



JUN 14 2011

Mr. Dennis Champion
Occidental of Elk Hills
10800 Stockdale Hwy
Bakersfield, CA 93311

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - ATC / Certificate of Conformity
Facility # S-2234
Project # 1110265

Dear Mr. Champion:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of an application for Authority to Construct for Occidental of Elk Hills within the NW/4 of Section 35, Township 30S, Range 23E in Tupman, CA. Occidental of Elk Hills is proposing to install a 700 bhp diesel-fired emergency internal combustion engine powering a firewater pump.

After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice and the 45-day EPA comment periods, the Authority to Construct will be issued to the facility with a Certificate of Conformity. Prior to operating with modifications authorized by the Authority to Construct, the facility must submit an application to modify the Title V permit as an administrative amendment, in accordance with District Rule 2520, Section 11.5.

The public notice will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Leonard Scandura, Permit Services Manager, at (661) 392-5500.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

David Warner
Director of Permit Services

DW:DT/dg

Enclosures

Seyed Sadredin
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4800 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95358-8718
Tel: (209) 557-8400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

Central Region (Main Office)
1980 E. Gettysburg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

Southern Region
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725
Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585



JUN 14 2011

Gerardo C. Rios, Chief
Permits Office
Air Division
U.S. EPA - Region IX
75 Hawthorne St.
San Francisco, CA 94105

**Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - ATC / Certificate of Conformity
Facility # S-2234
Project # 1110265**

Dear Mr. Rios:

Enclosed for your review is the District's engineering evaluation of an application for Authority to Construct for Occidental of Elk Hills within the NW/4 of Section 35, Township 30S, Range 23E in Tupman, CA, which has been issued a Title V permit. Occidental of Elk Hills is requesting that a Certificate of Conformity, with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR Part 70, be issued with this project. Occidental of Elk Hills is proposing to install a 700 bhp diesel-fired emergency internal combustion engine powering a firewater pump.

Enclosed is the engineering evaluation of this application and proposed Authority to Construct # S-2234-140-0 with Certificate of Conformity. After demonstrating compliance with the Authority to Construct, the conditions will be incorporated into the facility's Title V permit through an administrative amendment.

Please submit your written comments on this project within the 45-day comment period that begins on the date you receive this letter. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Leonard Scandura, Permit Services Manager, at (661) 392-5500.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

David Warner
Director of Permit Services

DW:DT/dg

Enclosures

Seyed Sadredin
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4600 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95356-8718
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

Central Region (Main Office)
1990 E. Gattysburg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

Southern Region
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725
Tel: 861-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585



JUN 14 2011

Mike Tollstrup, Chief
Project Assessment Branch
Air Resources Board
P O Box 2815
Sacramento, CA 95812-2815

Re: **Notice of Preliminary Decision - ATC / Certificate of Conformity**
Facility # S-2234
Project # 1110265

Dear Mr. Tollstrup:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of an application for Authority to Construct for Occidental of Elk Hills within the NW/4 of Section 35, Township 30S, Range 23E in Tupman, CA. Occidental of Elk Hills is proposing to install a 700 bhp diesel-fired emergency internal combustion engine powering a firewater pump.

The public notice will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Leonard Scandura, Permit Services Manager, at (661) 392-5500.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

David Warner
Director of Permit Services

DW:DT/dg

Enclosures

Seyed Sadredin
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4800 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95356-8718
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

Central Region (Main Office)
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

Southern Region
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725
Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585

**NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION
FOR THE ISSUANCE OF AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND
THE PROPOSED MINOR MODIFICATION OF FEDERALLY
MANDATED OPERATING PERMIT**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District solicits public comment on the proposed issuance of Authority To Construct to Occidental of Elk Hills for its gas plant within the NW/4 of Section 35, Township 30S, Range 23E in Tupman, California. Occidental of Elk Hills is proposing to install a 700 bhp diesel-fired emergency internal combustion engine powering a firewater pump.

The analysis of the regulatory basis for these proposed actions, Project #1110265, is available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public_notices_idx.htm and the District office at the address below. Written comments on the proposed initial permit must be submitted within 30 days of the publication date of this notice to **DAVID WARNER, DIRECTOR OF PERMIT SERVICES, SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, 1990 E. GETTYSBURG AVE, FRESNO, CA 93726-0244.**

**San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Authority to Construct
Application Review
Diesel-Fired Emergency Firewater Pump IC Engine**

Facility Name: Occidental of Elk Hills
Mailing Address: 10800 Stockdale Hwy
Bakersfield, CA 93311

Date: 6/8/11
Engineer/ Specialist: David Torii
Lead Engineer: Steve Leonard

Contact Person: Dennis Champion
Application #: S-2234-241-0
Project #: 1110265
Complete: 5/26/11

St 6/8/11

I. Proposal

Occidental of Elk Hills (OEH) is proposing to install a 700 bhp diesel-fired emergency internal combustion (IC) engine powering a firewater pump.

OEH received their Title V Permit on 5/31/01. This modification can be classified as a Title V minor modification pursuant to Rule 2520, Section 3.20, and can be processed with a Certificate of Conformity (COC). Since the facility has specifically requested that this project be processed in that manner, the 45-day Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) comment period will be satisfied prior to the issuance of the Authority to Construct. OEH must apply to administratively amend their Title V Operating Permit to include the requirements of the ATC issued with this project.

II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (4/21/11)
Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/01)
Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)
Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)
Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)
Rule 4701 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 1 (8/21/03)
Rule 4702 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 2 (1/18/07)
Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)
CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment
CH&SC 42301.6 School Notice
Title 13 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 2423 – Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures, Off-Road Compression-Ignition Engines and Equipment

Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines
Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387:
CEQA Guidelines

III. Project Location

The equipment will be located within the NW/4 of Section 35, Township 30S, Range 23E. The District has verified that the equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is applicable to this project.

IV. Process Description

The emergency engine will power a firewater pump. Other than emergency operation, the engine may be operated up to 100 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes.

V. Equipment Listing

S-2234-241-0: 700 BHP (INTERMITTENT) CATERPILLAR MODEL C-18 TIER 3 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY IC ENGINE POWERING A FIREWATER PUMP

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

The engine is equipped with:

- Turbocharger
- Intercooler/aftercooler
- Injection timing retard (or equivalent per District Policy SSP-1805, dated 8/14/1996)
- Positive Crankcase Ventilation (PCV) or 90% efficient control device
- This engine is required to be, and is UL certified
- Catalytic particulate filter
- Very Low (0.0015%) sulfur diesel

The emission control devices/technologies and their effect on diesel engine emissions detailed below are from *Non-catalytic NO_x Control of Stationary Diesel Engines*, by Don Koeberlein, CARB.

