

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY STATES REGION 7, 11201 RENNER BOULEVARD, LENEXA, KANSASTISTADOPROTECTION AGENCY REGION 7

2015 SEP -3 PM 2: 04

DOCKET NO. CWA-07-2015-0078

On:

February 6, 2015

At:

41.231810/-95.840313, near Council Bluffs, Iowa

Owned or operated by, Peterson Contractors, Inc. (Respondent), an authorized representative of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached SPILL PREVENTION CONTROL AND COUNTERMEASURES INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS, AND PROPOSED PENALTY FORM (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

This proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the authority vested in the Administrator of the EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(6)(B)(i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 C.F.R. § 22.13(b). The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$1575.

This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

The EPA finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 C.F.R. Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. Respondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 C.F.R. Part 112 and that the EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to the EPA's jurisdiction.

Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$1575, payable to the "Environmental Protection Agency," via certified mail to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency P.O. Box 979077 St. Louis, Missouri 63197-9000

and Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check Docket No. CWA-07-2015-0078 and "OSLTF – 311."

The original, signed Settlement Agreement and copy of the penalty payment check must be sent via certified mail to:

Mark R. Aaron
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 7, AWMD/STOP
11201 Renner Boulevard
Lenexa, Kansas 66219

This Expedited Settlement resolves Respondent's liability for Federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, the EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, the EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to the EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to the EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

APPROVED BY EPA:

Magnet Stockdale Date 9/1/15

Chief, Storage Tanks & Oil Pollution Branch (STOP)

Air & Waste Management Division (AWMD)

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name (print): LAUREN CALL

Title (print): SAFETY OFFICER

Signature January Call

Date: 08-26-15

The estimated cost for correcting the violation(s) is:

\$1575.00

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Karna Porromeo Date 9-3-15

Karina Borromeo Regional Judicial Officer

mr. 20 31

Kauma Menerica

Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 7 under the authority vested in the Administrator of the EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Docket Number

Company Name

	Peterson Contractors, Inc	CWA-07-2015-0078	
	Facility Name	Date	
	IDOT I-29/I-80-I-480 Construction	Date February 6, 2015 Facility ID Number R7-IA-00275	
	Address	Facility ID Number PROTECT	
	41.231810, -95.840313	R7-IA-00275	
	City	Inspector's Name	
	Council Bluffs	Mark R. Aaron	
	State Zip Code	EPA Approving Official	
	lowa N/A	Margaret E. Stockdale	
	Contact	Enforcement Contact	
	Randy Frye	Mark R. Aaron	
_	No Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasu	exceeds \$1,500 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,500) ure Plan/12.3 (\$1,500)	=
7	Plan not certified by a professional engineer/17	2.3(d) (\$450) \$4	150
	Certification lacks one or more required element	nts/12.3(d)(1) (\$100)	
	Plan not maintained on site (if manned at least	four hrs/day) or not available for review 12.3(e)(1) (\$300)	
	No evidence of five-year review of plan by ow	rner/operator/12.5(b) (\$75)	
	No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a or maintenance which affects the facility's		
	Amendment(s) not certified by a professional e	engineer/ 12.5(c) (\$150)	
	No management approval of plan/12.7 (\$450))	
✓	Plan does not follow sequence of the rule and/o	or cross-reference not provided 12.7 (\$150)	150
	Plan does not discuss additional procedures/me	ethods/equipment not yet fully operational 12.7 (\$75)	

	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements 12.7(a)(2) (\$200)	
V	Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram 112.7(a)(3) (\$75)	\$75
✓	Inadequate or no listing of type of oil and storage capacity layout of container \$12.7(a)(3)(i) (\$50)	\$50
	Inadequate or no discharge prevention measures/12.7(a)(3)(ii) (\$50)	
	Inadequate or no description of drainage controls/12.7(a)(3)(iii) (\$50)	
V	Inadequate or no description of countermeasures for discharge discovery, response	\$50
	and cleanup $112.7(a)(3(iv))$ (\$50) Recovered materials not disposed of in accordance with legal requirements $12.7(a)(3)(v)$ (\$50)	
	No contact list & phone numbers for response & reporting discharges 12.7(a)(3)(vi) (\$50)	
J	Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge 12.7(a)(4) (\$100)	\$100
V	Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur 12.7(a)(5) (\$150)	\$150
y	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges 12.7(b) (\$150)	\$150
	Plan does not discuss and facility does not implement appropriate containment/diversionary stuctures/ equipment 112.7 (\$400)	
	If claiming impracticability of contiainment and appropriate diversionary structures: Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated in plan 12.7(d) (\$100)	
	No contingency plan $112.7(d)(1)$ (\$150)	
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials 12.7(d)(2) (\$150)	
	No periodic integrity and leak testing, if impracticability is claimed/12.7(d) (\$150)	
	Plan has no or inadequate discussion of general requirements not already specified 12.7(j) (\$75)	
	QUALIFIED FACILITY REQUIREMENTS: 112.6	_
	Qualified Facility: No Self certification 112.6(a) (\$450)	_
	Qualified Facility: Self certification lacks required elements 12.6(a) (\$100)	
	Qualified Facility: Technical amendments not certified 12.6(b) (\$150)	
	Qualified Facility: Un-allowed deviations from requirements/12.6(c) (\$100)	
	Qualified Facility: Environmental Equivalence or Impracticability not certified by PH 12.6(d) (\$350)	
	WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)	
	Plan does not include inspections and test procedures in accordance with 40 CFR Part 112/12.7(e) (\$75)	
	Inspections and tests required are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility 112.7(e) (\$75)	

