47 Common Drainage of 10+ acres does not have a sedimentation basin	MOGP p.7(8)(h)	CGP 3.13.E.1	0 \$	61,000.00	\$0	
for the 2 year, 24 hour storm, or 3600 cubic ft. storage per acre drained						
A Where sedimentation basin not attainable, smaller sediment basins,	MOGP p.7(8)(h)	CGP 3.13.E.2	0 \$	\$1,000.00	\$0	
sediment traps, or erosion controls not implemented for downslope						
boundaries						
B Sediment not removed from sediment basin or traps when design	MOGP p.8(11)	CGP 3.6.C	0	\$500.00	\$0	
capacity reduced by 50% or more						
48 Common Drainage less than 10 acres does not have sediment traps,	MOGP p.7(8)(g)	CGP 3.13.E.3	0	\$500.00	\$0	
silt fences, vegetative buffer strips, or equivalent sediment						
controls for all down slope boundaries (not required if sedimentation						
sediment basin meeting criteria in 47 above)						
A Sediment not removed from sediment trap when design capacity	MOGP p.8(11)	CGP 3.6.C	0	\$500.00	\$0	
reduced by 50% or more						
		Total E	Total Expedited Settlement:			

\* Missouri General Permit No. MO-R101xxx, issued by MDNR on February 8, 2002; Missouri Code of State Regulations \*\* NPDES General Permit, 68 FR 39087, issued by EPA on July 1, 2003, http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/cgp.cfm