The turbocharger reduces the NO_x emission rate from the engine by approximately 10% by increasing the efficiency and promoting more complete burning of the fuel.

The intercooler/aftercooler functions in conjunction with the turbocharger to reduce the inlet air temperature. By reducing the inlet air temperature, the peak combustion

temperature is lowered, which reduces the formation of thermal NO_x. NO_x emissions are reduced by approximately 15% with this control technology.

The use of very low-sulfur diesel fuel (0.0015% by weight sulfur maximum) reduces SO_x emissions by over 99% from standard diesel fuel.

VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

Emergency operating schedule:	24 hours/day
Non-emergency operating schedule:	up to 100 hours/year
Density of diesel fuel:	7.1 lb/gal
EPA F-factor (adjusted to 60 °F):	9,051 dscf/MMBtu
Fuel heating value:	137,000 Btu/gal
BHP to Btu/hr conversion:	2,542.5 Btu/bhp-hr
Thermal efficiency of engine:	commonly ≈ 35%
PM ₁₀ fraction of diesel exhaust:	0.96 (CARB, 1988)

- The applicant has only supplied an emissions factor for NO_x and VOC emissions combined. Therefore the District will use data from the EPA document "Exhaust and Crankcase Emission Factors for Nonroad Engine Modeling – Compressions Ignition", dated November 2002, as presented in the following table to estimate NO_x and VOC emissions (District assumption).

Tier 3 Diesel-Fired IC Engines NO _x and VOC Estimated Emissions			
Horsepower Range (bhp)	Combined Standard, NO _x + VOC (g/bhp-hr)	Estimated NO _x Emissions (g/bhp-hr)	Estimated VOC Emissions (g/bhp-hr)
	Tier 3	Tier 3	Tier 3
≥ 600 to < 750	3.0	2.8	0.2

For this application for a 700 bhp Tier 3 certified IC engine the applicant supplied NO_x + VOC emissions factor is 3.0 g/bhp-hr. Therefore, the NO_x and VOC emissions factors for this engine are calculated as follows:

$$\text{NO}_x \text{ (g/bhp-hr)} = \text{NO}_x + \text{VOC (g/bhp-hr)} \times (2.8 \text{ g/bhp-hr} + 3.0 \text{ g/bhp-hr})$$

$$\text{NO}_x \text{ g/bhp-hr} = 3.0 \text{ g/bhp-hr} \times (2.8 \text{ g/bhp-hr} + 3.0 \text{ g/bhp-hr})$$

$$\text{NO}_x = 2.8 \text{ g/bhp-hr}$$

$$\text{VOC (g/bhp-hr)} = \text{NO}_x + \text{VOC (g/bhp-hr)} \times (0.2 \text{ g/bhp-hr} + 3.0 \text{ g/bhp-hr})$$

$$\text{VOC g/bhp-hr} = 2.8 \text{ g/bhp-hr} \times (0.2 \text{ g/bhp-hr} + 3.0 \text{ g/bhp-hr})$$

$$\text{VOC} = 0.2 \text{ g/bhp-hr}$$

B. Emission Factors

Emissions Factors:

Emission Factors		
Pollutant	Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Source
NO _x	2.8	ARB/EPA Certification
SO _x	0.0051	Mass Balance Equation Below
PM ₁₀	0.1	Engine Specific Emissions
CO	2.6	ARB/EPA Certification
VOC	0.2	ARB/EPA Certification

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb-S}}{\text{lb-fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb-fuel}}{\text{gallon}} \times \frac{2 \text{ lb-SO}_2}{1 \text{ lb-S}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{137,000 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{1 \text{ bhp Input}}{0.35 \text{ bhp out}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{\text{bhp-hr}} \times \frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{\text{lb}} = 0.0051 \frac{\text{g-SO}_x}{\text{bhp-hr}}$$

C. Calculations

1. Pre-Project Emissions (PE1)

Since this is a new emissions unit, PE1 = 0.

2. Post Project PE (PE2)

The daily and annual PE are calculated as follows:

Daily Post Project Emissions					
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Rating (bhp)	Daily Hours of Operation (hrs/day)	Conversion (g/lb)	PE2 Total (lb/day)
NO _x	2.8	700	24	453.6	103.7
SO _x	0.0051	700	24	453.6	0.2
PM ₁₀	0.10	700	24	453.6	3.7
CO	2.6	700	24	453.6	96.3
VOC	0.2	700	24	453.6	7.4

Annual Post Project Emissions					
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Rating (bhp)	Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/yr)	Conversion (g/lb)	PE2 Total (lb/yr)
NO _x	2.8	700	100	453.6	432
SO _x	0.0051	700	100	453.6	1
PM ₁₀	0.10	700	100	453.6	15
CO	2.6	700	100	453.6	401
VOC	0.2	700	100	453.6	31

3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to Section 4.9 of District Rule 2201, the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Facility emissions are already above the Offset and Major Source Thresholds for all pollutants; therefore, SSPE1 calculations are not necessary.

4. Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to Section 4.10 of District Rule 2201, the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs, except for emissions units proposed to be shut down as part of the Stationary Project, at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Facility emissions are already above the Offset and Major Source Thresholds for all pollutants; therefore, SSPE2 calculations are not necessary.

5. Major Source Determination

Pursuant to Section 3.24 of District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with post project emissions or a Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2), equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. However, Section 3.24.2 states, "for the purposes of determining major source status, the SSPE2 shall not include the quantity of emission reduction credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site."

This source is an existing Major Source for all pollutants and will remain so. No change in other pollutants are proposed or expected as a result of this project.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

BE = Pre-project Potential to Emit for:

- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise,

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to Section 3.22

Since this is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all criteria pollutants.

7. SB 288 Major Modification

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "*any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act.*"

As discussed in Section VII.C.5 above, the facility is an existing Major Source for NO_x, SO_x, PM₁₀, CO, and VOC; however, the project by itself would need to be a significant increase in order to trigger a Major Modification. The emissions unit(s) within this project do not have a total potential to emit which is greater than Major Modification thresholds (see table below). Therefore, the project cannot be a significant increase and the project does not constitute a SB 288 Major Modification.

