

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

RECEIVED
JAN 14 2010

JAN 14 2010

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
ENVIRONMENTAL APPEALS BOARD

----- :
IN RE: : PSD Appeal No. 09-03
: :
MGP Ingredients of Illinois, : Permit #070300 58
Inc. :
----- :

Washington, D.C

Thursday, January 14, 2010

The following pages constitute the proceedings held in the above-captioned matter before the HONORABLE ANNA L. WOLGAST, at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, D.C., before Natalia Kornilova, of Capital Reporting Company, a Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia, beginning at approximately 10:03 a.m.

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

<p style="text-align: right;">2</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES 2 On behalf of the Plaintiff: 3 DAVID C. BENDER 4 McGillivray Westerberg & Bender, LLC 5 305 South Paterson Street 6 Madison, Wisconsin 53703 7 (608) 310-3566 8 On behalf of the Defendant: 9 LAUREL KROACK (VIA VIDEO) 10 JOHN J. KIM (VIA VIDEO) 11 ROBB H. LAYMAN (VIA VIDEO) 12 IEPA Springfield 13 1021 North Grand Avenue East 14 Springfield, Illinois 19276 15 (217) 782-3397 16 17 MATTHEW J. DUNN (VIA VIDEO) 18 SUSAN HEDMAN (VIA VIDEO) 19 State of Illinois 20 ALSO PRESENT: 21 EURIKA DURR 22 Clerk of the Board</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">4</p> <p>1 of the extensions has come in the day of the 2 deadline, contrary to the Board's practice manual, 3 or in the case -- in one instance there was a 4 request for extension of the administrative record 5 one day after the deadline had passed. 6 I think there are several problems with the 7 history of this case, but some of the problems 8 pretend a more institutional failing, on the part of 9 Illinois prosecution, in defense of these permits. 10 One that has been a problem is representation. 11 Typically, we lose approximately 60 days in any 12 Illinois permit defense as it was quoted in the 13 motions here, to quote, "sort out representation". 14 Something that otherwise would seem fairly straight 15 forward. And again, we typically are losing 60 days 16 just on the matter of representation. As we did in 17 this case. 18 The second thing that occurs in this case, but 19 cuts across a lot of the litigation that we see with 20 Illinois, is a routine failure to timely be able to 21 assemble a file, a certified index, to the 22 administrative record.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">3</p> <p>1 PROCEEDINGS 2 CLERK: Now in session for a status 3 conference in re MGP Ingredients of Illinois, Inc. 4 Permit number 070300 58, PSD appeal number 09-03. 5 The Honorable Judge Anna Wolgast presiding. Please 6 turn off cell phones, and any recording devices are 7 not allowed. Please be seated. 8 THE COURT: Good Morning to everyone here and 9 over the phone. We are here today pursuant to the 10 Board's order of January eighth. That order laid 11 out sanctions and a schedule for further proceedings 12 in this case. I'm going to take this opportunity to 13 review where we stand. I also want to discuss 14 (inaudible) the pattern of practice (inaudible) 15 before the board, because it's Board's concern that 16 that practice is becoming increasingly problematic 17 and has played out in a particularly inappropriate 18 way in this case. 19 The order that we issued on January eighth 20 details the deadlines that had been extended both 21 for the administrative record and for filing a 22 response. There has been numerous extensions. Each</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">5</p> <p>1 Here, the permit was issued on June twenty- 2 second, the petition was filed on July twenty-first, 3 and yet, it wasn't until after numerous extensions 4 on November twelfth that the certified index to the 5 administrative record was filed. 6 Now, there was a decision maker, I assume who's 7 certified, that they made a decision based on the 8 administrative record. And yet five months later -- 9 where it took at least five months later before we 10 saw the product of that record. In my mind, those 11 facts don't fit neatly together. 12 As to this case, as I said, Illinois has 13 routinely filed on, or after in one instance, the 14 day it was due, or the day that was set forth in the 15 board order. And I have to say, every responsible 16 litigator knows that when you file on the day of the 17 deadline, seeking relief, you put your clients' 18 interest at risk. And not only the clients' 19 interest, but in this case, because of the nature of 20 the permit, the permittee's interest at risk. 21 Also, obviously, it doesn't matter what the 22 floor is, it doesn't give the decision maker ample</p>

(866) 448 - DEPO

www.CapitalReportingCompany.com

© 2010

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

<p style="text-align: right;">6</p> <p>1 time to adequately consider the remedy that's 2 sought. Also, I want to say that the overall delay 3 in prosecuting these cases is extremely problematic, 4 given the nature of what we are litigating. These 5 are pre-construction permits. Because of the nature 6 of the permit, the board routinely prioritizes pre- 7 construction, PSD, and NPDES permits, we understand 8 that there are entities who have sought a permit and 9 are waiting to move forward in construction. 10 On the other hand, Illinois seems in absolutely 11 no hurry to defend this cases or to conclude an 12 acceptable adjudication of the permit challenge. 13 And frankly, if Illinois isn't prepared to defend 14 this permit, under the terms of which it was issued, 15 Illinois should be asking for a remand. They should 16 not be asking for ceaseless extensions, as they have 17 in this case. 18 Now, I would like -- everyone will have an 19 opportunity to respond. I'd like to start with 20 Illinois, and I would like to -- for you to discuss 21 not just this case, but how the prosecution and 22 defense of these permits could be put on a better</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">8</p> <p>1 little bit less than a year. Mr. Kim, who will be 2 joining us, is the new chief counsel of the Illinois 3 EPA. He's been in place in the eight to nine month 4 time frame. There's been considerable discussion 5 between the senior administration of this office and 6 senior attorneys and policymakers in the new 7 Governor's administration on the types of issues 8 that you have flagged here, especially this first 9 one. 10 So lines of communication, they have been 11 reopened and reestablished. We always communicated, 12 it just obviously wasn't always in the timeframes 13 and all that these things call out for, that these 14 types of proceedings call out for. 15 So I can tell you one, that the concerns of the 16 EAB will be on brief to the senior office of this 17 administration later this morning. And we take the 18 issues and concerns that we mentioned to heart, and 19 we'll work back with -- with the Governor's 20 administration, with their EPA to get a process in 21 place in Illinois to effectively deal with your 22 issues.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">7</p> <p>1 footing in the future. So can we start with 2 Illinois, please? 3 MR. DUNN: Good morning, Judge. 4 THE COURT: Good morning. 5 MR. DUNN: Matthew Dunn, Assistant Attorney 6 General, State of Illinois. With me here in Chicago 7 is Susan Hedman, Environmental and Energy Counsel to 8 the Attorney General, Assistant Attorney General 9 also in the environmental unit here, at this office. 10 We appreciate the Court's time today. Certainly 11 to its patience on the items you have listed here 12 and previously in your January eighth order. We do 13 take them very seriously. Notwithstanding the 14 impression that is apparent from the history in this 15 matter that, Your Honor, had laid out so thoroughly 16 in the January eighth order. 17 The points that have been raised involve the 18 representational issue and the perennial problem, we 19 do believe, this office does believe, that Illinois 20 is getting on track, as far as the relationships 21 that go on in state government. But -- strike that. 22 We have a new governor who has been in office a</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">9</p> <p>1 Clearly, your 60 day note in all that is an 2 accurate one. There has been a type of lag that 3 really just by having a better process in place, I 4 think we can address and alleviate. If there are 5 questions on that point, however Your Honor wants to 6 deal with them. I can go to number two, or whatever 7 makes sense. 8 THE COURT: Why don't you move onto number two. 9 And can I ask, is anyone from IEPA on this call? 10 MR. DUNN: I don't see them on my screen, Judge. 11 We -- I did speak with ... 12 THE COURT: Is anyone from IEPA on the call? 13 Tim did we call the IEPA? 14 UNIDENTIFIED: They did. I had a phone call a 15 few minutes ago. I missed it. I need to get in 16 touch with them and find out what's going on. If 17 they're having technical problems or what. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Could you do that, please? 19 And just interrupt and let me know where we stand. 20 So, Mr. Dunn, I have no idea what's happening 21 with IEPA and whether that's a problem on our end or 22 not. But -- so I will press you to go ahead and</p>

