



NOV 06 2015

Shams Hassan  
E&B Natural Resources  
3000 James Road  
Bakersfield, CA 93308

**Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct**  
**Facility Number: S-1624**  
**Project Number: S-1153553**

Dear Mr. Hassan:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of E&B Natural Resources's application for an Authority to Construct for a new steam generator, in central Kern county.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice and 45-day EPA notice comment periods, the District intends to issue the Authority to Construct. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period, as specified in the enclosed public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Richard Edgehill of Permit Services at (661) 392-5617.

Sincerely,

Arnaud Marjollet  
Director of Permit Services

AM:rue/ya

Enclosures

cc: Mike Tollstrup, CARB (w/ enclosure) via email  
cc: Gerardo C. Rios, EPA (w/ enclosure) via email

Seyed Sadredin  
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

**Northern Region**  
4800 Enterprise Way  
Modesto, CA 95356-8718  
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

**Central Region (Main Office)**  
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726-0244  
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

**Southern Region**  
34946 Flyover Court  
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725  
Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585

**San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District**  
**Authority to Construct Application Review**  
**New Steam Generator**

Facility Name: E&B Natural Resources Mgmt. Date: October 12, 2015  
Mailing Address: 3000 James Road Engineer: Richard Edgehill  
Bakersfield, CA 93308 Lead Engineer: Dan Klevann  
Contact Person: Shams Hassan and Scott Faulkenburg  
Telephone: (661) 616-6168 and (661) 345-8263  
Application #(s): S-1624-293  
Project #: 1153553  
Deemed Complete: 10/08/15

---

**I. Proposal**

E&B Natural Resources Mgmt. (E&B) has requested an Authority to Construct (ATC) permit for the installation of an 85 MMBtu/hr steam generator. Storage tank permit S-1624-30 will be canceled to mitigate the steam generator's VOC emission increase.

The increase in emissions triggers a Federal Major Modification.

E&B is a major source but does not have a Title V PTO. An initial Title V permit application has been received as is being processed. The facility is currently subject to Rule 2530.

**II. Applicable Rules**

Rule 2201	New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (4/21/11)
Rule 2410	Prevention of Significant Deterioration (6/16/11)
Rule 2520	Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/01)
Rule 2530	Federally Enforceable Potential to Emit (12/18/08)
Rule 4001	New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99) – Subpart Dc
Rule 4101	Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
Rule 4102	Nuisance (12/17/92)
Rule 4201	Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)
Rule 4301	Fuel Burning Equipment (12/17/92)
Rule 4305	Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase II (8/21/03)
Rule 4306	Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase III (10/06/08)
Rule 4320	Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr (10/16/08)
Rule 4351	Boilers, Steam Generators, And Process Heaters – Phase 1 (8/21/03)

Rule 4801                    Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)  
CH&SC 41700            Health Risk Assessment  
CH&SC 42301.6        School Notice  
Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)  
California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387: CEQA  
Guidelines

### **III. Project Location**

The equipment will be located at SE Section 5 of T28S/R27E within in EB's Heavy Oil Central stationary source. The equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

### **IV. Process Description**

In thermally enhanced oil recovery (TEOR) operations, steam generators produce steam for injection into heavy crude oil bearing strata via injection wells to reduce the viscosity of the crude oil, thereby facilitating thermally enhanced oil production.

### **V. Equipment Listing**

S-1624-293-0: 85 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS-FIRED STEAM GENERATOR WITH NORTH AMERICAN MODEL LE-85 ULTRA LOW NOX BURNER AND FLUE GAS RECIRCULATION (FGR) SYSTEM

#### **PTO Proposed for Surrender (see PTO in Attachment I):**

S-1624-30-2: 250 BBL FIXED ROOF PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK WITH PV VENT, GRIMES #3

### **VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation**

Criteria pollutants from natural gas-fired steam generators include NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, VOC, PM<sub>10</sub>, and SO<sub>x</sub>.

NO<sub>x</sub> is the major pollutant of concern when burning natural gas. NO<sub>x</sub> formation is either due to thermal fixation of atmospheric nitrogen in the combustion air (thermal NO<sub>x</sub>) or due to conversion of chemically bound nitrogen in the fuel (fuel NO<sub>x</sub>). Due to the low fuel nitrogen content of natural gas, nearly all NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are thermal NO<sub>x</sub>. Formation of thermal NO<sub>x</sub> is affected by four furnace zone factors: (1) nitrogen concentration, (2) oxygen concentration, (3) peak temperature, and (4) time of exposure at peak temperature.

Flue gas recirculation (FGR) reduces NO<sub>x</sub> emissions by recirculating a percentage of the exhaust gas back into the windbox. This reduces the oxygen concentration in the air-fuel mixture and regulates the combustion process, lowering the combustion temperature. The

lowered availability of oxygen in conjunction with lowered combustion temperature reduces the formation of NO<sub>x</sub>.

**VII. General Calculations**

**A. Assumptions**

Facility will operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, and 52 weeks per year.

Steam Generator S-1624-293-0

- Annual potential to emit is calculated based on 8,760 hours of operation per year
- The unit will be fired exclusively on PUC quality natural gas
- EPA F-factor for natural gas is 8,578 dscf/MMBtu (40 CFR 60, Appendix B)
- Molar specific volume of a gas @ 60 °F is 379.5 ft<sup>3</sup>/lb-mol
- Maximum Heat Input: 85.0 MMBtu/hr (per applicant).
- PM10 is all PM2.5

**B. Emission Factors**

S-1624-293

Pollutant	Steam Generator Emission Factors (EF2)		Source
NO <sub>x</sub>	0.0062 lb-NO <sub>x</sub> /MMBtu	5 ppmvd NO <sub>x</sub> (@ 3%O <sub>2</sub> )	Proposed and BACT
SO <sub>x</sub>	0.00285 lb-SO <sub>x</sub> /MMBtu	1.0 gr-S/100 scf	Proposed, BACT and APR 1720
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.0035 lb-PM <sub>10</sub> /MMBtu		Proposed and FYI 328
CO	0.0185 lb-CO/MMBtu	25 ppmv CO @3% O <sub>2</sub>	BACT
VOC	0.0055 lb-VOC/MMBtu	13 ppmv VOC @3% O <sub>2</sub>	Proposed and AP-42 (7/98), Table 1.4-2

\*(1.0 gr-S/100 scf)(lb/7000 gr)(scf/1000 btu)(2 lb-SO<sub>2</sub>/lb-S)(10E6) = 0.00285 lb-SO<sub>x</sub>/MMMBtu

**C. Calculations**

**1. Pre-Project Potential to Emit (PE1)**

Since the steam generator is a new emissions unit, PE1 = 0 for all pollutants.

Calculations done for project 1032042 (ATC '-30-1)

PE1* Tank S-1624-30	
Daily Emissions (lb/day)	Annual Emissions (lb/year)
35.3	12,868

**2. Post Project Potential to Emit (PE2)**

The potential to emit for the unit is calculated as follows, and summarized in the table below:

S-1624-293

Pollutant	Daily PE2			
	EF2 (lb/MMBtu)	Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)	Operating Schedule (hr/day)	Daily PE2 (lb/day)
NO <sub>x</sub>	0.0062	85	24	12.6
SO <sub>x</sub>	0.00285	85	24	5.8
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.0035	85	24	7.1
CO	0.019	85	24	37.7
VOC	0.0055	85	24	11.2

Pollutant	Annual PE2			
	EF2 (lb/MMBtu)	Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)	Operating Schedule (hr/year)	Annual PE2 (lb/year)
NO <sub>x</sub>	0.006	85	8,760	4,617
SO <sub>x</sub>	0.00285	85	8,760	2,122
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.0035	85	8,760	2,606
CO	0.019	85	8,760	13,775
VOC	0.0055	85	8,760	4,095

Emissions profiles are included in **Attachment II**.

### 3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the SSPE1 is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid Authorities to Construct (ATC) or Permits to Operate (PTO) at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions (AER) that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

The SSPE1 can be calculated by adding the PE1 from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs and the sum of the ERCs that have been banked at the source and which have not been used on-site (Total<sub>ERC</sub>).

$$SSPE1_{Total} = SSPE1_{Permit\ Unit} + Total_{ERC}$$

The SSPE1 is the SSPE2 for project 1144486, a recent project in PAS. No later projects have been finalized in PAS which affect Major Source Status or the requirement of offsets for any pollutant.

SSPE1 (lb/year)						
	NO <sub>x</sub>	SO <sub>x</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	CO	VOC	
S-1624-13-10	2,650	3,445	1,590	71,306	N.C.	
S-1624-25-3	1,418	516	1,289	129		
S-1624-26-3	1,850	673	1,682	168		
S-1624-174-0	2,117	402	1,465	14,235		
S-1624-179-1	143	82	189	3,180		
S-1624-180-1	143	82	189	3,180		
S-1624-181-1	143	82	189	3,180		
S-1624-182-1	143	82	189	3,180		
S-1624-215-0	1,927	3,445	1,831	17,827		
S-1624-218-1	8,078	339	950	43,956		
S-1624-220-0	4,542	2,122	2,606	27,550		
S-1624-221-0	4,542	2,122	2,606	27,550		
S-1624-222-0	4,542	2,122	2,606	27,550		
S-1624-223-0	4,542	2,122	2,606	27,550		
S-1624-224-0	4,542	2,122	2,606	27,550		
S-1624-238-0	28,470	1,560	4,161	45,990		
S-1624-239-0	2,409	3,635	1,664	16,206		
S-1624-254-0	4,542	2,122	2,606	27,550		
S-1624-255-0	4,542	2,122	2,606	27,550		
S-1624-270-1	2,502	699	294	13,616		
S-1624-285-0	4,468	2,122	2,606	13,552		
S-1624-286-0	4,468	2,122	2,606	13,552		
S-1624-288-0	4,617	2,122	2,606	13,775		
SSPE1	97,340	36,262	41,742	469,882		> 20,000

N.C. = not calculated

Note 10/10/15 SSPE Calculator (current PTO emissions only) listed  
NO<sub>x</sub>: 70,144 lb/yr, SO: 28,871 lb/yr, PM<sub>10</sub>: 67,387, CO: 456,262 and VOCs 1,966,001 lb/yr (983 tons/yr)

#### 4. Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the SSPE2 is the PE from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs at the Stationary Source and the quantity of ERCs which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for AER that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

The SSPE2 can be calculated by adding the PE2 from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs and the sum of the ERCs that have been banked at the source and which have not been used on-site (Total<sub>ERC</sub>).

$$SSPE2_{Total} = SSPE2_{Permit Unit} + Total_{ERC}$$

SSPE2 (lb/year)					
	NO <sub>x</sub>	SO <sub>x</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	CO	VOC
SSPE1	97,340	36,262	41,742	469,882	> 20,000
S-1624-293-0	4,617	2,122	2,606	13,775	4,095
SSPE2	101,957	38,384	44,348	483,657	>20,000

N.C. = not calculated

#### 5. Major Source Determination

##### Rule 2201 Major Source Determination:

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with a SSPE2 equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. For the purposes of determining major source status the following shall not be included:

- any ERCs associated with the stationary source
- Emissions from non-road IC engines (i.e. IC engines at a particular site at the facility for less than 12 months)
- Fugitive emissions, except for the specific source categories specified in 40 CFR 51.165

<b>Rule 2201 Major Source Determination (lb/year)</b>						
	NO <sub>x</sub>	SO <sub>x</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	CO	VOC
SSPE1	97,340	36,262	41,742	41,742	469,882	> 20,000
SSPE2	101,957	38,384	44,348	44,348	483,657	> 20,000
Major Source Threshold	20,000	140,000	140,000	200,000	200,000	> 20,000
Major Source?	y	n	n	n	y	y

Note: PM<sub>2.5</sub> assumed to be equal to PM<sub>10</sub>

This source is an existing Major Source for NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and VOC emissions and will remain a Major Source for VOC. No change in other pollutants are proposed or expected as a result of this project.