SB 288 Major Modification Thresholds (Existing Major Source)			
Pollutant	Project PE (lb/year)	Threshold (lb/year)	SB 288 Major Modification Calculation Required?
NO _x	432	50,000	No
SO _x	1	80,000	No
PM ₁₀	15	30,000	No
VOC	31	50,000	No

8. Federal Major Modification

District Rule 2201, Section 3.17 states that Federal Major Modifications are the same as "Major Modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA. SB 288 Major Modifications are not Federal Major Modifications if they meet the criteria of the "Less-Than-Significant Emissions Increase" exclusion.

A Less-Than-Significant Emissions Increase exclusion is for an emissions increase for the project, or a Net Emissions Increase for the project (as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 (a)(2)(ii)(B) through (D), and (F)), that is not significant for a given regulated NSR pollutant, and therefore is not a Federal Major Modification for that pollutant.

- To determine the post-project projected actual emissions from existing units, the provisions of 40 CFR 51.165 (a)(1)(xxviii) shall be used.
- To determine the pre-project baseline actual emissions, the provisions of 40 CFR 51.165 (a)(1)(xxxv)(A) through (D) shall be used.
- If the project is determined not to be a Federal Major Modification pursuant to the provisions of 40 CFR 51.165 (a)(2)(ii)(B), but there is a reasonable possibility that the project may result in a significant emissions increase, the owner or operator shall comply with all of the provisions of 40 CFR 51.165 (a)(6) and (a)(7).
- Emissions increases calculated pursuant to this section are significant if they exceed the significance thresholds specified in the table below.

Pollutant	Threshold (lb/year)
VOC	0
NOx	0
PM10	30,000
SOx	80,000

The Net Emissions Increases (NEIs) for purposes of determination of a "Less-Than-Significant Emissions Increase" exclusion will be calculated below to determine if this project qualifies for such an exclusion.

Net Emission Increase for New Unit (NEI)

Per 40 CFR 51.165 (a)(2)(ii)(D) for new emissions unit in this project,

$$NEI = PE2 - BAE$$

BAE = 0 for the new emissions unit; therefore,

$$NEI = PE2$$

Units S-2234-241-0 is new unit, and baseline actual emissions are equal to zero, and therefore, pursuant to 40 CFR 51.165 (a)(2)(ii)(D), the Net Emissions Increases are equal to the post-project potential to emit.

Pollutant	PE2	Threshold (lb/year)	Federal Major Modification?
VOC	432	0	Yes
NOx	1	0	Yes
PM10	15	30,000	No
SOx	31	80,000	No

As shown above this project is a Federal Major Modification.

9. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District's PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix C.

VIII. Compliance

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis for the following*:

- a) Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- b) The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- c) Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
- d) Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in a Major Modification.

*Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

a. New emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day

Since this engine is a new emissions unit, the daily emissions are compared to the BACT thresholds in the following table:

New Emissions Unit BACT Applicability				
Pollutant	Daily Emissions (lb/day)	BACT Threshold (lb/day)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	BACT Triggered?
NO _x	103.7	> 2.0	n/a	Yes
SO _x	0.2	> 2.0	n/a	No
PM ₁₀	3.7	> 2.0	n/a	Yes
CO	96.3	> 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/yr	> 200,000	Yes
VOC	7.4	> 2.0	n/a	Yes

Thus BACT will be triggered for NO_x, PM₁₀, CO, and VOC emissions from the engine for this project.

b. Relocation of emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day

As discussed previously in Section I, this engine is not being relocated from one stationary source to another as a result of this project. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for the relocation of emissions units with a PE > 2 lb/day.

c. Modification of emissions units – Adjusted Increase in Permitted Emissions (AIPE) > 2 lb/day

As discussed previously in Section I, this engine is not being modified as a result of this project. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for the modification of emissions units with an AIPE > 2 lb/day.

d. Major Modification

As discussed in Section VII.C.7 above, this project does constitute a Federal Major Modification for NO_x and VOC emissions; therefore BACT is triggered for NO_x and VOC for the unit.

2. BACT Guideline

BACT Guideline 3.1.4, which appears in Appendix A of this report, covers diesel-fired emergency IC engines powering a firewater pump.

3. Top Down BACT Analysis

Per District Policy APR 1305, Section IX, "A top-down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the Application Review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District's NSR Rule for source categories or classes covered in the BACT Clearinghouse, relevant information under each of the following steps may be simply cited from the Clearinghouse without further analysis."

Pursuant to the attached Top-Down BACT Analysis, which appears in Appendix A of this report, BACT is satisfied with:

- NO_x: Certified NO_x emissions of 6.9 g/bhp-hr or less
- VOC: Positive crankcase ventilation (or 90% efficient control device)
- PM₁₀: PM₁₀ emissions of 0.15 g/bhp-hr or less
- CO: No control required

Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 2.8 g-NO_x/bhp-hr, 2.6 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.2 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]
- Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.10 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]

B. Offsets

Since emergency IC engines are exempt from the offset requirements of Rule 2201, per Section 4.6.2, offsets are not required for this engine, and no offset calculations are required.

C. Public Notification

1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

- a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB288 Major Modifications,
- b. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any one pollutant,
- c. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed, and/or
- d. Any project with an SSIPE of greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant.

a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB288 Major Modifications

New Major Sources are new facilities, which are also Major Sources. Since this is not a new facility, public noticing is not required for this project for New Major Source purposes.

As demonstrated in VII.C.7, this project is a Federal Major Modification; therefore, public noticing for Federal Major Modification purposes is required.

c. PE > 100 lb/day

The PE2 for this new unit is compared to the daily PE Public Notice thresholds in the following table:

PE > 100 lb/day Public Notice Thresholds			
Pollutant	PE2 (lb/day)	Public Notice Threshold	Public Notice Triggered?
NO _x	103.7	100 lb/day	Yes
SO _x	0.2	100 lb/day	No
PM ₁₀	3.7	100 lb/day	No
CO	96.3	100 lb/day	No
VOC	7.4	100 lb/day	No

Therefore, public noticing for PE > 100 lb/day purposes is required.

d. Offset Threshold

The following table compares the SSPE1 with the SSPE2 to the offset thresholds in order to determine if any offset thresholds have been surpassed with this project.