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

<p style="text-align: right;">10</p> <p>1 talk about --</p> <p>2 MR. DUNN: I know for one that they did submit</p> <p>3 names to the court and that were fully prepared. I</p> <p>4 did speak with the chief counsel yesterday around</p> <p>5 four o'clock, and similarly at that point, and they</p> <p>6 were all lined up and fully anticipating being here.</p> <p>7 But I'd offer, Judge, to move along as best I can.</p> <p>8 Your second item dealt with the certified index</p> <p>9 and all, and that too, I see a point that Your Honor</p> <p>10 accurately set forth in the January eighth order.</p> <p>11 That has been a point of discussion for a number of</p> <p>12 however months within state government and just what</p> <p>13 we need to do to be better at that, and have a</p> <p>14 process in place that allows for the timely</p> <p>15 submission of the certified index. As the Court</p> <p>16 knows, that is more a function of the permitting</p> <p>17 agency and also is one that I am a little less able</p> <p>18 to speak directly to, other than the involvements</p> <p>19 that we have had, and those have been to note the</p> <p>20 need for, you know, for the record to be compiled,</p> <p>21 preferably in a moving forward fashion throughout</p> <p>22 the proceeding, so that when you get to a permit</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">12</p> <p>1 persuasive.</p> <p>2 MR. DUNN: Third off, I think that, with all</p> <p>3 candor, in the past year, that is true, that we do</p> <p>4 have people who will listen to us in the Governor's</p> <p>5 administration. So -- and that has been the focus</p> <p>6 here in recent months with the personnel changes</p> <p>7 that I know that you were aware of in this</p> <p>8 discussion. That is a point well taken.</p> <p>9 THE COURT: I just received information that</p> <p>10 IEPA is having some technical problems connecting on</p> <p>11 their end (inaudible).</p> <p>12 MS. KROACK: (inaudible).</p> <p>13 THE COURT: I apologize. I didn't hear that.</p> <p>14 I'm sorry. Could you -- who is this, please?</p> <p>15 MS. KROACK: This is Laurel Kroack, from the</p> <p>16 Illinois EPA. With me I have Robb Layman. We</p> <p>17 cannot connect by video for some reason, but we are</p> <p>18 on the phone.</p> <p>19 THE COURT: And have you been on the phone</p> <p>20 throughout this meeting?</p> <p>21 MS. KROACK: No, we just joined. We apologize.</p> <p>22 MR. LAYMAN: They've been attempting since about</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">11</p> <p>1 decision date we're not, you know, starting that</p> <p>2 process there, but rather ultimately finalizing it,</p> <p>3 and double-checking, and the like. I know there</p> <p>4 have been, you know, higher-level discussions within</p> <p>5 state government, between my office and our EPA on</p> <p>6 the need to be -- to be much more timely in that</p> <p>7 regard. So again, that too is one that will be, you</p> <p>8 know, might not be anything to this administration,</p> <p>9 the concerns of the Board with respect to, you know,</p> <p>10 (inaudible) practice in front of the board, and</p> <p>11 we'll look to get a practice and procedure in place</p> <p>12 that addresses that.</p> <p>13 THE COURT: Thank you. Two things. One is</p> <p>14 that, I mean, obviously there is kind of, I mean,</p> <p>15 administrative best practices in place for compiling</p> <p>16 the administrative record as the decision</p> <p>17 progresses. That seems fairly apparent.</p> <p>18 Two, I completely appreciate your point, that</p> <p>19 this is something that is, in the first instance, in</p> <p>20 that agency's -- IEPA's prerogative and role to</p> <p>21 perform. But I will just say, as a former justice</p> <p>22 department employee, I know you can be very</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">13</p> <p>1 8:30 -- well, 9:30 your time, Judge, to try to get</p> <p>2 us hooked up. And for some reason, technical</p> <p>3 glitch, or what have you, we were not able to get on</p> <p>4 until now. We decided it would be best to try the</p> <p>5 audio call rather than the video conferencing. We</p> <p>6 apologize.</p> <p>7 THE COURT: Okay. Well, thank you for making</p> <p>8 that effort. Actually, that's fine. We're just up</p> <p>9 to your issue. We were talking about the</p> <p>10 administrative record and the numerous delays that</p> <p>11 we've seen in having a certified index filed, not</p> <p>12 just in this case, where it was filed four months --</p> <p>13 after numerous extensions. Filed four months after</p> <p>14 the petition was filed, some five months after the</p> <p>15 record had concluded, where presumably a decision</p> <p>16 maker had certified a decision on the basis of that</p> <p>17 record.</p> <p>18 And I want to talk about that, because it's not</p> <p>19 that it's been very problematic in this case, it's</p> <p>20 that we've seen this on a regular basis on</p> <p>21 litigation-based permits from Illinois, and</p> <p>22 specifically from Illinois. And as you are aware,</p>

