

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT 5 \$ TATES UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGE OF THE TREE PROTECTION REGION 7, 11201 RENNER BOULEVARD, LENEXA, KANSAS 662017

2014 JUN 17 AM 10: 08

DOCKET NO. CWA-07-2014-0053

On: September 11, 2013

At: 4404 Rainbow Drive, Jefferson City, Missouri

Owned or operated by, Montgomery City Sinclair, an authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C.i 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached SPILL PREVENTION CONTROL AND COUNTERMEASURE INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS, AND PROPOSED PENALTY FORM (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

This proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the authority vested in the Administrator of the EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. i 1321(b)(6)(B)(i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 C.F.R. i 22.13(b). The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$825.

This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

The EPA finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 C.F.R. Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. Respondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 C.F.R. Part 112 and that the EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to the EPA's jurisdiction. Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above.

Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$825, payable to the "Environmental Protection Agency," via certified mail to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency P.O. Box 979077 St. Louis, Missouri 63197-9000

and Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check "Docket No. CWA-07-2014-0053" and "OSLTF – 311." The original, signed Settlement Agreement and copy of the penalty payment check must be sent via certified mail to:

Mark Aaron
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 7, AWMD/STOP
11201 Renner Boulevard
Lenexa, Kansas 66201

This Expedited Settlement resolves Respondent's liability for Federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, the EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, the EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to the EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to the EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

2 Date 5

Chief, Storage Tanks and Oil Pollution Branch (STOP) Air and Waste Management Division
APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:
Name (print): WAYNE KUEBLER
Title (print): PRESIDENT - OWNER
Signature Luller
Date: May 9th 2014

The estimated cost for correcting the violation(s) is:

\$ 1975 %

APPROVED BY EPA

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Karina Borromeo 6-17-14

Regional Judicial Officer



EXPERIENCE SETTEMENT DE CHELLARIO SENTES STATES ENTENDES TA ELLE EN TROPANIENT AL PROTECTION AND SENTES STATES SEL YOU ZA ELLE ENNER BELLE EX ARE LE PROTECTION AND SENTES STATES

SO : OLMA TIMUL 4103

DOCULE NO CSC 545-285-2864

Oic Sentember I L 2013

Acc., Asthi Rainhow, Del. c., septembre Cato, Miscom

Owned as operated by Montgomery Cly Sinchit and Johnsonzed representative of the United Sinces for consumitation of the Continued Since and inspection for determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention Section 3/1() of the Clean Water Act (3.3 U.S. J. 1821)) Section 3/1() of the Clean Water Act (3.3 U.S. J. 1821)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violent expections implementing Section Violent Continue (benchmarked to the Act). See the Continue of the Act (1.5) and (1.5) and

This proceeding and the Expedited Sattlement on incler the authority vested in the Administrator of the EPA by Section 34 (fb)(6)(8)(1) of the Act. 34 (fs, C.). 12.2 (fb)(6)(8)(1) is immended by fig. 0)(Pollaphic Act of Multi-cardin 40 C. 1.2. (a.). 1.2.13(b). The nature enter one this Expedited Scilleng of the Country of the Sattle the exists inflations described in the Form to a nearly of \$8.25.

This seriously is subject to the following arms and

The ERA tank that Kespandent is subject to the SPC ognistions, which are published at 41 C.F. Ic. Part 112 and the violated the regulations as further described in the Form Respondent admits that he she is subject to 40 C.F. P. For I I and the EPA has include is subject to 40 C.F. P. For I I and the EPA has intention over Respondent and Kespondent and the EPA has intention over Respondent and Joes not concest the Inspection Fordings, and waives not discribed it may have to the EPA's jurisdictional discribed to the energy of the EPA's purisdictional discribed to the energy of the penalty stand

Respondent Jeruffles only as to civil and craminal penalties for analogy a take animession in the United States Scovernment, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$825, parable to the "Early transcential Protection Agency," via certified and test

U.S. Environmental Protection A sensy P.O. Back Front? Sc. Louis, Missieri, 6319 1-9000

and Resembled free mitot on the penalty payment check Tookket No. CW A-07-2014-0085" and "OSLTF - 3-11." The original algreed Settle favor. Agreement and copy of the penalty payment check must be sent via certified con. 101.

> Wark Aarra U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 7, AWMD/STOP 1 1204 Renne Bontevard Leneva Kates & M. 201

This Expedited Septement probles, Respondent's liability for Ledom civil penalties for the constitutes of the SPCC regulations the reliability regulations the reliability form. However, the LPA ages not written any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present of fatter violations by Respondent of the SPC regulations of the specific regulations of the specific regulations of the specific and regulations of the specific and regulations are required in the specific past of the specific past of the specific regulations and Allegerical States and Allegerical particular in the Form

I pon signing and returning the Expedient Settlement to the EPA. Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to the EPA's approval of the Expedient Settlement without farther notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding in the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

ASSEVE OF TANADOS

The Samuer Links and Oil Politerion Briefle (S10D)