Offset Threshold				
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/yr)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	Offset Threshold (lb/yr)	Public Notice Required?
NO _x	>20,000	>20,000	20,000	No
SO _x	>54,750	>54,750	54,750	No
PM ₁₀	>29,200	>29,200	29,200	No
CO	>200,000	>200,000	200,000	No
VOC	>20,000	>20,000	20,000	No

As detailed in the preceding table, there were no offset thresholds surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is not required for this project for surpassing the SSPE2 offset thresholds.

e. SSIPE > 20,000 lb/year

Public notification is required for any permitting action that results in a Stationary Source Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) of more than 20,000 lb/year of any affected pollutant. According to District policy, the SSIPE is calculated as the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) minus the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1), i.e. $SSIPE = SSPE2 - SSPE1$. The values for SSPE2 and SSPE1 are

calculated according to Rule 2201, Sections 4.9 and 4.10, respectively. The SSIPE is compared to the SSIPE Public Notice thresholds in the following table:

SSIPE Public Notice Threshold			
Pollutant	SSIPE (lb/yr)	SSIPE Threshold (lb/yr)	Public Notice Required?
NO _x	432	20,000	No
SO _x	1	20,000	No
PM ₁₀	15	20,000	No
CO	401	20,000	No
VOC	31	20,000	No

As detailed in the preceding table, there were no SSIPE thresholds surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is not required for exceeding the SSIPE thresholds.

2. Public Notice Action

As discussed above, public noticing is required for this project for surpassing the PE > 100 lb/day for a new emissions unit threshold for NO_x emissions and for being a Federal Major Modification for NO_x and VOC. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

In addition, this facility is a Title V facility and has requested that the ATC issued as a result of this project be issued with a COC (as discussed previously in Section I). Therefore, COC notice documents will be submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

D. Daily Emissions Limits

Daily Emissions Limitations (DELs) and other enforceable conditions are required by Section 3.15 to restrict a unit's maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. Per Sections 3.15.1 and 3.15.2, the DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. DELs are also required to enforce the applicability of BACT. For this emergency IC engine, the DELs are stated in the form of emission factors, the maximum engine horsepower rating, and the maximum operational time of 24 hours per day. Therefore, the following conditions (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 2.8 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 2.6 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.2 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]
- Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.10 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {3395} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801 and 17 CCR 93115]

E. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

Pursuant to District Policy APR 1705, source testing is not required for emergency IC engines to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

2. Monitoring

No monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

3. Recordkeeping

Recordkeeping is required to demonstrate compliance with the offset, public notification, and daily emission limit requirements of Rule 2201. As required by District Rule 4702, *Stationary Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 2*, this IC engine is subject to recordkeeping requirements. Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4702, will be discussed in Section VIII, *District Rule 4702*, of this evaluation.

4. Reporting

No reporting is required to ensure compliance with Rule 2201.

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis

Section 4.14.1 of this Rule requires that an ambient air quality analysis (AAQA) be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of a State or National ambient air quality standard. An AAQA is required to be performed for all New Source Review (NSR) public notice projects. As previously discussed in Section VIII.C this project requires that a public notice be performed before issuance of the ATC for this project. Therefore, the District is required to perform an AAQA for this project.

The Technical Services Division of the SJVAPCD conducted the required AAQA for this project. The results of the AAQA are presented in the following two tables. Refer to Appendix B of this document for the AAQA summary and the PM₁₀ 24 hour and annual emissions contribution levels for this project.

Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results*
Values are in µg/m³

Diesel ICEs	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours	24 Hours	Annual
CO	Pass	X	Pass	X	X
NO _x	Pass	X	X	X	Pass
SO _x	Pass	Pass	X	Pass	Pass
PM ₁₀	X	X	X	Pass	Pass

*Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheets.

¹The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

²The project was compared to the 1-hour NO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard that became effective on April 12, 2010, using the District's approved procedures.

As shown in the preceding table, the calculated contribution of PM₁₀ from the proposed installation of the diesel-fired IC engine will not exceed the EPA PM₁₀ significance level.

Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.15 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]
- The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102] N
- The engine shall be operated only for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per year. [District NSR Rule and District Rule 4701] N

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

This facility is subject to this Rule, and has received their Title V Operating Permit. The proposed modification is a Minor Modification to the Title V Permit pursuant to Section 3.20 of this rule. As discussed previously in the proposal section, the facility has applied for a Certificate of Conformity (COC). Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC:

- {1830} This Authority to Construct serves as a written certificate of conformity with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR 70.7 and 70.8 and with the compliance requirements of 40 CFR 70.6(c). [District NSR Rule]

- {1831} Prior to operating with modifications authorized by this Authority to Construct, the facility shall submit an application to modify the Title V permit with an administrative amendment in accordance with District Rule 2520 Section 5.3.4. [District Rule 2520, 5.3.4]

In addition, the facility must apply to modify their Title V permit with an administrative amendment, prior to operating with the proposed modifications. Continued compliance with this rule is expected.

Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

40 CFR 60 Subpart III – Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines

§60.4200 - Applicability

This subpart is applicable to owners and operators of stationary compression ignited internal combustion engines that commence construction after July 11, 2005, where the engines are:

- 1) Manufactured after April 1, 2006, if not a fire pump engine.
- 2) Manufactured as a National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) fire pump engine after July 1, 2006.

Since the proposed engine will be installed after July 11, 2005 and will be manufactured after April 1, 2006, this subpart applies.

All of the applicable standards of this subpart are less restrictive than current District requirements. This engine will comply with all current District standards so no further discussion is required.

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions

Rule 4101 states that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

Rule 4102 Nuisance

Rule 4102 states that no air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of

these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

District Policy APR 1905 - Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (dated 3/2/01) specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

Therefore pursuant to the policy, a risk management review has been performed for this project to analyze the impact of toxic emissions. For projects where the increase in cancer risk is greater than one per million, Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT) is required.

The HRA results for this project are shown below (see the HRA Summary in Appendix B):

HRA Results				
Unit	Acute Hazard Index	Chronic Hazard Index	Cancer Risk	T-BACT Required?
S-2234-241-0	N/A	N/A	1.78E-08	no

As demonstrated previously, T-BACT is not required for this project because the HRA indicates that the risk is not above the District's thresholds for triggering T-BACT requirements; therefore, compliance with the District's Risk Management Policy is expected.

District policy APR 1905 also specifies that the increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification not have acute or chronic indices, or a cancer risk greater than the District's significance levels (i.e. acute and/or chronic indices greater than 1 and a cancer risk greater than 10 in a million). As outlined by the HRA Summary in Appendix B of this report, the emissions increases for this project was determined to be less than significant.

Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap, roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]

- This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. For testing purposes, the engine shall only be operated the number of hours necessary to comply with the testing requirements of the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 25 - "Standard for the Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems", 1998 edition. Total hours of operation for all maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 4102, 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] N

Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration

Particulate matter emissions from the engine will be less than or equal to the rule limit of 0.1 grain per cubic foot of gas at dry standard conditions as shown by the following:

$$0.10 \frac{g - PM_{10}}{bhp - hr} \times \frac{1g - PM}{0.96g - PM_{10}} \times \frac{1bhp - hr}{2,542.5 Btu} \times \frac{10^6 Btu}{9,051 dscf} \times \frac{0.35 Btu_{out}}{1 Btu_{in}} \times \frac{15.43 grain}{g} = 0.0244 \frac{grain - PM}{dscf}$$

Since 0.0244 grain-PM/dscf is \leq to 0.1 grain per dscf, compliance with Rule 4201 is expected.

Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 1

Pursuant to Section 7.5.2.3 of District Rule 4702, as of June 1, 2006 District Rule 4701 is no longer applicable to diesel-fired emergency standby or emergency IC engines. Therefore, this diesel-fired emergency IC engine will comply with the requirements of District Rule 4702 and no further discussion is required.

Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 2

The purpose of this rule is to limit the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) from internal combustion engines.

This rule applies to any internal combustion engine with a rated brake horsepower greater than 50 horsepower.

Pursuant to Section 4.3, except for the requirements of Section 6.2.3, the requirements of this rule shall not apply to an internal combustion engine that meets the following conditions:

- 1) The engine is operated exclusively to preserve or protect property, human life, or public health during a disaster or state of emergency, such as a fire or flood, and
- 2) Except for operations associated with Section 4.3.1.1, the engine is limited to operate no more than 100 hours per calendar year as determined by an operational nonresettable elapsed operating time meter, for periodic maintenance, periodic readiness testing, and readiness testing during and after repair work of the engine, and
- 3) The engine is operated with a nonresettable elapsed operating time meter. In lieu of installing a nonresettable time meter, the owner of an engine may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO. The owner of the engine shall properly maintain and operate the time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Therefore, the emergency IC engine involved with this project will only have to meet the requirements of Section 6.2.3 of this Rule.

Section 6.2.3 requires that an owner claiming an exemption under Section 4.2 or Section 4.3 shall maintain annual operating records. This information shall be retained for at least five years, shall be readily available, and submitted to the APCO upon request and at the end of each calendar year in a manner and form approved by the APCO. Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3816} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. For testing purposes, the engine shall only be operated the number of hours necessary to comply with the testing requirements of the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 25 - "Standard for the Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems", 1998 edition. Total hours of operation for all maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 4102, 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {3489} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, and the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.). For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

In addition, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3404} This engine shall be equipped with an operational non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative. [District Rule 4702]
- {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702]

Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds

Rule 4801 requires that sulfur compound emissions (as SO₂) shall not exceed 0.2% by volume. Using the ideal gas equation, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Volume SO}_2 = (n \times R \times T) \div P$$

n = moles SO₂

T (standard temperature) = 60 °F or 520 °R

$$R (\text{universal gas constant}) = \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot \text{°R}}$$

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb} - \text{S}}{\text{lb} - \text{fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb}}{\text{gal}} \times \frac{64 \text{ lb} - \text{SO}_2}{32 \text{ lb} - \text{S}} \times \frac{1 \text{ MMBtu}}{9,051 \text{ scf}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{0.137 \text{ MMBtu}} \times \frac{\text{lb} - \text{mol}}{64 \text{ lb} - \text{SO}_2} \times \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} - \text{mol} \cdot \text{°R}} \times \frac{520 \text{°R}}{14.7 \text{ psi}} \times 1,000,000 = 1.0 \text{ ppmv}$$

Since 1.0 ppmv is ≤ 2,000 ppmv, this engine is expected to comply with Rule 4801. Therefore, the following condition (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3395} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801 and 17 CCR 93115]

California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The District has verified that this site is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

Title 13 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 2423 – Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures, Off-Road Compression-Ignition Engines and Equipment (Required by Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 for New Emergency Diesel IC Engines)

Particulate Matter and VOC + NO_x and CO Exhaust Emissions Standards:

This regulation stipulates that off-road compression-ignition engines shall not exceed the following applicable emissions standards.

Title 13 CCR, Section 2423 lists a diesel particulate emission standard of 0.15 g/bhp-hr (with 1.341 bhp/kW, equivalent to 0.20 g/kW-hr) for 2002 - 2005 model year engines with maximum power ratings of 603.5 – 751.0 bhp (equivalent to 450 - 560 kW). Therefore, the PM standards given in Title 13 CCR, Section 2423 are less stringent than ATCM, and thus the ATCM standards are the required standards and will be discussed in the following section.

Title 17 CCR, Section 93115, (e)(2)(A)(3)(b) stipulates that new stationary emergency diesel-fueled CI engines (> 50 bhp) must meet the VOC + NO_x, and CO standards for off-road engines of the same model year and maximum rated power as specified in the Off-Road Compression-Ignition Engine Standards (Title 13 CCR, Section 2423) or the Tier 1 standards for an off-road engine if no standards have been established for an off-road engine of the same model year and maximum rated power.

The engine involved with this project is a certified 2010 model engine. The following table compares the requirements of Title 13 CCR, Section 2423 to the emissions factors for the 700 bhp make model C-18 diesel-fired emergency IC engine as given by the manufacturer (for NO_x + VOC and PM emissions) and CARB/EPA certification (Engine Family ACPXL18.1ESK) (for CO emissions).

Requirements of Title 13 CCR, Section 2423							
Source	Maximum Rated Power	Model Year	NO _x	VOC	NO _x + VOC	CO	PM
Title 13 CCR, §2423	603.5 – 751.0 bhp (450 - 560 kW)	1996-2001 (Tier 1)	6.9 g/bhp-hr (9.2 g/kW-hr)	1.0 g/bhp-hr (1.3 g/kW-hr)	--	8.5 g/bhp-hr (11.4 g/kW-hr)	0.40 g/bhp-hr (0.54 g/kW-hr)
Title 13 CCR, §2423	603.5 – 751.0 bhp (450 - 560 kW)	2002-2005 (Tier 2)	--	--	4.8 g/bhp-hr (6.4 g/kW-hr)	2.6 g/bhp-hr (3.5 g/kW-hr)	0.15 g/bhp-hr (0.20 g/kW-hr)
Title 13 CCR, §2423	603.5 – 751.0 bhp (450 - 560 kW)	2006 and later (Tier 3)	--	--	3.0 g/bhp-hr (4.0 g/kW-hr)	2.6 g/bhp-hr (3.5 g/kW-hr)	0.15 g/bhp-hr (0.20 g/kW-hr)
Make, Model #	700 bhp	2010	2.8 g/bhp-hr	0.2 g/bhp-hr	3.0 g/bhp-hr	2.6 g/bhp-hr	0.10 g/bhp-hr
Meets Standard?			na	na	yes	yes	yes

As presented in the table above, the proposed engine will satisfy the requirements of this section and compliance is expected.

