APPROVED BY EPA:

Steven Jawgie,

Regional Judicial Officer



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 9

75 HAWTHORNE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105 EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

DOCKET NO.: SPCC-09-2008 00 1 9

November 7, 2007 On:

2891 Mosquito Rd. Placerville, CA 95667 At:

Owned & Operated by: Hunt & Sons, Inc. (Respondent)

An authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention ("SPCC") regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(j), (the "Act"), and found that Respondent had failed to comply with the SPCC regulations as noted on the attached SPCC INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS AND PROPOSED PENALTY FORM ("Form"), which is hereby incorporated by reference. By its first signature below, EPA ratifies the Inspection findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

EPA finds the Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations and has violated the SPCC regulations as further described in the Form. The Respondent admits to being subject to 40 CFR § 112 and that EPA has jurisdiction over the Respondent and the Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections Respondent may have to EPA's jurisdiction.

EPA is authorized to enter into this Expedited Settlement under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(6) (B)(i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 CFR § 22.13(b). The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$650.00. The Respondent consents to the assessment of this penalty.

This Expedited Settlement also is subject to the following terms and conditions: Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$650.00, payable to the "Treasurer, United States of America," with the notation "Spill Fund - 311" and the Docket Number stated above.

This Expedited Settlement must be returned by certified mail to: OPA Enforcement Coordinator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 (SFD-9-4), 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105-3901. The certified check for payment must be sent by certified mail to: U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Fines and Penalties, Cincinnati Finance Center, P.O. Box 979077, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000.

After this Expedited Settlement becomes effective, EPA will take no further action against the Respondent for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form.

However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by the Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective immediately on the date filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk. If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement as presented within 30 days of the date of its receipt, the proposed Expedited Settlement is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other enforcement action for the noncompliance identified in the Form.

Date: 21 March 200 8

R9 REV. 11/3/2003

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:
Name (print): R. DSAN HEKT
Title (print): PRESIDENT
Date MAR 18, 2008 Signature
IT IS SO ORDERED:

Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 9 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Company 1	Name	Docket Number: UNITED STARTS	
Hunt & Sons, Inc.		SPCC-09-2008-0006	
Facility Na	ame	Date	
		Date 11/7/07	
Address		Inspection Number	
2891 Mosc	quito Rd.	08-4001	
City:		Inspector:	
Placerville		Ms. Elizabeth M. Cox	
State:	Zip Code:	EPA Approving Official:	
CA	95667	Keith Takata	
Contact:		Enforcement Contacts:	
R. Dean H	unt	Mark Samolis Phone 415-947-4273	
		(d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d) s \$1,000.00 enter only the minimum allowable of \$1,000.00.	
No Spil	ll Prevention Control and Countermeasure	Plan- 112.3 \$1,000	
Plan no	t certified by a professional engineer- 112.	<i>3(d)</i>	
No man	nagement approval of plan- 112.7		
Plan no	t maintained on site (applies if facility is n	nanned at least four (4) hours per day)- 112.3(e)(1) 100	
Plan no	t available for review- 112.3(e)(1)		
No evidence of five-year review of plan by owner/operator- 112.5(b)			
	lence of five-year review of plan by owner	/operator- 112.5(b)	
or main	amendment(s) if the facility has had a cha		
7	amendment(s) if the facility has had a chatenance which affects the facility's discha	ange in: design, construction, operation,	

	Plan does not discuss additional procedures/methods/equipment not yet fully operational- 112.7 50.00
	Plan does not discuss conformance with SPCC requirement- 112.7(a)(1)
	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements- 112.7(a)(2) 50.00
	Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram- 112.7(a)(3)
	Plan has inadequate or no description of the physical layout of the facility- 112.7(a)(3)(i-vi)
	Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge- $112.7(a)(4)$ 100.00
	Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur- 112.7(a)(5) 100.00
	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges- 112.7(b) 100.00
	Plan does not discuss appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipment- $112.7(c)$ 100.00
	- If claiming impracticability of appropriate containment/diversionary structures:
	Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated- $112.7(d)$
	No contingency plan- 112.7(d)(1)
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)
ď	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of conformance with SPCC rules or applicable State rules, regulations and guidelines- 112.7(j)
WF	ITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)
<u>wr</u>	ITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e) Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
_	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written
_	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
_	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
_	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
_	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
_	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
_	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
_	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
_	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
_	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
_	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)

	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures				
SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(g)					
	Facility not fully fenced and entrance gates are not locked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production- 112.7(g)(1)				
	Master flow and drain valves that permit direct outward flow to the surface are not secured in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status- $112.7(g)(2)$				
	Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status- $112.7(g)(3)$ 50.00				
	Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status- 112.7(g)(4)				
	Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism- 112.7(g)(5)				
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security				
	FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING RACK 112.7(h)				
	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quick drainage system- 112.7(h)(1)				
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(1)				
	There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines- 112.7(h)(2) 200.00				
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(3)				
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack				
	FACILITY DRAINAGE FROM DIKED AREAS 112.8(b) & (c)				
	Valves used for drainage from diked storage areas to drainage system, watercourse, or effluent treatment system not controlled to prevent a discharge- 112.8(b)(2)				
	Run-off rainwater from diked areas is not inspected- 112.8(c)(3)(ii)				
	Valves not opened and resealed under responsible supervision- 112.8(c)(3)(iii)				
	$Adequate\ records\ (or\ NPDES\ permit\ records)\ of\ drainage\ from\ diked\ areas\ not\ maintained-\ 112.8(c)(3)(iv)\ 50.00$				
	FACILITY DRAINAGE FROM UNDIKED AREAS 112.8(b)				
=					
	Drainage from undiked areas do not flow into catchment basins ponds, or lagoons, or no diversion systems to retain or return a discharge to the facility- $112.8(b)(3)&(4)$				
	Two "lift" pumps are not provided for more that one treatment unit- $112.8(b)(5)$				
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage				

BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.8(c)

	Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture- 112.7(i)
	Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the oil stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature- $112.8(c)(1)$
	Secondary containment appears to be inadequate- 112.8(c)(2)
	Containment systems, including walls and floors are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil- 112.8(c)(2) 250.00
	Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity and/or walls slightly eroded
	Containment bypass valves are not sealed closed when not draining rainwater- $112.8(c)(3)(i)$ 400.00
	Completely buried tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing- 112.8(c)(4)
	Partially buried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion-112.8(c)(5)
	Aboveground tanks are not subject to visual inspections- 112.8(c)(6)
	Aboveground tanks are not subject to periodic integrity testing, such as hydrostatic, nondestructive methods, etc $112.8(c)(6)$
	Records of inspections (or customary business records) do not include inspections of tank supports/foundation, deterioration, discharges and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas- 112.8(c)(6) 100.00
_	
	Steam return /exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course are not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- $112.8(c)(7)$ 100.00
Cor	
Co	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- $112.8(c)(7)$ 100.00
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7) 100.00 ntainer installations are not engineered if:
Co	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7) 100.00 ntainer installations are not engineered if: No audible or visual high liquid level alarm- 112.8(c)(8)(i), or
Co	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- $112.8(c)(7)$
Coi	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Coi	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Coi	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- $112.8(c)(7)$
Coi	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)

FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d)

Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection -112.8(d)(1). 100.00
Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found- $112.8(d)(1) \dots 300.00$
Not-in-service or standby piping are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin- 112.8(d)(2) 50.00
Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction- 112.8(d)(3)
Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly- 112.8(d)(4)
Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted- 112.8(d)(4)
Vehicle traffic is not warned of aboveground piping or other oil transfer operations- 112.8(d)(5) 100.00
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and facility process 50.00
TOTAL \$ 650.00

Explanation of Violations:

- 1. 40 CFR \$112.7 requires that the SPCC Plan follow the sequence of the rule with the proper citations or be provided with a cross-reference page.
- 2. 40 CFR §112.7(e) requires regular inspections be conducted according to written procedures in the SPCC Plan and that records of these inspections be kept for a minimum of 3 years.
- 3. The facility has multiple "empty" bulk storage containers, some with a capacity of up to 8,000 gallons. Most of the containers are stored adjacent to the creek with no secondary containment. These containers are not permanently closed as defined in 40 CFR §112.2 and contain residual oil.
- 40 CFR §112.8(c)(2) requires that all bulk storage containers be provided with a secondary means of containment for the entire capacity of the largest container plus freeboard for precipitation. Because these containers are not permanently closed, they are still required to have secondary containment. The facility must provide a secondary means of containment for the largest one of these tanks stored on the facility plus room for precipitation. The oil/water separator capacity (3,000) is not sufficient to receive the capacity of the largest of these tanks (8,000 gallon) observed at the time of inspection and thus, cannot be considered secondary containment for these tanks. In addition, according to 40 CFR §112.7, all bulk storage containers, including these tanks must be included in the SPCC Plan.
- 4. 40 CFR §112.8(c)(6) requires that each aboveground container be tested for integrity on a regular schedule and whenever material repairs are made. These tests must be in accordance with industry standards for non-destructive shell-thickness testing and you must keep records.
- 5. 40 CFR §112.8(c)(11) requires that mobile or portable oil storage containers be positioned in order to prevent a discharge and that a secondary means of containment, such as a dike or catchment basin, sufficient to contain the capacity of the largest single container plus freeboard for precipitation. The facility must either move the totes currently located adjacent to the creek, to a location that drains to the oil/water separator or provide containment so that a discharge from the totes could not get off of the facility or reach the creek.

Area of Concern:

1. Piping runs through the secondary containment wall of the Tank Farm and minor cracking was observed. 40 CFR §112.8(c)(2) requires that all bulk storage containers be provided with a secondary means of containment for the entire capacity of the largest container plus freeboard for precipitation. The facility should inspect the pipe seals on a regular basis and correct any cracks or holes in the seal immediately in order to preserve the capacity of the containment for the Tank Farm.

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I certify that the original and the foregoing Expedited SPCC Settlement Agreement in the matter of **Hunt and Sons, Inc., SPCC-09-2008-0019** has been filed with the Region 9 Hearing Clerk and that copies were sent return receipt requested to the following:

Mr. Dean Hunt Hunt and Sons, Inc. 2891 Mosquito Rd. Placerville, CA 95667 Certified Mail No.: 7006 0810 0003 9306 0898

Date: 4-1-08

Danielle Carr

Regional Hearing Clerk

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

De & Carr

Region IX

75 Hawthorne Street

San Francisco, CA 94105

cc: Ms. Elizabeth Whitsel (MS-002) EPA Cincinnati Finance Center 26 W. Martin Luther King Dr. Cincinnati, OH 45628