

U.S.C. § 1319(g)(8).

### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Region 7, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, KS 66101

### EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Docket Number: CWA-07-2010-0130, NPDES No.:NER-111626 AM 8: 42

"person," within the meaning of Section 502(5) of the Clean Water Act ("Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5), and 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.

Attached is an "Expedited Settlement Offer Deficiencies Form" ("Form"), which is incorporated by reference. By its signature, Complainant ("EPA") finds that Respondent is responsible for the deficiencies specified in the Form.

Respondent failed to comply with its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") storm water permit issued under Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.

EPA finds, and Respondent admits, that Respondent is subject to Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311, and that EPA has jurisdiction over any "person" who "discharges pollutants" from a "point source" to "waters of the United States." Respondent neither admits nor denies the deficiencies specified in the Form.

EPA is authorized to enter into this Consent Agreement and Final Order ("Agreement") under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(A), and by 40 C.F.R. § 22.13(b). The parties enter into this Agreement in order to settle the civil violation(s) alleged in this Agreement for a penalty of \$2,825. Respondent consents to the assessment of this penalty, and waives the right to: (1) contest the finding(s) specified in the Form; (2) a hearing pursuant to Section 309(g)(2) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2); and (3) appeal pursuant to Section 309(g)(8), 33

Additionally, Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false statement to the United States Government, that any deficiencies identified in the Form have been corrected. Respondent shall submit a written report. Having determined that this Agreement is authorized by law, with this Agreement detailing the specific actions taken to correct IT IS SO ORDERED: the violations cited herein.

Respondent certifies that, within ten (10) days of receiving notice from EPA that the Agreement is effective thirty Robert L. Patrick (30) days from the date it is signed by the Appropriate Official, Regional Judicial Officer Respondent shall submit a bank, cashiers or certified check, with case name and docket number noted, for the amount specified above payable to the "Treasurer, United States of America," via certified mail, to:

> U.S. EPA Fines and Penalties Cincinnati Finance Center PO Box 979077 St. Louis, MO 63197-9000

This Agreement settles EPA's civil penalty claims against Respondent for the Clean Water Act violation(s) specified in this Agreement. EPA does not waive its rights to take any enforcement action against Respondent for any other past, present,

Ronco Construction Company ("Respondent") is a or future civil or criminal violation of the Act or of any other federal statute or regulation. EPA does not want its right to issue a compliance order for any uncorrected deficiencies or violation(s) described in the Form. EPA has determined this Agreement to be appropriate.

> This Agreement is binding on the parties signing below and effective thirty (30) days from the date it is signed by the Presiding Officer unless a petition to set aside the Order is filed by a commenter pursuant to Section 309(g)(4)(C) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(4)(C), and Part 22.

APPROVED BY EPA:

Director

Water, Wetlands, and Pesticides Division

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name (print)

Title (print)

Signature:

More than 40 days have elapsed since the issuance of public notice pursuant to Section 309(g)(4)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(4)(A), and EPA has received no comments concerning this matter.

Date Nov. 16, 2010

## Expedited Settlement Offer Worksheet Deficiencies Form

Consult instructions regarding eligibility criteria and procedures prior to use

NER110000



	LEGAL NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF OPERATOR	Telephone Number	NPDES Permit Number
1	Ronco Construction Company	402-397-9109	NER-111626
	1717 North 74th Street Omaha, NE 68114	Inspector Name:	Naji Ahmad
	Official, NE 00114	Inspector Agency:	US EPA
		Entrance Interview Conducted:	Yes
		Exit Interview Conducted:	Yes
	LOCATION AND ADDRESS OF SITE	Exit Interview given to:	Mr. Nate Vaughan
2	Naval Operations Support Center	Exit Interview time:	Date: 04/01/2010
	702 Nelson Drive		
	Offutt AFB, NE 68113		74

	Name of Site Contact (ESO Worksheet recipient):	Tom Nolan	
	Name of Authorized Official (40 CFR 122.22):	Tom Nolan	
	Inspection Date:	04/01/2010	
	Start Construction Date:	07/31/2009	
	Estimated Completion Construction Date:	09/04/2009	
	If Unpermitted, Number of Months Unpermitted:		
	Name of Receiving Water Body (Indicate whether 303(d) listed):	Offutt AFB MS4,	to Papillion Creek (impaired in 2008)
38477	Acres Currently Disturbed   Acres to be Disturbed in Whole Common Plan:	2.70	2.70
	Has Operator Requested Rainfall Erosivity or TMDL Waiver per 44 CFR 122.26(b)(15)?	No	

