



MAR 29 2012

Mr. Kenneth Bork
Plains Exploration & Production Company
1200 Discovery Drive, Suite 500
Bakersfield, CA 93309

**Re: Proposed ATC / Certificate of Conformity (Significant Mod)
District Facility # S-1372
Project # 1114818**

Dear Mr. Bork:

Enclosed for your review is the District's analysis of an application for Authority to Construct for the facility identified above. The applicant is requesting that a Certificate of Conformity with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR Part 70 be issued with this project. Plains Exploration & Production Company is requesting an Authority to Construct (ATC) for the installation of a 85 MMBtu/hr natural gas-fired steam generator.

After addressing any EPA comments made during the 45-day comment period, the Authority to Construct will be issued to the facility with a Certificate of Conformity. Prior to operating with modifications authorized by the Authority to Construct, the facility must submit an application to modify the Title V permit as an administrative amendment, in accordance with District Rule 2520, Section 11.5.

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Leonard Scandura, Permit Services Manager, at (661) 392-5500.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

David Warner
Director of Permit Services

DW: RE/cm

Enclosures

Seyed Sadredin
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4800 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95356-8718
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

Central Region (Main Office)
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

Southern Region
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725
Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585



San Joaquin Valley
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT



MAR 29 2012

Gerardo C. Rios, Chief
Permits Office
Air Division
U.S. EPA - Region IX
75 Hawthorne St.
San Francisco, CA 94105

**Re: Proposed ATC / Certificate of Conformity (Significant Mod)
District Facility # S-1372
Project # 1114818**

Dear Mr. Rios:

Enclosed for your review is the District's engineering evaluation of an application for Authority to Construct for Plains Exploration & Production Company at the Hopkins Lease in the South Belridge Field, within the SE/4 of Section 10, Township 29S, Range 21E, which has been issued a Title V permit. Plains Exploration & Production Company is requesting that a Certificate of Conformity, with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR Part 70, be issued with this project. Plains Exploration & Production Company is requesting an Authority to Construct (ATC) for the installation of a 85 MMBtu/hr natural gas-fired steam generator.

Enclosed is the engineering evaluation of this application with a copy of the current Title V permit and proposed Authority to Construct # S-1372-411-0 with Certificate of Conformity. After demonstrating compliance with the Authority to Construct, the conditions will be incorporated into the facility's Title V permit through an administrative amendment.

Please submit your written comments on this project within the 45-day comment period that begins on the date you receive this letter. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Leonard Scandura, Permit Services Manager, at (661) 392-5500.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

David Warner
Director of Permit Services

DW: RE/cm

Enclosures

Seyed Sadredin
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4800 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95356-8718
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

Central Region (Main Office)
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

Southern Region
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725
Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585



MAR 29 2012

Mike Tollstrup, Chief
Project Assessment Branch
Air Resources Board
P O Box 2815
Sacramento, CA 95812-2815

**Re: Proposed ATC / Certificate of Conformity (Significant Mod)
District Facility # S-1372
Project # 1114818**

Dear Mr. Tollstrup:

Enclosed for your review is the District's analysis of an application for Authority to Construct for the facility identified above. The applicant is requesting that a Certificate of Conformity with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR Part 70 be issued with this project. Plains Exploration & Production Company is requesting an Authority to Construct (ATC) for the installation of a 85 MMBtu/hr natural gas-fired steam generator.

Enclosed is the engineering evaluation of this application with a copy of the current Title V permit and proposed Authority to Construct # S-1372-411-0 with Certificate of Conformity. After demonstrating compliance with the Authority to Construct, the conditions will be incorporated into the facility's Title V permit through an administrative amendment.

Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day comment period that begins on the date you receive this letter. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Leonard Scandura, Permit Services Manager, at (661) 392-5500.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,



David Warner
Director of Permit Services

DW: RE/cm

Enclosures

Seyed Sadredin
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4800 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95356-8718
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

Central Region (Main Office)
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061
www.valleyair.org www.healthyairliving.com

Southern Region
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725
Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585

**NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION
FOR THE ISSUANCE OF AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND
THE PROPOSED SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATION OF FEDERALLY
MANDATED OPERATING PERMIT**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District solicits public comment on the proposed significant modification of Plains Exploration & Production Company for its heavy oil production facility at the Hopkins Lease in the South Belridge Field, within the SE/4 of Section 10, Township 29S, Range 21E, California. Plains Exploration & Production Company is requesting an Authority to Construct (ATC) for the installation of a 85 MMBtu/hr natural gas-fired steam generator.

The District's analysis of the legal and factual basis for this proposed action, project #1114818, is available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public_notices_idx.htm and the District office at the address below. There are emission increases associated with this proposed action. This will be the public's only opportunity to comment on the specific conditions of the modification. If requested by the public, the District will hold a public hearing regarding issuance of this modification. For additional information, please contact Mr. Leonard Scandura, Permit Services Manager, at (661) 392-5500. Written comments on the proposed initial permit must be submitted within 30 days of the publication date of this notice to DAVID WARNER, DIRECTOR OF PERMIT SERVICES, SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, 34946 FLYOVER COURT, BAKERSFIELD, CA 93308.

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Authority to Construct Application Review
New Steam Generator

Facility Name: Plains Exploration & Production Company
Mailing Address: 1200 Discovery Drive, Suite 500
Bakersfield, CA 93309
Contact Person: Kenneth Bork
Telephone: (661) 395-5458
Fax: (661) 395-5298
E-Mail: kbork@pxp.com
Application #(s): S-1372-411-0
Project #: 1114818
Deemed Complete: 12/21/11

Engineer: David Torii
Lead Engineer: Rich Karrs

I. Proposal

Plains Exploration & Production Company is requesting an Authority to Construct (ATC) for the installation of a 85 MMBtu/hr natural gas-fired steam generator. The proposed steam generator will be equipped with a North American G-LE low-NOx burner and flue gas recirculation (FGR), capable of achieving NOx emissions of 7 ppmvd @ 3% O₂.

PXP received their Title V Permit on 6/30/02. This modification can be classified as a Title V significant modification pursuant to Rule 2520 and can be processed with a Certificate of Conformity (COC). Since the facility has specifically requested that this project be processed in that manner, the 45-day EPA comment period will be satisfied prior to the issuance of the Authority to Construct. PXP must apply to administratively amend their Title V permit.

II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2201	New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (4/21/11)
Rule 2520	Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/01)
Rule 4001	New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)
Rule 4002	National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (5/20/04)
Rule 4101	Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
Rule 4102	Nuisance (12/17/92)
Rule 4201	Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)
Rule 4301	Fuel Burning Equipment (12/17/92)
Rule 4305	Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase II (8/21/03)
Rule 4306	Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase III (3/17/05)
Rule 4320	Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr (10/16/08)
Rule 4801	Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)

CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment
CH&SC 42301.6 School Notice
Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387: CEQA
Guidelines

III. Project Location

The equipment will be located at the Hopkins Lease in the South Belridge Field, within the SE/4 of Section 10, Township 29S, Range 21E in PXP's Heavy Oil Western stationary source. The equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

IV. Process Description

The proposed steam generator will be used to provide steam for injection into heavy crude oil production zones. The heat added by the steam will reduce the viscosity of the crude oil facilitating production.

V. Equipment Listing

Proposed ATC

85 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS-FIRED STEAM GENERATOR WITH NORTH AMERICAN MODEL MAGNA FLAME G-LE ULTRA LOW NOX BURNER AND FLUE GAS RECIRCULATION (GENERATOR #68)

Post Project Equipment Description:

85 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS-FIRED STEAM GENERATOR WITH NORTH AMERICAN MODEL MAGNA FLAME G-LE ULTRA LOW NOX BURNER AND FLUE GAS RECIRCULATION (GENERATOR #68)

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

Emissions from natural gas-fired steam generators include NO_x, CO, VOC, PM₁₀, and SO_x.

NO_x is the major pollutant of concern when burning natural gas. NO_x formation is either due to thermal fixation of atmospheric nitrogen in the combustion air (thermal NO_x) or due to conversion of chemically bound nitrogen in the fuel (fuel NO_x). Due to the low fuel nitrogen content of natural gas, nearly all NO_x emissions are thermal NO_x. Formation of thermal NO_x is affected by four furnace zone factors: (1) nitrogen concentration, (2) oxygen concentration, (3) peak temperature, and (4) time of exposure at peak temperature.

Low-NO_x burners reduce NO_x formation by producing lower flame temperatures (and longer flames) than conventional burners. Low-NO_x burners delay the mixing of fuel and air by introducing the fuel (or sometimes air) in multiple stages. In the first stage, the air-fuel mixture

is fuel-rich in which the oxygen is consumed in reactions with the fuel, thereby limiting excess oxygen available to react with nitrogen to produce thermal NO_x.

The combustion zones in the secondary and tertiary stages are maintained in a fuel-lean environment. The excess air in these stages helps to reduce the flame temperature, which in turn minimizes the reaction between excess oxygen and nitrogen. The North American burner incorporates patented internal mixing elements that premix the fuel and air prior to combustion in the reaction zone. By completing a majority of the combustion in the burner reaction chamber, the low emissions of the burner are protected from process influences

Flue gas recirculation (FGR) reduces NO_x emissions by recirculating a percentage of the exhaust gas back into the windbox. This reduces the oxygen concentration in the air-fuel mixture and regulates the combustion process, lowering the combustion temperature. The lowered availability of oxygen in conjunction with lowered combustion temperature reduces the formation of NO_x.

VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

- The maximum operating schedule is 24 hours per day, 8,760 hr/year (365 days)
- Maximum heat input rating = 85 MMBtu/hr
- Units are fired on PUC quality natural gas with < 1.0 grain-S/100 dscf
- F-Factor for Natural Gas @ 60°F: 8,578 dscf/MMBtu
- Gas Molar Vol 60 °F = $10.7316 \text{ psia ft}^3/\text{lbmol R} \times 519.67 \text{ R}/(14.696 \text{ psia/atm})$
= 378.61 ft³/lbmol
- Natural Gas Heating Value: 1,000 Btu/scf
- Startup and shut down of the units occur infrequently and do not affect annual emissions.
- The DEL for NO_x is based on a worst case day with one startup and one shutdown (total transitional time = 4 hrs).

B. Emission Factors

PXP
1114818, S-1372

Emission Factors			
Pollutant	Emission Factors		Source
NO _x	0.00852 lb-NO _x /MMBtu ⁽¹⁾	7 ppmv NO _x (@ 3%O ₂)	Applicant, Rule 4320
SO _x	0.00285 lb-SO _x /MMBtu ⁽²⁾	1.0 grain-S/100 scf	APR 1720
PM ₁₀	0.0076 lb-PM ₁₀ /MMBtu		AP-42 Table 1.4-2
CO	0.032 lb-CO/MMBtu ⁽³⁾	50 ppmv CO (@ 3%O ₂)	BACT
VOC	0.0055		AP-42 Table 1.4-2

⁽¹⁾ 0.00852 lb-NO_x/mmbtu=(7 ppmvd/10⁶)(8578 dscf/mmbtu)(lb-mole/378.61 dscf)(46 lb-NO_x/lb-mole)(20.9/20.9-3]

⁽²⁾ 0.00286 lb-SO_x/mmbtu = (0.01 gr-S/scf)(lb/7000 gr)(scf/1000 btu)(2 lb-SO₂/lb-S)(10⁶)

⁽³⁾ 0.032 lb-CO/mmbtu = (50 ppmvd/10⁶)(8,578 dscf/MMBtu)(lb-mol/378.61 ft³)(28 lb/lb-mol)[20.9/(20.9-3)]

Startup/Shutdown (2 hr per occurrence)

Emission Factors			
Pollutant	Emission Factors		Source
NO _x	0.018 lb-NO _x /MMBtu ⁽¹⁾	15 ppmv NO _x (@ 3%O ₂)	Rule 4306 emission limit

⁽¹⁾ 0.018 lb-NO_x/mmbtu = (15 ppmvd/10⁶)(8,578 dscf/MMBtu)(lb-mol/378.61 ft³)(46 lb/lb-mol)[20.9/(20.9-3)]

C. Calculations

1. Pre-Project Potential to Emit (PE1)

Since this is a new emissions unit, PE1 = 0 for all pollutants.

2. Post Project Potential to Emit (PE2)

Pollutant	Daily PE2			
	EF2 (lb/MMBtu)	Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)	Operating Schedule (hr/day)	PE2 (lb/day)
NO _x	0.00852 and 0.018	85	24	20.6*
SO _x	0.00285	85	24	5.8
PM ₁₀	0.0076	85	24	15.5
CO	0.032	85	24	65.3
VOC	0.0055	85	24	11.2

*Daily NO_x PE with Startups/Shutdowns

$$\text{NO}_x = (0.00852 \text{ lb/MMBtu})(85 \text{ mmbtu/hr})(20 \text{ hrs/day}) + (0.018 \text{ lb/mmbtu})(85 \text{ mmbtu/hr})(4 \text{ hrs/day})$$

$$= 20.6 \text{ lb-NO}_x/\text{day}$$

Pollutant	Annual PE2 (per Unit)			
	EF2 (lb/MMBtu)	Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)	Operating Schedule (hr/yr)	PE2 (lb/yr)
NOx	0.00852	85	8,760	6,344
SOx	0.00285	85	8,760	2,122
PM10	0.0076	85	8,760	4,468
CO	0.032	85	8,760	23,827
VOC	0.0055	85	8,760	4095

3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to Section 4.9 of District Rule 2201, the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid Authorities to Construct (ATC) or Permits to Operate (PTO) at the Stationary Source and the quantity of emission reduction credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Facility emissions are already above the Offset and Major Source Thresholds for all pollutants; therefore, SSPE1 calculations are not necessary.

4. Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to Section 4.10 of District Rule 2201, the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid Authorities to Construct (ATC) or Permits to Operate (PTO) at the Stationary Source and the quantity of emission reduction credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Facility emissions are already above the Offset and Major Source Thresholds for all pollutants; therefore, SSPE2 calculations are not necessary.

5. Major Source Determination

Pursuant to Section 3.23 of District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with post-project emissions or a Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2), equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. However, Section 3.23.2 states, "for the purposes of determining major source status, the SSPE2 shall not include the quantity of emission reduction credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site."

This source is an existing Major Source for all pollutants and will remain so. No change in other pollutants are proposed or expected as a result of this project.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

The BE calculation (in lbs/year) is performed pollutant-by-pollutant for each unit within the project, to calculate the QNEC and if applicable, to determine the amount of offsets required.

Pursuant to Section 3.7 of District Rule 2201, BE = Pre-project Potential to Emit for:

- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise,

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to Section 3.22 of District Rule 2201.

Since this is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all pollutants.

7. SB 288 Major Modification

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

Since this facility is a major source for NO_x, SO_x, PM₁₀ and VOC, the project's PE2 is compared to the SB 288 Major Modification Thresholds in the following table in order to determine if the SB 288 Major Modification calculation is required.

SB 288 Major Modification Thresholds			
Pollutant	Project PE2 (lb/year)	Threshold (lb/year)	SB 288 Major Modification Calculation Required?
NO _x	6,344	50,000	No
SO _x	2,122	80,000	No
PM ₁₀	4,468	30,000	No
VOC	4095	50,000	No

Since none of the SB 288 Major Modification Thresholds are surpassed with this project, this project does not constitute a SB288 Major Modification.

8. Federal Major Modification

District Rule 2201, Section 3.17 states that Federal Major Modifications are the same as "Major Modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA.

The determination of Federal Major Modification is based on a two-step test. For the first step, only the emission *increases* are counted. Emission decreases may not cancel out the increases for this determination.

Step 1

For new emissions units, the increase in emissions is equal to the PE2 for each new unit included in this project.

The project's combined total emission increases are compared to the Federal Major Modification Thresholds in the following table.

Federal Major Modification Thresholds for Emission Increases			
Pollutant	Total Emissions Increases (lb/yr)	Thresholds (lb/yr)	Federal Major Modification?
NO _x *	6,344	0	Yes
VOC*	4095	0	Yes
PM ₁₀	4,468	30,000	Step 2 Required/No
PM _{2.5}	4,468	20,000	Step 2 Required/No
SO _x	2234	80,000	Step 2 Required/No

*If there is any emission increases in NO_x or VOC, this project is a Federal Major Modification and no further analysis is required.

Since there is an increase in NO_x and VOC emissions, this project constitutes a Federal Major Modification, and no further analysis is required.

9. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District's PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix A.

VIII. Compliance

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis. Unless exempted pursuant to Section 4.2, BACT shall be required for the following actions:*

- a. Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- b. The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- c. Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIFE exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
- d. Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in an SB288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, as defined by the rule.

*Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

a. New emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day

As seen in Section VII.C.2 of this evaluation, the applicant is proposing to install a new steam generator with a PE greater than 2 lb/day for NO_x, SO_x, PM₁₀, CO, and VOC. BACT is triggered for SO_x, SO_x, PM₁₀, CO, and VOC since the PEs are greater than 2 lbs/day.

2. BACT Guideline

BACT Guideline 1.2.1 (Steam Generator ≥ 5 MMBtu/hr, Oilfield) has been rescinded. Therefore, a project specific BACT analysis will be performed to determine BACT for this project (see Appendix B).

3. Top-Down BACT Analysis

Per Permit Services Policies and Procedures for BACT, a Top-Down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the application review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District's NSR Rule.

Pursuant to the attached Top-Down BACT Analysis (see Appendix B), BACT has been satisfied with the following:

NO_x: 7 ppmv @ 3% O₂ and 9 ppmv when waste gas fired
SO_x: Natural gas,
PM₁₀: Natural gas,
CO: 50 ppmvd @ 3% O₂
VOC: Gaseous fuel

B. Offsets

1. Offset Applicability

Pursuant to Section 4.5.3, offset requirements shall be triggered on a pollutant by pollutant basis and shall be required if the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) equals to or exceeds the offset threshold levels in Table 4-1 of Rule 2201.

The following table compares the post-project facility-wide annual emissions in order to determine if offsets will be required for this project.

Offset Determination (lb/year)					
	NO _x	SO _x	PM ₁₀	CO	VOC
Post Project SSPE (SSPE2)	>20,000	>54,750	>29,200	>200,000	>20,000
Offset Threshold	20,000	54,750	29,200	200,000	20,000
Offsets triggered?	yes	yes	yes	no*	yes

Pursuant to section 4.6.1 of Rule 2201, "Emission offsets shall not be required for increases in carbon monoxide in attainment areas if the applicant demonstrates to the satisfaction of the APCO, that the Ambient Air Quality Standards are not violated in the areas to be affected, and such emissions will be consistent with Reasonable Further Progress, and will not cause or contribute to a violation of Ambient Air Quality Standards"

As shown in this project's AAQA (Appendix C) the Ambient Air Quality Standards are not violated in the areas to be affected, and such emissions will be consistent with Reasonable Further Progress, and will not cause or contribute to a violation of Ambient Air Quality Standards; therefore CO offsets are not required.

2. Quantity of Offsets Required

NO_x:

PE2 (NO_x) = 6344 lb/year
BE (NO_x) = 0 lb/year
ICCE = 0 lb/year

The project is a Federal Major Modification and therefore the correct offset ratio for NO_x and VOCs is 1.5:1.