	No Inspection records were available for review 12.7(e) (\$200) (Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records)	
	Inspection records are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspectod 12.7(e) (\$75)	
	Inspection records are not maintained for three years 12.7(e) (\$75)	
	PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)	
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges and/or facility operations 112.7(f)(1) (\$75)	-
	No training on discharge procedure protocols/12.7(f)(1) (\$75)	
	No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules and regulations, and/or SPCC plat 12.7(f)(1) (\$75)	
	Training records not maintained for three years 112.7(f)(1) (\$75)	
	No designated person accountable for spill prevention/12.7(f)(2) (\$75)	
	Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted at least annually 12.7(f)(3) (\$75)	
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures 12.7(a)(1) (\$75)	
	SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) I12.7(g)	
	Facility not fully fenced and entrance gates are not locked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production/12.7(g)(1) (\$150)	
	Master flow and drain valves that permit direct outward flow to the surface are not secured in a closed when in a non-operating or standby status/12.7(g)(2) (\$300)	
	Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status 12.7(g)(3) (\$75)	
	Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status 112.7(g)(4) (\$75)	
	Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism 112.7(g)(5) (\$150)	
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security 12.7(a)(1) (\$75)	
_	FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING 112.7(c) and/or (h-j)	
V	Inadequate containment for Loading Area [not consistent with 112.7(c)] 12.7(c) (\$400)	\$400
	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin treatment system, or quick drainage system112.7(h)(1) (\$750)	
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck 112.7(h)(1) (\$450)	

There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake (\$300) interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines 12.7(h)(2)
There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck-112.7(h)(3) (\$150)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack 12.7(a)(1) (\$75)
QUALIFIED OIL OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT 112.7(k)
Failure to establish and document procedures for inspections or a monitoring program to detect equipment failure and/or a discharge 112.7(k)(2)(i) (\$150)
Failure to provide an oil spill contingency plan $12.7(k)(2)(ii)(A)$ (\$150)
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials 12.7(k)(2)(ii)(B) (\$150)
FACILITY DRAINAGE 112.8(b) & (c)
Secondary Containment circumvented due to containment bypass valves left open and/or pumps and ejectors not manually activated to prevent a discharge 12.8(b)(1) and (2), and 112.8(c)(3)(i) (\$600)
Dike water is not inspected prior to discharge and/or valves not open & resealed under responsible supervision 112.8(c)(3)(ii)and(iii) (\$450)
Adequate records (or NPDES permit records) of drainage from diked areas not maintained 12.8(c)(3)(iv) (\$75)
Drainage from undiked areas do not flow into catchment basins ponds or lagoons, or no diversion system to retain or return a discharge to the facility 112.8(b)(3) and (4) (\$450)
Two "lift" pumps are not provided for more that one treatment unit 12.8(b)(5) (\$50)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage 12.7(a)(1) (\$75)
BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS I12.8(c)
Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture 112.7(i) (\$75)
Failure to conduct evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture 12.7(i) (\$300)
Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the oil stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature $112.8(c)(1)$ (\$450)
Secondary containment appears to be inadequate/ 12.8(c)(2) (\$750)
Containment systems, including walls and floors, are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil 12.8(c)(2) (\$375)
Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity (\$150)
Walls of containment system slightly eroded or have low areas (\$300)
Completely buried tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing 112.8(c)(4) (\$150)

Partially buried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion $12.8(c)(5)$ (\$150)	
Aboveground tanks are not subject to visual inspections 12.8(c)(6) (\$450)	
Aboveground tanks are not subject to periodic integrity testing, such as hydrostatic, nondestructive methods, etc. 112.8(c)(6) (\$450)	
Records of inspections (or customary business records) do not include inspections of tank supports, foundation, deterioration, discharges and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas 12.8(c)(6) (\$75)	
Steam return/exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course are not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer or other separation system 12.8(c)(7) (\$150)	
Tank battery installations are not in accordance with good engineering practice because none of the following are present 112.8(c)(8) (\$450)	
No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation 12.8(c)(8)(v) (\$75)	
Effluent treatment facilities which discharge directly to navigable waters are not observed frequently to detect oil spills 112.8(c)(9) (\$150)	
Causes of leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected 12.8(c)(10) (\$450)	
Mobile or portable storage containers are not positioned to prevent discharged oil from reaching navigable water 112.8(c)(11) (\$150)	
Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks 12.8(c)(11) (\$500)	
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks/12.7(a)(1) (\$75)	
FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d)	
Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating or cathodic protection protection 112.8(d)(1) (\$150)	
Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found 12.8(d)(1) (\$450)	
Not-in-service or standby piping is not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin 12.8(d)(2) (\$75)	
Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction 112.8(d)(3) (\$75)	
Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly 12.8(d)(4) (\$300)	
Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted 12.8(d)(4) (\$150)	
Vehicle traffic is not warned of aboveground piping or other oil transfer operation $\$12.8(d)(5)$ (\$150)	
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and facility process 12.7(a)(1) (\$75)	

IN THE MATTER OF Peterson Contractors, Inc., Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2015-0078

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Order was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy by email to Attorney for Complainant:

aaron.mark@epa.gov

Copy by First Class Mail to:

Randy Frye Peterson Contractors, Inc. PO Box A Reinbeck, Iowa 50669

Dated: 9315

Kathy Robinson

Hearing Clerk, Region 7

4 Doinson