	PERMIT COVERAGE	Notes	Citation Reference**	State Citation Reference***	R C A*	No. of Deficien- cies	•	Dollar Amount	Tota
3	Operator unpermitted formonths (# months unpermitted equals number of violations)		CWA 301	CNR 119-2			X	\$500.00	=
	SWPPP REVIEW								
4	SWPPP not prepared (If no SWPPP, leave elements 5 - 30 blank)		CGP 3.1.A	NEGP Part III(A)(1)			X	\$5,000.00	
5	SWPPP prepared but prepared after construction start (# of months = # of violations)	SWPPP prepared 8/12/2009; NOI submitted 7/29/2009, with construction estimated to begin 7/31/2009; discharge authorization granted 8/3/2009.	CGP 3.1.A	NEGP Part IIIA)(1)	No	1	X	\$75.00	= \$7
6	SWPPP does not identify all potential sources of pollution to include: porta-pottys, fuel tanks, staging areas, waste containers, chemical storage areas, concrete cure, paints, solvents, etc		CGB 3.1.B	NGEP Part III(A)(2)(a)					
7	SWPPP does not identify all operators for the project site and the areas of the site over which each operator has control		CGP 3.3.A	NEGP Part VI(D)(6)(c)					
8	SWPPP does not have site description, as follows:						П		
-	A Nature of activity in description	*	CGP 3.3.B.1	NGEP Part			X	\$100.00	
	B Intended sequence of major activities		CGP 3.3.B.2	NGEP Part III, B(1)(b)			X	\$100.00	=
*	C Total disturbed acreage		CGP 3.3.B.3	NGEP Part III, B(1)(c)			Х	\$100.00	=
1	D General location map		CGP 3.3.B.4	NGEP Part III, B(1)(d)			X	\$100.00	=
	E Site map		CGP 3.3.C	NGEP Part			Х	\$500.00	=
	F Site map does not show drainage patterns, slopes, areas of disturbance, locations of major controls, structural practices shown, stabilization practices, offsite materials, waste, borrow or equipment storage ageas, surface waters, discharge points, areas of final stabilization (count each omission under 8F as 1 violation)		CGP 3.3.C.1-8	NGEP Part III(B(2)			X	\$50.00	=
(	Concrete or asphalt batch plants		CGP 3.3.D	NGEP Part III(B)(3)			Х	\$500.00	
9	SWPPP does not:		0000011	NECD Deat				<b>6750.00</b>	
	A Describe all pollution control measures (e.g. BMPs)		CGP 3.4.A	NEGP Part			X	\$750.00	=
	B Describe sequence for implementation		CGP 3.4.A	NEGP Part			X	\$250.00	=