Assuming an offset ratio of 1.5:1, the amount of NO_x ERCs that need to be withdrawn is:

Offsets Required (lb/year) = $([6344 - 0] + 0) \times 1.5$
= 9516 lb NO_x/year

Calculating the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset is as follows:

<u>1st Quarter</u>	<u>2nd Quarter</u>	<u>3rd Quarter</u>	<u>4th Quarter</u>
2379	2379	2379	2379

The applicant has stated that the facility plans to use ERC certificate N-866-2

to offset the increase in NO_x emissions associated with this project. The above certificate has available quarterly NO_x credits as follows:

ERC	quarter			
	1st	2 nd	3 rd	4th
N-866-2	72,110	72,110	72,110	72,110

As seen above, the facility has sufficient credits to fully offset the quarterly NO_x emissions increases associated with this project.

Proposed Rule 2201 (offset) Conditions:

- {GC# 4447 - edited} Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender NO_x emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter – 2379 lb, 2nd quarter - 2379 lb, 3rd quarter - 2379 lb, and fourth quarter - 2379 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201]
- ERC Certificate Numbers N-866-2 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]

VOC:

PE2 (VOC) = 4095 lb/year
 BE (VOC) = 0 lb/year
 ICCE = 0 lb/year

The project is a Federal Major Modification and therefore the correct offset ratio for NO_x and VOCs is 1.5:1.

Assuming an offset ratio of 1.5:1, the amount of VOC ERCs that need to be withdrawn is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Offsets Required (lb/year)} &= ([4095 - 0] + 0) \times 1.5 \\ &= 6143 \text{ lb VOC/year} \end{aligned}$$

Calculating the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset is as follows:

<u>1st Quarter</u>	<u>2nd Quarter</u>	<u>3rd Quarter</u>	<u>4th Quarter</u>
1536	1536	1536	1536

The applicant has stated that the facility plans to use ERC certificates N-924-1 to offset the increases in VOC emissions associated with this project. The above certificate has available quarterly VOC credits as follows:

ERC	Quarter			
	1st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th
N-924-1	9,209	7,906	10,133	9,116

As seen above, the facility has sufficient credits to fully offset the quarterly VOC emissions increases associated with this project.

Proposed Rule 2201 (offset) Conditions:

- {GC# 4447 - edited} Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender VOC emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 1536 lb, 2nd quarter - 1536 lb, 3rd quarter - 1536 lb, and fourth quarter - 1536 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERCs specified below. [District Rule 2201]
- ERC Certificate Number N-924-1 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]

SOx :

PE2 (SOx) = 2122 lb/year
 BE (SOX) = 0 lb/year
 ICCE = 0 lb/year

Assuming an offset ratio of 1.5:1, the amount of SOx ERCs that need to be withdrawn is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Offsets Required (lb/year)} &= ([2122 - 0] + 0) \times 1.5 \\ &= 3183 \text{ lb SOx/year} \end{aligned}$$

Calculating the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset is as follows:

Calculating the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset is as follows:

<u>1st Quarter</u>	<u>2nd Quarter</u>	<u>3rd Quarter</u>	<u>4th Quarter</u>
796	796	796	796

The applicant has stated that the facility plans to use ERC certificate N-1006-5 to offset the increases in SO_x emissions associated with this project. The above certificates have available quarterly SO_x credits as follows:

ERC	quarter			
	1st	2 nd	3 rd	4th
N-1006-5	78,062	77,939	27,816	27,816

As seen above, the facility has sufficient credits to fully offset the quarterly SO_x emissions increases associated with this project.

Proposed Rule 2201 (offset) Conditions:

- {GC# 4447 - edited} Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender SO_x emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 796 lb, 2nd quarter - 796 lb, 3rd quarter - 796 lb, and fourth quarter - 796 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201]
- ERC Certificate Number N-1006-5 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]

PM10:

PE2 (PM10) = 4468 lb/year
 BE (PM10) = 0 lb/year
 ICCE = 0 lb/year

Assuming an offset ratio of 1.5:1, the amount of PM10 ERCs that need to be withdrawn is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Offsets Required (lb/year)} &= ([4468 - 0] + 0) \times 1.5 \\ &= 6702 \text{ lb PM10/year} \end{aligned}$$

Calculating the appropriate quarterly emissions to be offset is as follows:

<u>1st Quarter</u>	<u>2nd Quarter</u>	<u>3rd Quarter</u>	<u>4th Quarter</u>
1676	1676	1676	1676

Pursuant to draft District policy APR 1430, SO_x ERCs may be used to offset PM10 at an interpollutant ratio of 1.0 : 1.0. The applicant has stated that the facility plans to use SO_x

ERC certificates N-1006-5 to offset the increases in PM10 emissions associated with this project. The above certificates have available quarterly SOx credits as follows:

	<u>1st Quarter</u>	<u>2nd Quarter</u>	<u>3rd Quarter</u>	<u>4th Quarter</u>
ERC #N-1006-5	78,062	77,939	27,816	27,816

As seen above, the facility has sufficient credits to fully offset the quarterly PM10 emissions increases associated with this project.

Proposed Rule 2201 (offset) Conditions:

- {GC# 4447 - edited} Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender PM10 emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 1676 lb, 2nd quarter - 1676 lb, 3rd quarter - 1676 lb, and fourth quarter - 1676 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201]
- ERC Certificate Number N-1006-5 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]

C. Public Notification

1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

- a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB288 Major Modifications,
- b. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any one pollutant,
- c. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed, and/or
- d. Any project with an SSIFE of greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant.

a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB288 Major Modifications

As demonstrated in VII.C.7, this project is a Federal Major Modification; therefore, public noticing for Federal Major Modification purposes is required.

b. PE > 100 lb/day

Applications which include a new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any pollutant will trigger public noticing requirements. As seen in Section VII.C.2 above, this project does not include a new

emissions unit which has daily emissions greater than 100 lb/day for any pollutant, therefore public noticing for PE > 100 lb/day purposes is not required.

c. Offset Threshold

The following table compares the SSPE1 with the SSPE2 in order to determine if any offset thresholds have been surpassed with this project.

Offset Threshold				
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/year)	SSPE2 (lb/year)	Offset Threshold	Public Notice Required?
NO _x	>20,000	>20,000	20,000 lb/year	No
SO _x	>54,750	>54,750	54,750 lb/year	No
PM ₁₀	>29,200	>29,200	29,200 lb/year	No
CO	>200,000	>200,000	200,000 lb/year	No
VOC	>20,000	>20,000	20,000 lb/year	No

As detailed above, there were no thresholds surpassed with this project; therefore public noticing is not required for offset purposes.

d. SSIPE > 20,000 lb/year

Public notification is required for any permitting action that results in a Stationary Source Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) of more than 20,000 lb/year of any affected pollutant. According to District policy, the SSIPE is calculated as the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) minus the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1), i.e. SSIPE = SSPE2 – SSPE1. The values for SSPE2 and SSPE1 are calculated according to Rule 2201, Sections 4.9 and 4.10, respectively. The SSIPE is compared to the SSIPE Public Notice thresholds in the following table:

Stationary Source Increase in Permitted Emissions [SSIPE] – Public Notice			
Pollutant	SSIPE (lb/year)	SSIPE Public Notice Threshold	Public Notice Required?
NO _x	6,344	20,000 lb/year	No
SO _x	2,122	20,000 lb/year	No
PM ₁₀	4,468	20,000 lb/year	No
CO	23,827	20,000 lb/year	Yes
VOC	2234	20,000 lb/year	No

As demonstrated above, the SSIPE for CO is greater than 20,000 lb/year; therefore public noticing for SSIPE purposes is required.

2. Public Notice Action

As discussed above, public noticing is required for this project. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

D. Daily Emission Limits (DELs)

Daily Emissions Limitations (DELs) and other enforceable conditions are required by Section 3.15 to restrict a unit's maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. Per Sections 3.15.1 and 3.15.2, the DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. DELs are also required to enforce the applicability of BACT.

Proposed Rule 2201 (DEL) Conditions:

- Emission rates, except during startup and shutdown shall not exceed: NO_x (as NO_x): 7 ppmvd @ 3% O₂. [District Rule 2201, 4305, 4306, and 4320] Y
- Emission rates shall not exceed any of the following: SO_x:0.00285 lb/MMBtu; PM₁₀: 0.0076 lb/MMBtu; CO: 50 ppmvd @ 3% O₂; or VOC: 0.0055 lb/MMBtu. [District Rule 2201] Y
- Emissions rate of NO_x shall not exceed 20.6 lb/day nor 6344 lb/yr. [District Rule 2201]

E. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

The unit is subject to District Rule 4305, *Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 2*, District Rule 4306, *Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters, Phase 3*, and District Rule 4320, *Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5 MMBtu/hr*. Source testing requirements will be discussed in the compliance review section of this evaluation.

2. Monitoring

As required by District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320, the unit is subject to monitoring requirements. Monitoring requirements, in accordance with District Rules will be discussed in the compliance review section of this evaluation.

3. Recordkeeping

As required by District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320, the units are subject to recordkeeping requirements. Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rules will be discussed in the compliance review of this evaluation.

The following permit condition will be listed on permit as follows:

- {2983} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306 and 4320]

4. Reporting

No reporting is required to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis

Section 4.14.1 of this Rule requires that an ambient air quality analysis (AAQA) be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The Technical Services Division of the SJVAPCD conducted the required analysis. Refer to Appendix C of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

Technical Services performed modeling for criteria pollutants CO, NO_x, SO_x and PM₁₀; as well as a RMR. The emission rates used for criteria pollutant modeling were

	NO _x	Sox	CO	PM10
Lbs/hr	0.72	0.24	7.1	0.65
Lbs/yr	6,329	2,122	62,546	5,659

The results from the Criteria Pollutant Modeling are as follows:

Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results*

Values are in µg/m³

Steam Generator	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours.	24 Hours	Annual
CO	Pass	X	Pass	X	X
NO _x	Pass	X	X	X	Pass
SO _x	Pass	Pass	X	Pass	Pass
PM ₁₀	X	X	X	Pass	Pass
PM _{2.5}	X	X	X	Pass	Pass

*Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheet.