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

<p style="text-align: right;">14</p> <p>1 of course, IEPA just requested and received a sixty- 2 day extension in Powers Holdings. So it's hardly 3 isolated to this case, and there seems to be some 4 systemic problem in not being able to timely comply 5 with administrative record and certified index to 6 let a litigation on a pre-construction permit move 7 forward. And I want you to speak to that. 8 MR. LAYMAN: Well, Judge, this is Robb Layman, 9 and I'm probably the best person to speak, at least 10 initially at this issue. If you are referring 11 specifically to the administrative record in 12 relation to past cases, my involvement with Indeck, 13 for instance, and Prairie State. I know we have a 14 practice of what we do on an EAB appeal. We have a 15 practice of requesting representation from the 16 Attorney General's office. 17 Historically, in both the Prairie State matter 18 and the Indeck matter, I guess the last matter we 19 had was Christian County Generation. Historically, 20 we have been able to be fairly responsive, I 21 thought, in terms of assembling the record, 22 preparing the index and having it file along with</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">16</p> <p>1 this particular case. 2 I don't know what our attorneys of record have 3 represented to you in this matter, or in the Powers 4 Holdings matter. But I can tell you, we -- as soon 5 as we receive the appeal, as is our normal course, a 6 request for our Special Assistant Attorney General 7 appointment from the Attorney General's office to 8 handle the case at our administrative level. That 9 has been the practice for some time. 10 It has only been since Christian County, and in 11 particular on this case and on the Power Holdings 12 matter, that there have been problems arise with 13 respect to the process. Specifically, in this 14 particular case, we waited until, I think it was 15 sometime -- I believe we waited approximately two 16 months. It was 62 days before we heard from the 17 Attorney General's office whether or not we were 18 going to be handling the appeal. 19 Consequently, we were not sure who was going to 20 be responsible for handling the assembly of the 21 records, sitting down with our permit section to 22 compile and go over any documents that might be of</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">15</p> <p>1 our formal response. 2 I will be the first to admit that there, in 3 those cases, Indeck and Prairie State, they have an 4 enormous number of issues that were both complex and 5 difficult to deal with, right off the bat. So I 6 know in those cases, we had filed extensions with it 7 at the time, with a responsiveness brief. 8 I'm not aware in those cases, that there have 9 been difficulties. Perhaps there was on Prairie 10 State, but I do not recall any specific difficulties 11 and someone requesting a record before we actually 12 filed it, through our APR response briefs, and I 13 guess going back in time, I guess, historically, I 14 don't know, we had been under the impression here, 15 the attorneys for the IEPA, that it was acceptable 16 to file an index and have it verified -- certified, 17 if you will, in conjunction with our brief. In 18 other words, the response brief was the mechanism by 19 which we would submit both our response and the 20 index. So I guess our, I don't know, as to whether 21 there was a historical problem. If there was, I 22 guess I'd like your take on that as it regards to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">17</p> <p>1 question, for the record, as well as preparing the 2 index and getting that verified -- certified, if you 3 will, and then sending it off to the Board. 4 So that is like a three month period between the 5 time of initial appeal and when we knew who was 6 actually going to be responsible for it. 7 THE COURT: Well, why don't we talk about -- 8 MR. DUNN: I'm sorry, Judge. I'm sorry, Judge. 9 The -- the dealings that this office put out, with 10 respect to my long-time colleague, Mr. Laylan 11 (phonetic) -- 12 THE COURT: This is Mr. Dunn? 13 MR. DUNN: Yes, it is. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 15 MR. DUNN: Correct. So, we had been clear for 16 many, many months that at AAG, getting a letter 17 saying that we worked on a matter for however many 18 months or years, we've issued a permit, and outside 19 AAG come down and put together our records. So we 20 gather that in writing at the agency, you know, for 21 over a year and the like. So I need to address that 22 that I'm not aware of that uncertainty and the like,</p>

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

<p style="text-align: right;">18</p> <p>1 regarding it with this matter. But certainly, if 2 there is any uncertainty at the operational level at 3 IEPA, we can address that in a very short order. We 4 certainly want to work with IEPA and the assembly of 5 the record, but they say that would be, in the first 6 instance, the individuals who had spent the many 7 months on the record and the like. So, yeah, the 8 source is unclear to me, given the discussions I've 9 had, again, like at levels of chief counsel and 10 others. 11 But certainly on a moving forward basis, which I 12 assume everybody is committed to with the Court 13 today. Make sure there are no uncertainties as to 14 who's going to look to do what and the like, would 15 be undertaken. 16 MR. KIM: Judge, if I may, this is John Kim, I'm 17 chief legal counsel for the Illinois EPA. 18 THE COURT: Yes, please. 19 MR. KIM: I just wanted to follow up on what Mr. 20 Dunn said. I think it's probably fair to say that 21 in the past, and I don't know the -- I understand 22 your question about wanting to bring up, you know,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">20</p> <p>1 the final word I'd like to put on it is, you know, 2 we recognize that, you know, we don't want to be 3 delayed and we don't want to ask for extensions when 4 we don't have to. So we're certainly going to try 5 and continue to work towards getting quicker and so 6 forth. But it is a situation, in this case where 7 the steps we're taking and the time periods in 8 between each milestone, I don't think we're entirely 9 unreasonable. 10 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the last 11 point. 12 MR. KIM: I don't think, if you sort of 13 timeline, not what was presented to the EAB, but 14 just the interaction between the Attorney General's 15 office and our office. The timeline and how things 16 went, I don't think it was entirely unreasonable, in 17 terms of what went on at either end and when we 18 eventually got the certified index to you. 19 MR. LAYMAN: Judge, this is Robb Layman again. 20 Can I add just a little bit more to this discussion? 21 I know you may be prepared to move on beyond this, 22 but I would just note this, that first extension</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">19</p> <p>1 any kind of potential, or perceived problems that 2 were there in the past, and what I can tell you is 3 this, what we're trying to do from this point 4 forward, and I think with Powers Holdings, which is 5 a separate case obviously, going forward is trying 6 to have more of a dialogue before the actual request 7 for representation gets sent over. Which we did 8 with Powers Holdings. 9 In that case, I had at least one conversation 10 with Mr. Dunn. I think at that point I conveyed to 11 him that in that case we would not be seeking a 12 special appointment. Which we didn't. I think that 13 sped up the process. 14 They turned around their request letter and, at 15 least in this case, except for what is not, I think, 16 an internal delay. We have been working internally 17 as quickly as possible to get all our documents 18 together, and we have been trying to work as closely 19 as possible with the Attorney General's office, to 20 make sure that their attorneys had opportunity to 21 review the record before it was filed. 22 So I understand what you are saying, and maybe</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">21</p> <p>1 that we sought, it was filed, I think on an 2 appearance, on a motion for an extension, by Matt 3 Dunn of the Attorney General's office. That 4 indicated there that we still had this 5 representation issue that we were dealing with. 6 Shortly after that, Sierra Club submitted a 7 letter to the Board that indicated that they, along 8 with the Attorney General, would gladly propose a 9 schedule for providing a response and then a reply. 10 I don't think that was ever done. I think that is 11 what precipitated your order of October twentieth. 12 One of the problems -- you want to deal 13 specifically on the record issue, was that during 14 that period of time, which was about 40 days, 15 roughly a month and a half. We had no indication as 16 to what was going to transpire, what was going to go 17 forward. There were no communications with us. I 18 think we did receive a proposed schedule, but our 19 response to the schedule that, I think had been sent 20 down here from the AG's office, was that we really 21 couldn't comment on it -- on the date until we knew 22 for sure who was actually going to be handling the</p>