Name (gring) 1. A give 100 (- 1 - 1

The state of the s

The estimated cost for correcting that endution(s) is

P. C. L. 3

IT IS SO OR DERED:

Karma to man one in its

Regional Judicial Officer

Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 7 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Docket Number CWA-07-2014-0053

Company Name

Rainbow Market

V

1

Facility Name	Date Sa
Rainbow Market	Date 11-Sep-13
Address	Inspection Number
4404 Rainbow Drive	N/A
City	Inspector's Name
Jefferson City	Mark Aaron
State Zip Code	EPA Approving Official
Missouri 65109	Margaret E. Stockdale
Contact	Enforcement Contacts
Wayne Kuebler	Mark Aaron
(Bulk Stora GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a), (d), (e	of Findings age Facilities) e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) 51,500 enter only the maximum allowable of \$1,500)
No Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan-1: Plan not certified by a professional engineer-112.3(d) (
Certification lacks one or more required elements -112.	.3(d)(1) (\$100) \$100
Plan not maintained on site (if manned at least four (4) (\$300) No evidence of five-year review of plan by owner/opera	
No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a change in or maintenance which affects the facility's discharge po	
Amendment(s) not certified by a professional engineer-	-112.5(c) (\$150)
No management approval of plan-112.7 (\$450)	
Plan does not follow sequence of the rule and/or cross-	reference not provided-112.7 (\$150)
Plan does not discuss additional procedures/methods/e	equipment not yet fully operational-112.7 (\$75)

	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements 12.7(a)(2) (\$200)	
	Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram-112.7(a)(3) (\$75)	
	Inadequate or no listing of type of oil and storage capacity layout of containers 12.7(a)(3)(i) (\$50)	
	Inadequate or no discharge prevention measures-112.7(a)(3)(ii) (\$50)	
	Inadequate or no description of drainage controls-112.7(a)(3)(iii) (\$50)	
	Inadequate or no description of countermeasures for discharge discovery, response and cleanup 12.7(a)(3)(iv)	
~	(\$50) Recovered materials not disposed of in accordance with legal requirements 12.7(a)(3)(v) (\$50)	\$50
	No contact list & phone numbers for response & reporting discharges 12.7(a)(3)(vi) (\$50)	
	Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge 12.7(a)(4) (\$100)	
	Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur 12.7(a)(5)(\$150)	
	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges 12.7(b) (\$150)	
	Plan does not discuss and facility does not implement appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipment-112.7 (\$400)	
	- If claiming impracticability of appropriate containment/diversionary structures: Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated in plan-112.7(d) (\$100)	
	No contingency plan-112.7(d)(1) (\$150)	
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials-112.7(d)(2) (\$150)	
	No periodic integrity and leak testing, if impracticability is claimed -112.7(d) (\$150)	
	Plan has no or inadequate discussion of general requirements not already specified (\$75)	
	QUALIFIED FACILITY REQUIREMENTS: 112.6	
	Qualified Facility: No Self certification-112.6(a) (\$450)	
	Qualified Facility: Self certification lacks required elements-112.6(a) (\$100)	
	Qualified Facility: Technical amendments not certified-112.6(b) (\$150)	
	Qualified Facility: Un-allowed deviations from requirements-112.6(c)(\$100)	
	Qualified Facility: Environmental Equivalence or Impracticability not certified by PE112.6(d) (\$350)	
	WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)	
	Plan does not include inspections and test procedures in accordance with 40 CFR Part 112 112.7(e) (\$75)	
	Inspections and tests required are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility 12.7(e) (\$75)	

	No Inspection records were available for review -112.7(e) (\$200) - Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records:	
	Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector-112.7(e) (\$75)	
	Are not maintained for three years-112.7(e) (\$75)	
	PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)	
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges and or facility operations 112.7(f)(1) (\$75)	
V	No training on discharge procedure protocols-112.7(f)(1) (\$75)	\$75
	No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules, and regulations and/or SPCC plan 12.7(f)(1)(\$75)	
	Training records not maintained for 3 years-112.7(f)(1) (\$75)	
	No designated person accountable for spill prevention-112.7(f)(2) (\$75)	
V	Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted at least annually 112.7(f)(3) (\$75)	\$75
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures-12.7(a)(1) (\$75)	
	SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(g)	_
	Facility not fully fenced and entrance gates are not locked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production-12.7(g)(1). (\$150)	
	Master flow and drain valves that permit direct outward flow to the surface are not secured in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status-12.7(g)(2). (\$300)	
	in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status-112.7(g)(2). (\$300) Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible	
	in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status-112.7(g)(2). (\$300) Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status-12.7(g)(3). (\$75) Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged	
	in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status-112.7(g)(2). (\$300) Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status-12.7(g)(3). (\$75) Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status-112.7(g)(4). (\$75) Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and	
	in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status-112.7(g)(2). (\$300) Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status-12.7(g)(3). (\$75) Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status-112.7(g)(4). (\$75) Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism-112.7(g)(5). (\$150)	
	Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status 12.7(g)(3). (\$75) Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status-112.7(g)(4). (\$75) Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism-112.7(g)(5). (\$150) Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security 12.7(a)(1) (\$75)	
	Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status \$12.7(g)(3)\$. (\$75) Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status \$-112.7(g)(4)\$. (\$75) Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism-\$112.7(g)(5)\$. (\$150) Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security \$12.7(a)(1)\$ (\$75) FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING 112.7(c) and/or (h-j)	