**Rule 2410 Major Source Determination:**

The facility or the equipment evaluated under this project is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b)(1)(iii). Therefore the PSD Major Source threshold is 250 tpy for any regulated NSR pollutant.

<b>PSD Major Source Determination (tons/year)</b>						
	NO <sub>2</sub>	VOC	SO <sub>2</sub>	CO	PM	PM <sub>10</sub>
Estimated Facility PE before Project Increase		>250				
PSD Major Source Thresholds	250	250	250	250	250	250
PSD Major Source ? (Y/N)		y				

As shown above, the facility is an existing PSD major source for at least one pollutant.

**6. Baseline Emissions (BE)**

The BE calculation (in lb/year) is performed pollutant-by-pollutant for each unit within the project to calculate the QNEC, and if applicable, to determine the amount of offsets required.

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, BE = PE1 for:

- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise,

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to District Rule 2201.  
Since the steam generator is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all pollutants.

**Tank S-1624-30**

Pursuant to Rule 2201, a Clean Emissions Unit is defined as an emissions unit that is equipped with an emissions control technology with a minimum control efficiency of at least 95% or is equipped with emission control technology that meets the requirements for achieved-in-practice BACT as accepted by the APCO during the five years immediately prior to the submission of the complete application. The tanks is equipped with a PV-vent set to within 10% of maximum allowable pressure which is achieved-in-practice BACT pursuant to current BACT guideline 7.3.1. Therefore, its BE = PE1.

**7. SB 288 Major Modification**

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

Since this facility is a major source for NOx and VOC, the project's PE2 is compared to the SB 288 Major Modification Thresholds in the following table in order to determine if the SB 288 Major Modification calculation is required. The facility is not a major source for SOx and PM10 and therefore the project is not a SB 288 Major Modification for these air contaminants.

SB 288 Major Modification Thresholds			
Pollutant	Project PE2 (lb/year)	Threshold (lb/year)	SB 288 Major Modification Calculation Required?
NO <sub>x</sub>	4,617	50,000	N
SO <sub>x</sub>	na	80,000	N
PM <sub>10</sub>	na	30,000	N
VOC	4,095	50,000	N

Since none of the SB 288 Major Modification Thresholds are surpassed with this project, this project does not constitute an SB 288 Major Modification.

**8. Federal Major Modification**

District Rule 2201 states that a Federal Major Modification is the same as a "Major Modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA.

The facility is not a major source for SOx and PM10 and therefore the project is not a Federal Major Modification for these air contaminants.

The determination of Federal Major Modification is based on a two-step test. For the first step, only the emission *increases* are counted. Emission decreases may not cancel out the increases for this determination.

### Step 1

For new emissions units, the increase in emissions is equal to the PE2 for each new unit included in this project.

Since this facility is a major source for NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC, the project's PE2 is compared to the Federal Major Modification Thresholds in the following table in order to determine if the Federal Major Modification calculation is required.

Federal Major Modification Thresholds for Emission Increases			
Pollutant	Total Emissions Increases (lb/yr)	Thresholds (lb/yr)	Federal Major Modification?
NO <sub>x</sub> *	4,617	0	Y
VOC*	4,095	0	Y

Since there is an increase in NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC emissions, this project constitutes a Federal Major Modification, and no further analysis is required.

## 9. Rule 2410 – Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination

Rule 2410 applies to any pollutant regulated under the Clean Air Act, except those for which the District has been classified nonattainment. The pollutants which must be addressed in the PSD applicability determination for sources located in the SJV and which are emitted in this project are: (See 52.21 (b) (23) definition of significant)

### I. Project Location Relative to Class 1 Area

As demonstrated in the "PSD Major Source Determination" Section above, the facility was determined to be an existing PSD Major Source. Because the project is not located within 10 km (6.2 miles) of a Class 1 area – modeling of the emission increase is not required to determine if the project is subject to the requirements of Rule 2410.

### II. Project Emission Increase – Significance Determination

#### a. Evaluation of Calculated Post-project Potential to Emit for New or Modified Emissions Units vs PSD Significant Emission Increase Thresholds

As a screening tool, the post-project potential to emit from all new and modified units is compared to the PSD significant emission increase thresholds, and if the total potentials to emit from all new and modified units are below the applicable thresholds, no further PSD analysis is needed.

<b>PSD Significant Emission Increase Determination: Potential to Emit (tons/year)</b>					
	<b>NO2</b>	<b>SO2</b>	<b>CO</b>	<b>PM</b>	<b>PM10</b>
<b>Total PE from New and Modified Units</b>	2.3	1.1	6.9	1.3	1.3
<b>PSD Significant Emission Increase Thresholds</b>	40	40	100	25	15
<b>PSD Significant Emission Increase?</b>	n	n	n	n	n

As demonstrated above, because the post-project total potentials to emit from all new and modified emission units are below the PSD significant emission increase thresholds, this project is not subject to the requirements of Rule 2410 and no further discussion is required.

### VIII. Compliance

#### Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

##### A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

##### 1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis. Unless specifically exempted by Rule 2201, BACT shall be required for the following actions\*:

- a. Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- b. The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- c. Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
- d. Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in an SB 288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, as defined by the rule.

\*Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

##### a. New emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day

As seen in Section VII.C.2 of this evaluation, the applicant is proposing to install a new steam generator with a PE greater than 2 lb/day for NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, CO, and VOC. BACT is triggered for NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, CO, and VOC since the PEs are greater than 2 lbs/day.

**b. Relocation of emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day**

As discussed in Section I above, there are no emissions units being relocated from one stationary source to another; therefore BACT is not triggered.

**c. Modification of emissions units – AIPE > 2 lb/day**

As discussed in Section I above, there are no modified emissions units associated with this project; therefore BACT is not triggered.

**d. SB 288/Federal Major Modification**

As discussed in Section VII.C.8 above, this project does constitute a Federal Major Modification for NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC emissions; therefore BACT is triggered.

**2. BACT Guideline**

BACT Guideline 1.2.1, applies to oilfield steam generators  $\geq 20$  MMBtu/hr. [Oilfield Steam Generator ( $>$  or  $= 20$  MMBtu/hr)] (See **Attachment III**).

**3. Top-Down BACT Analysis**

Per Permit Services Policies and Procedures for BACT, a Top-Down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the application review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District's NSR Rule.

Pursuant to the attached Top-Down BACT Analysis (see **Attachment IV**), BACT has been satisfied with the following:

- NO<sub>x</sub>: 5 ppmvd @ 3% O<sub>2</sub> (0.006 lb/MMBtu)
- SO<sub>x</sub>: Fuel with a sulfur content not to exceed 1 gr-S/100 scf
- PM<sub>10</sub>: Fuel with a sulfur content not to exceed 1 gr-S/100 scf
- CO: 25 ppmvd @ 3% O<sub>2</sub> (0.0182 lb/MMBtu)
- VOC: Gaseous fuel

**B. Offsets**

**1. Offset Applicability**

Offset requirements shall be triggered on a pollutant by pollutant basis and shall be required if the SSPE2 equals to or exceeds the offset threshold levels in Table 4-1 of Rule 2201.

The SSPE2 is compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.

Offset Determination (lb/year)					
	NO <sub>x</sub>	SO <sub>x</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	CO	VOC
SSPE2	101,957	38,384	44,348	483,657	>20,000
Offset Thresholds	20,000	54,750	29,200	200,000	20,000
Offsets triggered?	Y	N	Y	Y	Y

## 2. Quantity of Offsets Required

As seen above, the SSPE2 is greater than the offset thresholds for NO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, CO, and VOC emissions; therefore offset calculations will be required for this project.

Per Sections 4.7.1 and 4.7.3, the quantity of offsets in pounds per year is calculated as follows for sources with an SSPE1 greater than the offset threshold levels before implementing the project being evaluated.

Offsets Required (lb/year) =  $(\sum[PE2 - BE] + ICCE) \times DOR$ , for all new or modified emissions units in the project,

Where,

PE2 = Post Project Potential to Emit, (lb/year)

BE = Baseline Emissions, (lb/year)

ICCE= Increase in Cargo Carrier Emissions, (lb/year)

DOR = Distance Offset Ratio, determined pursuant to Section 4.8

BE = Pre-project Potential to Emit for:

- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, Located at a Major Source.

otherwise,

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE)

The facility is proposing to install a new emissions unit; therefore its Baseline Emissions are equal to zero. There are no increases in cargo carrier emissions; therefore offsets can be determined as follows:

Offsets Required (lb/year) =  $(\sum[PE2 - BE]) \times DOR$

### NO<sub>x</sub> Offset Calculations

Offsets Required (lb/year) =  $([PE2 - BE]) \times DOR$

PE2 = 4,617lb/year

BE = 0 lb/year

The project is a Federal Major Modification for NO<sub>x</sub> and therefore the offset ratio for NO<sub>x</sub> is 1.5:1.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Offsets Required (lb/year)} &= ([4,617 - 0]) \times \text{DOR} \\ &= 4,617 \times 1.5 \\ &= 6,926 \text{ lb-NO}_x/\text{year} \end{aligned}$$

Calculating the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Quarterly offsets required (lb/qtr)} &= (6,926 \text{ lb NO}_x/\text{year}) \div (4 \text{ quarters/year}) \\ &= 1,731.5 \text{ lb/qtr} \end{aligned}$$

As shown in the calculation above, the quarterly amount of offsets required for this project, when evenly distributed to each quarter, results in fractional pounds of offsets being required each quarter. Since offsets are required to be withdrawn as whole pounds, the quarterly amounts of offsets need to be adjusted to ensure the quarterly values sum to the total annual amount of offsets required.