Right of the District to Establish More Stringent Standards:

This regulation also stipulates that the District:

1. May establish more stringent diesel PM, NO_x + VOC, VOC, NO_x, and CO emission rate standards; and
2. May establish more stringent limits on hours of maintenance and testing on a site-specific basis; and

3. Shall determine an appropriate limit on the number of hours of operation for demonstrating compliance with other District rules and initial start-up testing

The District has not established more stringent standards at this time. Therefore, the standards previously established in this Section will be utilized.

Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

Emergency Operating Requirements:

This regulation stipulates that no owner or operator shall operate any new or in-use stationary diesel-fueled compression ignition (CI) emergency standby engine, in response to the notification of an impending rotating outage, unless specific criteria are met.

This section applies to emergency standby IC engines that are permitted to operate during non-emergency conditions for the purpose of providing electrical power. However, District Rule 4702 states that emergency standby IC engines may only be operated during non-emergency conditions for the purposes of maintenance and testing. Therefore, this section does not apply and no further discussion is required.

Fuel and Fuel Additive Requirements:

This regulation also stipulates that as of January 1, 2006 an owner or operator of a new or in-use stationary diesel-fueled CI emergency standby engine shall fuel the engine with CARB Diesel Fuel.

Since the engine involved with this project is a new or in-use stationary diesel-fueled CI emergency standby engine, these fuel requirements are applicable. Therefore, the following condition (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3395} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801 and 17 CCR 93115]

At-School and Near-School Provisions:

This regulation stipulates that no owner or operator shall operate a new stationary emergency diesel-fueled CI engine, with a PM₁₀ emissions factor > than 0.01 g/bhp-hr, for non-emergency use, including maintenance and testing, during the following periods:

1. Whenever there is a school sponsored activity, if the engine is located on school grounds, and

2. Between 7:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. on days when school is in session, if the engine is located within 500 feet of school grounds.

The District has verified that the engine is not located within 500 feet of a K-12 school. Therefore, conditions prohibiting non-emergency usage of the engine during school hours will not be placed on the permit.

Recordkeeping Requirements:

This regulation stipulates that as of January 1, 2005, each owner or operator of an emergency diesel-fueled CI engine shall keep a monthly log of usage that shall list and document the nature of use for each of the following:

- a. Emergency use hours of operation;
- b. Maintenance and testing hours of operation;
- c. Hours of operation for emission testing;
- d. Initial start-up hours; and
- e. If applicable, hours of operation to comply with the testing requirements of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 25 — "Standard for the Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems," 1998 edition;
- f. Hours of operation for all uses other than those specified in sections 'a' through 'd' above; and
- g. For in-use emergency diesel-fueled engines, the fuel used. The owner or operator shall document fuel use through the retention of fuel purchase records that account for all fuel used in the engine and all fuel purchased for use in the engine, and, at a minimum, contain the following information for each individual fuel purchase transaction:
 - I. Identification of the fuel purchased as either CARB Diesel, or an alternative diesel fuel that meets the requirements of the Verification Procedure, or an alternative fuel, or CARB Diesel fuel used with additives that meet the requirements of the Verification Procedure, or any combination of the above;
 - II. Amount of fuel purchased;
 - III. Date when the fuel was purchased;
 - IV. Signature of owner or operator or representative of owner or operator who received the fuel; and
 - V. Signature of fuel provider indicating fuel was delivered.

The proposed new emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump is exempt from the operating hours limitation provided the engine is only operated the amount of hours necessary to satisfy National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) regulations. Therefore, the following conditions (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3489} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency

operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, and the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.). For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

- {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

PM Emissions and Hours of Operation Requirements for New Diesel Engines:

This regulation stipulates that as of January 1, 2005, no person shall operate any new stationary emergency diesel-fueled CI engine that has a rated brake horsepower greater than 50, unless it meets all of the following applicable emission standards and operating requirements.

1. Emits diesel PM at a rate greater than 0.01 g/bhp-hr or less than or equal to 0.15 g/bhp-hr; or
2. Meets the current model year diesel PM standard specified in the Off-Road Compression Ignition Engine Standards for off-road engines with the same maximum rated power (Title 13 CCR, Section 2423), whichever is more stringent; and
3. Does not operate more than 50 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes. Engine operation is not limited during emergency use and during emissions source testing to show compliance with the ATCM.

The proposed emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump is exempt from the operating hours limitation provided the engine is only operated the amount of hours necessary to satisfy National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) regulations. Therefore, the following conditions (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {edited 3486} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.10 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {3816} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. For testing purposes, the engine shall only be operated the number of hours necessary to comply with the testing requirements of the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 25 - "Standard for the Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems", 1998 edition. Total hours of operation

for all maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001.

The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities.
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced.
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible.
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

Consistent with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines requirements, the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (District) has adopted procedures and guidelines for implementing CEQA. The District's Environmental Review Guidelines (ERG) establishes procedures for avoiding unnecessary delay during the District's permitting process while ensuring that significant environmental impacts are thoroughly and consistently addressed. The ERG includes policies and procedures to be followed when processing permits for projects that are exempt under CEQA.

The State Legislature granted a number of exemptions from CEQA, including projects that require only ministerial approval. Based upon analysis of its own laws and consideration of CEQA provisions, the District has identified a limited number of District permitting activities considered to be ministerial approvals. As set forth in §4.2.1 of the ERG, projects permitted consistent with the District's *Guidelines for Expedited Application Review* (GEAR) are standard application reviews in which little or no discretion is used in issuing Authority to Construct (ATC) documents.

For the proposed project, the District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) and determined that the project qualifies for processing under the procedures set forth in the District's Permit Services Procedures Manual in the Guidelines for Expedited Application Review (GEAR). Thus, as discussed above, this

issuance of such ATC(s) is a ministerial approval for the District and is not subject to CEQA provisions.

