07 AUG -8 PM 3: 35
REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

EPA REGION VI



Benton County School of the Arts (Docket # TSCA 06-2006-6078) 2005 S. 12th Street Rogers, Arkansas 72758 August 3, 2007

Lorena S. Vaughn, Regional Hearing Clerk Environmental Protection Agency 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, Texas 75202

Ms Vaughn:

I am writing in response to the complaint letter dated March 28, 2007 and the order to file answer dated July 9, 2007. The following will outline the events that have occurred following the notification that the school was out of compliance.

- 1) The original inspection of the facility was conducted by Haz-Mert to determine the status of the building. Documentation has been provided that outlines that initial inspection and the results. However, no evidence was found that indicated that a management plan was developed. I met with officials from Haz-Mert in February of 2007 and discussed the issue. They were able to document the original inspection and findings, but could not produce a management plan as required.
- 2) Haz-Mert performed an additional inspection in early March of 2007 and provided some additional suggestions on dealing with the remaining asbestos material in the building. The remaining material is found in the adhesive that was used to attach floor tiles. It was recommended that the remaining tile and adhesive be removed.
- 3) I contacted Environmental Enterprise Group in Fayetteville, Arkansas, at the request of officials with Haz-Mert to see about scheduling the material removal. That removal was accomplished in July 2007.
- 4) Following this removal, the building should be asbestos free and we will have documentation to verify that status.

- 5) The regional educational cooperative, Northwest Arkansas Educational Service Cooperative, of which BCSA is a member, has a certified inspector on staff. We will have a management plan developed and our co-op specialist will provide the inspections as required and provide the documentation to verify compliance on an annual basis.
- 6) These steps should allow us to meet the requirements outlined in 40 CFR sections 763.93 (a)(3), 763.93 (i), 763.93 (e)(4), 763.94 (d), 763.94 (c), 763.93 (g)(4), and 763.84 (c).

The school does not deny any of the allegations contained in the complaint. The school does not wish to request a hearing on the matter. Our goal has been to remove the remaining material and develop a management plan with the assistance of our consultant from Environmental Enterprise Group, Inc. This information has been reported to Elvia Evering, our contact person within the department.

Thus far our efforts have been to rectify the situation with regard to the lack of a management plan and the abatement of any additional asbestos containing material within the elementary wing of our facility. As you will be able to see from the enclosed information, the abatement process has been completed.

Additional information will be available as the plans are further developed. If you need additional information or if this submission is not acceptable please contact me and I will provide whatever is necessary to comply with the EPA requirements. Our goal is to provide a safe environment for our students and staff and we will take whatever steps are necessary to accomplish it. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Gary L. Moore, Administrator Benton County School of the Arts



LAVIRONMENTAL ENTER SISE GROUP, INC. A 3W Company

Environmental Consulting and Laboratory Services

INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE AIR MONITORING REPORT

for

Asbestos Removal Project Benton County School of the Arts 2005 South 12th Street Rogers, Arkansas 72758

Project # 07-0111-061

220 North Knoxville, Suite 200 Russellville, Arkansas 72801 (479) 968-6767 • Fax (479) 968-1956

2209 Main Drive Fayetteville, Arkansas 72704 (479) 444-6214 • Fax (479) 444-0437 www.3wco.com

(800) 530-7968

Prepared for:

Mr. Gary Moore Benton Co. School of the Arts 2005 South 12th Street Rogers, Arkansas 72758

July 18, 2007

Committed To Understanding, Servicing, and Satisfying Our Clients

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 SUN	IMARY OF WORK	1
2.0 QU	ALIFICATION STATEMENT/ LABORATORY QUALIFICATIONS	2
2.1	QUALIFICATION STATEMENT	2
2.2	LABORATORY QUALIFICATIONS	3
3.0 ME	THODOLOGIES	4
3.1	PHASE CONTRAST MICROSCOPY (PCM)	4
3.2	AIR SAMPLING BEFORE ABATEMENT BEGINS	4
3.3	PERSONAL SAMPLING	
3.4	AREA AIR SAMPLING INSIDE THE WORK AREA	4
3.5	AREA AIR SAMPLING OF ADJACENT AREAS	5
3.6	AREA AIR SAMPLING OUTSIDE THE WORK AREA	5
3.7	AIR SAMPLING AFTER FINAL CLEANUP OF WORK AREA	5
3.8	SUMMARY OF COMPLETION OF RESPONSE ACTIONS	6