To adjust the quarterly amount of offsets required, the fractional amount of offsets required in each quarter will be summed and redistributed to each quarter based on the number of days in each quarter. The redistribution is based on the Quarter 1 having the fewest days and the Quarters 3 and 4 having the most days. The redistribution method is summarized in the following table:

<b>Redistribution of Required Quarterly Offsets</b> (where X is the annual amount of offsets, and $X \div 4 = Y.z$ )				
Value of z	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
.0	Y	Y	Y	Y
.25	Y	Y	Y	Y+1
.5	Y	Y	Y+1	Y+1
.75	Y	Y+1	Y+1	Y+1

Therefore the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset are as follows:

<u>1<sup>st</sup> Quarter</u>	<u>2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter</u>	<u>3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter</u>	<u>4<sup>th</sup> Quarter</u>	<u>Total Annual</u>
1,731	1,731	1,732	1,732	6,926

The applicant has stated that the facility plans to use ERC certificates S-4408-2, S-4609-2, S-4612-2, and S-4585-2 to offset the increases in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions associated with this project. The above certificates have been reserved for the project in the following amounts:

Certificate	1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter	4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter
ERC #S-4408-2 (withdrawn in full)	76	95	96	87
ERC #S-4609-2 (withdrawn in full)	1,086	0	0	0
ERC #S-4612-2 (withdrawn in full)	0	1,049	919	1,379
ERC #S-4585-2 (partially withdrawn)*	569	587	717	266
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,731</b>	<b>1,731</b>	<b>1,732</b>	<b>1,732</b>

\*available ERCs Qtr 1: 22,809, Qtr 2: 20,168, Qtr 3: 19,717, Qtr 4: 21,221

As seen above, the facility has proposed sufficient credits to fully offset the quarterly NOx emission increases associated with this project.

Proposed Rule 2201 (offset) Conditions

- {GC# 4447 - edited} Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender NO<sub>x</sub> emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter – 1,731 lb, 2nd quarter - 1,731 lb, 3rd quarter - 1,732 lb, and fourth quarter - 1,732 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201]
- {GC# 1983} ERC Certificate Numbers S-4408-2, S-4609-2, S-4612-2, and S-4585-2 (or certificates split from these certificates) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]

PM<sub>10</sub> Offset Calculations

Offsets Required (lb/year) = ((PE2 – BE)) x DOR

PE2 = 2,606 lb/year  
BE = 0 lb/year

The distance offset ratio of 1.5:1 as reductions occurred at another stationary source greater than 15 miles from source S-1624. The amount of PM<sub>10</sub> ERCs that need to be withdrawn is:

Offsets Required (lb/year) = ((2,606 – 0)) x DOR  
= 2,606 x 1.5  
= 3,909 lb- PM<sub>10</sub>/year

Calculating the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Quarterly offsets required (lb/qtr)} &= (3,909 \text{ lb NO}_x/\text{year}) \div (4 \text{ quarters/year}) \\ &= 977.25 \text{ lb/qtr} \end{aligned}$$

As shown in the calculation above, the quarterly amount of offsets required for this project, when evenly distributed to each quarter, results in fractional pounds of offsets being required each quarter. Since offsets are required to be withdrawn as whole pounds, the quarterly amounts of offsets need to be adjusted to ensure the quarterly values sum to the total annual amount of offsets required.

To adjust the quarterly amount of offsets required, the fractional amount of offsets required in each quarter will be summed and redistributed to each quarter based on the number of days in each quarter. The redistribution is based on the Quarter 1 having the fewest days and the Quarters 3 and 4 having the most days. The redistribution method is summarized in the following table:

<b>Redistribution of Required Quarterly Offsets</b> (where X is the annual amount of offsets, and $X + 4 = Y.z$ )				
Value of z	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
.0	Y	Y	Y	Y
.25	Y	Y	Y	Y+1
.5	Y	Y	Y+1	Y+1
.75	Y	Y+1	Y+1	Y+1

Therefore the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset are as follows:

<u>1<sup>st</sup> Quarter</u>	<u>2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter</u>	<u>3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter</u>	<u>4<sup>th</sup> Quarter</u>	<u>Total Annual</u>
977	977	977	978	3,909

The applicant has stated that the facility plans to use ERC certificate S-4408-4 and S-4615-4 to offset the increases in PM<sub>10</sub> emissions associated with this project. The above certificates have been reserved for the project in the following amounts:

Certificate	1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter	4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter
ERC #S-4408-4 (completely withdrawn)	45	56	57	52
ERC# S-4615-4 (partially withdrawn)*	0	0	0	3,699
Redistribute 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr ERC**	932	921	920	926
<b>Total</b>	<b>977</b>	<b>977</b>	<b>977</b>	<b>978</b>

\* available ERCs Qtr 1: 0, Qtr 2: 0, Qtr 3: 0, Qtr 4: 6,087

\*\*Rule 2201 Section 4.13.7 states AER for PM that occurred from October through March, inclusive, may be used to offset increases in PM during any period of the year.

As seen above, the facility has proposed sufficient credits to fully offset the quarterly PM<sub>10</sub> emission increases associated with this project.

Proposed Rule 2201 (offset) Conditions

- {GC# 4447 - edited} Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender PM10 emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter – 977 lb, 2nd quarter - 977 lb, 3rd quarter - 977 lb, and fourth quarter - 978 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201]
- {GC# 1983} ERC Certificate Numbers S-4408-4 and S-4615-4 (or certificates split from these certificates) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]

CO Offset Calculations

CO offsets are triggered by CO emissions in excess of 200,000 lb/year for the facility.

However, pursuant to Section 4.6.1, "Emission Offsets shall not be required for the following: increases in carbon monoxide in attainment areas if the applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the APCO, that the Ambient Air Quality Standards are not violated in the areas to be affected, and such emissions will be consistent with Reasonable Further Progress, and will not cause or contribute to a violation of Ambient Air Quality Standards (AAQS)."

The Technical Services Section of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District performed a CO modeling run, using the EPA AERMOD air dispersion model, to determine if the CO emissions would exceed the State and Federal AAQS. Modeling of the worst case 1 hour and 8 hour CO impacts were performed. These values were added to the worst case ambient concentration (background) measured and compared to the ambient air quality standards. Results of the modeling are presented in **Attachment V**.

This modeling demonstrates that the proposed increase in CO emissions will not cause a violation of the CO ambient air quality standards. Therefore, the increase in CO emissions is exempt from offsets pursuant to Section 6.4.1.

VOC Offset Calculations

Offsets Required (lb/year) =  $(\sum[PE2 - BE]) \times DOR$

S-1624-295

PE2 = 4,095 lb/year

BE = 0

S-1624-30

PE2 = 0 lb/year

BE = PE1 = 12,868 lb/year (tank S-1624-51, to be surrendered)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Offsets Required (lb/year)} &= ([4,095 - 12,868]) \times \text{DOR} \\ &= -8,773 \times \text{DOR} \\ &= 0 \text{ lb PM}_{10}/\text{year}\end{aligned}$$

As demonstrated in the calculation above, the amount of offsets is zero. Therefore, VOC offsets will not be required for this project.

## C. Public Notification

### 1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

- a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB 288 Major Modifications,
- b. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any one pollutant,
- c. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed, and/or
- d. Any project with an SSPE of greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant.
- e. Any project which results in a Title V significant permit modification

#### a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB 288 Major Modifications

New Major Sources are new facilities, which are also Major Sources. Since this is not a new facility, public noticing is not required for this project for New Major Source purposes.

As demonstrated in Sections VII.C.7 and VII.C.8, this project is a Federal Major Modification. Therefore, public noticing for Federal Major Modification purposes is required.

#### b. PE > 100 lb/day

Applications which include a new emissions unit with a PE greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any pollutant will trigger public noticing requirements. As seen in Section VII.C.2 above, this project does not include a new emissions unit which has daily emissions greater than 100 lb/day for any pollutant, therefore public noticing for PE > 100 lb/day purposes is not required.

#### c. Offset Threshold

The SSPE1 and SSPE2 are compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.

Offset Thresholds				
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/year)	SSPE2 (lb/year)	Offset Threshold	Public Notice Required?
NO <sub>x</sub>	97,340	101,957	20,000 lb/year	No
SO <sub>x</sub>	36,262	38,384	54,750 lb/year	No
PM <sub>10</sub>	41,742	44,348	29,200 lb/year	No
CO	469,882	483,657	200,000 lb/year	No
VOC	>20,000	>20,000	20,000 lb/year	No

As detailed above, there were no thresholds surpassed with this project; therefore public noticing is not required for offset purposes.

**d. SSIPE > 20,000 lb/year**

Public notification is required for any permitting action that results in a SSIPE of more than 20,000 lb/year of any affected pollutant. According to District policy, the SSIPE = SSPE2 – SSPE1. The SSIPE is compared to the SSIPE Public Notice thresholds in the following table.

SSIPE Public Notice Thresholds					
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/year)	SSPE2 (lb/year)	SSIPE (lb/year)	SSIPE Public Notice Threshold	Public Notice Required?
NO <sub>x</sub>	97,340	101,957	4,617	20,000 lb/year	No
SO <sub>x</sub>	36,262	38,384	2,122	20,000 lb/year	No
PM <sub>10</sub>	41,742	44,348	2,606	20,000 lb/year	No
CO	469,882	483,657	13,775	20,000 lb/year	No
VOC	>20,000	>20,000	4,095 – 12,868 = - 8,773	20,000 lb/year	No

As demonstrated above, the SSIPEs for all pollutants were less than 20,000 lb/year; therefore public noticing for SSIPE purposes is not required.

**e. Title V Significant Permit Modification**

Since this facility does not have a Title V operating, this change is not a Title V significant Modification, and therefore public noticing is not required.

**2. Public Notice Action**

As discussed above, public noticing is required for this project for triggering a Federal Major Modification. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

## D. Daily Emission Limits (DELs)

DELs and other enforceable conditions are required by Rule 2201 to restrict a unit's maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. The DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. DELs are also required to enforce the applicability of BACT.