IX. Recommendation

Pending a successful NSR Public Noticing period and EPA 45-day COC comment period, issue Authority to Construct S-2234-241-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft Authority to Construct in Appendix D.

X. Billing Information

Billing Schedule			
Permit Number	Fee Schedule	Fee Description	Fee Amount
S-2234-140-0	3020-10-D	700 bhp IC engine	\$479.00

Appendix A BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

San Joaquin Valley
Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 3.1.4*

Last Update: 6/30/2001

Emergency Diesel I.C. Engine Driving a Fire Pump

Pollutant	Achieved In Practice or contained in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
CO		Oxidation Catalyst	
NOx	Certified NOx emissions of 6.9 g/bhp-hr or less		
PM10	0.1 grams/bhp-hr (if TBACT is triggered) (corrected 7/16/01) 0.4 grams/bhp-hr (if TBACT is not triggered)		
SOx	Low-sulfur diesel fuel (500 ppmw sulfur or less) or Very Low-sulfur diesel fuel (15 ppmw sulfur or less), where available.		
VOC	Positive crankcase ventilation [unless it voids the Underwriters Laboratories (UL) certification]	Catalytic Oxidation	

1. Any engine model included in the ARB or EPA diesel engine certification lists and identified as having a PM10 emission rate of 0.149 grams/bhp-hr or less, based on ISO 8178 test procedure, shall be deemed to meet the 0.1 grams/bhp-hr requirement.

2. A site-specific Health Risk Analysis is used to determine if TBACT is triggered. (Clarification added 05/07/01)

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

***This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source - Permit Specific BACT Determinations on Next Page(s)**

Top Down BACT Analysis for the Emergency IC Engine(s)

Oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) are generated from the high temperature combustion of the diesel fuel. A majority of the NO_x emissions are formed from the high temperature reaction of nitrogen and oxygen in the inlet air. The rest of the NO_x emissions are formed from the reaction of fuel-bound nitrogen with oxygen in the inlet air.

1. BACT Analysis for NO_x Emissions:

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.4, identifies achieved in practice BACT for NO_x emissions from emergency diesel IC engines powering a firewater pump as follows:

- 1) Certified emissions of 6.9 g-NO_x/bhp-hr or less

No technologically feasible alternatives or control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

No ranking needs to be done because the applicant has proposed the achieved in practice option.

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control achieved in practice in the ranking list from Step 3. Therefore, per SJVUAPCD BACT policy, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for NO_x emissions from this emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump is having certified emissions of 6.9 g-NO_x/bhp-hr or less. The applicant has proposed to install a 700 bhp emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump with certified emissions of 6.9 g-NO_x/bhp-hr or less; therefore BACT for NO_x emissions is satisfied.

3. BACT Analysis for PM₁₀ Emissions:

Particulate matter (PM₁₀) emissions occur from the reaction of various elements in the diesel fuel including fuel sulfur.

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.4, identifies achieved in practice BACT for PM₁₀ emissions from emergency diesel IC engines powering a firewater pump as follows:

- 1) Certified emissions of (0.4 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr since T-BACT is not triggered for this project) or (certified emissions of 0.1 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr since T-BACT is triggered for this project) or less

No technologically feasible alternatives or control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

No ranking needs to be done because the applicant has proposed the achieved in practice option.

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control achieved in practice in the ranking list from Step 3. Therefore, per SJVUAPCD BACT policy, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for PM₁₀ emissions from this emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump is having certified emissions of 0.4 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr or less. The applicant has proposed to install a 700 bhp emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump with certified emissions of 0.4 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr or less; therefore BACT for PM₁₀ emissions is satisfied.

BACT Analysis for CO Emissions:

4. BACT Analysis for CO Emissions:

Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions are generated from the incomplete oxidation of carbon.

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.4, identifies no control technology as achieved in practice BACT for CO emissions from emergency diesel IC engines powering a firewater pump.

In addition, the SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.4, identifies technologically feasible BACT for CO emissions from emergency diesel IC engines powering a firewater pump as follows:

- 1) An oxidation catalyst

No control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

- 1) An oxidation catalyst

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

A cost effective analysis must be performed for all control options in the list from Step 3 in the order of their ranking to determine the cost effective option with the lowest emissions.

However, this engine has been UL Certified, and the UL certification does not include an oxidation catalyst, and the addition of an oxidation catalyst would void the UL certification, which is required for firewater pump engines. Therefore, the oxidation catalyst option will not be required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

There is no control technology that is cost effective for BACT for CO emissions from this emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump. The applicant has proposed to install a 700 bhp emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump with no control technology for CO emissions; therefore BACT for CO emissions is satisfied.

BACT Analysis for VOC Emissions:

5. BACT Analysis for VOC Emissions:

Volatile organic compounds (VOC) are emitted from the crankcase of the engine as a result of piston ring blow-by.

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.4, identifies achieved in practice BACT for VOC emissions from emergency diesel IC engines powering a firewater pump as follows:

- 1) Positive crankcase ventilation (unless it voids the Underwriters Laboratories (UL) certification)

In addition, the SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.4, identifies technologically feasible BACT for VOC emissions from emergency diesel IC engines powering a firewater pump as follows:

- 1) Catalytic oxidation

No control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

- 1) Catalytic oxidation
- 2) Positive crankcase ventilation (unless it voids the Underwriters Laboratories (UL) certification)

d. Step 4 - Cost effectiveness analysis

A cost effective analysis must be performed for all control options in the list from Step 3 in the order of their ranking to determine the cost effective option with the lowest emissions.

However, this engine has been UL Certified, and the UL certification does not include a catalytic oxidation system or a positive crankcase ventilation system, and the addition of a catalytic oxidation system or a positive crankcase ventilation system would void the UL certification, which is required for firewater pump engines. Therefore, both the catalytic

oxidation system and the positive crankcase ventilation system options will not be required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for VOC emissions from this emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump is having no control technology for VOC emissions. The applicant has proposed to install a 700 bhp emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump with no control technology for VOC emissions; therefore BACT for VOC emissions is satisfied.