APPENDICES

Daily Project Management and Air Sampling Reports

Field Air Sampling and TEM Analysis Reports

Abatement Project Visual Inspection and Clearance Sampling Report

Clearance of Project

Accreditations

Contractor: Gerken Environmental

Springfield, Missouri

1.0 SUMMARY OF WORK

ENVIRONMENTAL ENTERPRISE GROUP, INC. (EEG) was retained by Mr. Gary Moore of Benton County School of the Arts to perform Project Management and Industrial Hygiene Air Monitoring Services on the asbestos removal project at Benton County School of the Arts located in Rogers, Arkansas. Mr. Kendall Shelby performed Project Management and Air Monitoring services on July 9, 2007. Mr. Shelby has successfully completed the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) 582 Equivalency Course for Fiber Counting and Analysis, the Asbestos Abatement Supervisor Training Course, and the Principles of Asbestos Air Monitoring Course. Mr. Shelby is also certified by the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) in the disciplines of Project Designer, Air Monitor and Inspector, Certification No. 011484.

The scope of work for this project involved the removal of asbestos-containing floor tile and mastic located at Benton County School of the Arts in Rogers, Arkansas.

On July 9, 2007, Mr. Shelby arrived at the project site and met with Mr. Armando Garcia of Gerken Environmental. Mr. Shelby performed the visual inspection of the affected area at the completion of the abatement activity. Air clearance samples were collected by Mr. Shelby from 4:12 p.m. to 7:12 p.m. on July 9, 2007.

Air clearance samples were analyzed by Mr. James Blankenship utilizing Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) at Steve Moody Micro Services in Farmers Branch, Texas. The results of the final clearance samples were below the clearance criterion of 70 structures per square millimeter (<70 s/mm²). Results were reported to Mr. Armando Garcia of Gerken Environmental at the completion of the project.

This report cannot be copied without the written permission of EEG Inc., Gerken Environmental, and Mr. Gary Moore.

This report prepared by:

Bob E. Smith

Senior Project Manager

07/18/07

Date

2.0 QUALIFICATION STATEMENT/ LABORATORY QUALIFICATIONS

2.1 Qualification Statement

Environmental Enterprise Group (EEG), Inc. is an environmental consulting and analytical services firm specializing in hazardous materials assessment. EEG's staff has provided consulting services for federal, state and local governments, environmental agencies, commercial, industrial and manufacturing facilities, lending institutions, hospitals, schools and real estate investment firms.

The staff of EEG is dedicated to the fulfillment of all commitments to the client and the completion of tasks in a timely, accurate and cost-effective manner. This dedication has produced a solid client base that can attest to the quality of our services.

The staff of EEG has performed Hazard Assessment Surveys on more than 20 million square feet of building space and over 1,000 Environmental Site Assessments for a wide range of clients throughout the country. Our unique combination of professional disciplines allows us to provide a comprehensive, interdisciplinary approach to environmental and industrial hygiene inspections. Our staff has more than twenty years of environmental experience ranging from field inspection to laboratory analysis.

EEG's scope of services has grown as the environmental consulting needs of our clients have increased. The company was founded in 1988 as an industrial hygiene and asbestos consulting and analytical firm. With the solid financial backing of our parent corporation, EWI Constructors, Inc., founded in 1956, EEG has been able to acquire the staff and analytical equipment necessary to provide comprehensive environmental services to our clients.

With accreditation and quality control as a fundamental guideline, EEG has been given federal and state approval to analyze and/or supervise projects dealing with various environmental hazards including airborne and bulk asbestos.

The entire staff believes that all of our clients should clearly understand the meaning and impact of our findings as it relates to the property in question. Our environmental reports provide firm conclusions and realistic recommendations, taking into consideration relevant and applicable local, state and federal requirements. The foundation of all work performed by the staff of EEG is the dedication to the fulfillment of all client commitments and the completion of tasks in a timely, accurate and effective manner.