### Proposed Rule 2201 (DEL) Conditions:

- The unit shall only be fired on gas with a maximum sulfur content of 1.0 gr S/100 scf. [District Rules 2201 and 4320] N
- Emissions from the gas-fired unit shall not exceed any of the following limits: 5 ppmvd NO<sub>x</sub> @ 3% O<sub>2</sub> or 0.0062 lb-NO<sub>x</sub>/MMBtu, 0.00285 lb-SO<sub>x</sub>/MMBtu, 0.0035 lb-PM<sub>10</sub>/MMBtu, 25 ppmvd CO @ 3% O<sub>2</sub> or 0.0185 lb-CO/MMBtu, or 0.0055 lb-VOC/MMBtu. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306, and 4320] N

## E. Compliance Assurance

### 1. Source Testing

This unit is subject to District Rule 4320, *Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr*. Source testing requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4320, will be discussed in Section VIII, *District Rule 4320*, of this evaluation.

### 1. Monitoring

As required by District Rule 4320, *Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr*, this unit is subject to monitoring requirements. Monitoring requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4320, will be discussed in Section VIII, *District Rule 4320*, of this evaluation.

### 2. Recordkeeping

As required by District Rule 4320, *Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr*, this unit is subject to recordkeeping requirements. Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4320, will be discussed in Section VIII, *District Rule 4320*, of this evaluation.

The following permit condition will be listed on permit as follows:

- Permittee shall maintain daily records of the type and quantity of fuel combusted by the steam generator. [District Rule 2201 and 40 CFR 60.48c (g)] N

- All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306, 4320, and 40 CFR 60.48c (i)]

#### **F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)**

An AAQA shall be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The District's Technical Services Division conducted the required analysis. Refer to **Attachment V** of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and SO<sub>x</sub>. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, or SO<sub>x</sub>.

The proposed location is in a non-attainment area for the state's PM<sub>10</sub> as well as federal and state PM<sub>2.5</sub> thresholds. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

#### **G. Compliance Certification**

Section 4.15.2 of this Rule requires the owner of a new Major Source or a source undergoing a Title I Modification to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the District that all other Major Sources owned by such person and operating in California are in compliance or are on a schedule for compliance with all applicable emission limitations and standards. As discussed in Section VIII above, this facility is a new major source and this project does constitute a Title I modification, therefore this requirement is applicable. EB's compliance certification is included in **Attachment VI**.

#### **H. Alternate Siting Analysis**

The current project occurs at an existing facility. The applicant proposes to install a steam generator.

Since the project will provide steam to be used at the same location, the existing site will result in the least possible impact from the project. Alternative sites would involve the relocation and/or construction of various support structures on a much greater scale, and would therefore result in a much greater impact.

#### **Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration**

As shown in Section VII. C. 9. above, this project does not result in a new PSD major source or PSD major modification. No further discussion is required.

#### **Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits**

As discussed above, this facility is a major source. Pursuant to Rule 2520 and as required by permit condition, the facility will have up to 12 months from the date of ATC issuance to submit a Title V Application. The facility is currently a Rule 2530 source but the facility exceeded the

Rule 2530 thresholds on May 31, 2014. The facility has submitted a complete initial Title V application.

Therefore, compliance with the requirements of this rule is expected.

### **Rule 2530 Federally Enforceable Potential to Emit**

The purpose of this rule is to restrict the emissions of a stationary source so that the source may elect to be exempt from the requirements of Rule 2520. Pursuant to Rule 2530, since this facility has elected exemption from the requirements of Rule 2520 by ensuring actual emissions from the stationary source in every 12-month periods to not exceed the following: ½ the major source thresholds for NO<sub>x</sub>, VOCs, CO, and PM<sub>10</sub>; 50 tons per year SO<sub>2</sub>; 5 tons per year of a single HAP; 12.5 tons per year of any combination of HAPs; 50 percent of any lesser threshold for a single HAP as the EPA may establish by rule; and 50 percent of the major source threshold for any other regulated air pollutant not listed in Rule 2530.

### **Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)**

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Dc applies to Small Industrial-Commercial-Industrial Steam Generators between 10 MMBtu/hr and 100 MMBtu/hr (post-6/9/89 construction, modification or, reconstruction).

The subject steam generators have a rating of 85 MMBtu/hr and are fired on natural/TEOR gas. Subpart Dc has no standards for gas-fired steam generators. However, the reporting requirements of CFR 60.48 c(g) are listed in the following conditions:

*A non-resettable, totalizing mass or volumetric fuel flow meter to measure the amount of fuel combusted in the unit shall be installed, utilized and maintained. [District Rule 2201 and 40 CFR 60.48c (g)] N*

*Permittee shall submit notification to the District of the date of construction, anticipated startup, and actual startup. Notifications shall be postmarked no later than 30 days after construction and 15 days after actual startup. The notifications shall include the design heat input and identification of fuels for this permit unit. [40 CFR 60.48c (a)] N*

*Permittee shall maintain daily records of the type and quantity of fuel combusted by the steam generator. [District Rule 2201 and 40 CFR 60.48c (g)] N*

Compliance with subpart Dc is expected.

### **Rule 4101 Visible Emissions**

Per Section 5.0, no person shall discharge into the atmosphere emissions of any air contaminant aggregating more than 3 minutes in any hour which is as dark as or darker than Ringelmann 1 (or 20% opacity). A condition will be placed on the permit to ensure compliance with the opacity limit.

Therefore, compliance with the requirements of this rule is expected.

**Rule 4102 Nuisance**

Rule 4102 prohibits discharge of air contaminants which could cause injury, detriment, nuisance or annoyance to the public. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, compliance with this rule is expected.

**California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)**

District Policy APR 1905 – *Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources* specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

An HRA is not required for a project with a total facility prioritization score of less than one. According to the Technical Services Memo for this project (**Attachment V**), the total facility prioritization score including this project was greater than one. Therefore, an HRA was required to determine the short-term acute and long-term chronic exposure from this project.

The cancer risk for this project is shown below:

RMR Summary			
Categories	NG/Steam Generator (Unit 293-0)	Project Totals	Facility Totals
Prioritization Score	0.00	0.00	>1
Acute Hazard Index	0.00	0.00	0.64
Chronic Hazard Index	0.00	0.00	0.02
Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	8.29E-09	8.29E-09	17.0E-06
T-BACT Required?	No		
Special Permit Conditions?	Yes		

**Discussion of T-BACT**

BACT for toxic emission control (T-BACT) is required if the cancer risk exceeds one in one million. As demonstrated above, T-BACT is not required for this project because the HRA indicates that the risk is not above the District's thresholds for triggering T-BACT requirements; therefore, compliance with the District's Risk Management Policy is expected.

District policy APR 1905 also specifies that the increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification not have acute or chronic indices, or a cancer risk greater than the District's significance levels (i.e. acute and/or chronic indices greater than 1 and a cancer risk greater than 10 in a million). As outlined by the HRA Summary in

**Attachment IV** of this report, the emissions increases for this project was determined to be less than significant.

1. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]

### **Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration**

Section 3.1 prohibits discharge of dust, fumes, or total particulate matter into the atmosphere from any single source operation in excess of 0.1 grain per dry standard cubic foot.

F-Factor for NG: 8,578 dscf/MMBtu at 60 °F  
PM<sub>10</sub> Emission Factor: 0.0035 lb-PM<sub>10</sub>/MMBtu  
Percentage of PM as PM<sub>10</sub> in Exhaust: 100%  
Exhaust Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) Concentration: 3%

$$\text{Excess Air Correction to F Factor} = \frac{20.9}{(20.9 - 3)} = 1.17$$

$$GL = \left( \frac{0.0035 \text{ lb-PM}}{\text{MMBtu}} \times \frac{7,000 \text{ grain}}{\text{lb-PM}} \right) / \left( \frac{8,578 \text{ ft}^3}{\text{MMBtu}} \times 1.17 \right)$$

$$GL = 0.002 \text{ grain/dscf} < 0.1 \text{ grain/dscf}$$

Therefore, continued compliance with the requirements of this rule is expected.

### **Rule 4301 Fuel Burning Equipment**

Rule 4301 limits air contaminant emissions from fuel burning equipment as defined in the rule. Section 3.1 defines fuel burning equipment as "any furnace, boiler, apparatus, stack, and all appurtenances thereto, used in the process of burning fuel for the primary purpose of producing heat or power by indirect heat transfer".

Section 5.0 gives the requirements of the rule.

A person shall not discharge into the atmosphere combustion contaminants exceeding in concentration at the point of discharge, 0.1 grain per cubic foot of gas calculated to 12% of carbon dioxide at dry standard conditions.

A person shall not build, erect, install or expand any non-mobile fuel burning equipment unit unless the discharge into the atmosphere of contaminants will not and does not exceed any one or more of the following rates:

- 200 pound per hour of sulfur compounds, calculated as sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)
- 140 pounds per hour of nitrogen oxides, calculated as nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>)
- Ten pounds per hour of combustion contaminants as defined in Rule 1020 and derived from the fuel.

<b>District Rule 4301 Limits</b>			
<b>Pollutant</b>	<b>NO<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>Total PM</b>	<b>SO<sub>2</sub></b>
S-1624-293-0 (lb/hr)	0.51	0.30	0.24
Rule Limit (lb/hr)	140	10	200

The particulate emissions from the steam generator will not exceed 0.1 gr/dscf at 12% CO<sub>2</sub> or 10 lb/hr. Further, the emissions of SO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> will not exceed 200 lb/hr or 140 lb/hr, respectively.

Therefore, compliance with the requirements of this rule is expected.

**Rule 4305 Boilers, Steam Generators, And Process Heaters - Phase 2**

The steam generator is subject to District Rule 4305, *Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 2*. In addition, the steam generator is also subject to District Rule 4306, *Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 3* and District Rule 4320, *Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr*.

Since emissions limits of District Rule 4320 and all other requirements are equivalent or more stringent than District Rule 4305 requirements, compliance with District Rule 4320 requirements will satisfy requirements of District Rule 4305.

**Rule 4306 Boilers, Steam Generators, And Process Heaters – Phase 3**

The steam generator is subject to District Rule 4306, *Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 3*. In addition, the steam generator is also subject to *District Rule 4320, Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr*.

Since emissions limits of District Rule 4320 and all other requirements are equivalent or more stringent than District Rule 4306 requirements, compliance with District Rule 4320 requirements will satisfy requirements of District Rule 4306.

**Rule 4320 Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr**

The steam generator is subject to District Rule 4320 requirements pursuant to Section 2.0 of District Rule 4320.

**Section 5.2, NO<sub>x</sub> and CO Emissions Limits**

Section 5.2.1 states that on and after the indicated Compliance Deadline, units shall not be operated in a manner which exceeds the applicable NO<sub>x</sub> limit specified in Table 1 of this rule, shown below. On and after October 1, 2008, units shall not be operated in a manner which exceeds a carbon dioxide (CO) emissions limit of 400 ppmv.

The steam generator is rated greater than 20 MMBtu/hr; thus, the applicable emission limit category is Section 5.2, Table 1, Category C, from District Rule 4320.

