

January 17, 2025 4:01 P.M. PST

U.S. EPA REGION 10 HEARING CLERK

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

In the Matter of:

JACKSON & SON DISTRIBUTORS, INC., Dba JACKSON AND SON OIL,

Seaside, Oregon,

Respondent.

DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2025-0023

RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR HEARING

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR HEARING

Respondent, Jackson & Son Distributors, Inc., dba Jackson and Son Oil ("Jackson" or "Respondent"), through the undersigned attorneys, presents its Answer to the Administrative Complaint ("Complaint") issued by the United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 ("EPA" or "Complainant"), and respectfully states, alleges and prays as follows:

RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR HEARING - 1

5

6

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I. STATUTORY AUTHORITY

1.1 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph, and the allegations are therefore denied.

1.2 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph, and the allegations are therefore denied.

II. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BACKGROUND

- 2.1 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph, and the allegations are therefore denied.
- 2.2 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph, and the allegations are therefore denied.
- 2.3 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph, and the allegations are therefore denied.

RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR HEARING - 2

HEARING - 3

Redmond, WA 98052

T: (425) 985-6527

1	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph
2	and the allegations are therefore denied.
3	2.10 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
4	extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information
5	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph
6	and the allegations are therefore denied.
7	2.11 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
8	extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information
9	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph
10	and the allegations are therefore denied.
11	2.12 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
12	extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information
13	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph.
14	and the allegations are therefore denied.
15	2.13 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
16	extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information
17	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph.
18	and the allegations are therefore denied.
19	2.14 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
20	extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information
21	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph.
22	and the allegations are therefore denied.
	DECDONDENT'S ANSWED TO

RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR HEARING - 4

1	2.15 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
2	extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information
3	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph,
4	and the allegations are therefore denied.
5	2.16 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
6	extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information
7	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph,
8	and the allegations are therefore denied.
9	2.17 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
10	extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information
11	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph,
12	and the allegations are therefore denied.
_	
13	III. <u>ALLEGATIONS</u>
	III. <u>ALLEGATIONS</u> 3.1 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits the allegations contained in this
13	
13	3.1 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits the allegations contained in this
13 14 15	3.1 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits the allegations contained in this paragraph.
13 14 15 16	 3.1 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits the allegations contained in this paragraph. 3.2 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits it owns the property located at
13 14 15 16	 3.1 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits the allegations contained in this paragraph. 3.2 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits it owns the property located at 84721 Happel Lane, Seaside, Oregon. Respondent denies the remainder of the allegations
13 14 15 16 17	 3.1 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits the allegations contained in this paragraph. 3.2 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits it owns the property located at 84721 Happel Lane, Seaside, Oregon. Respondent denies the remainder of the allegations contained in this paragraph.
13 14 15 16 17 18	 3.1 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits the allegations contained in this paragraph. 3.2 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits it owns the property located at 84721 Happel Lane, Seaside, Oregon. Respondent denies the remainder of the allegations contained in this paragraph. 3.3 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 3.1 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits the allegations contained in this paragraph. 3.2 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits it owns the property located at 84721 Happel Lane, Seaside, Oregon. Respondent denies the remainder of the allegations contained in this paragraph. 3.3 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information

DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR

HEARING - 5

8201 164th Ave NE, Suite 200

Redmond, WA 98052 T: (425) 985-6527

1	3.4 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
2	extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information
3	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph
4	and the allegations are therefore denied.
5	3.5 Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
6	the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph, and the allegations are
7	therefore denied.
8	3.6 Respondent, upon information and belief, admits that an inspection was performed
9	by EPA and/or its representatives on or about September 21, 2021. Respondent lacks
10	knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to whether the person that
11	performed the inspection was, as alleged, "an authorized EPA representative" and
12	likewise, as to whether the purpose of the inspector was "to determine compliance with
13	Section 311(j) of the CWA and the requirements of 40 C.F.R. part 112" and therefor denies
14	the same.
15	3.7 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
16	extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information
17	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph
18	and the allegations are therefore denied.
19	3.8 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
20	extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information
21	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph
22	and the allegations are therefore denied.
	RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AFFIRMATIVE BAKALIAN & ASSOCIATES P.S.

