In re: Consent Agreement and Proposed Final Order for Animal Feeding Operations - Foster Brothers Farm, Inc.

Consent Agreement and Proposed Final Order CAA-HQ-2005-2684
Consent Agreement and Proposed Final Order CERCLA-HQ-2005-2684
Consent Agreement and Proposed Final Order EPCRA-HQ-2005-2684

FINAL ORDER

I. BACKGROUND

On August 16, 2006, the Environmental Appeals Board ("Board") received for review and ratification a Consent Agreement and Proposed Final Order ("Agreement")¹ from the EPA's Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance ("OECA", also referred to as "Complainant") in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(3) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits, 40 C.F.R. pt. 22

¹ The same submittal also included for Board review an Agreement with Seaboard Foods LP (formerly Seaboard Foods LLC and Seaboard Farms, Inc.) (Docket No. CAA-HQ-2005-2682, CERCLA-HQ-2005-2682, EPCRA-HQ-2005-2682). See Memorandum from Granta Y. Nakayama on Consent Agreements and Proposed Final Orders for Animal Feeding Operations to Environmental Appeals Board (August 15, 2006). This Order only applies to Foster Brothers Farm Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Respondent"). The Board will rule on the Agreement between OECA and Seaboard Foods LP in a separate order.
This Agreement is part of a large group of proposed agreements EPA has received in response to a nationwide offer EPA made to animal feeding operations ("AFOs") in the egg, broiler, chicken, turkey, dairy, and swine industries that meet the definition of an AFO under the Clean Water Act. See Animal Feeding Operations Consent Agreement and Final Order, 70 Fed. Reg. 4958, 4959 (Jan. 31, 2005).


According to section 22.18(b)(3), settlements or consent agreements arising from proceedings commenced at EPA Headquarters need the Board's approval before becoming final Agency action. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(3) ("No settlement or consent agreement shall dispose of any proceeding under these Consolidated Rules of Practice without a final order from * * *, in a proceeding commenced at EPA Headquarters, the Environmental Appeals Board, ratifying the parties' consent agreement."). See also id. § 22.4(a) ("The Environmental Appeals Board * * * approves settlements of proceedings under these Consolidated Rules of Practice commenced at EPA Headquarters").
Under the model agreement published in the January 31, 2005 Federal Register notice, participating AFOs will pay a civil penalty based on the number and size of the farms and the number of animals at each AFO covered by the Agreement, in accordance with a table set forth therein. Participating AFOs would also share responsibility for funding a two-year nationwide emissions monitoring study aimed at the development of methodologies for estimating emissions from AFOs, which in turn would be used to determine participating companies' regulatory status and compliance under the CAA, CERCLA, and EPCRA. As part of the Agreements, the companies would receive a release and covenant not to sue for potential civil violations of specified requirements of these statutes that may have already occurred or that may occur during the study period.

The Agreement between OECA and Foster Brothers Farm Inc.\(^3\) (the "Foster Brothers Agreement") is one of two thousand five hundred and sixty-eight final Agreements the Board has received for review and ratification under this initiative. The first group consisted of twenty Agreements, which, after careful examination,\(^4\) the Board ratified by Final Order dated January 27, 1996.

\(^3\) See supra note 1.

\(^4\) On November 18, 2005, after a preliminary examination of the first group of Agreements and the supporting documentation OECA submitted, the Board issued an order identifying various areas that
2006. See Consent Agreements and Proposed Final Orders for Animal Feeding Operations (EAB, Jan. 27, 2006). In its review of the first twenty Agreements, the Board found that: (1) the Agreements were administrative penalty orders subject to Board review; (2) the Agreements did not violate the Clean Air Act or Part 22; and (3) the penalty amounts set forth in the Agreements followed the applicable statutory penalty criteria and any deviations from EPA's penalty policies were appropriately explained. Id. 8-34. After the first group of twenty Agreements, the Board has received five additional groups of varying numbers of Agreements for Board ratification. On April 17, 2006, the Board ratified the second group of Agreements, consisting of seven hundred and two Agreements. See Consent needed clarification and requesting OECA to file a supplemental memorandum answering several questions. The Board also scheduled a hearing inviting OECA and any interested Respondents to address the areas identified in the order. See Order Scheduling Hearing and Requesting Supplemental Information (EAB, Nov. 18, 2005). On December 6, 2005, the Board received a joint request from various community and environmental groups (collectively referred to as "AIR"), seeking, among other things, to file a memorandum to respond to OECA's supplemental brief and asking to participate at the hearing. By order dated December 8, 2005, the Board granted AIR the opportunity to participate at the hearing. See Order Granting Opportunity to Participate at Hearing and Allocating Time (EAB, Dec. 8, 2005). By a separate order, the Board allowed AIR to file a non-party brief. See Order Denying Motion for Leave to Intervene (EAB, Dec. 8, 2005).