¹The project was compared to the 1-hour NO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard that became effective on April 12, 2010 using the District's approved procedures. The criteria pollutant 1-hour value passed using TIER I NO₂ NAAQS modeling

²The project was compared to the 1-hour SO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard that became effective on August 23, 2010 using the District's approved procedures.

³The maximum predicted concentration for emissions of these criteria pollutants from the proposed unit are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

G. Compliance Certification

Section 4.15.2 of this Rule requires the owner of a new Major Source or a source undergoing a Title I Modification to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the District that all other Major Sources owned by such person and operating in California are in compliance or are on a schedule for compliance with all applicable emission limitations and standards. As discussed in Sections VIII-Rule 2201-C.1.a and VIII-Rule 2201-C.1.b, this project does constitute a Title I modification, therefore this requirement is applicable. Included in **Appendix D** is PXP's compliance certification.

H. Alternate Siting Analysis

The current project occurs at an existing facility. The applicant proposes to install a steam generator

Since the project will provide steam to be used at the same location, the existing site will result in the least possible impact from the project. Alternative sites would involve the relocation and/or construction of various support structures on a much greater scale, and would therefore result in a much greater impact.

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

This facility is subject to this Rule, and has received their Title V Operating Permit. Section 3.29 defines a significant permit modification as a "permit amendment that does not qualify as a minor permit modification or administrative amendment."

Section 3.20.2 states that a minor permit modifications are not Title I modifications (Federal Major Modifications) as defined in District Rule 2520 or modifications as defined in section 111 or 112 of the Federal Clean Air Act. This project is a Federal Major Modification; consequently, the proposed project constitutes a Significant Modification to the Title V Permit pursuant to Section 3.29.

Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Dc Small Industrial-Commercial-Industrial Steam Generators between 10 MMBtu/hr and 100 MMBtu/hr (post-6/9/89 construction, modification or, reconstruction).

The subject steam generator has a rating of 85 MMBtu/hr and is gas fired. Subpart Dc has no standards for gas-fired steam generators. Therefore the subject steam generator is not an affected facility and subpart Dc does not apply.

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions

District Rule 4101, Section 5.0, indicates that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour, which is dark or darker than Ringlemann 1 or equivalent to 20% opacity.

A permit condition will be listed on the permit as follows:

- {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

Therefore, compliance with District Rule 4101 requirements is expected.

Rule 4102 Nuisance

Section 4.0 prohibits discharge of air contaminants which could cause injury, detriment, nuisance or annoyance to the public. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, compliance with this rule is expected.

California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

District Policy APR 1905 – Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

An HRA is not required for a project with a total facility prioritization score of less than one. According to the Technical Services Memo for this project (**Appendix C**), the total facility prioritization score including this project was greater than one. Therefore, an HRA was required to determine the short-term acute and long-term chronic exposure from this project.

The cancer risk for this project is shown below:

HRA Summary		
Unit	Cancer Risk	T-BACT Required
S-1372-411-0	0.0 per million	no

Discussion of T-BACT

BACT for toxic emission control (T-BACT) is required if the cancer risk exceeds one in one million. As demonstrated above, T-BACT is not required for this project because the HRA indicates that the risk is not above the District's thresholds for triggering T-BACT requirements; therefore, compliance with the District's Risk Management Policy is expected.

Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration

Section 3.1 prohibits discharge of dust, fumes, or total particulate matter into the atmosphere from any single source operation in excess of 0.1 grain per dry standard cubic foot.

PXP
1114818, S-1372

F-Factor for NG: 8,578 dscf/MMBtu at 60 °F
 PM10 Emission Factor: 0.0076 lb-PM10/MMBtu
 Percentage of PM as PM10 in Exhaust: 100%
 Exhaust Oxygen (O₂) Concentration: 3%
 Excess Air Correction to F Factor = $\frac{20.9}{(20.9 - 3)} = 1.17$

$$GL = \left(\frac{0.0076 \text{ lb-PM}}{\text{MMBtu}} \times \frac{7,000 \text{ grain}}{\text{lb-PM}} \right) / \left(\frac{8,578 \text{ ft}^3}{\text{MMBtu}} \times 1.17 \right)$$

GL = 0.0053 grain/dscf < 0.1 grain/dscf

Therefore, compliance with District Rule 4201 requirements is expected and a permit condition will be listed on the permit as follows:

- {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

District Rule 4301 Fuel Burning Equipment

This rule specifies maximum emission rates in lb/hr for SO₂, NO₂, and combustion contaminants (defined as total PM in Rule 1020). This rule also limits combustion contaminants to ≤ 0.1 gr/scf. According to AP 42 (Table 1.4-2, footnote c), all PM emissions from natural gas combustion are less than 1 μm in diameter.

District Rule 4301 Limits			
	NO ₂	Total PM	SO ₂
S-1372-411-0	0.7	0.6	0.2
Rule Limit (lb/hr)	140	10	200

The above table indicates compliance with the maximum lb/hr emissions in this rule; therefore, continued compliance is expected.

District Rule 4304 - Equipment Tuning Procedure for Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters

Pursuant to District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320 Section 6.3.1, the steam generator is not required to tune since it follows a District approved Alternate Monitoring scheme where the applicable emission limits are periodically monitored. Therefore, the steam generators are not subject to this rule.

District Rule 4305 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 2

The units are natural gas-fired with a maximum heat input of 85 MMBtu/hr. Pursuant to Section 2.0 of District Rule 4305, the unit is subject to District Rule 4305, *Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 2*.

In addition, the unit is also subject to District Rule 4320, Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters Greater than 5.0 MMBtu/hr. Since emissions limits of District Rule 4306 and all other requirements are equivalent or more stringent than District Rule 4305 requirements, compliance with District Rule 4320 requirements will satisfy requirements of District Rule 4305.

District Rule 4306 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 3

The unit is natural gas-fired with a maximum heat input of 85 MMBtu/hr. Pursuant to Section 2.0 of District Rule 4306, the unit is subject to District Rule 4306, *Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 3*.

Since emissions limits of District Rule 4320 and all other requirements are equivalent or more stringent than District Rule 4306 requirements, compliance with District Rule 4320 requirements will satisfy requirements of District Rule 4306.

Rule 4320 Advanced Emission Reduction Options for Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters Greater Than 5.0 MMBtu/hr

This rule limits NO_x, CO, SO₂ and PM₁₀ emissions from boilers, steam generators and process heaters rated greater than 5 MMBtu/hr. This rule also provides a compliance option of payment of fees in proportion to the actual amount of NO_x emitted over the previous year.

The unit is rated at greater than 5 MMBtu/hr heat input and is subject to this rule.

Section 5.1 NO_x Emission Limits

Section 5.1 states that an operator of a unit(s) subject to this rule shall comply with all applicable requirements of the rule and one of the following, on a unit-by-unit basis:

- 5.1.1 Operate the unit to comply with the emission limits specified in Sections 5.2 and 5.4; or
- 5.1.2 Pay an annual emissions fee to the District as specified in Section 5.3 and comply with the control requirements specified in Section 5.4; or
- 5.1.3 Comply with the applicable Low-use Unit requirements of Section 5.5.

Section 5.2.1 states that on and after the indicated Compliance Deadline, units shall not be operated in a manner which exceeds the applicable NO_x limit specified in Table 1 of this rule, shown below. On and after October 1, 2008, units shall not be operated in a manner to which exceeds a carbon dioxide (CO) emissions limit of 400 ppmv.

Rule 4320 Emissions Limits			
Category	Operated on gaseous fuel		
	NO _x Limit	Authority to Construct	Compliance Deadline
2. Units with a total rated heat input >20.0 MMBtu/hr	a) Standard Schedule 7 ppmv or 0.008 lb/MMBtu; or	July 1, 2009	July 1, 2010
	b) Staged Enhanced Schedule Initial Limit 9 ppmv or 0.011 lb/MMBtu; and	July 1, 2011	July 1, 2012
	Final Limit 5 ppmv or 0.0062 lb/MMBtu	January 1, 2013	January 1, 2014

The proposed NO_x limit 7 ppmv; therefore, compliance with Section 5.2 of District Rule 4320 is expected.

A permit condition listing the emissions limit will be listed on permit as shown in the DEL section above.

Section 5.4 Particulate Matter Control Requirements

Section 5.4.1 states that to limit particulate matter emissions, an operator shall comply with one of the options listed in the rule.

Section 5.4.1.1 provides option for the operator to comply with the rule by firing the unit exclusively on PUC-quality gas, commercial propane, butane, or liquefied petroleum gas, or a combination of such gases;

Section 5.4.1.2 provides option for the operator to comply with the rule by limiting the fuel sulfur content to no more than five (5) grains of total sulfur per hundred (100) standard cubic feet.

Section 5.4.1.3 provides option for the operator to comply with the rule by installing and properly operating an emissions control system that reduces SO₂ emissions by at least 95% by weight; or limit exhaust SO₂ to less than or equal to 9 ppmv corrected to 3 % O₂.

The steam generator will be fired on natural gas. Therefore, compliance with this section of the rule is expected.

Section 5.5 Low-Use Unit

This section discusses the requirements of low-use units. PXP is not requesting low-use status; therefore, this section of the rule is not applicable to this project.

Section 5.7 Monitoring Provisions

Section 5.7.1 requires that permit units subject to District Rule 4320, Section 5.2 shall either install and maintain an operational APCO approved Continuous Emission Monitoring System (CEMS) for NO_x, CO and O₂, or implement an APCO-approved alternate monitoring.