8201 164th Ave NE, Suite 200

Redmond, WA 98052 T: (425) 985-6527

COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE

HEARING - 6

DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR

1	3.9 Respondent admits that Powers Engineering and Inspection, Inc. conducted certain
2	work at Respondent's property on or about April 5, 2023. Respondent denies the remainder
3	of this paragraph as it calls for a legal conclusion.
4	3.10 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
5	3.11 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
6	3.12 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
7	3.13 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
8	3.14 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
9	Violations
10	Count 1: Failure to Prepare and Implement SPCC Plan
	3.15 This paragraph describes, in general terms, regulatory requirements appearing in
11	40 C.F.R. §112.7, to which no response is required and is therefore denied.
12	3.16 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
13	3.17 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
14	3.18 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
15	Counts 2-3: Failure to Provide Adequate Secondary Containment
16	Counts 2-3. Failure to 110vide Adequate Secondary Contamment
17	3.19 This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
18	extent further response is required, Respondent is without knowledge or information
19	sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph,
	and the allegations are therefore denied.
20	3.20 This paragraph describes, in general terms, regulatory requirements appearing in
21	40 C.F.R. §112.8(c)(2), to which no response is required and is therefore denied
22	applicability to this case is denied. RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR HEARING - 7 BAKALIAN & ASSOCIATES P.S. 8201 164 th Ave NE, Suite 200 Redmond, WA 98052 T: (425) 985-6527

1	3.21 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
2	3.22 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
3	3.23 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
4	Counts 4-5: Failure to Properly Design Facility Discharge Systems from Transfer
5	<u>Areas</u>
6	3.24 This paragraph describes, in general terms, regulatory requirements appearing in
7	40 C.F.R. §112.8(b)(3), to which no response is required and is therefore denied.
8	3.25 This paragraph describes, in general terms, regulatory requirements appearing in
9	40 C.F.R. §112.8(b)(3) and 112.8(b)(4), to which no response is required and is therefore
10	denied.
11	3.26 Respondent admits there are diesel and gasoline storage tanks at the property, but
12	denies the remainder of the allegations stated in this paragraph.
13	3.27 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
14	3.28 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
15	3.29 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
16	3.30 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
17	Count 6: Failure to Provide Sufficient Containment at Loading/Unloading Rack
18	3.31 This paragraph describes, in general terms, regulatory requirements appearing in
19	40 C.F.R. §112.7(h)(1) but it's applicability to this case is denied.
20	3.32 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
21	
22	
	RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO

RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR HEARING - 8

1	3.33 Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
2	the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph, and the allegations are
3	therefore denied.
4	3.34 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
5	3.35 Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
6	the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph, and the allegations are
7	therefore denied.
8	3.36 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
9	Counts 7-16: Failure to Conduct and Maintain a Procedure and Schedule for
10	Integrity Testing
11	3.37 This paragraph describes, in general terms, regulatory requirements appearing in
12	40 C.F.R. §112.8(c)(6), to which no response is required and is therefore denied.
13	3.38 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
14	3.39 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
15	3.40 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
16	3.41 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
17	3.42 This paragraph describes, in general terms, statutory requirements to which no
18	response is required and is therefore denied.
19	Counts 17-18: Failure to Conduct and Maintain Records of Inspections and Tests
20	3.43 This paragraph describes, in general terms, regulatory requirements appearing in
21	40 C.F.R. §112.7(e), to which no response is required and is therefore denied.
22	3.44 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
	RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR HEARING - 9 BAKALIAN & ASSOCIATES P.S. 8201 164 th Ave NE, Suite 200 Redmond, WA 98052 T: (425) 985-6527