	C Detail operator(s) responsible for implementation		CGP 3.4.A	N/A						
10	SWPPP does not describe interim stabilization practices	AND THE STATE OF T	CGP 3.4.B	NGEP Part III(C)(2)			X	\$250.00		
11	SWPPP does not describe permanent stabilization practices		CGP 3.4.B	NGEP Part III(C)(2)			X	\$250.00	=	
12	SWPPP does not describe a schedule to implement stabilization practices	The Control of the Co	CGP 3.4.B	NGEP Part III(C)(2)			X	\$250.00	=	
13	Following dates are not recorded: major grading activities; construction temporarily or permanently ceased; stabilization measures initiated (count each omission under 13 as 1 violation)		CGP 3.4.C.1-3	NGEP Part III(C)(3)			X	\$250.00		
14	SWPPP does not have description of structural practices to divert flows from exposed soils, retain flows, or limit runoff from exposed areas		CGP 3.4.D	NEGP Part III(C)(4)			X	\$500.00	Ħ	
15	SWPPP does not have a description of measures that will be installed during the construction process to control pollutants in storm water discharges that will occur AFTER construction operations have been completed		CGP 3.4.E	NEGP Part III(C)(5)			X	\$500.00		
16	SWPPP does not describe measures to prevent discharge of solid materials to waters of the US, except as authorized by 404 permit		CGP 3.4.F	NEGP Part III(C)(6)			X	\$500.00		
17	SWPPP does not describe measures to minimize off-site vehicle tracking and generation of dust	-	CGP 3.4.G	NEGP Part III(C)(7)			X	\$500.00	=	
18	SWPPP does not include description of construction or waste materials expected to be stored on site w/updates re: controls used to reduce pollutants from these materials		CGP 3.4.H	NEGP Part III(C)(8)			Х	\$500.00		
19	SWPPP does not have description of pollutant sources from areas other than construction (asphalt or concrete plants) w/ updates re: controls to reduce pollutants from these materials		CGP 3.4.I	NEGP Part III(C)(9)			X	\$250.00		
20	SWPPP does not identify allowable sources of non-storm water discharges listed in subpart 1.3.B of the CGP		CGP 3.5	NEGP Part III(D)			X	\$500.00	П	/ieri
21	SWPPP does not identify/ensure implementation of pollution prevention measures for non-storm water discharges		CGP 3.5	NEGP Part III(D)			X	\$500.00		
22	Endangered Species Act documentation is not in SWPPP		CGP 3.7	NEGP Part III(F)			X	\$500.00		
23	Historic Properties (Reserved)			N/A.					П	
24	Copy of permit and/or NOI not in SWPPP (count each omission under 24 as 1 violation)		CGP 3.8	NGEP Part III(G)			X	\$500.00		31
25	SWPPP is not consistent with requirements specified in applicable sediment and erosion site plans or site permits, or storm water management plans or site permits approved by State, Tribal or local officials (e.g., MS4 requirements)		CGP 3.9	NEGP Part III(H)			X	\$750.00	=	
26	SWPPP has not been updated to remain consistent with changes applicable to protecting surface waters in State, Tribal or local erosion plans		CGP 3.9	NEGP Part III(H)			X	\$250.00		
27	Copies of inspection reports have not been retained as part of the SWPPP for 3 years from date permit coverage terminates		CGP 3.10.G	NEGP Part IV(E)(6)			Х	\$500.00	=	
28	SWPPP has not been updated/modified to reflect change at site effecting discharge, or where inspections identify SWPPP/BMPs as ineffective, updates to SWPPP regarding modifications to BMPs not made within 7 days of such inspection (count each omission under under 28 as 1 violation)		CGP 3.11.C	NEGP Part III(J)			X	\$50,00	=	2 2 2 2
29	Copy of SWPPP not retained on site		CGP 3.12.A	NEGP Part III(K)(1)		,	X	\$500.00		
	A SWPPP not made available upon request		CGP 3.12.C	NEGP Part III(K)(1)			X	\$500.00	=	20. 60
30	SWPPP not signed/certified	Certifying official's signature was missing from the SWPPP at time of inspection; this was corrected within a week following inspection.	CGP 3.12.D	NGEP Part VI(D)(6)(c)	No	, 1	×	\$500.00		\$50