Rule 4320 Emissions Limits				
Category	Operated on gaseous fuel		Operated on liquid fuel	
	NO <sub>x</sub> Limit	CO Limit	NO <sub>x</sub> Limit	CO Limit
1. Units with a total rated heat input >20.0 MMBtu/hr	Standard Schedule 7 ppmv or 0.008 lb/MMBtu; or		400 ppmv @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	400 ppmv @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>
	Staged Enhanced Schedule Initial limit: 9 ppmv @ 3% O <sub>2</sub> , 0.011 lb/MMBtu			
	Final limit: 5 ppmv @ 3% O <sub>2</sub> , 0.0062 lb/MMBtu			

The steam generator will be limited to 5 ppmvd NO<sub>x</sub> and 25 ppmvd CO, all corrected to 3% O<sub>2</sub>. Thus, compliance with the District Rule 4320 NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emission limits is expected.

### Section 5.3, Annual Fee Calculation

Annual Fees are required if an emissions unit will not be meeting the emission limits in Section 5.2 of this rule. Since the proposed steam generator will each meet the emissions limits of Section 5.2, the annual fee requirements are not applicable.

### Section 5.4, Particulate Matter Control Requirements

Section 5.4.1 of this rule requires the operator to comply with one of the following requirements:

1. Fire the steam generator exclusively on PUC-quality natural gas, commercial propane, butane, or liquefied petroleum gas, or a combination of such gases;
2. Limit fuel sulfur content to no more than five grains of total sulfur per one hundred (100) standard cubic feet;
3. Install and properly operate an emission control system that reduces SO<sub>2</sub> emissions by at least 95% by weight; or limit exhaust SO<sub>2</sub> to less than or equal to 9 ppmv corrected to 3.0% O<sub>2</sub>;

The steam generator will be fired exclusively on natural gas. The steam generator fuel will have a fuel sulfur content limit of no more than 1.0 gr-S/100 scf. Therefore, compliance with Section 5.4 of District Rule 4320 is expected.

### **Section 5.5, Low Use**

The steam generator's annual heat input will exceed the 1.8 billion Btu heat input per calendar year criteria limit addressed by this section. Thus, the requirements of Section 5.5 are not applicable.

### **Section 5.6, Startup and Shutdown Provisions**

Section 5.6 states that on and after the full compliance deadline in Section 5.0, the applicable emission limits of Sections 5.2 Table 1 and 5.5.2 shall not apply during start-up or shutdown provided an operator complies with the requirements specified in Sections 5.6.1 through 5.6.5

The applicant has not proposed startup and shutdown provisions; therefore, this section of the rule is not applicable to this project.

### **Section 5.7, Monitoring Provisions**

Section 5.7.1 requires that permit units subject to District Rule 4320, Section 5.2 emissions limits shall either install and maintain Continuous Emission Monitoring (CEM) equipment for NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and O<sub>2</sub>, or install and maintain APCO-approved alternate monitoring.

For the steam generator in this project, the facility will use pre-approved alternate monitoring scheme A (pursuant to District Policy SSP-1105), which requires that monitoring of NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and O<sub>2</sub> exhaust concentrations shall be conducted at least once per month (in which a source test is not performed) using a portable analyzer. The following conditions will be incorporated into the permit in order to ensure compliance with the requirements of the proposed alternate monitoring plan:

- The permittee shall monitor and record the stack concentration of NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and O<sub>2</sub> at least once every month (in which a source test is not performed) using a portable emission monitor that meets District specifications. Monitoring shall not be required if the unit is not in operation, i.e. the unit need not be started solely to perform monitoring. Monitoring shall be performed within five days of restarting the unit unless monitoring has been performed within the last month. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]
- If the NO<sub>x</sub> or CO concentrations, as measured by the portable analyzer, exceed the permitted levels, the permittee shall return the emissions to within the acceptable range as soon as possible, but no longer than one hour of operation after detection. If the portable analyzer continues to show emission limit violations after 1 hour of operation following detection, the permittee shall notify the District within the following one hour and conduct a certified source test within 60 days of the first exceedance. In lieu of conducting a source test, the permittee may stipulate a violation has occurred, subject to enforcement action. The permittee must then correct the violation, show compliance has been re-established, and resume monitoring procedures. If the deviations are the result of a qualifying breakdown condition pursuant to Rule 1100, the permittee may fully comply with Rule 1100 in lieu of the performing the notification and testing required by this condition. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

- All NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and O<sub>2</sub> emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the permit-to-operate. The NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and O<sub>2</sub> analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive-minute period. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]
- The permittee shall maintain records of: (1) the date and time of NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and O<sub>2</sub> measurements, (2) the O<sub>2</sub> concentration in percent by volume and the measured NO<sub>x</sub> and CO concentrations corrected to 3% O<sub>2</sub>, (3) make and model of exhaust gas analyzer, (4) exhaust gas analyzer calibration records, and (5) a description of any corrective action taken to maintain the emissions at or below the acceptable levels. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]

Section 5.7.6 outlines requirements for monitoring SO<sub>x</sub> emissions. For units that are complying with Section 5.4.1.1 or 5.4.1.2 of this Rule, the facility must provide an annual fuel analysis to the District unless a more frequent sampling and reporting period is included in the Permit to Operate. The steam generator in this project is complying using Sections 5.4.1.1 or 5.4.1.2.

This unit is fired on natural/waste/TEOR/produced gas. Therefore, the following requirement will be included on the permit to comply with the SO<sub>x</sub> emissions monitoring requirement:

- If the unit is fired on PUC-regulated natural gas, then maintain on file copies of all natural gas bills. [District Rules 2201 and 4320]
- If the unit is not fired on PUC-regulated natural gas, the sulfur content of each fuel source shall be tested weekly except that if compliance with the fuel sulfur content limit has been demonstrated for 8 consecutive weeks for a fuel source, then the testing frequency shall be quarterly. If a test shows noncompliance with the sulfur content requirement, the source must return to weekly testing until eight consecutive weeks show compliance. [District Rules 2201 and 4320]
- When complying with sulfur emission limits by fuel analysis or by a combination of source testing and fuel analysis, permittee shall demonstrate compliance at least annually. [District Rule 4320]

## **Section 5.8 Compliance Determination**

Section 5.8.1 requires that the operator of any unit have the option of complying with either the applicable heat input (lb/MMBtu) emission limits or the concentration (ppmv) emission limits specified in Section 5.2. The emission limits selected to demonstrate compliance shall be specified in the source test proposal pursuant to Rule 1081 (Source Sampling). Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the permit to ensure compliance:

- The source plan shall identify which basis (ppmv or lb/MMBtu) will be used to demonstrate compliance. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

Section 5.8.2 requires that all emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. Unless otherwise specified in the Permit to Operate, no determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the permit to ensure compliance:

- All emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. Unless otherwise specified in the Permit to Operate, no determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4320. For the purposes of permittee-performed alternate monitoring, emissions measurements may be performed at any time after the unit reaches conditions representative of normal operation. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

Section 5.8.4 requires that for emissions monitoring pursuant to Sections 5.7.1 and 6.3.1 using a portable NO<sub>x</sub> analyzer as part of an APCO approved Alternate Emissions Monitoring System, emission readings shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15-consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings evenly spaced out over the 15-consecutive-minute period. Therefore, the following previously listed condition will be on the permit to ensure compliance:

- All alternate monitoring parameter emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the permit-to-operate. The analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive-minute period. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

Section 5.8.5 requires that for emissions source testing performed pursuant to Section 6.3.1 for the purpose of determining compliance with an applicable standard or numerical limitation of this rule, the arithmetic average of three (3) 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two (2) of three (3) runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. Therefore, the following permit condition will be listed on the permit to ensure compliance:

- For emissions source testing, the arithmetic average of three 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two of three runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

## Section 6.1, Recordkeeping

Section 6.1 requires that the records required by Sections 6.1.1 through 6.1.5 shall be maintained for five calendar years and shall be made available to the APCO upon request. Failure to maintain records or information contained in the records that demonstrate non-compliance with the applicable requirements of this rule shall constitute a violation of this rule.

The following condition will be listed on the permit to ensure compliance:

- All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306, 4320, and 40 CFR 60.48c (i)]

Section 6.1.2 requires that the operator of a unit subject to Section 5.5 shall record the amount of fuel use at least on a monthly basis. Since the steam generator in this project is not subject to the requirements listed in Section 5.5, Section 6.1.2 requirements are not applicable.

Section 6.1.3 requires that the operator of a unit subject to Section 5.5.1 or 6.3.1 shall maintain records to verify that the required tune-up and the required monitoring of the operational characteristics have been performed. The steam generator in this project is not subject to Sections 5.5.1 or 6.3.1. Therefore, the requirements of this section do not apply.

Section 6.1.4 requires that the operator of a unit with startup or shutdown provisions keep records of the duration of the startup or shutdowns. The applicant has not proposed any startup or shutdown provisions for the steam generator in this project. Therefore, the requirements of this section do not apply.

Section 6.1.5 requires that the operator of a unit fired on liquid fuel during PUC-quality natural gas curtailment periods record the sulfur content of the fuel, amount of fuel used, and duration of the natural gas curtailment period. The steam generator in this project is not fired on liquid fuels. Therefore, the requirements of this section do not apply.

## Section 6.2, Test Methods

Section 6.2 identifies the following test methods as District-approved source testing methods for the pollutants listed:

Pollutant	Units	Test Method Required
NO <sub>x</sub>	ppmv	EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 100
NO <sub>x</sub>	lb/MMBtu	EPA Method 19
CO	ppmv	EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100
Stack Gas O <sub>2</sub>	%	EPA Method 3 or 3A, or ARB Method 100
Stack Gas Velocities	ft/min	EPA Method 2 or 19
Stack Gas Moisture Content	%	EPA Method 4

The following condition will be listed on the permit to ensure compliance:

- The following test methods shall be used: NO<sub>x</sub> (ppmv) - EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 100, NO<sub>x</sub> (lb/MMBtu) - EPA Method 19; CO (ppmv) - EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100; Stack gas oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) - EPA Method 3 or 3A or ARB Method 100; stack gas velocities - EPA Method 2; Stack gas moisture content - EPA Method 4; SO<sub>x</sub> - EPA Method 6C or 8 or ARB Method 100; fuel gas sulfur as H<sub>2</sub>S content - EPA Method 11 or 15; and fuel hhv (MMBtu) -ASTM D 1826 or D 1945 in conjunction with ASTM D 3588. [District Rules 1081, 4305, 4306, 4320, and 4351]

### **Section 6.3, Compliance Testing**

Section 6.3.1 requires that this unit be tested to determine compliance with the applicable requirements of section 5.2 not less than once every 12 months. Upon demonstrating compliance on two consecutive compliance source tests, the source test may be deferred for up to thirty-six months. The following condition will be included on the permit:

- Source testing to measure NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emissions from this unit shall be conducted at least once every twelve months (no more than 30 days before or after the required annual source test date). After demonstrating compliance on two consecutive annual source tests, the unit shall be tested not less than once every 36 months. If the result of the 36-month source test demonstrates that the unit does not meet the applicable emission limits, the source testing frequency shall revert to at least once every twelve months. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306, and 4320]

### **Conclusion**

Compliance with District Rule 4320 requirements is expected.