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

<p style="text-align: right;">22</p> <p>1 case.</p> <p>2 So we were still waiting on the representational</p> <p>3 issue to be resolved. But the kind new order came</p> <p>4 down then on October twenty-first, I believe it was.</p> <p>5 We learned then that, in fact, we needed to prepare</p> <p>6 the record -- copies of the record and get that to</p> <p>7 the Attorney General's office as quickly as</p> <p>8 possible. Then it was incumbent on them then to</p> <p>9 prepare the index and at least to have an</p> <p>10 opportunity to come down, meet with them at section</p> <p>11 and review any record issue that might be presented.</p> <p>12 Consequently, I think an extension request was</p> <p>13 made at that timeframe, in part, because of the</p> <p>14 volume of documents we were having to have copied</p> <p>15 and sent over to the AG's office.</p> <p>16 That extension was granted. I think it went out</p> <p>17 until November twelfth. I think it was Monday of</p> <p>18 that week, the twelfth was a Thursday, Monday of</p> <p>19 that week, I think the AAP, who was going to handle</p> <p>20 the case, Mr. Carr. I believe, he came down and met</p> <p>21 with them at section. They walked through various</p> <p>22 documents, and then he prepared the index up and got</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">24</p> <p>1 five months after the permit was decided, and five</p> <p>2 months, presumably -- well, not presumably, five</p> <p>3 months after a decision maker had made a decision on</p> <p>4 this permit on the basis of the record.</p> <p>5 And I'm really, fairly unimpressed with who</p> <p>6 represents who at what time. The compilation of a</p> <p>7 record is, and it's basis for an important</p> <p>8 administrative decision, is solely in IEPA's court.</p> <p>9 And I understand you all consult with your lawyers,</p> <p>10 but the practice of how you compile the record and</p> <p>11 make it available, in the instance of an</p> <p>12 adjudication, in this court or any other court, is</p> <p>13 obviously lacking.</p> <p>14 Does anyone from IEPA or Illinois AAG have</p> <p>15 anything else to add on the issues that we've raised</p> <p>16 so far?</p> <p>17 MR. DUNN: Yes, Your Honor. I guess, we</p> <p>18 understand where the Judge's -- the Board's concerns</p> <p>19 on this, and as, I think I did mention, perhaps</p> <p>20 before IEPA joined us as a call, we've had</p> <p>21 discussions to start working on that. Mr. Kim</p> <p>22 alluded to, you know, in earlier discussions and</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">23</p> <p>1 it filed.</p> <p>2 I guess that is the factual resurtation of the -</p> <p>3 - of what happened regarding this case.</p> <p>4 THE COURT: Well, let's just follow along that</p> <p>5 for a second. As you alluded to earlier, Ms.</p> <p>6 Kroack, the board sends out a letter, in this case</p> <p>7 IEPA, as well as the AAG's office, as well as MGP</p> <p>8 and others, that we received a letter that said --</p> <p>9 I'm sorry, Illinois, (inaudible) stating that you</p> <p>10 should file -- that a petition can be filed on July</p> <p>11 twenty-first, and that both the certified index of</p> <p>12 administrative record and known portions of that</p> <p>13 record, as well as your response, is due August</p> <p>14 nineteenth -- August nineteenth. We then received a</p> <p>15 motion for extension. We granted that extension to</p> <p>16 October twenty-eighth to file the record. We're</p> <p>17 just talking about the record right now.</p> <p>18 On October twenty-ninth, the day after the</p> <p>19 record was due, we received a request for a further</p> <p>20 extension. We granted the extension until November</p> <p>21 twelfth, and that's the date that a certified index</p> <p>22 was filed with this board. That is approximately</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">25</p> <p>1 all, that hopefully will have a positive benefit to</p> <p>2 Illinois being able to be closer to and ultimately</p> <p>3 meet the Board's needs and the likes. So we get it</p> <p>4 now, and just know that all of the issues that have</p> <p>5 been raised will be -- will be fully briefed and</p> <p>6 reviewed between our -- between the two</p> <p>7 administrations.</p> <p>8 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Bender, do you have</p> <p>9 anything to add?</p> <p>10 MR. BENDER: No, Your Honor.</p> <p>11 THE COURT: I trust we will be seeing IEPA's</p> <p>12 response on November twenty-second. And I</p> <p>13 appreciate all of your taking the time to</p> <p>14 participate in the status today. It has been</p> <p>15 helpful to me. Thank you very much.</p> <p>16 UNIDENTIFIED: The session of Environmental</p> <p>17 Appeals Board now stands adjourned.</p> <p>18 (Proceedings concluded.)</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p>

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

26

1 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

2

3 I, NATALIA KORNILOVA, the officer before whom
the

4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify
5 that the witness whose testimony appears in the
6 foregoing deposition was duly sworn; that the
7 testimony of said witness was taken by me in
8 stenotypy and thereafter reduced to typewriting by
9 me; that said deposition is a true record of the
10 testimony given by said witness; that I am neither
11 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
12 parties to the action in which this deposition was
13 taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or
14 employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the
15 parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise
16 interested in the outcome of this action.

17

18

19

20 NATALIA KORNILOVA

21 NOTARY/COURT REPORTER

22 IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF

(866) 448 - DEPO

www.CapitalReportingCompany.com

© 2010

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

26

1 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

2

3 I, NATALIA KORNILOVA, the officer before whom the
4 foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify
5 that the witness whose testimony appears in the
6 foregoing deposition was duly sworn; that the
7 testimony of said witness was taken by me in
8 stenotypy and thereafter reduced to typewriting by
9 me; that said deposition is a true record of the
10 testimony given by said witness; that I am neither
11 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
12 parties to the action in which this deposition was
13 taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or
14 employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the
15 parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise
16 interested in the outcome of this action.