PXP has proposed to implement Alternate Monitoring Scheme A (pursuant to District Policy SSP-1105), which requires periodic monitoring of NO_x, CO, and O₂ concentrations at least once a month using a portable analyzer. The following conditions will be placed in the permit to ensure compliance with the requirements of this alternate monitoring plan:

- {2395} The permittee shall monitor and record the stack concentration of NO_x, CO, and O₂ at least once every month (in which a source test is not performed) using a portable analyzer that meets District specifications. Monitoring shall not be required if the unit is not in operation, i.e. the unit need not be started solely to perform monitoring. Monitoring shall be performed within 5 days of restarting the unit unless monitoring has been performed within the last month. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320]
- If either the NO_x or CO concentrations corrected to 3%, as measured by the portable analyzer, exceed the allowable emissions concentration, the permittee shall return the emissions to within the acceptable range as soon as possible, but no longer than 1 hour of operation after detection. If the portable analyzer readings continue to exceed the allowable emissions concentration after 1 hour of operation after detection, the permittee shall notify the District within the following 1 hour and conduct a certified source test within 60 days of the first exceedance. In lieu of conducting a source test, the permittee may stipulate a violation has occurred, subject to enforcement action. The permittee must then correct the violation, show compliance has been re-established, and resume monitoring procedures. If the deviations are the result of a qualifying breakdown condition pursuant to Rule 1100, the permittee may fully comply with Rule 1100 in lieu of performing the notification and testing required by this condition. [District Rules 4102, 4305, 4306 and 4320]
- All NO_x, CO, and O₂ emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. The NO_x, CO, and O₂ analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute sample period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive minute period. [District Rules 4102, 4305, 4306 and 4320]
- The permittee shall maintain records of: (1) the date and time of NO_x, CO and O₂ measurements, (2) the O₂ concentration in percent by volume and the measured NO_x and CO concentrations corrected to 3% O₂, (3) make and model of exhaust gas analyzer, (4) exhaust gas analyzer calibration records, and (5) a description of any corrective action taken to maintain the emissions within the acceptable range. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

Section 5.7.6 requires monitoring SO_x emissions. The following conditions will be placed in the permit to be in compliance with this rule requirement:

- PUC quality natural gas is any gaseous fuel where the sulfur content is no more than one-fourth (0.25) grain of hydrogen sulfide per one hundred (100) standard cubic feet, no more than five (5) grains of total sulfur per one hundred (100) standard cubic feet, and at least 80% methane by volume. [District Rule 4320]
- If the steam generator is not fired on PUC-regulated natural gas and compliance is achieved through fuel sulfur content limitations, then the sulfur content of the fuel shall be determined by testing sulfur content at a location after all fuel sources are combined prior to incineration, or by performing mass balance calculations based on monitoring the sulfur content and volume of each fuel source. The sulfur content of the fuel shall be determined using the test methods referenced in this permit. [District Rule 4320]
- If the unit is fired on PUC-regulated natural gas, valid purchase contracts, supplier certifications, tariff sheets, or transportation contracts may be used to satisfy the fuel sulfur content analysis, provided they establish the fuel sulfur concentration and higher heating value. [District Rule 4320]

Section 5.8 Compliance Determination

Section 5.8.1 requires that the operator of any unit have the option of complying with either the applicable heat input (lb/MMBtu), emission limits or the concentration (ppmv) emission limits specified in Section 5.2. The emission limits selected to demonstrate compliance shall be specified in the source test proposal pursuant to Rule 1081 (Source Sampling). Therefore, the following condition will be retained or listed on the permits as follows:

- {2976} The source plan shall identify which basis (ppmv or lb/MMBtu) will be used to demonstrate compliance. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

Section 5.8.2 requires that all emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. Unless otherwise specified in the Permit to Operate, no determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0. Therefore, the following permit condition will be listed on the permits as follows:

- {2972} All emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. Unless otherwise specified in the Permit to Operate, no determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4320. For the purposes of permittee-performed alternate monitoring, emissions measurements may be performed at any time after the unit reaches conditions representative of normal operation. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

Section 5.8.4 requires that for emissions monitoring pursuant to Sections 5.7.1 and 6.3.1 using a portable NO_x analyzer as part of an APCO approved Alternate Emissions Monitoring System, emission readings shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15-consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings evenly spaced out over the 15-consecutive-minute period. Therefore, the following previously listed permit condition will be on the permits as follows:

- {2937} All alternate monitoring parameter emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the permit-to-operate. The analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive-minute period. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

Section 5.8.5 requires that for emissions source testing performed pursuant to Section 6.3.1 for the purpose of determining compliance with an applicable standard or numerical limitation of this rule, the arithmetic average of three (3) 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two (2) of three (3) runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. Therefore, the following permit condition will be listed on the permit as follows:

- {2980} For emissions source testing, the arithmetic average of three 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two of three runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320]

Section 6.1 Recordkeeping

Section 6.1 requires that the records required by Sections 6.1.1 through 6.1.5 shall be maintained for five calendar years and shall be made available to the APCO and EPA upon request. Failure to maintain records or information contained in the records that demonstrate noncompliance with the applicable requirements of this rule shall constitute a violation of this rule. Therefore, the following permit condition will be listed on the permit as follows:

- All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306, 4320 and 40 CFR 60.48c(i)]

Section 6.2, Test Methods

Section 6.2 identifies test methods to be used when determining compliance with the rule. The following conditions will be listed on the permits:

- {109} Source testing shall be conducted using the methods and procedures approved by the District. The District must be notified at least 30 days prior to any compliance source

test, and a source test plan must be submitted for approval at least 15 days prior to testing. [District Rule 1081]

- The following test methods shall be used: NOX (ppmv) - EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 100, NOx (lb/MMBtu) - EPA Method 19; CO (ppmv) - EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100; Stack gas oxygen (O₂) - EPA Method 3 or 3A or ARB Method 100; stack gas velocities - EPA Method 2; Stack gas moisture content - EPA Method 4; SO_x - EPA Method 6C or 8 or ARB Method 100; fuel gas sulfur as H₂S content - EPA Method 11 or 15; and fuel hhv (MMBtu) -ASTM D 1826 or D 1945 in conjunction with ASTM D 3588; VOC (ppmv) - EPA Method 25A or 25B, or ARB Method 100. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306 and 4320]

Section 6.3, Compliance Testing

Section 6.3.1 requires that each unit subject to the requirements in Section 5.2 shall be source tested at least once every 12 months, except if two consecutive annual source tests demonstrate compliance, source testing may be performed every 36 months. If such a source test demonstrates non-compliance, source testing shall revert to every 12 months. The following conditions will be included in the permits:

- A source test to demonstrate compliance with NO_x and CO emission limits shall be performed within 60 days of startup of this unit. [District Rules 2201 and 4320]
- Source testing to measure natural gas-combustion NO_x and CO emissions from this unit shall be conducted at least once every twelve (12) months (no more than 30 days before or after the required annual source test date). After demonstrating compliance on two (2) consecutive annual source tests, the unit shall be tested not less than once every thirty-six (36) months (no more than 30 days before or after the required 36-month source test date). If the result of the 36-month source test demonstrates that the unit does not meet the applicable emission limits, the source testing frequency shall revert to at least once every twelve (12) months. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306 and 4320]
- {110} The results of each source test shall be submitted to the District within 60 days thereafter. [District Rule 1081]

Sections 6.3.2.1 through 6.3.2.7 address the requirements of group testing which is not proposed in this project. Therefore these sections are not applicable.

Conclusion

Conditions will be incorporated into the permit in order to ensure compliance with each section of this rule, see attached draft permit. Therefore, compliance with District Rule 4320 requirements is expected.

District Rule 4351 Boilers, Steam Generators and Process Heaters – Phase 1

This rule applies to boilers, steam generators, and process heaters at NO_x Major Sources that are not located west of Interstate 5 in Fresno, Kings, or Kern counties. The facility is located west of Interstate 5 in Kern County. Therefore, this rule does not apply.

Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds

A person shall not discharge into the atmosphere sulfur compounds, which would exist as a liquid or gas at standard conditions, exceeding in concentration at the point of discharge: 0.2 % by volume calculated as SO₂, on a dry basis averaged over 15 consecutive minutes.

Using the ideal gas equation and the emission factors presented in Section VII, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Volume SO}_2 = \frac{n RT}{P}$$

With:

N = moles SO₂

T (Standard Temperature) = 60°F = 520°R

P (Standard Pressure) = 14.7 psi

R (Universal Gas Constant) = $\frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot ^\circ\text{R}}$

$$\frac{0.00285 \text{ lb} - \text{SO}_x}{\text{MMBtu}} \times \frac{\text{MMBtu}}{8,578 \text{ dscf}} \times \frac{1 \text{ lb} \cdot \text{mol}}{64 \text{ lb}} \times \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot ^\circ\text{R}} \times \frac{520^\circ\text{R}}{14.7 \text{ psi}} \times \frac{1,000,000 \cdot \text{parts}}{\text{million}} = 1.97 \frac{\text{parts}}{\text{million}}$$

$$\text{Sulfur Concentration} = 1.97 \frac{\text{parts}}{\text{million}} < 2,000 \text{ ppmv (or 0.2\%)}$$

Therefore, compliance with District Rule 4801 requirements is expected.

California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The District has verified that this site is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001. The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities;
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced;

- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible; and
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Significance Determination

It is determined that no other agency has or will prepare an environmental review document for the project. Thus the District is the Lead Agency for this project.

Project specific impacts on global climate change were evaluated consistent with the adopted District policy – *Addressing GHG Emission Impacts for Stationary Source Projects Under CEQA When Serving as the Lead Agency*. The District's engineering evaluation (this document – **Appendix E**) demonstrates that the project includes Best Performance Standards (BPS) for each class and category of greenhouse gas emissions unit. The District therefore concludes that the project would have a less than cumulatively significant impact on global climate change.