### **Rule 4351 Boilers, Steam Generators, And Process Heaters - Phase 1**

This rule applies to boilers, steam generators, and process heaters at NO<sub>x</sub> Major Sources that are not located west of Interstate 5 in Fresno, Kings, or Kern counties. If applicable, the emission limits, monitoring provisions, and testing requirements of this rule are satisfied when the unit is operated in compliance with Rule 4320.

Therefore, compliance with the requirements of this rule is expected.

### **Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds**

A person shall not discharge into the atmosphere sulfur compounds, which would exist as a liquid or gas at standard conditions, exceeding in concentration at the point of discharge: 0.2 % by volume calculated as SO<sub>2</sub>, on a dry basis averaged over 15 consecutive minutes.

Using the ideal gas equation and the emission factors presented in Section VII, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Volume SO}_2 = \frac{nRT}{P}$$

With:

N = moles SO<sub>2</sub>

T (Standard Temperature) = 60°F = 520°R

P (Standard Pressure) = 14.7 psi

R (Universal Gas Constant) =  $\frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot ^\circ\text{R}}$

$$\frac{0.00285 \text{ lb} - \text{SO}_x}{\text{MMBtu}} \times \frac{\text{MMBtu}}{8,578 \text{ dscf}} \times \frac{1 \text{ lb} \cdot \text{mol}}{64 \text{ lb}} \times \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot ^\circ\text{R}} \times \frac{520^\circ\text{R}}{14.7 \text{ psi}} \times \frac{1,000,000 \cdot \text{parts}}{\text{million}} = 1.97 \frac{\text{parts}}{\text{million}}$$

$$\text{Sulfur Concentration} = 1.97 \frac{\text{parts}}{\text{million}} < 2,000 \text{ ppmv (or 0.2\%)}$$

Therefore, compliance with the requirements of this rule is expected.

### California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The District has verified that this site is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

### California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

CEQA requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The District adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001. The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities;
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced;
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible; and
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

### Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Significance Determination

It is determined that no other agency has prepared or will prepare an environmental review document for the project. Thus the District is the Lead Agency for this project.

On December 17, 2009, the District's Governing Board adopted a policy, APR 2005, *Addressing GHG Emission Impacts for Stationary Source Projects Under CEQA When Serving as the Lead Agency*, for addressing GHG emission impacts when the District is Lead Agency under CEQA and approved the District's guidance document for use by other agencies when addressing GHG impacts as lead agencies under CEQA. Under this policy, the District's determination of significance of project-specific GHG emissions

is founded on the principal that projects with GHG emission reductions consistent with AB 32 emission reduction targets are considered to have a less than significant impact on global climate change. Consistent with District Policy 2005, projects complying with an approved GHG emission reduction plan or GHG mitigation program, which avoids or substantially reduces GHG emissions within the geographic area in which the project is located, would be determined to have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG emission.

The California Air Resources Board (ARB) adopted a Cap-and-Trade regulation as part one of the strategies identified for AB 32. This Cap-and-Trade regulation is a statewide plan, supported by a CEQA compliant environmental review document, aimed at reducing or mitigating GHG emissions from targeted industries. Facilities subject to the Cap-and-Trade regulation are subject to an industry-wide cap on overall GHG emissions. Any growth in emissions must be accounted for under that cap such that a corresponding and equivalent reduction in emissions must occur to allow any increase. Further, the cap decreases over time, resulting in an overall decrease in GHG emissions.

Under District policy APR 2025, *CEQA Determinations of Significance for Projects Subject to ARB's GHG Cap-and-Trade Regulation*, the District finds that the Cap-and-Trade is a regulation plan approved by ARB, consistent with AB32 emission reduction targets, and supported by a CEQA compliant environmental review document. As such, consistent with District Policy 2005, projects complying project complying with Cap-and-Trade requirements are determined to have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG emissions.

Industries covered by Cap-and-Trade are identified in the regulation under section 95811, Covered Entities:

1. Group 1: Large industrial facilities

These types of facilities are subject to Cap and Trade, and the specific companies covered are listed at <http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/capandtrade.htm>, Section 95811 (a), under the "Publically Available Market Information" section (list maintained by the California Air Resources Board).

2. Group 2: Electricity generation facilities located in California, or electricity importers

These types of facilities are subject to Cap and Trade (section 95811, b).

3. Group 3: Suppliers of Natural Gas, Suppliers of Reformulated Gasoline Blendstock for Oxygenate Blending and Distillate Fuel Oil, Suppliers of Liquefied Petroleum Gas, and Suppliers of Blended Fuels

These entities are subject to Cap and Trade compliance obligations which must cover all fuels (except jet fuels) identified in section 95811 (c) through (f) of the

Cap-and-Trade regulation delivered to end users in California, less the fuel delivered to covered entities (group 1 above).

This facility is subject to the Cap-and-Trade regulation. Therefore, as discussed above, consistent with District Policies APR 2005 and APR 2025, the District concludes that the GHG emissions increases associated with this project would have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact on global climate change.

### District CEQA Findings

The District is the Lead Agency for this project because there is no other agency with broader statutory authority over this project. The District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) for the proposed project and determined that the activity will occur at an existing facility and the project involves negligible expansion of the existing use. Furthermore, the District determined that the activity will not have a significant effect on the environment. The District finds that the activity is categorically exempt from the provisions of CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guideline § 15301 (Existing Facilities), and finds that the project is exempt per the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment (CEQA Guidelines §15061(b)(3)).

### IX. Recommendation

Compliance with all applicable rules and regulations is expected. Pending a successful NSR Public Noticing period, issue ATC S-1624-293-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft ATC in **Attachment VII**.

### X. Billing Information

Annual Permit Fees			
Permit Number	Fee Schedule	Fee Description	Annual Fee
S-1624-293-0	3020-02 H	85 MMBtu/hr	\$1030

### Attachments

- I. PTO S-1624-30-2
- II. Emissions Profiles
- III. BACT Guideline
- IV: BACT Analysis
- V: HRA and AAQA Modeling
- VI: Statewide Compliance Statement
- VII: Draft ATC

ATTACHMENT I  
PTO S-1624-30-2

# San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

PERMIT UNIT: S-1624-30-2

EXPIRATION DATE: 06/30/2018

SECTION: NE32 TOWNSHIP: 27S RANGE: 27E

**EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

250 BBL FIXED ROOF PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK WITH PV VENT, GRIMES #3

## PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

---

1. No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
2. When this tank is not operated (dormant for Rule 4623), all liquids shall be removed and the produced crude oil inlet line shall be physically disconnected. [District Rule 2080]
3. Results of TVP test on material introduced to this tank upon reactivation shall be submitted to the District within 60 days of recommencing operation of this tank. [District Rule 2080]
4. Permittee shall notify the District at least seven (7) calendar days prior to recommencing operation. [District Rule 1070]
5. Instead of testing each uncontrolled fixed roof tank, the permittee may conduct a TVP test of the organic liquid stored in a representative tank provided the requirements of Sections 6.2.1.1.1 through 6.2.1.1.5 of Rule 4623 are met. [District Rule 4623]
6. This tank shall only store, place, or hold organic liquid with a true vapor pressure (TVP) of less than 0.5 psia under all storage conditions. [District Rule 4623]
7. Permittee shall conduct true vapor pressure (TVP) testing of the organic liquid stored in this tank at least once every 24 months during summer (July - September), and/or whenever there is a change in the source or type of organic liquid stored in this tank in order to maintain exemption from the rule. [District Rule 4623]
8. The API gravity of crude oil or petroleum distillate shall be determined by using ASTM Method D 287 e1 "Standard Test Method for API Gravity of Crude Petroleum and Petroleum Products (Hydrometer Method). Sampling for API gravity shall be performed in accordance with ASTM Method D 4057 "Standard Practices for Manual Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products." [District Rule 4623]
9. For crude oil with an API gravity of 26 degrees or less, the TVP shall be determined using the latest version of the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory "test Method for Vapor pressure of Reactive Organic Compounds in Heavy Crude Oil Using Gas Chromatograph", as approved by ARB and EPA. [District Rule 4623]
10. The TVP testing shall be conducted at actual storage temperature of the organic liquid in the tank. The permittee shall also conduct an API gravity testing. [District Rule 4623]
11. Permittee shall submit the records of TVP and API gravity testing to the APCO within 45 days after the date of testing. The records shall include the tank identification number, Permit to Operate number, type of stored organic liquid, TVP and API gravity of the organic liquid, test methods used, and a copy of the test results. [District Rule 4623]
12. The permittee shall keep accurate records of each organic liquid stored in the tank, including its storage temperature, TVP, and API gravity. [District Rule 4623]
13. All records required to be maintained by this permit shall be maintained for a period of at least five years and shall be made readily available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4623]

These terms and conditions are part of the Facility-wide Permit to Operate.