	There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake (\$300) interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines 12.7(h)(2)
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck-112.7(h)(3) (\$150)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack-12.7(a)(1). (\$75) QUALIFIED OIL OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT 112.7(k)
wa-awaaaaa	QUILLIALD OIL OI LIGHTONNE LAQUENILIA TILINA
	Failure to establish and document procedures for inspections or a monitoring program to detect equipment failure and/or a discharge- $112.7(k)(2)(i)$ (\$150)
	Failure to provide an oil spill contingency plan-112.7(k)(2)(ii)(A) (\$150)
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials-112.7(k)(2)(ii)(B) (\$150)
	FACILITY DRAINAGE 112.8(b) & (c)
	Secondary Containment circumvented due to containment bypass valves left open and/or pumps and ejectors not manually activated to prevent a discharge-112.8(b)(1)&(2) and 112.8(c)3)(i) (\$650)
	Dike water is not inspected prior to discharge and/or valves not open & resealed under responsible supervision-112.8(c)(3)(ii)&(iii) (\$450)
	Adequate records (or NPDES permit records) of drainage from diked areas not maintained 12.8(c)(3)(iv)
	(\$75) Drainage from undiked areas do not flow into catchment basins ponds, or lagoons, or no diversion systems to retain or return a discharge to the facility-112.8(b)(3)&(4) (\$450)
	Two "lift" pumps are not provided for more that one treatment unit-12.8(b)(5) (\$50)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage \$12.7(a)(1) (\$75)
	BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.8(c)
	Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture-112.7(i) (\$75)
	Failure to conduct evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture 12.7(i) (\$300)
	Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the oil stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature- $112.8(c)(1)$ (\$450)
	Secondary containment appears to be inadequate-112.8(c)(2) (\$750)
	Containment systems, including walls and floors are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil 12.8(c)(2) (\$375)
	Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity (\$150)
	Walls of containment system slightly eroded or have low areas (\$300)
	Completely buried tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing-112.8(c)(4) (\$150)

	Partially buried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion 12.8(c)(5) (\$150)	
	Aboveground tanks are not subject to visual inspections-112.8(c)(6) (\$450)	
V	Aboveground tanks are not subject to periodic integrity testing, such as hydrostatic, nondestructive methods, etc $112.8(c)(6)$ (\$450)	\$450
	Records of inspections (or customary business records) do not include inspections of tank (\$75) supports/foundation, deterioration, discharges and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas#12.8(c)(6)	
	Steam return /exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course are (\$150) not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system \$\frac{12.8(c)}{7}\$	
	Tank battery installations are not in accordance with good engineering practice becauseone of the following are present-112.8(c)(8) (\$450)	
	No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation \$\frac{12.8(c)(8)(v)}{(\$75)}\$	
	Effluent treatment facilities which discharge directly to navigable waters are not observed frequently to detect oil spills-112.8(c)(9) (\$150)	
	Causes of leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected 12.8(c)(10) (\$450)	
	Mobile or portable storage containers are not positioned to prevent discharged oil from reaching navigable water-112.8(c)(11) (\$150)	
	Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks 112.8(c)(11) (\$500)	
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks 12.7(a)(1) (\$75)	
	FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d)	
	Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection/12.8(d)(1). (\$150)	-
	Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found 12.8(d)(1)	
	(\$450) Not-in-service or standby piping are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin \$12.8(d)(2) (\$75)	
	Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction- $112.8(d)(3)$ (\$75)	
	expansion and contraction- $112.8(d)(3)$ (\$75)	
	expansion and contraction-112.8(d)(3) (\$75) Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly 12.8(d)(4) (\$300)	
	expansion and contraction-112.8(d)(3) (\$75) Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly112.8(d)(4) (\$300) Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted112.8(d)(4) (\$150) Vehicle traffic is not warned of aboveground piping or other oil transfer operations12.8(d)(5) (\$150) Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and facility process12.7(a)(1).	
	expansion and contraction-112.8(d)(3) (\$75) Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly 12.8(d)(4) (\$300) Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted 12.8(d)(4) (\$150) Vehicle traffic is not warned of aboveground piping or other oil transfer operations 12.8(d)(5) (\$150)	

IN THE MATTER Of Montgomery City Sinclair, Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2014-0053

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Order was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy emailed to Attorney for Complainant:

nazar.kristen@epa.gov

Copy by First Class Mail to Respondent:

Wayne Kuebler President-Owner Montgomery City Sinclair 4404 Rainbow Drive Jefferson City, Missouri 65109

Dated:

Kathy Robinson

Hearing Clerk, Region 7