17

18

19

Natalia Kornilova

20

NATALIA KORNILOVA

21

NOTARY/COURT REPORTER

22

IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA



(866) 448 - DEPO

www.CapitalReportingCompany.com

© 2010

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

Page 1

<hr/> <p>0</p> <hr/> <p>070300 1:6 3:4</p> <p>09-03 1:5 3:4</p> <hr/> <p>1</p> <hr/> <p>10:03 1:18</p> <p>1021 2:13</p> <p>1200 1:14</p> <p>14 1:9</p> <p>19276 2:14</p> <hr/> <p>2</p> <hr/> <p>2010 1:9</p> <p>217 2:15</p> <hr/> <p>3</p> <hr/> <p>305 2:5</p> <p>310-3566 2:7</p> <hr/> <p>4</p> <hr/> <p>40 21:14</p> <hr/> <p>5</p> <hr/> <p>53703 2:6</p> <p>58 1:6 3:4</p> <hr/> <p>6</p> <hr/> <p>60 4:11,15 9:1</p> <p>608 2:7</p> <p>62 16:16</p> <hr/> <p>7</p> <hr/> <p>782-3397 2:15</p> <hr/> <p>8</p> <hr/> <p>8:30 13:1</p>	<hr/> <p>9</p> <hr/> <p>9:30 13:1</p> <hr/> <p>A</p> <hr/> <p>a.m 1:18</p> <p>AAG 17:16,19 24:14</p> <p>AAG's 23:7</p> <p>AAP 22:19</p> <p>able 4:20 10:17 13:3 14:4,20 25:2</p> <p>above-captioned 1:12</p> <p>absolutely 6:10</p> <p>acceptable 6:12 15:15</p> <p>accurate 9:2</p> <p>accurately 10:10</p> <p>across 4:19</p> <p>action 26:12,16</p> <p>actual 19:6</p> <p>actually 13:8 15:11 17:6 21:22</p> <p>add 20:20 24:15 25:9</p> <p>address 9:4 17:21 18:3</p> <p>addresses 11:12</p> <p>adequately 6:1</p> <p>adjourned 25:17</p> <p>adjudication 6:12 24:12</p> <p>administration 8:5,7,17,20 11:8</p>	<p>12:5</p> <p>administrations 25:7</p> <p>administrative 3:21 4:4,22 5:5,8 11:15,16 13:10 14:5,11 16:8 23:12 24:8</p> <p>admit 15:2</p> <p>agency 1:1,14 10:17 17:20</p> <p>agency's 11:20</p> <p>ago 9:15</p> <p>AG's 21:20 22:15</p> <p>ahead 9:22</p> <p>alleviate 9:4</p> <p>allowed 3:7</p> <p>allows 10:14</p> <p>alluded 23:5 24:22</p> <p>am 10:17 26:10,13</p> <p>ample 5:22</p> <p>Anna 1:13 3:5</p> <p>anticipating 10:6</p> <p>anyone 9:9,12 24:14</p> <p>anything 11:8 24:15 25:9</p> <p>apologize 12:13,21 13:6</p> <p>apparent 7:14 11:17</p> <p>appeal 1:5 3:4 14:14 16:5,18 17:5</p> <p>Appeals 1:2 25:17</p>	<p>appearance 21:2</p> <p>appears 26:5</p> <p>appointment 16:7 19:12</p> <p>appreciate 7:10 11:18 25:13</p> <p>approximately 1:18 4:11 16:15 23:22</p> <p>APR 15:12</p> <p>arise 16:12</p> <p>assemble 4:21</p> <p>assembling 14:21</p> <p>assembly 16:20 18:4</p> <p>Assistant 7:5,8 16:6</p> <p>assume 5:6 18:12</p> <p>attempting 12:22</p> <p>attorney 7:5,8 14:16 16:6,7,17 19:19 20:14 21:3,8 22:7 26:14</p> <p>attorneys 8:6 15:15 16:2 19:20</p> <p>audio 13:5</p> <p>August 23:13,14</p> <p>available 24:11</p> <p>Avenue 1:14 2:13</p> <p>aware 12:7 13:22 15:8 17:22</p> <hr/> <p>B</p> <hr/> <p>based 5:7</p> <p>basis 13:16,20</p>
--	---	---	---

(866) 448 - DEPO

www.CapitalReportingCompany.com

© 2010

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

<p>18:11 24:4,7 bat 15:5 becoming 3:16 beginning 1:17 behalf 2:2,8 believe 7:19 16:15 22:4,20 Bender 2:3,4 25:8,10 benefit 25:1 best 10:7 11:15 13:4 14:9 better 6:22 9:3 10:13 beyond 20:21 bit 8:1 20:20 board 1:2 2:22 3:15 5:15 6:6 11:9,10 17:3 21:7 23:6,22 25:17 Board's 3:10,15 4:2 24:18 25:3 brief 8:16 15:7,17,18 briefed 25:5 briefs 15:12 bring 18:22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <hr/> <p>candor 12:3 Capital 1:16 Carr 22:20 case 3:12,18 4:3,7,17,18 5:12,19 6:17,21</p>	<p>13:12,19 14:3 16:1,8,11,14 19:5,9,11,15 20:6 22:1,20 23:3,6 cases 6:3,11 14:12 15:3,6,8 ceaseless 6:16 cell 3:6 certainly 7:10 18:1,4,11 20:4 CERTIFICATE 26:1 certified 4:21 5:4,7 10:8,15 13:11,16 14:5 15:16 17:2 20:18 23:11,21 certify 26:4 challenge 6:12 changes 12:6 Chicago 7:6 chief 8:2 10:4 18:9,17 Christian 14:19 16:10 clear 17:15 Clearly 9:1 Clerk 2:22 3:2 clients 5:17,18 closely 19:18 closer 25:2 Club 21:6 colleague 17:10 Columbia 1:17 26:21</p>	<p>comment 21:21 committed 18:12 communicated 8:11 communication 8:10 communications 21:17 Company 1:16 compilation 24:6 compile 16:22 24:10 compiled 10:20 compiling 11:15 completely 11:18 complex 15:4 comply 14:4 concern 3:15 concerns 8:15,18 11:9 24:18 conclude 6:11 concluded 13:15 25:18 conference 3:3 conferencing 13:5 conjunction 15:17 connect 12:17 connecting 12:10 Consequently 16:19 22:12 consider 6:1 considerable 8:4 constitute 1:11 construction 6:7,9</p>	<p>consult 24:9 continue 20:5 contrary 4:2 conversation 19:9 conveyed 19:10 copied 22:14 copies 22:6 Correct 17:15 counsel 7:7 8:2 10:4 18:9,17 26:11,14 County 14:19 16:10 course 14:1 16:5 court 3:8 7:4 9:8,12,18 10:3,15 11:13 12:9,13,19 13:7 17:7,12,14 18:12,18 20:10 23:4 24:8,12 25:8,11 26:1 Court's 7:10 cuts 4:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>D.C 1:8,15 date 11:1 21:21 23:21 DAVID 2:3 day 4:1,5 5:14,16 9:1 14:2 23:18 days 4:11,15 16:16 21:14 deadline 4:2,5 5:17</p>
--	--	--	--