Appendix B HRA Summary and AAQA

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Risk Management Review

To: David Torii – Permit Services
 From: Cheryl Lawler – Technical Services
 Date: February 8, 2011
 Facility Name: Occidental of Elk Hills
 Location: Intersection of Elk Hills Road & Skyline Road, Tupman
 Application #(s): S-2234-241-0
 Project #: S-1110265

A. RMR SUMMARY

RMR Summary			
Categories	Emergency Diesel ICE (Unit 241-0)	Project Totals	Facility Totals
Prioritization Score	N/A ¹	>1	>1
Acute Hazard Index	N/A ²	N/A	0.14
Chronic Hazard Index	N/A ²	N/A	0.04
Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	1.78E-08	1.78E-08	3.26E-06
T-BACT Required?	No		
Special Permit Conditions?	Yes		

1. Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in prioritization scores greater than 1.0.
2. Acute and Chronic Hazard Indices were not calculated since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for these types of units.

Proposed Permit Conditions

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following permit conditions must be included for:

Unit # 241-0

1. Modified {1901} The PM10 emissions rate shall not exceed 0.10 g/hp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rule 2201]
 2. {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
- N

3. Modified {1344} The engine shall be operated only for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed **100** hours per year. [District NSR Rule and District Rule 4701] N

B. RMR REPORT

I. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on February 8, 2011, to perform a Risk Management Review (RMR) and Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) for a 700 bhp emergency diesel IC engine driving a fire water pump.

II. Analysis

Technical Services performed a screening level health risk assessment using the District's Diesel Exhaust Risk Screening spreadsheet.

The following parameters were used for the review:

Analysis Parameters						
Unit #	bhp-hr	PM ₁₀ g/hp-hr	Receptor (m)	Quad	Hours/Year	Load%
241-0	700	0.10	8047	2	100	100
Location Type			Rural	Receptor Type		Business

Technical Services also performed modeling for criteria pollutants CO, NO_x, SO_x, and PM₁₀; as well as the RMR. Emission rates used for criteria pollutant modeling for each engine were 1.17 lb/hr CO, 4.97 lb/hr NO_x, 0.01 lb/hr SO_x, and 0.15 lb/hr PM₁₀.

The results from the Criteria Pollutant Modeling are as follows:

Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results*

Values are in µg/m³

Diesel ICES	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours	24 Hours	Annual
CO	Pass	X	Pass	X	X
NO _x	Pass	X	X	X	Pass
SO _x	Pass	Pass	X	Pass	Pass
PM ₁₀	X	X	X	Pass	Pass

*Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheets.

¹The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

²The project was compared to the 1-hour NO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard that became effective on April 12, 2010, using the District's approved procedures.

III. Conclusions

The criteria modeling runs indicate the emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or significantly contribute to a violation of a State or National AAQS.

The cancer risk associated with the operation of the proposed emergency diesel IC engine is **1.78E-08**, which is less than the 1 in a million threshold. In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the engine is approved **without** Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit conditions listed on Page 1 of this report must be included for the proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

Appendix C QNEC Calculations

Permit #: S-2234-241-0	Last Updated
Facility: OCCIDENTAL OF ELK HILLS INC	05/31/2011 TORID

Equipment Pre-Baselined: NO

	<u>NOX</u>	<u>SOX</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>VOC</u>
Potential to Emit (lb/Yr):	432.0	1.0	4.0	401.0	31.0
Daily Emis. Limit (lb/Day)	103.7	0.2	15.0	96.3	7.4
Quarterly Net Emissions Change (lb/Qtr)					
Q1:	108.0	0.0	4.0	100.0	8.0
Q2:	108.0	0.0	4.0	100.0	8.0
Q3:	108.0	0.0	4.0	100.0	8.0
Q4:	108.0	0.0	4.0	100.0	8.0
Check if offsets are triggered but exemption applies	N	N	N	N	N
Offset Ratio					
Quarterly Offset Amounts (lb/Qtr)					
Q1:					
Q2:					
Q3:					
Q4:					

Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District's PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

QNEC = PE2 - BE, where:

- QNEC = Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.
- PE2 = Post Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.
- BE = Baseline Emissions (per Rule 2201) for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.

Using the values in Sections VII.C.2 and VII.C.6 in the evaluation above, PE2_{quarterly} and BE_{quarterly} can be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 BE_{\text{quarterly}} &= BE_{\text{annual}} \div 4 \text{ quarters/year} \\
 &= 0 \text{ lb/year} \div 4 \text{ qtr/year} \\
 &= 0 \text{ lb/qtr (for all criteria pollutants)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Quarterly Post Project Emissions		
Pollutant	PE2 Total (lb/yr)	Quarterly PE2 (lb/qtr)
NO _x	432	108
SO _x	1	0
PM ₁₀	15	4
CO	401	100
VOC	31	8

Appendix D
Draft ATC

San Joaquin Valley
Air Pollution Control District

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

ISSUANCE DATE: DRAFT

PERMIT NO: S-2234-241-0

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: OCCIDENTAL OF ELK HILLS INC
MAILING ADDRESS: ATTN: ELKPOWER
PO BOX 1748
ADDISON, TX 75001-1748

LOCATION: GAS PLANT
SECTION SE-35, T-30S, R-23E
TUPMAN, CA

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:
700 HP (INTERMITTENT) CATERPILLAR MODEL C-18 TIER 3 CERTIFIED DIESEL IC ENGINE POWERING A
FIREWATER PUMP

CONDITIONS

1. {1830} This Authority to Construct serves as a written certificate of conformity with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR 70.7 and 70.8 and with the compliance requirements of 40 CFR 70.6(c). [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
2. {1831} Prior to operating with modifications authorized by this Authority to Construct, the facility shall submit an application to modify the Title V permit with an administrative amendment in accordance with District Rule 2520 Section 5.3.4. [District Rule 2520, 5.3.4] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
3. {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
4. {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
5. {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
6. {3395} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801 and 17 CCR 93115]
7. {3404} This engine shall be equipped with an operational non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative. [District Rule 4702]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU **MUST** NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (661) 392-5500 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director, APCO

DAVID WARNER, Director of Permit Services

8-2234-241-0 : Jun 10 2011 4:27PM - TORID : Joint Inspection NOT Required

Southern Regional Office • 34946 Flyover Court • Bakersfield, CA 93308 • (661) 392-5500 • Fax (661) 392-5585

8. {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702]
9. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 2.8 g-NO_x/bhp-hr, 2.6 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.2 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rules 2201 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]
10. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.10 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]
11. {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
12. {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
13. {3489} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, and the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.). For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
14. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. For testing purposes, the engine shall only be operated the number of hours necessary to comply with the testing requirements of the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 25 - "Standard for the Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems", 1998 edition. Total hours of operation for all maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 4102, 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

DRAFT