1	3.45 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
2	3.46 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
3	3.47 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
4	3.48 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
5	Count 19: Failure to Provide Warning Lights or Barrier System at Loading/
6	Unloading Rack
7	3.49 Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
8	the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph, and the allegations are
9	therefore denied.
10	3.50 Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
11	the truth or falsity of the allegations stated in this paragraph, and the allegations are
12	therefore denied.
13	3.51 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
14	3.52 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
15	Counts 20-28: Failure to Employ Devices to Avoid Discharges
16	3.53 This paragraph describes, in general terms, regulatory requirements appearing in
17	40 C.F.R. §112.8(c)(8), to which no response is required and is therefore denied.
18	3.54 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
19	3.55 Respondent denies the allegations stated in this paragraph.
20	3.56 This paragraph describes, in general terms, statutory requirements to which no
21	response is required and is therefore denied.
22	IV. PROPOSED PENALTY
	RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR BAKALIAN & ASSOCIATES P.S. 8201 164th Ave NE, Suite 200 Redmond, WA 98052

HEARING - 10

1	4.1 In response to this section, Respondent alleges that the proposed penalty assessing
2	administrative penalties in an amount not to exceed \$23,048 per day for each day during
3	which the violation continues, up to a maximum penalty of \$288,080, has no basis in law
4	or in fact.
5	In addition, the proposed penalty assessment is excessive, unwarranted and
6	burdensome.
7	Except as specifically admitted, all factual allegations contained in Part IV of the
8	Complaint are denied.
9	V. OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING
10	Paragraphs 5.1-5.5 contain legal conclusions and procedures. To the extent further
11	response is required, it is contained in this Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Request for
12	Hearing.
13	VI. FAILURE TO FILE AN ANSWER
14	Paragraphs 6.1-6.3 contain legal conclusions and procedures. To the extent further
15	response is required, it is contained in this Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Request for
16	Hearing.
17	VII. INFORMAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
	Paragraphs 7.1-7.2 contain legal conclusions and procedures. To the extent further
18	response is required, it is contained in this Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Request for
19	Hearing.
20	<u>VIII. RESERVATIONS</u>
21	Paragraph 8.1 contains legal conclusions and procedures. To the extent further response is
22	required, it is contained in this Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Request for Hearing.
	RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR HEARING - 11 BAKALIAN & ASSOCIATES P.S. 8201 164 th Ave NE, Suite 200 Redmond, WA 98052 T: (425) 985-6527

22

IX. PUBLIC NOTICE

Paragraph 9.1 contains legal conclusions and procedures. To the extent further response is required, it is contained in this Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Request for Hearing.

X. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted against Respondent.
- 2. Respondent activities at the Facility did not result in the discharge of stormwater, oil or pollutants to "waters of the United States".
- Respondent has previously documented to EPA its compliance with all relevant statutory and regulatory references and requirements alleged in the Complaint.
- 4. Respondent relied upon oral and written advice from EPA and its representatives at all times prior to the Complaint, after providing adequate and accurate information regarding its property and operations. Respondent received written and oral advice from EPA, which it reasonably relied upon at all times prior to this Complaint.
- The Complaint is barred in whole or in part by the doctrines of waiver and/or estoppel.
- 6. Complainant's allegations are barred by laches.
- 7. Complainant's allegations are barred by the statute of limitations.
- 8. Complainant's allegations are not supported by substantial evidence.
- 9. Complainant's penalty assessment constitutes an abuse of discretion.
- 0. The proposed penalty is excessive, inappropriate and unwarranted, and Complainant has not provided adequate explanation as to how the penalty amount was calculated.

RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR HEARING - 12

1

XI. RESERVATION OF RIGHT TO AMEND ANSWER AND ADD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

11. Respondent reserves the right to amend this answer and to add further affirmative defenses, including those which may become apparent through discovery and development of this case.

XII. REQUEST FOR HEARING

12. Respondent, Jackson & Son Distributors, Inc., dba Jackson and Son Oil hereby requests an Administrative Hearing on the issues raised by the Complaint and this Answer.

DATED this day of January 2025.

BAKALIAN & ASSOCIATES P.S.

Allan Bakalian

Allan Bakalian, WSBA# 14255 Bakalian & Associates P.S. 8201 164th Avenue NE, Suite 200 Redmond, WA 98052 <u>allan@bakalianlaw.com</u> Attorneys for Respondent

RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND REQUEST FOR HEARING - 13