District CEQA Findings

The District is the Lead Agency for this project because there is no other agency with broader statutory authority over this project. The District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) for the proposed project and determined that the activity will occur at an existing facility and the project involves negligible expansion of the existing use. Furthermore, the District determined that the activity will not have a significant effect on the environment. The District finds that the activity is categorically exempt from the provisions of CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guideline § 15031 (Existing Facilities), and finds that the project is exempt per the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment (CEQA Guidelines §15061(b)(3)).

IX. Recommendation

Compliance with all applicable rules and regulations is expected. Pending a successful EPA, CARB and NSR Public Noticing period, issue Authority to Construct S-1372-411-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft Authority to Construct in **Appendix F**.

X. Billing Information

Annual Permit Fees			
Permit Number	Fee Schedule	Fee Description	Annual Fee
S-1372-411-0	3020-02-H	85 MMBtu/hr	\$1030

APPENDIX A
Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District's PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

QNEC = PE2 - PE1, where:

- QNEC = Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.
- PE2 = Post Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.
- PE1 = Pre-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.

Using the values in Sections VII.C.2 and VII.C.6 in the evaluation above, quarterly PE2 and quarterly PE1 can be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PE2}_{\text{quarterly}} &= \text{PE2}_{\text{annual}} \div 4 \text{ quarters/year} \\ &= 4468 \text{ lb/year} \div 4 \text{ qtr/year} \\ &= 1117 \text{ lb PM}_{10}/\text{qtr} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PE1}_{\text{quarterly}} &= \text{PE1}_{\text{annual}} \div 4 \text{ quarters/year} \\ &= 0 \text{ lb/year} \div 4 \text{ qtr/year} \\ &= 0 \text{ lb PM}_{10}/\text{qtr} \end{aligned}$$

Quarterly NEC [QNEC]			
	PE2 (lb/qtr)	PE1 (lb/qtr)	QNEC (lb/qtr)
NO _x	1586	0	1586
SO _x	531	0	531
PM ₁₀	1117	0	1117
CO	5957	0	5957
VOC	559	0	559

Permit #: S-1372-411-0	Last Updated
Facility: PLAINS EXPLORATION &	02/29/2012 TORID

Equipment Pre-Baselined: NO

	<u>NOX</u>	<u>SOX</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>VOC</u>
Potential to Emit (lb/Yr):	6344.0	2122.0	4468.0	23827.0	4095.0
Daily Emis. Limit (lb/Day)	20.6	5.8	15.5	65.3	11.2
Quarterly Net Emissions Change (lb/Qtr)					
Q1:	1586.0	531.0	4468.0	5957.0	559.0
Q2:	1586.0	531.0	4468.0	5957.0	559.0
Q3:	1586.0	531.0	4468.0	5957.0	559.0
Q4:	1586.0	531.0	4468.0	5957.0	559.0
Check if offsets are triggered but exemption applies	N	N	N	N	N
Offset Ratio					
Quarterly Offset Amounts (lb/Qtr)					
Q1:					
Q2:					
Q3:					
Q4:					

APPENDIX B

BACT Analysis

BACT Analysis for Steam Generators (S-1327-162-0 through '167-0)

1. BACT Analysis for NO_x Emissions:

Step 1 - Identify All Possible Control Technologies

The District adopted District Rule 4320 on October 16, 2008. The NO_x emission limits requirements in District Rule 4320 are lower than the limits in BACT Guideline 1.2.1 (Steam Generator ≥ 5 MMBtu/hr, Oilfield); which has been rescinded. Therefore, a project specific BACT analysis will be performed to determine BACT for this project. District Rule 4320 includes a compliance option that limits oilfield steam generators with heat input ratings > 20.0 MMBtu/hr to 7 ppm @ 3% O₂ and 9 ppmv for waste gas fired units. These emission limits are Achieved in Practice control technology for the BACT analysis. District Rule 4320 also contains an enhanced schedule with initial and final limit options that allows applicants additional time to meet the requirements of the rule. The enhanced schedule NO_x emission initial limit requirement is 9 ppmv @ 3% O₂ and final limit of 5 ppmv @ 3% O₂. Since this is an enhanced option in the rule, the final limit of 5 ppmv @ 3% O₂ will be considered the Technologically Feasible control technology for the BACT analysis.

The following are possible control technologies:

1. 5 ppmv @ 3% O₂ - Technologically Feasible
2. 7 ppmv @ 3% O₂ - Achieved in Practice
3. 9 ppmv @ 3% O₂ (waste gas fired units) - Technologically Feasible

Step 2 - Eliminate Technologically Infeasible Options

None of the above listed technologies are technologically infeasible.

Step 3 - Rank Remaining Control Technologies by Control Effectiveness

1. 5 ppmv @ 3% O₂ - Technologically Feasible
2. 7 ppmv @ 3% O₂ - Achieved in Practice
3. 9 ppmv @ 3% O₂ (waste gas fired units) - Technologically Feasible

Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed a NO_x limit of 7 ppmv @ 3% O₂, therefore a cost analysis for the 5 ppmvd with SCR (0.0062 lb/MMBTU) option is required.

SCR Cost Effective Analysis:

Assumptions:

- Industry standard (IS) is assumed to be a NO_x emission rate of 15 ppmv @3% O₂ in accordance with Rule 4306

- Unit's maximum emissions are defined by the burner size multiplied by the emissions rate and a maximum annual operating schedule of 8,760 hours

Calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Industry Std NOx Emissions} &= 85 \text{ MMBtu/hr} \times 0.018 \text{ lb/MMBtu} \times 8,760 \text{ hr/yr} \\ &= 13,403 \text{ lb/yr} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Feasible NOx Emissions} &= 85 \text{ MMBtu/hr} \times 0.0062 \text{ lb/MMBtu} \times 8,760 \text{ hr/yr} \\ &= 4617 \text{ lb/yr} \end{aligned}$$

NOx reduction due to SCR:

$$\text{Total reduction} = \text{Emissions}_{(15 \text{ ppmv})} - \text{Emissions}_{(5 \text{ ppmv})}$$

$$\text{Total reduction} = 13,403 \text{ lb/yr} - 4617 \text{ lb/yr}$$

$$\text{Total reduction} = 8786 \text{ lb/yr} = 4.3 \text{ ton/yr}$$

SCR Capital Cost (PCL Construction, August 19, 2010): \$745,000.00 (includes all purchased equipment, taxes, freight and installation of SCR for a 85 MMBtu/hr unit)

Equivalent Annual Capital Cost (CC):

$$A = (P) \left[\frac{(i)(1+i)^n}{(1+i)^n - 1} \right] \text{ where:}$$

A: Equivalent annual capital cost of the control equipment

P: Present value of the control equipment

i: Interest rate (District policy is to use 10%)

n: Equipment life (District policy is to use 10 years)

$$A = (\$745,000) \left[\frac{(0.1)(1+0.1)^{10}}{(1+0.1)^{10} - 1} \right] = \frac{\$121,050}{\text{yr}}$$

Annual Direct Cost (ADC):

$$\text{Operation \& Maintenance} = \$125,000/\text{yr} \text{ (PCL quote)}$$

Annual Indirect Cost (AIC) = included (PCL quote)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total Annualized Cost} &= \text{CC} + \text{ADC} + \text{AIC} \\ &= \$121,050 + \$125,000 + \$0 \\ &= \$246,050/\text{yr} \end{aligned}$$

Cost Effectiveness:

$$\text{Cost effectiveness} = \$246,050/4.4 \text{ ton/yr}$$

Cost effectiveness = \$55,920/ton

The cost effectiveness is greater than the \$24,500/ton cost effectiveness threshold of the District BACT policy. Therefore, the use of SCR with ammonia injection is not cost effective and is not required as BACT.

Step 5 – Select BACT

BACT for NO_x emissions from the oilfield steam generator is 7 ppmv @ 3% O₂. The applicant has proposed to install the steam generators each with a NO_x emission limit of ppmv @ 3% O₂ and 9 ppmv when waste gas fired; therefore, BACT for NO_x emissions is satisfied.

2. BACT Analysis for SO_x Emissions

Step 1 - Identify All Possible Control Technologies

The District adopted District Rule 4320 on October 16, 2008. BACT Guideline 1.2.1 (Steam Generator ≥ 5 MMBtu/hr, Oilfield) has been rescinded. Therefore, a project specific BACT analysis will be performed to determine BACT for this project.

The SJVAPCD BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.2.1 (1st quarter, 2005) identifies the following technologies:

1. Natural gas, LPG, waste gas treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds or treated such that the sulfur content does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 scf, or use of a continuously operating SO₂ scrubber and either achieving 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieving an emission rate of 30 ppmvd SO₂ at stack O₂ - Achieved in Practice

Step 2 - Eliminate Technologically Infeasible Options

None of the above listed technologies are technologically infeasible.

Step 3 - Rank Remaining Control Technologies by Control Effectiveness

1. **Achieved-In-Practice:** Natural gas, LPG, waste gas treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds or treated such that the sulfur content does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 scf, or use of a continuously operating SO₂ scrubber and either achieving 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieving an emission rate of 30 ppmvd SO₂ at stack O₂

Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the use of PUC quality natural gas. Since the applicant has chosen the most effective control technology in step 3, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for SO_x is the most effective control option not eliminated in the steps above: natural gas. This BACT is selected and has been proposed by the applicant.

3. BACT Analysis for PM₁₀ Emissions

Step 1 - Identify All Possible Control Technologies

The District adopted District Rule 4320 on October 16, 2008. BACT Guideline 1.2.1 (Steam Generator ≥ 5 MMBtu/hr, Oilfield) has been rescinded. Therefore, a project specific BACT analysis will be performed to determine BACT for this project.