## ATTACHMENT II Emissions Profiles

Permit #: S-1624-293-0	Last Updated
Facility: E&B NATURAL RESOURCES MGMT	10/10/2015 EDGEHILR

Equipment Pre-Baselined: NO

	<u>NOX</u>	<u>SOX</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>VOC</u>
Potential to Emit (lb/Yr):	4617.0	2122.0	2606.0	13775.0	4095.0
Daily Emis. Limit (lb/Day)	12.6	5.8	7.1	37.7	11.2
Quarterly Net Emissions Change (lb/Qtr)					
Q1:	1154.0	530.0	651.0	3443.0	1023.0
Q2:	1154.0	530.0	651.0	3444.0	1024.0
Q3:	1154.0	531.0	652.0	3444.0	1024.0
Q4:	1155.0	531.0	652.0	3444.0	1024.0
Check if offsets are triggered but exemption applies	N	N	N	Y	N
Offset Ratio	1.5		1.5		
Quarterly Offset Amounts (lb/Qtr)					
Q1:	1731.0		977.0		
Q2:	1731.0		977.0		
Q3:	1732.0		977.0		
Q4:	1732.0		978.0		

**ATTACHMENT III**  
**BACT Guideline**

San Joaquin Valley  
Unified Air Pollution Control District

**Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 1.2.1\***

Last Update: 3/24/2014

**Oilfield Steam Generator (> or =20 MMBtu/hr)**

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or contained in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
VOC	Gaseous fuel		
SOx	Fired on PUC quality natural gas, commercial propane, and/or commercial LPG; or gaseous fuel treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds; or treated such that the sulfur content of all fuel streams combined does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 dscf; or use of a continuously operating SO2 scrubber and either achieve 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieve an emission rate of 9 ppmvd SO2 @ 3% O2		
PM10	Fired on PUC quality natural gas, commercial propane, and/or commercial LPG; or gaseous fuel treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds; or treated such that the sulfur content of all fuel streams combined does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 dscf; or use of a continuously operating SO2 scrubber and either achieve 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieve an emission rate of 9 ppmvd SO2 @ 3% O2		
NOx	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Units rated 85 MMBtu/hr and fired solely on PUC quality natural gas: 6 ppmvd @ 3% O2; or</li> <li>•Units firing on &gt; or = 50% PUC quality natural gas; commercial propane; and/or LPG: 7 ppmvd @ 3% O2, except units rated 85 MMBtu/hr and fired solely on PUC quality natural gas; or</li> <li>•Units firing on &lt;50% PUC quality natural gas; commercial propane; and/or LPG: 9 ppmvd @ 3% O2</li> </ul>	5 ppmvd @ 3% O2	
CO	25 ppmvd @ 3% O2		

## ATTACHMENT IV BACT Analysis

### Top Down BACT Analysis for the Steam Generator

Oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) are generated from the high temperature combustion of the natural gas fuel. A majority of the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are formed from the high temperature reaction of nitrogen and oxygen in the inlet air. The rest of the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are formed from the reaction of fuel-bound nitrogen with oxygen in the inlet air.

#### 1. BACT Analysis for NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:

##### a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.2.1, updated 3/24/14, identifies for achieved in practice BACT for NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from oil field steam generators  $\geq 5$  MMBtu/hr as follows (non-applicable Achieved-in-Practice requirements are in strikeout text):

##### Achieved-in-Practice

- Units rated 85 MMBtu/hr and fired solely on PUC-quality natural gas: 6 ppmvd @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>
- ~~•Units firing on  $\geq 50\%$  PUC quality natural gas; commercial propane; and/or LPG: 7 ppmvd @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, except units rated 85 MMBtu/hr and fired solely on PUC quality natural gas— unit is 85 MMBtu/hr~~
- ~~•Units firing on  $< 50\%$  PUC quality natural gas; commercial propane; and/or LPG: 9 ppmvd @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>— unit is fired on PUC-quality natural gas~~

##### Technologically Feasible

5 ppmvd @ 3% O<sub>2</sub> – units rated 85 MMBtu/hr and fired solely on PUC-quality natural gas

##### b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

##### c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

- 1) 6 ppmvd @ 3% O<sub>2</sub> – Achieved-in-Practice
- 2) 5 ppmvd @ 3% O<sub>2</sub> – Technologically Feasible

**d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis**

A cost effective analysis is required for technologically feasible control options that are not proposed. The applicant is proposing a NO<sub>x</sub> limit of 5 ppmvd @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, the highest rank technology; therefore, a cost effective analysis is not required.

**e. Step 5 - Select BACT**

Applicant has proposed 5 ppmv NO<sub>x</sub> @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>. BACT is satisfied.

**2. BACT Analysis for SO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:**

Oxides of sulfur (SO<sub>x</sub>) emissions occur from the combustion of the sulfur, which is present in the fuel.

**a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies**

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.2.1, updated 3/24/14, identifies for achieved in practice BACT for SO<sub>x</sub> emissions from oil field steam generators ≥5 MMBtu/hr as follows:

Achieved-in-Practice

Fired on PUC quality natural gas, commercial propane, and/or commercial LPG; or gaseous fuel treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds; or treated such that the sulfur content of all fuel streams combined does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 dscf; or use of a continuously operating SO<sub>2</sub> scrubber and either achieve 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieve an emission rate of 9 ppmvd SO<sub>2</sub> @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>

**b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options**

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

**c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness**

Fired on PUC quality natural gas, commercial propane, and/or commercial LPG; or gaseous fuel treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds; or treated such that the sulfur content of all fuel streams combined does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 dscf; or use of a continuously operating SO<sub>2</sub> scrubber and either achieve 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieve an emission rate of 9 ppmvd SO<sub>2</sub> @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>

**d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis**

The only control technology in the ranking list from Step 3 has been achieved in practice. Therefore, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section IX.D.2, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

**e. Step 5 - Select BACT**

BACT for SO<sub>x</sub> emissions from this oil field steam generator is natural gas fuel with a sulfur content ≤1 gr-S/100 scf. BACT is satisfied.

**3. BACT Analysis for PM<sub>10</sub> Emissions:**

Particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) emissions result from the incomplete combustion of various elements in the fuel.

**a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies**

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.2.1, updated 3/24/14, identifies for achieved in practice BACT for CO<sub>10</sub> emissions from oil field steam generators ≥5 MMBtu/hr as follows:

Achieved-in-Practice

Fired on PUC quality natural gas, commercial propane, and/or commercial LPG; or gaseous fuel treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds; or treated such that the sulfur content of all fuel streams combined does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 dscf; or use of a continuously operating SO<sub>2</sub> scrubber and either achieve 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieve an emission rate of 9 ppmvd SO<sub>2</sub> @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>

**b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options**

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

**c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness**

Fired on PUC quality natural gas, commercial propane, and/or commercial LPG; or gaseous fuel treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds; or treated such that the sulfur content of all fuel streams combined does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 dscf; or use of a continuously operating SO<sub>2</sub> scrubber and either achieve 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieve an emission rate of 9 ppmvd SO<sub>2</sub> @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>

**d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis**

The only control technology in the ranking list from Step 3 has been achieved in practice. Therefore, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section IX.D.2, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

**e. Step 5 - Select BACT**

BACT for PM<sub>10</sub> emissions from this oil field steam generator is natural gas fuel with a sulfur content ≤1 gr-S/100 scf. BACT is satisfied.

**4. BACT Analysis for CO Emissions:**

Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions are generated from the incomplete combustion of air and fuel.

**a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies**

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.2.1, updated 3/24/14, identifies for achieved in practice BACT for CO emissions from oil field steam generators ≥5 MMBtu/hr as follows:

- 1) 25 ppmvd @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>

No technologically feasible alternatives or control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

**b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options**

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

**c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness**

- 1) 25 ppmvd @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>

**d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis**

The only control technology in the ranking list from Step 3 has been achieved in practice. Therefore, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section IX.D.2, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

**e. Step 5 - Select BACT**

BACT for CO emissions from this oil field steam generator is a CO limit of 25 ppmvd @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>. The applicant has proposed to install an oil field steam generator with a CO limit of 25 ppmvd @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>; therefore BACT for CO emissions is satisfied.

## **5. BACT Analysis for VOC Emissions:**

Volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions are generated from the incomplete combustion of the fuel.

### **a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies**

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 1.2.1, 1<sup>st</sup> quarter 2005, identifies for achieved in practice BACT for VOC emissions from oil field steam generators  $\geq 5$  MMBtu/hr as follows:

- 1) Gaseous fuel

No technologically feasible alternatives or control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

### **b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options**

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

### **c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness**

- 1) Gaseous fuel

### **d. Step 4 - Cost effectiveness analysis**

The only control technology in the ranking list from Step 3 has been achieved in practice. Therefore, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section IX.D.2, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

### **e. Step 5 - Select BACT**

BACT for VOC emissions from this oil field steam generator is gaseous fuel. The applicant has proposed to install an oil field steam generator fired on gaseous fuel; therefore BACT for PM<sub>10</sub> emissions is satisfied.

# ATTACHMENT V HRA and AAQA Modeling

## San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Risk Management Review

To: Steve Davidson – Permit Services  
 From: Kyle Melching – Technical Services  
 Date: October 13, 2015  
 Facility Name: E&B Natural Resources  
 Location: UTME: 311150.37 UTMN: 3932299.83  
 Application #(s): S-1624-293-0  
 Project #: S-1153553

### A. RMR SUMMARY

RMR Summary			
Categories	NG/Steam Generator (Unit 293-0)	Project Totals	Facility Totals
Prioritization Score	0.00	0.00	>1
Acute Hazard Index	0.00	0.00	0.64
Chronic Hazard Index	0.00	0.00	0.02
Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	8.29E-09	8.29E-09	17.0E-06
T-BACT Required?	No		
Special Permit Conditions?	Yes		

### Proposed Permit Conditions

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following permit conditions must be included for:

#### Unit 293-0

- {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.  
[District Rule 4102] N

**B. RMR REPORT**

**I. Project Description**

Technical Services received a request on September 30, 2015, to perform a Risk Management Review (RMR) and Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) for a natural gas/TEOR gas-fired 85 mmBtu/hr steam generator.

**II. Analysis**

Toxic emissions for the Petroleum Steam Generator fueled by Natural Gas and Casing Vapor Recovery Gas, Refinery Gas were calculated using emission factors from *December 2009 Emission Estimation Protocol for Petroleum Refineries* by the American Petroleum Institute and Western States Petroleum Association. In accordance with the District's *Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources* (APR 1905-1, March 2, 2001), risks from the proposed project were prioritized using the procedures in the 1990 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines and incorporated in the District's HEART's database. The project's prioritization score was less than 1.0, (see RMR Summary Table); however, due to previously analyzed projects a refined Health Risk Assessment was ran and performed for the project. The AERMOD model was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2009-2013 from Bakersfield to determine the dispersion factors (i.e., the predicted concentration or X divided by the normalized source strength or Q) for a receptor grid. These dispersion factors were input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD's Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP) and the Air Dispersion Modeling and Risk Tool (ADMRT) of the Hot Spots Analysis and Reporting Program Version 2 (HARP 2) to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

The following parameters were used for the review:

<b>Analysis Parameters (Unit 293-0)</b>			
<b>Source Type</b>	Point	<b>Nearest Receptor (m)</b>	305
<b>Stack Height (m)</b>	6.1	<b>Closest Receptor Type</b>	Residence/ Business
<b>Stack Diameter (m)</b>	1.07	<b>Project Location</b>	Rural
<b>Stack Exit Velocity (m/s)</b>	17.37	<b>Natural Gas Usage (mmscf/hr)</b>	0.085
<b>Stack Exit Temperature (K)</b>	389	<b>Natural Gas Usage (mmscf/yr)</b>	744.6

Technical Services also performed modeling for criteria pollutants CO, NOx, SOx, & PM<sub>10</sub>. Emission rates used for criteria pollutant modeling were 3.1 lb/hr and 27,550 lb/yr CO, 0.5 lb/hr and 4,617 lb/yr NOx, 0.2 lb/hr and 2,122 lb/yr SOx, 0.3 lb/hr and 2,234 lb/yr PM<sub>10</sub>.