The Board held the hearing on December 13, 2005. OECA, counsel for six of the Respondents, and AIR participated at the hearing. On December 20, 2005, AIR filed a non-party brief responding to OECA's supplemental brief. On January 6, 2006, OECA and Respondents each filed a response brief to AIR's non-party brief. Upon consideration of all responsive filings, the Board issued a Final Order on January 27, 2006, ratifying the Agreements.
Agreements and Proposed Final Orders for Animal Feeding Operations (EAB, Apr. 17, 2006). The third group, consisting of two hundred eighty-six Agreements, was ratified on May 5, 2006. See Consent Agreements and Proposed Final Orders for Animal Feeding Operations (EAB, May 5, 2006). The fourth group, consisting of one thousand two hundred and five Agreements, was ratified by the Board on July 19, 2006. See Consent Agreements and Proposed Final Orders for Animal Feeding Operations (EAB, July 19, 2006). The fifth group, consisting of three hundred and fifty three Agreements, was ratified on August 7, 2006. See Consent Agreements and Proposed Final Orders for Animal Feeding Operations (EAB, Aug. 7, 2006). Currently, the Board has ratified a total of two thousand five hundred and sixty-six Agreements. The discussion and findings in these earlier orders apply with equal force to the Foster Brothers Agreement as well.

In its transmittal memorandum, OECA represents that the Foster Brothers Agreement is identical to the agreements previously ratified by the Board, the model agreement published in the January 31, 2005 Federal Register notice, and the sample Agreement attached to its transmittal memorandum. The transmittal memorandum further states that each penalty assessed

---

by the Foster Brothers Agreement is in accordance with the formula established in Paragraph 48 of the model agreement.\(^6\)

Upon review, the Board hereby issues the following order.

II. FINAL ORDER

Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b), the Board issues this Final Order ratifying the Agreement executed by the Complainant and Respondent. Complainant and Respondent have consented to the entry of this Final Order and have agreed to comply with the Agreement. It is hereby ORDERED that:

1. Respondent shall comply with all the terms of the Agreement, incorporated herein by reference;

2. Nothing in the Agreement relieves Respondent from otherwise complying with the applicable requirements set forth in the CAA, CERCLA, and EPCRA;

\(^6\) Id. at 4-5. The Board has previously found that this paragraph is consistent with all applicable statutory penalty criteria and that any deviations from applicable penalty policies are appropriate. See Consent Agreements and Proposed Final Orders for Animal Feeding Operations (EAB, Jan. 27, 2006) at 25-34.
3. Respondent is hereby assessed a civil penalty in the sum of the amount determined by Paragraph 48 of the Agreement;

4. Respondent shall, within thirty (30) days of the date an executed copy of the Agreement is received by the Respondent, forward a certified check or money order, payable to the United States Treasurer, in the amount determined by Paragraph 48 of the Agreement to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
(Washington, D.C. Hearing Clerk)
Docket No. [insert Respondent’s case docket number]
P.O. Box 360277
Pittsburgh, PA 15251-6277

The check or money order shall bear the notation of the name of the Respondent and the appropriate case docket number. A transmittal letter, indicating Respondent’s name, complete address, and the case docket number must accompany the payment. Respondent shall file a copy of the check and the transmittal letter by mailing the copies to:

**U.S. Mail Address**
Office of Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
MC 1900L
Washington, D.C. 20460-0001

**Courier/FedEx Address**
U.S. EPA Office of the Hearing Clerk
5. Failure to remit the civil penalty assessed under the Agreement may subject the Respondent to civil action pursuant to section 113 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413, section 109 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9609, and/or section 325 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045, to collect any unpaid portion of the monies owed, together with the interest, handling charges, enforcement expenses, including attorney fees and nonpayment penalties set forth in Paragraphs 51 and 52 of the Agreement;

6. With respect to all requirements of the Agreement except for those related to the assessment and payment of penalties in Paragraphs 48-52, failure to comply with these other requirements will void the releases and covenants not to sue granted by the Agreement as provided for in Paragraph 37 of the Agreement;

7. Complainant is ordered to serve Respondent with a copy of this Order. Complainant shall submit to the Board a certificate of service confirming that such service has been made.

So ordered.
Dated: August 17, 2006

By: Edward E. Reich
Environmental Appeals Judge
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing Final Order in the matter of Consent Agreements and Proposed Final Orders for Animal Feeding Operations, were sent to the following persons in the manner indicated:

By Interoffice Mail
(and copy by facsimile): Robert A. Kaplan
Bruce Fergusson
Tim Sullivan
Special Litigation & Projects Division
Office of Civil Enforcement (2248-A)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20460

FAX: (202) 564-0010

Dated: AUG 17 2006

Annette Duncan
Secretary