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

<p>deadlines 3:20 deal 8:21 9:6 15:5 21:12 dealing 21:5 dealings 17:9 dealt 10:8 decided 13:4 24:1 decision 5:6,7,22 11:1,16 13:15,16 24:3,8 defend 6:11,13 Defendant 2:8 defense 4:9,12 6:22 delay 6:2 19:16 delayed 20:3 delays 13:10 department 11:22 deposition 26:4,6,9,12 details 3:20 devices 3:6 dialogue 19:6 difficult 15:5 difficulties 15:9,10 directly 10:18 discuss 3:13 6:20 discussion 8:4 10:11 12:8 20:20 discussions 11:4 18:8 24:21,22 District 1:17 26:21 documents 16:22 19:17 22:14,22</p>	<p>done 21:10 double-checking 11:3 due 5:14 23:13,19 duly 26:6 Dunn 2:17 7:3,5 9:10,20 10:2 12:2 17:8,12,13,15 18:20 19:10 21:3 24:17 during 21:13 DURR 2:21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <hr/> <p>EAB 8:16 14:14 20:13 earlier 23:5 24:22 East 2:13 effectively 8:21 effort 13:8 eight 8:3 eighth 3:10,19 7:12,16 10:10 either 20:17 else 24:15 employed 26:11,14 employee 11:22 26:14 Energy 7:7 enormous 15:4 entirely 20:8,16 entities 6:8 environmental 1:1,2,13 7:7,9</p>	<p>25:16 EPA 8:3,20 11:5 12:16 18:17 especially 8:8 EURIKA 2:21 eventually 20:18 everybody 18:12 everyone 3:8 6:18 except 19:15 extended 3:20 extension 4:4 14:2 20:22 21:2 22:12,16 23:15,20 extensions 3:22 4:1 5:3 6:16 13:13 15:6 20:3 extremely 6:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <hr/> <p>fact 22:5 facts 5:11 factual 23:2 failing 4:8 failure 4:20 fair 18:20 fairly 4:14 11:17 14:20 24:5 fashion 10:21 file 4:21 5:16 14:22 15:16 23:10,16 filed 5:2,5,13 13:11,12,13,14 15:6,12 19:21 21:1 23:1,10,22</p>	<p>filing 3:21 final 20:1 finalizing 11:2 financially 26:15 fine 13:8 first 8:8 11:19 15:2 18:5 20:22 fit 5:11 five 5:8,9 13:14 24:1,2 flagged 8:8 floor 5:22 focus 12:5 footing 7:1 foregoing 26:4,6 formal 15:1 former 11:21 forth 5:14 10:10 20:6 forward 4:15 6:9 10:21 14:7 18:11 19:4,5 21:17 frame 8:4 frankly 6:13 front 11:10 fully 10:3,6 25:5 function 10:16 future 7:1</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">G</p> <hr/> <p>gather 17:20 General 7:6,8 16:6 21:8 General's 14:16 16:7,17 19:19</p>
--	--	--	--

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

<p>20:14 21:3 22:7 Generation 14:19 gets 19:7 getting 7:20 17:2,16 20:5 given 6:4 18:8 26:10 gladly 21:8 glitch 13:3 government 7:21 10:12 11:5 governor 7:22 Governor's 8:7,19 12:4 Grand 2:13 granted 22:16 23:15,20 guess 14:18 15:13,20,22 23:2 24:17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <p>half 21:15 hand 6:10 handle 16:8 22:19 handling 16:18,20 21:22 happened 23:3 hardly 14:2 having 9:3,17 12:10 13:11 14:22 22:14 hear 12:13 20:10 heard 16:16 heart 8:18</p>	<p>Hedman 2:18 7:7 held 1:11 helpful 25:15 hereby 26:4 hereto 26:15 He's 8:3 higher-level 11:4 historical 15:21 historically 14:17,19 15:13 history 4:7 7:14 Holdings 14:2 16:4,11 19:4,8 Honor 7:15 9:5 10:9 24:17 25:10 Honorable 1:12 3:5 hooked 13:2 hopefully 25:1 hurry 6:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <p>I'd 6:19 10:7 15:22 20:1 idea 9:20 IEPA 2:12 9:9,12,13,21 12:10 14:1 15:15 18:3,4 23:7 24:14,20 IEPA's 11:20 24:8 25:11 Illinois 2:14,19 3:3 4:9,12,20 5:12 6:10,13,15,20 7:2,6,19 8:2,21</p>	<p>12:16 13:21,22 18:17 23:9 24:14 25:2 I'm 3:12 12:14 14:9 15:8 17:8,22 18:16 20:10 23:9 24:5 important 24:7 impression 7:14 15:14 inappropriate 3:17 inaudible 3:14 11:10 12:11,12 23:9 Inc 3:3 increasingly 3:16 incumbent 22:8 Indeck 14:12,18 15:3 index 4:21 5:4 10:8,15 13:11 14:5,22 15:16,20 17:2 20:18 22:9,22 23:11,21 indicated 21:4,7 indication 21:15 individuals 18:6 information 12:9 Ingredients 3:3 initial 17:5 initially 14:10 instance 4:3 5:13 11:19 14:13 18:6 24:11 institutional 4:8</p>	<p>interaction 20:14 interest 5:18,19,20 interested 26:16 internal 19:16 internally 19:16 interrupt 9:19 involve 7:17 involvement 14:12 involvements 10:18 isn't 6:13 isolated 14:3 issue 7:18 13:9 14:10 21:5,13 22:3,11 issued 3:19 5:1 6:14 17:18 issues 8:7,18,22 15:4 24:15 25:4 item 10:8 items 7:11 it's 3:15 13:18,19 14:2 18:20 24:7 I've 18:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <p>January 1:9 3:10,19 7:12,16 10:10 John 2:10 18:16 joined 12:21 24:20 joining 8:2 Judge 3:5 7:3 9:10 10:7 13:1 14:8 17:8 18:16 20:19</p>
--	---	--	--