The results from the Criteria Pollutant Modeling are as follows:

**Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results\***  
Values are in  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$

NG-Fired Generator	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours	24 Hours	Annual
CO	Pass	X	Pass	X	X
NO <sub>x</sub>	Pass <sup>1</sup>	X	X	X	Pass
SO <sub>x</sub>	Pass	Pass	X	Pass	Pass
PM <sub>10</sub>	X	X	X	Pass <sup>2</sup>	Pass <sup>2</sup>
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	X	X	X	Pass <sup>2</sup>	Pass <sup>2</sup>

\*Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheet.

<sup>1</sup>The project was compared to the 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> National Ambient Air Quality Standard that became effective on April 12, 2010, using the District's approved procedures.

<sup>2</sup>The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

### III. Conclusion

The criteria modeling runs indicate the emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or significantly contribute to a violation of a State or National AAQS.

The acute and chronic indices are below 1.0; and the maximum individual cancer risk associated with the project is  $8.29\text{E-}09$ , which is less than the 1 in a million threshold. In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved **without** Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit conditions listed on Page 1 of this report must be included for the proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

### IV. Attachments

- A. RMR request from the project engineer
- B. Additional information from the applicant/project engineer
- C. Stack Parameter Worksheet
- D. Prioritization score w/ toxic emissions summary
- E. Facility Summary
- F. AAQA Summary
- G. AERMOD Non-Regulatory Option Checklist

**ATTACHMENT VI**  
**Statewide Compliance Statement**

# E&B Natural Resources

---

August 17, 2015

Mr. Leonard Scandura  
Manager of Permit Services  
San Joaquin Valley Unified APCD  
34946 Flyover Court  
Bakersfield, CA 93308

**Subject: Steam Generator - Compliance Certification – EB18**

Dear Mr. Scandura:

I hereby certify that all major Stationary Sources owned or operated by such person (or by any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with such person) in California, which are subject to emission limitations, are in compliance or on a schedule for compliance with all applicable emission limitations and standards.

Alternative siting analysis is required for any project, which constitutes a New Major Source or a Federal Major Modification.

The current project occurs at existing facilities. The applicant proposes to operate a steam generator to thermally enhance existing wells at the site.

Since the project will provide thermal enhancement to be used at the same location, the existing site will result in the least possible impact from the project. Alternative sites would involve the relocation and/or construction of various support structures on a much greater scale, and would therefore result in a much greater impact.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

*USE Manager*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

ATTACHMENT VII  
Draft ATC

San Joaquin Valley  
Air Pollution Control District

**AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT**

ISSUANCE DATE: DRAFT  
**DRAFT**

PERMIT NO: S-1624-293-0

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: E&B NATURAL RESOURCES MGMT  
MAILING ADDRESS: ATTN: SHAMS HASAN  
3000 JAMES ROAD  
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93308

LOCATION: HEAVY OIL CENTRAL  
CA

SECTION: SE 5 TOWNSHIP: 28S RANGE: 27E

**EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

85 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS-FIRED STEAM GENERATOR WITH NORTH AMERICAN MODEL LE-85 ULTRA LOW NOX BURNER AND FLUE GAS RECIRCULATION (FGR SYSTEM)

**CONDITIONS**

1. Permit to Operate S-1624-30-2 shall be surrendered to the District and the associated equipment shall be removed or rendered inoperable not later than the date of initial operation of this emissions unit. [District Rule 2201]
2. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender NOx emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 1,731 lb, 2nd quarter - 1,731 lb, 3rd quarter - 1,731 lb, and fourth quarter - 1,731 lb. Offsets shall be provided at the applicable offset ratio specified in Table 4-2 of Rule 2201 (as amended 4/21/11). [District Rule 2201]
3. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender PM10 emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 977 lb, 2nd quarter - 977 lb, 3rd quarter - 977 lb, and fourth quarter - 978 lb. Offsets shall be provided at the applicable offset ratio specified in Table 4-2 of Rule 2201 (as amended 4/21/11). [District Rule 2201]
4. ERC Certificate Numbers S-4408-2, S-4609-2, S-4612-2, S-4585-2, S-4408-4 and S-4615-4 (or a certificates split from these certificates) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (661) 392-5500 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director, APCO

**Arnaud Marjollet, Director of Permit Services**

8-1624-293-0: Nov 2 2015 3:14PM - EDGEHLR : Joint Inspection NOT Required

5. {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
6. All equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition and shall be operated in a manner to minimize emissions of air contaminants into the atmosphere. [District Rule 2201]
7. {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
8. No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
9. Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grain/dscf at operating conditions, nor 0.1 grain/dscf calculated to 12% CO<sub>2</sub>, nor 10 lb/hr. [District Rules 4201 and 4301]
10. The unit shall only be fired on natural gas with a maximum sulfur content of 1.0 gr S/100scf. [District Rules 2201, 4301, and 4320]
11. A non-resettable, totalizing mass or volumetric fuel flow meter to measure the amount of fuel combusted in the unit shall be installed, utilized and maintained. [District Rule 2201 and 40 CFR 60.48c (g)]
12. Emissions from the gas-fired unit shall not exceed any of the following limits: 5 ppmvd NO<sub>x</sub> @ 3% O<sub>2</sub> or 0.006 lb-NO<sub>x</sub>/MMBtu, 0.0035 lb-PM<sub>10</sub>/MMBtu, 25 ppmvd CO @ 3% O<sub>2</sub> or 0.0182 lb-CO/MMBtu, or 0.0055 lb-VOC/MMBtu. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306, and 4320]
13. The permittee shall monitor and record the stack concentration of NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and O<sub>2</sub> at least once every month (in which a source test is not performed) using a portable emission monitor that meets District specifications. Monitoring shall not be required if the unit is not in operation, i.e. the unit need not be started solely to perform monitoring. Monitoring shall be performed within five days of restarting the unit unless monitoring has been performed within the current calendar month. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]
14. If the NO<sub>x</sub> or CO concentrations, as measured by the portable analyzer, exceed the permitted levels, the permittee shall return the emissions to within the acceptable range as soon as possible, but no longer than one hour of operation after detection. If the portable analyzer continues to show emission limit violations after 1 hour of operation following detection, the permittee shall notify the District within the following one hour and conduct a certified source test within 60 days of the first exceedance. In lieu of conducting a source test, the permittee may stipulate a violation has occurred, subject to enforcement action. The permittee must then correct the violation, show compliance has been re-established, and resume monitoring procedures. If the deviations are the result of a qualifying breakdown condition pursuant to Rule 1100, the permittee may fully comply with Rule 1100 in lieu of the performing the notification and testing required by this condition. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]
15. All NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and O<sub>2</sub> emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the permit-to-operate. The NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and O<sub>2</sub> analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive-minute period. [District Rule 4305, 4306, and 4320]
16. The permittee shall maintain records of: (1) the date and time of NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and O<sub>2</sub> measurements, (2) the O<sub>2</sub> concentration in percent by volume and the measured NO<sub>x</sub> and CO concentrations corrected to 3% O<sub>2</sub>, (3) make and model of exhaust gas analyzer, (4) exhaust gas analyzer calibration records, and (5) a description of any corrective action taken to maintain the emissions at or below the acceptable levels. [District Rule 4305, 4306, and 4320]
17. If the unit is fired on PUC-regulated natural gas, then maintain on file copies of all natural gas bills. [District Rules 2201 and 4320]
18. If the unit is not fired on PUC-regulated natural gas, the sulfur content of each fuel source shall be tested weekly except that if compliance with the fuel sulfur content limit has been demonstrated for 8 consecutive weeks for a fuel source, then the testing frequency shall be quarterly. If a test shows noncompliance with the sulfur content requirement, the source must return to weekly testing until eight consecutive weeks show compliance. [District Rules 2201 and 4320]

DRAFT  
CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

19. Source testing to measure NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emissions from this unit shall be conducted within 60 days of startup and at least once every twelve months (no more than 30 days before or after the required annual source test date) thereafter. After demonstrating compliance on two consecutive annual source tests, the unit shall be tested not less than once every 36 months. If the result of the 36-month source test demonstrates that the unit does not meet the applicable emission limits, the source testing frequency shall revert to at least once every twelve months. [District Rule 2201, 4305, 4306, and 4320]
20. Source testing shall be conducted using the methods and procedures approved by the District. The District must be notified at least 30 days prior to any compliance source test, and a source test plan must be submitted for approval at least 15 days prior to testing. [District Rule 1081]
21. The source plan shall identify which basis (ppmv or lb/MMBtu) will be used to demonstrate compliance. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]
22. For emissions source testing, the arithmetic average of three 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two of three runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]
23. The results of each source test shall be submitted to the District within 60 days thereafter. [District Rule 1081]
24. All emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. Unless otherwise specified in the Permit to Operate, no determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4320. For the purposes of permittee-performed alternate monitoring, emissions measurements may be performed at any time after the unit reaches conditions representative of normal operation. [District Rule 4305, 4306 and 4320]
25. All alternate monitoring parameter emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the permit-to-operate. The analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive-minute period. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]
26. The following test methods shall be used: NO<sub>x</sub> (ppmv) - EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 100, NO<sub>x</sub> (lb/MMBtu) - EPA Method 19; CO (ppmv) - EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100; Stack gas oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) - EPA Method 3 or 3A or ARB Method 100; stack gas velocities - EPA Method 2; Stack gas moisture content - EPA Method 4; SO<sub>x</sub> - EPA Method 6C or 8 or ARB Method 100; fuel gas sulfur as H<sub>2</sub>S content - EPA Method 11 or 15; and fuel hhv (MMBtu) - ASTM D 1826 or D 1945 in conjunction with ASTM D 3588. [District Rules 1081, 4305, 4306, 4320, and 4351]
27. When complying with sulfur emission limits by fuel analysis or by a combination of source testing and fuel analysis, permittee shall demonstrate compliance at least annually. [District Rule 4320]
28. Permittee shall submit notification to the District of the date of construction, anticipated startup, and actual startup. Notifications shall be postmarked no later than 30 days after construction and 15 days after actual startup. The notifications shall include the design heat input and identification of fuels for this permit unit. [40 CFR 60.48c (a)]
29. Permittee shall maintain daily records of the type and quantity of fuel combusted by the steam generator. [District Rule 2201 and 40 CFR 60.48c (g)]
30. Records of the daily gas consumption shall be maintained on the premises. [District Rule 2201]
31. All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306, 4320, and 40 CFR 60.48c (i)]

DRAFT