The SJVAPCD BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.2.1 (1st quarter, 2005) identifies the following technologies:

1. Natural gas, LPG, waste gas treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds or treated such that the sulfur content does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 scf, or use of a continuously operating SO₂ scrubber and either achieving 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieving an emission rate of 30 ppmvd SO₂ at stack O₂ - Achieved in Practice

Step 2 - Eliminate Technologically Infeasible Options

None of the above listed technologies are technologically infeasible.

Step 3 - Rank Remaining Control Technologies by Control Effectiveness

1. Natural gas, LPG, waste gas treated to remove 95% by weight of sulfur compounds or treated such that the sulfur content does not exceed 1 gr of sulfur compounds (as S) per 100 scf, or use of a continuously operating SO₂ scrubber and either achieving 95% by weight control of sulfur compounds or achieving an emission rate of 30 ppmvd SO₂ at stack O₂ - Achieved in Practice

Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the use of natural gas. Since the applicant has chosen the most effective control technology in step 3, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for PM₁₀ is the most effective control option not eliminated in the steps above: natural gas. This BACT is selected and has been proposed by the applicant.

4. BACT Analysis for CO Emissions

Step 1 - Identify All Possible Control Technologies

The District adopted District Rule 4320 on October 16, 2008. BACT Guideline 1.2.1 (Steam Generator \geq 5 MMBtu/hr, Oilfield) has been rescinded. Therefore, a project specific BACT analysis will be performed to determine BACT for this project.

The SJVAPCD BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.2.1 (1st quarter, 2005) identifies the following technologies:

1. 50 ppmvd @ 3% O₂ - Achieved-In-Practice

Step 2 - Eliminate Technologically Infeasible Options

None of the above listed technologies are technologically infeasible.

Step 3 - Rank Remaining Control Technologies by Control Effectiveness

1. 50 ppmvd @ 3% O₂ - Achieved-In-Practice

Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

Only Achieved-In-Practice technologies are identified; therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not performed.

Step 5 - Select BACT

Achieved-In-Practice BACT for CO emissions is 50 ppmv @ 3% O₂; therefore, BACT for CO emissions is 50 ppmv @ 3% O₂.

5. BACT Analysis for VOC Emissions

Step 1 - Identify All Possible Control Technologies

The District adopted District Rule 4320 on October 16, 2008. BACT Guideline 1.2.1 (Steam Generator \geq 5 MMBtu/hr, Oilfield) has been rescinded. Therefore, a project specific BACT analysis will be performed to determine BACT for this project.

The SJVAPCD BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.2.1 (1st quarter, 2005) identifies the following technologies:

2. Gaseous fuel - Achieved-In-Practice

Step 2 - Eliminate Technologically Infeasible Options

None of the above listed technologies are technologically infeasible.

Step 3 - Rank Remaining Control Technologies by Control Effectiveness

1. Gaseous fuel - Achieved-In-Practice

Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the used of natural gas. Since the applicant has chosen the most effective control technology in step 3, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for VOC is the most effective control option not eliminated in the steps above: gaseous fuel. This BACT is selected and has been proposed by the applicant.

APPENDIX C
HRA/AAQA

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Risk Management Review

To: Richard Edgehill, AQE – Permit Services
 From: Trevor Joy, AQS – Technical Services
 Date: March 5, 2012
 Facility Name: Plains Exploration and Production Company
 Location: NW Section 10, T29S, R21E
 Application #(s): S-1372-411-0
 Project #: 1114818

A. RMR SUMMARY

Categories	NG Steam Generator (411-0)	Project Totals	Facility Totals
Prioritization Score	0.0	0.0	>1.0
Acute Hazard Index	0.00	0.00	0.08
Chronic Hazard Index	0.00	0.00	0.03
Maximum Individual Cancer Risk (10⁻⁶)	0.1	0.1	1.1
T-BACT Required?	No		
Special Permit Conditions?	Yes		

Proposed Permit Conditions

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following permit conditions must be included for:

Unit # 411

{1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102] N

The steam generator exhaust stack shall be at least 25 feet high. [District Rule 4102] N

B. RMR REPORT

I. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on December 21, 2011 to perform an Ambient Air Quality Analysis and a Risk Management Review for the proposed installation of a NG Steam Generator.

II. Analysis

Technical Services performed a prioritization using the District's HEARTs database. Emissions were calculated using "NG 10-100 MMBTU/Hr External Combustion" emission factors. In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (APR 1905, March 2, 2001), risks from the proposed unit's toxic emissions were prioritized using the procedure in the 1990 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines and incorporated in the District's HEARTs database. The prioritization score for the facility was greater than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table). Therefore, a refined analysis was required and performed. AERMOD was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for Missouri Triangle 2004 – 2008 to determine the maximum dispersion factors. These dispersion factors were input into the HARP model to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

The following parameters were used for the review:

Analysis Parameter Units 411-0			
Closest Receptor - Business (m)	1592	Closest Receptor – Resident (m)	4390
NG Combustion (MMBtu/hr)	85	NG Combustion (MMBtu/yr)	744600
Stack Height (m)	7.6	Stack Diameter (m)	1.1
Gas Exit Velocity (m/s)	15.8	Gas Exit Temperature (K)	383

Technical Services also performed modeling for criteria pollutants CO, NO_x, SO_x and PM₁₀; as well as a RMR. The emission rates used for criteria pollutant modeling were

	NO _x	Sox	CO	PM10
Lbs/hr	0.72	0.24	7.1	0.65
Lbs/yr	6,329	2,122	62,546	5,659

The results from the Criteria Pollutant Modeling are as follows:

Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results*

Values are in µg/m³

Steam Generator	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours.	24 Hours	Annual
CO	Pass	X	Pass	X	X
NO _x	Pass	X	X	X	Pass
SO _x	Pass	Pass	X	Pass	Pass
PM ₁₀	X	X	X	Pass	Pass
PM _{2.5}	X	X	X	Pass	Pass

*Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheet.

¹The project was compared to the 1-hour NO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard that became effective on April 12, 2010 using the District's approved procedures. The criteria pollutant 1-hour value passed using TIER I NO₂ NAAQS modeling

²The project was compared to the 1-hour SO₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard that became effective on August 23, 2010 using the District's approved procedures.

³The maximum predicted concentration for emissions of these criteria pollutants from the proposed unit are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

III. Conclusion

The acute and chronic hazard indices were below 1.0; and the cancer risk is less than or equal to 1.0 in a million. **In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).**

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit conditions listed on page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.

The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

Attachments:

- A. RMR request from the project engineer
- B. Prioritization score with toxic emissions summary
- C. HEARTS – Facility Summary
- D. HARP Risk Report
- E. AAQA spreadsheet

APPENDIX D
Compliance Certification

PXP

Plains Exploration & Production Company

December 28, 2011

San Joaquin Valley Pollution Control District
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA, 93308
Attention: Mr. Richard Edgehill

**RULE 2201 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT
ATC FEDERAL MAJOR MODIFICATION
85 MMBTU/HR STEAM GENERATOR
PROJECT S-1372, 1114818**

Mr. Edgehill:

In accordance with Rule 2201, Section 4.15 "Additional Requirements for new Major Sources and Federal Major Modifications", PXP is providing this compliance statement regarding its ATC for a new 85 MMBtu/hr steam generator (APCD Project S-1372 #1114818).

All major stationary sources in California owned and operated by PXP, or by any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with PXP, and which are subject to emission limitations are in compliance or on a schedule for compliance with all applicable emission limitations and standards. These sources include one or more of the following oil and gas production facilities:

1. Arroyo Grande Field
2. Inglewood Field
3. Lompoc Point Pedernales Title V Stationary Source

Based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in this letter are true, accurate, and complete. Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Kenneth Bork at (661) 395-5458.

Sincerely,



Steve Rusch
Vice President of EHS and Government Affairs

APPENDIX E
CEQA/BPS

BPS Analysis

Step 1 - Identify BPS for New Steam Generators

Very High Efficiency Steam Generator Design With:

1. A convection section with at least 235 square feet of heat transfer surface area per MMBtu/hr of maximum rated heat input (verified by manufacturer) or a manufacturer's overall thermal efficiency rating of 88%.

And

2. Variable frequency drive high efficiency electrical motors driving the blower and water pump.

Step 2 - Select BPS

Very High Efficiency Steam Generator Design With:

1. A convection section with at least 235 square feet of heat transfer surface area per MMBtu/hr of maximum rated heat input (verified by manufacturer) or a manufacturer's overall thermal efficiency rating of 88%.