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

<p>Judge's 24:18 July 5:2 23:10 June 5:1 justice 11:21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">K</p> <hr/> <p>KENDALL 1:6 Kim 2:10 8:1 18:16,19 20:12 24:21 knew 17:5 21:21 known 23:12 Kornilova 1:15 26:3,19 Kroack 2:9 12:12,15,21 23:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">L</p> <hr/> <p>lacking 24:13 lag 9:2 laid 3:10 7:15 last 14:18 20:10 later 5:8,9 8:17 Laurel 2:9 12:15 lawyers 24:9 Laylan 17:10 Layman 2:11 12:16,22 14:8 20:19 learned 22:5 least 5:9 14:9 19:9,15 22:9 legal 18:17 less 8:1 10:17 let's 23:4</p>	<p>letter 17:16 19:14 21:7 23:6,8 level 16:8 18:2 levels 18:9 lined 10:6 lines 8:10 listed 7:11 listen 12:4 litigating 6:4 litigation 4:19 14:6 litigation-based 13:21 litigator 5:16 little 8:1 10:17 20:20 LLC 1:6 2:4 long-time 17:10 lose 4:11 losing 4:15 lot 4:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <hr/> <p>Madison 2:6 maker 5:6,22 13:16 24:3 manual 4:2 Matt 21:2 matter 1:12 4:16 5:21 7:15 14:17,18 16:3,4,12 17:17 18:1 Matthew 2:17 7:5 may 18:16 20:21</p>	<p>maybe 19:22 McGillivray 2:4 mean 11:14 mechanism 15:18 meet 22:10 25:3 meeting 12:20 mention 24:19 mentioned 8:18 met 22:20 MGP 3:3 23:7 milestone 20:8 mind 5:10 minutes 9:15 MIRANT 1:6 missed 9:15 Monday 22:17,18 month 8:3 17:4 21:15 months 5:8,9 10:12 12:6 13:12,13,14 16:16 17:16,18 18:7 24:1,2,3 morning 3:8 7:3,4 8:17 motion 21:2 23:15 motions 4:13 move 6:9 9:8 10:7 14:6 20:21 moving 10:21 18:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <hr/> <p>Natalia 1:15 26:3,19</p>	<p>nature 5:19 6:4,5 neatly 5:11 neither 26:10 nine 8:3 nineteenth 23:14 nor 26:11,15 normal 16:5 North 2:13 Notary 1:16 NOTARY/ COURT 26:20 note 9:1 10:19 20:22 Notwithstanding 7:13 November 5:4 22:17 23:20 25:12 NPDES 6:7 numerous 3:22 5:3 13:10,13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <hr/> <p>obviously 5:21 8:12 11:14 19:5 24:13 occurs 4:18 o'clock 10:5 October 21:11 22:4 23:16,18 offer 10:7 office 7:9,19,22 8:5,16 11:5 14:16 16:7,17 17:9 19:19 20:15 21:3,20 22:7,15</p>
--	--	---	---

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

Page 6

<p>23:7 officer 26:3 Okay 9:18 13:7 17:14 onto 9:8 operational 18:2 opportunity 3:12 6:19 19:20 22:10 order 3:10,19 5:15 7:12,16 10:10 18:3 21:11 22:3 others 18:10 23:8 otherwise 4:14 26:15 outcome 26:16 outside 17:18 overall 6:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <hr/> <p>pages 1:11 participate 25:14 particular 16:1,11,14 particularly 3:17 parties 26:12,15 passed 4:5 past 12:3 14:12 18:21 19:2 Paterson 2:5 patience 7:11 pattern 3:14 Pennsylvania 1:14 people 12:4 perceived 19:1</p>	<p>perennial 7:18 perform 11:21 perhaps 15:9 24:19 period 17:4 21:14 periods 20:7 permit 1:6 3:4 4:12 5:1,20 6:6,8,12,14 10:22 14:6 16:21 17:18 24:1,4 permitee's 5:20 permits 4:9 6:5,7,22 13:21 permitting 10:16 person 14:9 personnel 12:6 persuasive 12:1 petition 5:2 13:14 23:10 phone 3:9 9:14 12:18,19 phones 3:6 phonetic 17:11 Plaintiff 2:2 played 3:17 please 3:5,7 7:2 9:18 12:14 18:18 point 9:5 10:5,9,11 11:18 12:8 19:3,10 20:11 points 7:17 policymakers 8:6 portions 23:12 positive 25:1</p>	<p>possible 19:17,19 22:8 potential 19:1 Power 16:11 Powers 14:2 16:3 19:4,8 practice 3:14,16 4:2 11:10,11 14:14,15 16:9 24:10 practices 11:15 Prairie 14:13,17 15:3,9 pre 6:6 precipitated 21:11 pre-construction 6:5 14:6 preferably 10:21 prepare 22:5,9 prepared 6:13 10:3 20:21 22:22 preparing 14:22 17:1 prerogative 11:20 PRESENT 2:20 presented 20:13 22:11 presiding 3:5 press 9:22 presumably 13:15 24:2 pretend 4:8 previously 7:12 prioritizes 6:6 probably 14:9</p>	<p>18:20 problem 4:10 7:18 9:21 14:4 15:21 problematic 3:16 6:3 13:19 problems 4:6,7 9:17 12:10 16:12 19:1 21:12 procedure 11:11 proceeding 10:22 proceedings 1:11 3:11 8:14 25:18 process 8:20 9:3 10:14 11:2 16:13 19:13 product 5:10 progresses 11:17 propose 21:8 proposed 21:18 prosecuting 6:3 prosecution 4:9 6:21 Protection 1:1,14 providing 21:9 PSD 1:5 3:4 6:7 Public 1:16 pursuant 3:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <hr/> <p>question 17:1 18:22 questions 9:5 quicker 20:5 quickly 19:17 22:7 quote 4:13</p>
---	---	---	---