		Inspections not performed and documented either once every 7 days, or once every 14 days and within 24 hours after storm event greater than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: temp stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter conditions; construction during arid periods in arid		CGP 3.10.A, 3.10.B	NEGP Part III(!)(1)&(2)			X	\$250.00	=	
		areas) (Count each failure to inspect and document as one violation).  No inspections conducted and documented (if			NEGP Part III(I)			$\parallel$	True or		
		True, then leave elements 32-39 blank)							False		
		Number of Inspections expected if performed every 7 days:			N/A						
Ì		Number of Inspections expected if performed bi-			NEGP Part III(I)			11			
-		weekly:  If known, number of days of rainfall of >0.5"			NEGP Part III(I)			++			
		in known, number of days of farman of 20.5									4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
32		Inspections not conducted by qualified personnel		CGP 3.10.D	NEGP Part			X	\$500.00		
33		All areas disturbed by construction activity or used for storage of materials and which exposed to precipitation not inspected		CGP 3.10.E	NEGP Part			Х	\$50.00		97
34		All pollution control measures not inspected to		CGP 3.10.E	NEGP Part			X	\$500.00	=	
35		ensure proper operation Discharge locations are not observed and		CGP 3.10.E	III(I)(4) NEGP Part			X	\$50.00		etimete.
		inspected			III(I)(4)					<u> </u>	***
36		For discharge locations that are not accessible, nearby locations are not inspected		CGP 3.10.E	NEGP Part III(I)(4)			X	\$50.00		
37		Entrance/exit not inspected for off-site tracking		CGP 3.10.E	NEGP Part			X	\$500.00	=	
				00000000	III(I)(4)				050.00		
38		Site inspection report does not include: date, name and qualifications of inspector, weather information, location of sediment/pollutant discharge, BMP(s) requiring maintenance, BMP(s) that have failed, BMP(s) that are needed, corrective action required including changes/updates to SWPPP and schedule/dates (count each omission under 38 as 1 yieldston).		CGP 3.10.G	NGEP Part			X	\$50.00	=	*
39		Inspection reports not properly signed/certified		CGP 3.10.G	NGEP Part			X	\$50.00		
					-1						
40		AVAILABILITY OF RECORDS	18								
40		Sign/potice not poeted	Entrance sign did not include the	CGP 3 12 B	NGEP Part	No	1	1x	\$250.00		\$250
- 1		Sign/notice not posted	Entrance sign did not include the required SWPPP notice.	CGP 3.12.B	NGEP Part III(K)(2)	No	1	X	\$250.00		\$250
1		Sign/notice not posted  Does not contain copy of complete NOI		CGP 3.12.B	III(K)(2) NGEP Part	No	1	X	\$250.00 \$50.00		\$250
	A B	Does not contain copy of complete NOI  Location of SWPPP or contact person for scheduling viewing times where on-site location			III(K)(2)	No	1	X X			\$250
	A B	Does not contain copy of complete NOI  Location of SWPPP or contact person for scheduling viewing times where on-site location for SWPPP unavailable not noted on sign		CGP 3.12.B	III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2) NGEP Part	No	1		\$50.00		\$250
41	В	Does not contain copy of complete NOI  Location of SWPPP or contact person for scheduling viewing times where on-site location for SWPPP unavailable not noted on sign  BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES		CGP 3.12.B	III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2)	No	1	X	\$50.00 \$50.00	=	\$250
41	В	Does not contain copy of complete NOI  Location of SWPPP or contact person for scheduling viewing times where on-site location for SWPPP unavailable not noted on sign  BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES  No velocity dissipation devices located at discharge locations or outfall channels to ensure non-erosive flow to receiving water	required SWPPP notice.	CGP 3.12.B	III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2) NGEP Part	No	1		\$50.00	=	\$250
41 42	A B	Does not contain copy of complete NOI  Location of SWPPP or contact person for scheduling viewing times where on-site location for SWPPP unavailable not noted on sign  BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES  No velocity dissipation devices located at discharge locations or outfall channels to ensure	A) East construction entrance/exit on Travis Drive did not have appropriate track-out BMP in place; PCC flume was missing adequate silt protection;	CGP 3.12.B  CGP 3.13.F  CGP 3.13.A	III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2)  NGEP Part III(L)(2)  NGEP Part III(L)(2)	No	3	X	\$50.00 \$50.00 \$500.00		\$1,500
	A B	Does not contain copy of complete NOI  Location of SWPPP or contact person for scheduling viewing times where on-site location for SWPPP unavailable not noted on sign  BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES  No velocity dissipation devices located at discharge locations or outfall channels to ensure non-erosive flow to receiving water  Control measures are not properly:	A) East construction entrance/exit on Travis Drive did not have appropriate track-out BMP in place; PCC flume was	CGP 3.12.B CGP 3.12.B	III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2)  NGEP Part III(L)(2)  NGEP Part III(L)(2)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)		3	X	\$50.00 \$50.00 \$500.00		
	A B	Does not contain copy of complete NOI  Location of SWPPP or contact person for scheduling viewing times where on-site location for SWPPP unavailable not noted on sign  BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES  No velocity dissipation devices located at discharge locations or outfall channels to ensure non-erosive flow to receiving water  Control measures are not properly:  Selected, installed and maintained  Maintenance not performed prior to next	A) East construction entrance/exit on Travis Drive did not have appropriate track-out BMP in place, PCC flume was missing adequate silt protection; Stormwater inlet at Nelson Rd in SE corner of site lacked sediment control BMP. B) Track-out BMP at South/west entrance on Nelson Drive (tracking pad and street sweeping) was not maintained and/or operating properly; Silt fence at southeast corner of site had excessive	CGP 3.12.B  CGP 3.13.F  CGP 3.13.A  CGP 3.6.B	III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2)  NGEP Part III(L)(2)  NGEP Part III(L)(2)	No		X	\$50.00 \$50.00 \$500.00		\$1,500