2.2 Laboratory Qualifications

EEG's laboratory is fully accredited for Bulk Asbestos Analysis for Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) under the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) – NVLAP and for Phase Contrast Microscopy (PCM) Air Analysis under the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) as an Industrial Hygiene Laboratory.

EEG's laboratory quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) program is based on procedures recommended by the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA), the NIOSH and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA).

TEM asbestos fiber analysis is conducted according to NVLAP Test Method/Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response ACT (AHERA) Mandatory Method (40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Chapter I (1-1-87 edition), Part 763, Subpart E, Appendix A) or the current U.S. EPA method to determine completion of response actions for analyzing asbestos air samples by TEM.

PCM airborne asbestos sample analysis is conducted according to NIOSH Method 7400. EEG's laboratory is also a successful participant in the NIOSH/AIHA PAT Program.

PLM bulk asbestos sample analysis is conducted according to NVLAP Test Method (40 CFR Chapter I (1-1-87 edition), Part 763, Subpart F, Appendix A, pages 293-299), or the current U.S. EPA method for the analysis of asbestos in building material by PLM.

3.0 METHODOLOGIES

3.1 Phase Contrast Microscopy (PCM)

Air samples are analyzed by Phase Contrast Microscopy (PCM) using the NIOSH Method 7400. PCM is a technique using a light microscope equipped to provide enhanced contrast between the fibers collected and the background filter material. Samples for analysis by PCM are collected on a 25-mm, mixed cellulose ester (MCE) filter with a 0.45 to 1.2 micrometer pore size. Filters are then prepared by an acetone vapor that renders the filter material optically transparent. The filter is then examined under a positive phase contrast microscope at a magnification of approximately 400x. Fibers are sized and counted using a calibrated reticle fitted into the microscope eyepiece.

PCM is the analytical method specified in the OSHA Asbestos Standards 29 CFR 1910.1001 and 29 CFR 1926.1101.

3.2 Air Sampling Before Abatement Begins

Prevalent level samples are typically collected throughout the building as well as in the areas where abatement will take place. One sample is collected for every 50,000 cubic feet of building space (minimum of 3 samples). At least one sample may be collected from outside the building.

3.3 Personal Sampling

Personal sampling is conducted during a renovation or abatement project to determine employees' exposure (outside the respirator) to airborne fibers. Monitoring during an abatement project is required by the OSHA Asbestos Standards (29 CFR 1910.1001 and 1926.1101). Personal sampling can be used to select proper respiratory protection for an employee if conditions warrant something other than Type C respirators. Data from personal monitoring can be used as an indication of effective removal or control techniques that result in the lowest employee exposure.

Personal samples are collected at a flow rate of 0.5-2.5 liters per minute (Lpm). Samples for asbestos exposure are collected to determine the 8-hour, time-weighted average concentration.

Over an eight-hour period, filters may have to be changed several times to prevent overloading. Each filter concentration can be calculated by using the following equation to obtain a time-weighted average concentration for the total sampling period:

$$\frac{C_1T_1 + C_2T_2 + C_3T_3...}{T_1 + T_2 + T_3...} = \text{Time-Weighted Average,}$$

where C is the fiber concentration expressed as fibers per cubic centimeter (f/cc) and T is the duration of sample in minutes.

3.4 Area Air Sampling Inside the Work Area

In addition to personal samples, area air samples may be collected from inside the work area daily to determine the concentrations of airborne asbestos fibers. Two to three samples are usually adequate to index the airborne fiber concentrations inside the work area.



3.5 Area Air Sampling of Adjacent Areas

During an abatement project, samples may be collected from adjacent locations outside the work area but inside the building, to determine how well asbestos fibers are being contained in the worksite. Potential leakage points where sampling is conducted include the clean side of the containment barriers separating the work area from occupied parts of the building and inside the clean room of the decontamination unit.

If the abatement project is being conducted in a multistory building, area air samples are collected from floors above and below the abatement activity.