(866) 448 - DEPO

www.CapitalReportingCompany.com

© 2010

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

<p>quoted 4:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <hr/> <p>raised 7:17 24:15 25:5</p> <p>rather 11:2 13:5</p> <p>re 1:5 3:3</p> <p>really 9:3 21:20 24:5</p> <p>reason 12:17 13:2</p> <p>recall 15:10</p> <p>receive 16:5 21:18</p> <p>received 12:9 14:1 23:8,14,19</p> <p>recent 12:6</p> <p>recognize 20:2</p> <p>record 3:21 4:4,22 5:5,8,10 10:20 11:16 13:10,15,17 14:5,11,21 15:11 16:2 17:1 18:5,7 19:21 21:13 22:6,11 23:12,13,16,17,1 9 24:4,7,10 26:9</p> <p>recording 3:6</p> <p>records 16:21 17:19</p> <p>reduced 26:8</p> <p>reestablished 8:11</p> <p>referring 14:10</p> <p>regard 11:7</p> <p>regarding 18:1 23:3</p> <p>regards 15:22</p>	<p>regular 13:20</p> <p>related 26:11</p> <p>relation 14:12</p> <p>relationships 7:20</p> <p>relative 26:13</p> <p>relief 5:17</p> <p>remand 6:15</p> <p>remedy 6:1</p> <p>reopened 8:11</p> <p>reply 21:9</p> <p>REPORTER 26:1,20</p> <p>Reporting 1:16</p> <p>representation 4:10,13,16 14:15 19:7 21:5</p> <p>representational 7:18 22:2</p> <p>represented 16:3</p> <p>represents 24:6</p> <p>request 4:4 16:6 19:6,14 22:12 23:19</p> <p>requested 14:1</p> <p>requesting 14:15 15:11</p> <p>resolved 22:3</p> <p>respect 11:9 16:13 17:10</p> <p>respond 6:19</p> <p>response 3:22 15:1,12,18,19 21:9,19 23:13 25:12</p> <p>responsible 5:15</p>	<p>16:20 17:6</p> <p>responsive 14:20</p> <p>responsiveness 15:7</p> <p>resurrection 23:2</p> <p>review 3:13 19:21 22:11</p> <p>reviewed 25:6</p> <p>risk 5:18,20</p> <p>Robb 2:11 12:16 14:8 20:19</p> <p>role 11:20</p> <p>roughly 21:15</p> <p>routine 4:20</p> <p>routinely 5:13 6:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>sanctions 3:11</p> <p>saw 5:10</p> <p>schedule 3:11 21:9,18,19</p> <p>screen 9:10</p> <p>seated 3:7</p> <p>second 4:18 5:2 10:8 23:5</p> <p>section 16:21 22:10,21</p> <p>seeing 25:11</p> <p>seeking 5:17 19:11</p> <p>seem 4:14</p> <p>seems 6:10 11:17 14:3</p> <p>seen 13:11,20</p> <p>sending 17:3</p>	<p>sends 23:6</p> <p>senior 8:5,6,16</p> <p>sense 9:7</p> <p>sent 19:7 21:19 22:15</p> <p>separate 19:5</p> <p>seriously 7:13</p> <p>session 3:2 25:16</p> <p>several 4:6</p> <p>short 18:3</p> <p>Shortly 21:6</p> <p>Sierra 21:6</p> <p>similarly 10:5</p> <p>sitting 16:21</p> <p>situation 20:6</p> <p>sixty 14:1</p> <p>solely 24:8</p> <p>someone 15:11</p> <p>sometime 16:15</p> <p>sorry 12:14 17:8 20:10 23:9</p> <p>sort 4:13 20:12</p> <p>sought 6:2,8 21:1</p> <p>source 18:8</p> <p>South 2:5</p> <p>speak 9:11 10:4,18 14:7,9</p> <p>special 16:6 19:12</p> <p>specific 15:10</p> <p>specifically 13:22 14:11 16:13 21:13</p> <p>sped 19:13</p>
---	--	---	---

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

Page 8

<p>spent 18:6 Springfield 2:12,14 stand 3:13 9:19 stands 25:17 start 6:19 7:1 24:21 starting 11:1 state 2:19 7:6,21 10:12 11:5 14:13,17 15:3,10 STATES 1:1 stating 23:9 status 3:2 25:14 stenotypy 26:8 steps 20:7 straight 4:14 Street 2:5 strike 7:21 submission 10:15 submit 10:2 15:19 submitted 21:6 sure 16:19 18:13 19:20 21:22 Susan 2:18 7:7 sworn 26:6 systemic 14:4</p> <hr/> <p>T</p> <p>taking 20:7 25:13 talk 10:1 13:18 17:7 talking 13:9 23:17 tbat 26:5</p>	<p>technical 9:17 12:10 13:2 terms 6:14 14:21 20:17 testimony 26:5,7,10 thank 11:13 13:7 17:14 25:8,15 that's 6:1 9:21 13:8 23:21 thereafter 26:8 There's 8:4 they're 9:17 They've 12:22 Third 12:2 thoroughly 7:15 throughout 10:21 12:20 Thursday 1:9 22:18 Tim 9:13 timeframe 22:13 timeframes 8:12 timeline 20:13,15 timely 4:20 10:14 11:6 14:4 today 3:9 7:10 18:13 25:14 touch 9:16 towards 20:5 track 7:20 transpire 21:16 true 12:3 26:9 trust 25:11</p>	<p>try 13:1,4 20:4 trying 19:3,5,18 turn 3:6 turned 19:14 twelfth 5:4 22:17,18 23:21 twentieth 21:11 twenty 5:1 twenty-eighth 23:16 twenty-first 5:2 22:4 23:11 twenty-ninth 23:18 twenty-second 25:12 type 9:2 types 8:7,14 typewriting 26:8 typically 4:11,15</p> <hr/> <p>U</p> <p>U.S 1:13 ultimately 11:2 25:2 uncertainties 18:13 uncertainty 17:22 18:2 unclear 18:8 understand 6:7 18:21 19:22 24:9,18 undertaken 18:15 UNIDENTIFIED</p>	<p>9:14 25:16 unimpressed 24:5 unit 7:9 UNITED 1:1 unreasonable 20:9,16</p> <hr/> <p>V</p> <p>various 22:21 verified 15:16 17:2 VIA 2:9,10,11,17,18 video 2:9,10,11,17,18 12:17 13:5 volume 22:14</p> <hr/> <p>W</p> <p>waited 16:14,15 waiting 6:9 22:2 walked 22:21 Washington 1:8,15 wasn't 5:3 8:12 week 22:18,19 we'll 8:19 11:11 we're 11:1 13:8 19:3 20:4,7,8 23:16 Westerberg 2:4 we've 13:11,20 17:18 24:15,20 whatever 9:6 whether 9:21 15:20 16:17</p>
--	---	--	---

(866) 448 - DEPO

www.CapitalReportingCompany.com

© 2010

Capital Reporting Company
Court Proceedings 01-14-2010

Page 9

<p>whom 26:3 who's 5:6 18:14 whose 26:5 Wisconsin 2:6 witness 26:5,7,10 Wolgast 1:13 3:5 work 8:19 18:4 19:18 20:5 worked 17:17 working 19:16 24:21 writing 17:20</p> <hr/> <p><u>Y</u></p> <p>yesterday 10:4 yet 5:3,8</p>			
---	--	--	--

(866) 448 - DEPO

www.CapitalReportingCompany.com

© 2010