	A B	Does not contain copy of complete NOI  Location of SWPPP or contact person for scheduling viewing times where on-site location for SWPPP unavailable not noted on sign  BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES  No velocity dissipation devices located at discharge locations or outfall channels to ensure non-erosive flow to receiving water  Control measures are not properly: Selected, installed and maintained  Maintenance not performed prior to next anticipated storm event  (count each failure to select, install, maintain each	A) East construction entrance/exit on Travis Drive did not have appropriate track-out BMP in place; PCC flume was missing adequate silt protection; Stormwater inlet at Nelson Rd in SE corner of site lacked sediment control BMP. B) Track-out BMP at South/west entrance on Nelson Drive (tracking pad and street sweeping) was not maintained and/or operating properly; Silt fence at southeast corner of site had excessive build-up of sediment.	CGP 3.12.B  CGP 3.13.F  CGP 3.13.A  CGP 3.6.B	III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2)  NGEP Part III(L)(2)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)	No		X	\$50.00 \$50.00 \$500.00		\$1,500
42	A B	Does not contain copy of complete NOI  Location of SWPPP or contact person for scheduling viewing times where on-site location for SWPPP unavailable not noted on sign  BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES  No velocity dissipation devices located at discharge locations or outfall channels to ensure non-erosive flow to receiving water  Control measures are not properly: Selected, installed and maintained  Maintenance not performed prior to next anticipated storm event  (count each failure to select, install, maintain each BMP as one violation  When sediment escapes the site, it is not removed at a frequency necessary to minimize off-site impacts  Litter, construction debris, and construction chemicals exposed to storm water are not prevented from becoming a pollutant source	A) East construction entrance/exit on Travis Drive did not have appropriate track-out BMP in place; PCC flume was missing adequate silt protection; Stormwater inlet at Nelson Rd in SE corner of site lacked sediment control BMP. B) Track-out BMP at South/west entrance on Nelson Drive (tracking pad and street sweeping) was not maintained and/or operating properly; Silt fence at southeast corner of site had excessive build-up of sediment.	CGP 3.12.B  CGP 3.13.F  CGP 3.13.A  CGP 3.6.B	III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2)  NGEP Part III(L)(2)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)	No		X	\$50.00 \$50.00 \$500.00 \$500.00		\$1,500
42	A B	Does not contain copy of complete NOI  Location of SWPPP or contact person for scheduling viewing times where on-site location for SWPPP unavailable not noted on sign  BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES  No velocity dissipation devices located at discharge locations or outfall channels to ensure non-erosive flow to receiving water  Control measures are not properly:  Selected, installed and maintained  Maintenance not performed prior to next anticipated storm event  (count each failure to select, install, maintain each BMP as one violation  When sediment escapes the site, it is not removed at a frequency necessary to minimize off-site impacts  Litter, construction debris, and construction chemicals exposed to storm water are not	A) East construction entrance/exit on Travis Drive did not have appropriate track-out BMP in place; PCC flume was missing adequate silt protection; Stormwater inlet at Nelson Rd in SE corner of site lacked sediment control BMP. B) Track-out BMP at South/west entrance on Nelson Drive (tracking pad and street sweeping) was not maintained and/or operating properly; Silt fence at southeast corner of site had excessive build-up of sediment.	CGP 3.12.B  CGP 3.13.F  CGP 3.13.A  CGP 3.6.B	III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2)  NGEP Part III(L)(2)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(2)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)	No		X	\$50.00 \$50.00 \$500.00 \$500.00 \$500.00		\$1,500
42 43 44	A B	Does not contain copy of complete NOI  Location of SWPPP or contact person for scheduling viewing times where on-site location for SWPPP unavailable not noted on sign  BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES  No velocity dissipation devices located at discharge locations or outfall channels to ensure non-erosive flow to receiving water  Control measures are not properly:  Selected, installed and maintained  Maintenance not performed prior to next anticipated storm event  (count each failure to select, install, maintain each BMP as one violation  When sediment escapes the site, it is not removed at a frequency necessary to minimize off-site impacts  Litter, construction debris, and construction chemicals exposed to storm water are not prevented from becoming a pollutant source (e.g. screening outfalls, pickup daily, etc.)  Stabilization measures are not initiated as soon as practible on portions of the site where construction activities have temporarily or permanently ceased	A) East construction entrance/exit on Travis Drive did not have appropriate track-out BMP in place; PCC flume was missing adequate silt protection; Stormwater inlet at Nelson Rd in SE corner of site lacked sediment control BMP. B) Track-out BMP at South/west entrance on Nelson Drive (tracking pad and street sweeping) was not maintained and/or operating properly; Silt fence at southeast corner of site had excessive build-up of sediment.	CGP 3.12.B  CGP 3.12.B  CGP 3.13.F  CGP 3.13.A  CGP 3.6.B  .  CGP 3.13.B	III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2) NGEP Part III(K)(2)  NGEP Part III(L)(2)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)  NGEP Part III(E)&(L)(1)  NGEP Part III(L)(2)  NGEP Part III(L)(3)	No		X X X	\$50.00 \$50.00 \$500.00 \$500.00 \$500.00		\$1,500