And

2. Variable frequency drive high efficiency electrical motors driving the blower and water pump.

Step 3

The following conditions will be included on the permit to ensure compliance with BPS requirements:

- This unit shall be equipped with a convection section with at least 235 square feet of heat transfer surface area per MMBtu/hr of maximum rated heat input (verified by manufacturer). [CEQA] N
- This unit shall be equipped with variable frequency drive high efficiency electrical motors driving the blower and water pump. [CEQA] N

APPENDIX F
Draft ATC

San Joaquin Valley
Air Pollution Control District

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

PERMIT NO: S-1372-411-0

ISSUANCE DATE: DRAFT

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: PLAINS EXPLORATION & PRODUCTION COMPANY
MAILING ADDRESS: ATTN: KENNETH BORK
1200 DISCOVERY DRIVE, SUITE 500
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93309

LOCATION: HEAVY OIL WESTERN STATIONARY SOURCE
CA

SECTION: SE 10 TOWNSHIP: 29 S RANGE: 21 E

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:

85 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS-FIRED STEAM GENERATOR WITH NORTH AMERICAN MODEL MAGNA FLAME G-LE
ULTRA LOW NOX BURNER AND FLUE GAS RECIRCULATION (GENERATOR #68)

CONDITIONS

1. {1830} This Authority to Construct serves as a written certificate of conformity with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR 70.7 and 70.8 and with the compliance requirements of 40 CFR 70.6(c). [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
2. {1831} Prior to operating with modifications authorized by this Authority to Construct, the facility shall submit an application to modify the Title V permit with an administrative amendment in accordance with District Rule 2520 Section 5.3.4. [District Rule 2520, 5.3.4] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
3. This unit shall be equipped with a convection section with at least 235 square feet of heat transfer surface area per MMBtu/hr of maximum rated heat input (verified by manufacturer). [CEQA]
4. This unit shall be equipped with variable frequency drive high efficiency electrical motors driving the blower and water pump. [CEQA]
5. {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
6. The steam generator exhaust stack shall be at least 25 feet high. [District Rule 4102]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (661) 392-5500 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director APCO

DAVID WARNER, Director of Permit Services

S-1372-411-0 : Mar 20 2012 12:58PM - TORID : Joint Inspection NOT Required

Southern Regional Office • 34946 Flyover Court • Bakersfield, CA 93308 • (661) 392-5500 • Fax (661) 392-5585

7. Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grain/dscf at operating conditions, nor 0.1 grain/dscf calculated to 12% CO₂, nor 10 lb/hr. [District Rules 4201, 4301, 5.1 and 5.2.3] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
8. The unit shall only be fired on PUC-quality natural gas. [District Rules 2201 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
9. Duration of start-up and shutdown shall not exceed 2 hours each per occurrence. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306, and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
10. Emission rates, except during startup and shutdown shall not exceed: NO_x (as NO_x): 7 ppmvd @ 3% O₂. [District Rule 2201, 4305, 4306, and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
11. Emission rates shall not exceed any of the following: SO_x:0.00285 lb/MMBtu; PM₁₀: 0.0076 lb/MMBtu; CO: 50 ppmvd @ 3% O₂; or VOC: 0.0055 lb/MMBtu. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
12. Emissions rate of NO_x shall not exceed 20.6 lb/day nor 6344 lb/yr. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
13. Permittee shall maintain records of duration of each start-up and shutdown for a period of five years and make such records readily available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
14. A source test to demonstrate compliance with NO_x and CO emission limits shall be performed within 60 days of startup of this unit. [District Rules 2201 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
15. Source testing to measure natural gas-combustion NO_x and CO emissions from this unit shall be conducted at least once every twelve (12) months (no more than 30 days before or after the required annual source test date). After demonstrating compliance on two (2) consecutive annual source tests, the unit shall be tested not less than once every thirty-six (36) months (no more than 30 days before or after the required 36-month source test date). If the result of the 36-month source test demonstrates that the unit does not meet the applicable emission limits, the source testing frequency shall revert to at least once every twelve (12) months. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
16. Source testing shall be conducted using the methods and procedures approved by the District. The District must be notified at least 30 days prior to any compliance source test, and a source test plan must be submitted for approval at least 15 days prior to testing. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
17. For emissions source testing, the arithmetic average of three 30-consecutive-minute test runs shall apply. If two of three runs are above an applicable limit the test cannot be used to demonstrate compliance with an applicable limit. [District Rules 2201, 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
18. The following test methods shall be used: NO_x (ppmv) - EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 100, NO_x (lb/MMBtu) - EPA Method 19; CO (ppmv) - EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100; Stack gas oxygen (O₂) - EPA Method 3 or 3A or ARB Method 100; stack gas velocities - EPA Method 2; Stack gas moisture content - EPA Method 4; SO_x - EPA Method 6C or 8 or ARB Method 100; fuel gas sulfur as H₂S content - EPA Method 11 or 15; and fuel hhv (MMBtu) - ASTM D 1826 or D 1945 in conjunction with ASTM D 3588. [District Rule 2201, 4305, 4306, 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
19. The source test plan shall identify which basis (ppmv or lb/MMBtu) will be used to demonstrate compliance. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
20. The results of each source test shall be submitted to the District within 60 days thereafter. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
21. The permittee shall monitor and record the stack concentration of NO_x, CO, and O₂ at least once every month (in which a source test is not performed) using a portable analyzer that meets District specifications. Monitoring shall not be required if the unit is not in operation, i.e. the unit need not be started solely to perform monitoring. Monitoring shall be performed within 5 days of restarting the unit unless monitoring has been performed within the last month. [District Rules 4305, 4306, and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

DRAFT
CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

22. If the NO_x or CO concentrations corrected to 3%, as measured by the portable analyzer, exceed the applicable emission limit, the permittee shall return the emissions to within the acceptable range as soon as possible, but no longer than 1 hour of operation after detection. If the portable analyzer readings continue to exceed the allowable emissions concentration after 1 hour of operation after detection, the permittee shall notify the District within the following 1 hour and conduct a certified source test within 60 days of the first exceedance. In lieu of conducting a source test, the permittee may stipulate a violation has occurred, subject to enforcement action. The permittee must then correct the violation, show compliance has been re-established, and resume monitoring procedures. If the deviations are the result of a qualifying breakdown condition pursuant to Rule 1100, the permittee may fully comply with Rule 1100 in lieu of performing the notification and testing required by this condition. [District Rules 4102, 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
23. All NO_x, CO, and O₂ emission readings shall be taken with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. The NO_x, CO, and O₂ analyzer shall be calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and recommendations or a protocol approved by the APCO. Emission readings taken shall be averaged over a 15 consecutive-minute sample period by either taking a cumulative 15 consecutive-minute sample reading or by taking at least five (5) readings, evenly spaced out over the 15 consecutive minute period. [District Rules 4102, 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
24. The permittee shall maintain records of: (1) the date and time of NO_x, CO and O₂ measurements, (2) the O₂ concentration in percent by volume and the measured NO_x and CO concentrations corrected to 3% O₂, (3) make and model of exhaust gas analyzer, (4) exhaust gas analyzer calibration records, and (5) a description of any corrective action taken to maintain the emissions within the acceptable range. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
25. All emissions measurements shall be made with the unit operating either at conditions representative of normal operations or conditions specified in the Permit to Operate. Unless otherwise specified in the PTO, no determination of compliance shall be established within two hours after a continuous period in which fuel flow to the unit is shut off for 30 minutes or longer, or within 30 minutes after a re-ignition as defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4320. For the purposes of permittee-performed alternate monitoring, emissions measurements may be performed at any time after the unit reaches conditions representative of normal operation. [District Rules 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
26. Shorter time periods for demonstration of compliance after startup or re-ignition may be approved by the APCO by submittal of appropriate technical justification upon implementation of this ATC. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
27. PUC quality natural gas is any gaseous fuel where the sulfur content is no more than one-fourth (0.25) grain of hydrogen sulfide per one hundred (100) standard cubic feet, no more than five (5) grains of total sulfur per one hundred (100) standard cubic feet, and at least 80% methane by volume. [District Rule 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
28. If the steam generator is not fired on PUC-regulated natural gas and compliance is achieved through fuel sulfur content limitations, then the sulfur content of the fuel shall be determined by testing sulfur content at a location after all fuel sources are combined prior to incineration, or by performing mass balance calculations based on monitoring the sulfur content and volume of each fuel source. The sulfur content of the fuel shall be determined using the test methods referenced in this permit. [District Rule 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
29. When complying with sulfur emission limits by fuel analysis or by a combination of source testing and fuel analysis, permittee shall demonstrate compliance at least annually. [District Rule 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
30. If the unit is fired on PUC-regulated natural gas, valid purchase contracts, supplier certifications, tariff sheets, or transportation contracts may be used to satisfy the fuel sulfur content analysis, provided they establish the fuel sulfur concentration and higher heating value. [District Rule 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
31. All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 1070, 4305, 4306 and 4320] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

DRAFT
CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

32. The permittee shall obtain written District approval for the use of any equivalent equipment not specifically approved by this ATC. Approval of the equivalent equipment shall be made in writing and only after the District's determination that the submitted design and performance of the proposed alternative equipment is equivalent to the authorized equipment. [District Rule 2010] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
33. The permittee's request for approval of equivalent equipment shall include the make, model, manufacturer's maximum rating, manufacturer's guaranteed emissions rates, equipment drawing(s) and operational characteristics/parameters. [District Rule 2010] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
34. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender NOX emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 2379 lb, 2nd quarter - 2379 lb, 3rd quarter - 2379 lb, and fourth quarter - 2379 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201]
35. ERC Certificate Numbers N-866-2 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]
36. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender VOC emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 1536 lb, 2nd quarter - 1536 lb, 3rd quarter - 1536 lb, and fourth quarter - 1536 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201]
37. ERC Certificate Number N-924-1 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]
38. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender PM10 emission reduction credits for the following quantity of emissions: 1st quarter - 1676 lb, 2nd quarter - 1676 lb, 3rd quarter - 1676 lb, and fourth quarter - 1676 lb. These amounts include the applicable offset ratio specified in Rule 2201 Section 4.8 (as amended 4/21/11) for the ERC specified below. [District Rule 2201]
39. ERC Certificate Number N-1006-5 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct. [District Rule 2201]
40. Prior to operating equipment under this Authority to Construct, permittee shall surrender SOx emission reduction credits for the following quantities of emissions: 1st quarter - 796 lb, 2nd quarter - 796 lb, 3rd quarter - 796 lb, and fourth quarter - 796 lb. Offsets shall be provided at the applicable offset ratio specified in section 4.8 of Rule 2201 (as amended 4/21/11). [District Rule 2201]
41. ERC Certificate Number N-1006-5 (or a certificate split from this certificate) shall be used to supply the required offsets, unless a revised offsetting proposal is received and approved by the District, upon which this Authority to Construct shall be reissued, administratively specifying the new offsetting proposal. Original public noticing requirements, if any, shall be duplicated prior to reissuance of this Authority to Construct [District Rule 2201]

DRAFT