-	<u> </u>				Total Exped	ditad Sa	ttlement:	\$2,82
	A small business is defined by EPA's Small Business Compliance Policy as: "a person, corporation, partnership, or other entity that employs 100 or fewer individuals (across all facilities and operations owned by the small business)." The number of employees should be considered as full-time equivalents on an annual basis, including contract employees (see 40 CFR 372.3). A full time employee unit is 2000 hours worked per year.							
18	SMALL BUSINESS EVALUATION Is the Owner/Operator a Small Business?	8						
	design capacity reduced by 50% or more		CGF 3.0.C	III(E)(3)			ψουσ.σο	
	have sediment traps, silt fences, vegetative buffer strips, or equivalent sediment controls for all down slope boundaries (not required if sedimentation sediment basin meeting criteria in 46 above)  A Sediment not removed from sediment trap when		CGP 3.6.C	NGEP Part		×	\$500.00	
17	B Sediment not removed from sediment basin or traps when design capacity reduced by 50% or more  Common Drainage less than 10 acres does not		CGP 3.6.C	NGEP Part III(E)(3)		X	\$500.00	
	A Where sedimentation basin not attainable, smaller sediment basins, sediment traps, or erosion controls not implemented for downslope			N/A	9			***
16	Common Drainage of 10+ acres does not have a sedimentation basin for the 2 year, 24 hour storm, or 3600 cubic ft. storage per acre drained			N/A			2	
	(c) Arid or Semi-arid areas (<20 inches per year)			NEGP Part III(L)(4)(c)				
	(b) Activities will be resumed within 14 days			NEGP Part				

\* Requires Corrective Action

\*\* NPDES General Permit, 68 FR 39087, issued by EPA on July 1, 2003, http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/cgp.cfm

\*\*\* Authorization to Discharge under the state of Nebraska NPDES, NPDES Permit Number NER110000, Issued by NDEQ on January 1, 2008 - http://www.ndeq.state.ne.us

# IN THE MATTER OF Ronco Construction Company, Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2010-0130

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Expedited Settlement Agreement was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy hand delivered to Attorney for Complainant:

Sarah LaBoda Assistant Regional Counsel Region 7 United States Environmental Protection Agency 901 N. 5<sup>th</sup> Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Copy by Certified Mail Return Receipt to:

Tom Nolan, CEO Ronco Construction Company 1717 North 74<sup>th</sup> Street Omaha, Nebraska 68114

Dated: \(\/\17\\\C

Kathy Robinson

Hearing Clerk, Region 7