3.6 Area Air Sampling Outside the Work Area

Area air samples are placed in locations outside the work area during the abatement project to detect leakage of fibers from the worksite. Typically, pumps are placed at the entrance of the decontamination unit, at doors or windows near the exhaust of the negative air filtration units and at the waste load out area.

3.7 Air Sampling After Final Cleanup of Work Area

Area air sampling is conducted upon conclusion of an asbestos abatement project to estimate the airborne concentration of residual fibers. The area must pass a thorough visual inspection for remaining material before final clearance sampling is initiated.

A visual inspection is typically conducted in two phases. First, an inspector determines the completeness of removal. If any asbestos-containing material is clinging to the substrate, then the removal is not complete and the inspection does not continue. Once the removal is determined to be complete, all plastic coverings are removed except those essential to the integrity of the containment. The work area is then inspected for cleanliness. If any dust or debris is found, the area is re-cleaned before air sampling begins. The visual inspection process is subjective, or based on the inspector's professional judgment. EEG, Inc. is not liable for areas or concerns not within the Scope of Work.

Final clearance air samples are typically collected using high-volume pumps to draw at least 1,200 liters of air for PCM and 1,500 to 1,800 liters for TEM, which is within the sampling volume range recommended by AHERA. The number of samples collected depends on the size of the removal area and the sampling and analytical procedures being followed. Samples are collected using aggressive techniques. Aggressive air sampling involves physically or mechanically agitating the air in the work area during the sampling process. Typically, a 1-horsepower leaf blower is used on all surfaces in the work area to dislodge any residual fibers. The purpose of final clearance air sampling using aggressive techniques is to produce a "worst case" scenario. If the work area passes the final clearance levels in this "worst case" environment, then the likelihood of airborne asbestos fiber levels ever rising above the clearance level is remote.

Clearance samples are typically analyzed by PCM or TEM; however, TEM is the analytical method recognized as having the best resolution and positive fiber identification capabilities.



3.8 Summary of Completion of Response Actions

PCM

- Collect and analyze a minimum of five (5) inside samples. On non-AHERA projects in areas less than 300 square feet a minimum of three (3) inside samples. Analyze using the NIOSH 7400 Method.
- Analyze two (2) field blanks (or 10% of the total samples, whichever is greater)
- ♦ Analytical results must show that the concentration of fibers for each of the five (5) samples is less than .01 f/cc.

TEM

- ♦ Collect at least 13 samples
- ♦ Analyze five (5) inside samples
- ◆ If greater than or equal to 1,199 liters of air sampled (for 25-mm cassettes) or 2,799 liters of air (for 37-mm cassettes), area passes if arithmetic mean less than or equal to 70 structures per square millimeter of filter area (70 s/mm²)
- If less than 1,199 liters (2,799), or if greater than 70 s/mm², analyze three (3) blanks
- ♦ If arithmetic mean of blanks is greater than 70 s/mm², terminate analysis, identify source of contamination, and collect new samples
- ♦ If arithmetic mean of blanks is less than 70 s/mm², analyze outside samples and compare with Z-test
- If Z-test results are less than or equal to 1.65, response action is complete
- ♦ If Z-test results are greater than 1.65, re-clean and re-sample

Air Sampling

- Calibrate pumps before and after each use
- ♦ Pump flow rate of 1-10 liters per minute (Lpm) for 25-mm cassettes is typically used
- ♦ Maintain log of all pertinent sampling data
- ♦ Isolate pump vibration from filter cassette
- Orient cassette 45° downward from horizontal
- ♦ For area samples typically collect 600-1,200 liters of air
- For personal samples typically collect 480 liters of air
- ◆ For clearance samples typically collect 1,200 liters of air for PCM
- ♦ For clearance samples typically collect 1,500 to 1,800 liters of air for TEM

Air monitoring cassettes will be retained by EEG, Inc. for a period of thirty (30) days. The cassettes may be returned to the client upon receipt of written request.



Daily Project Management & Air Sampling Reports

Environmental

Project Management Daily Report 07-0111-061

CLIENT

Benton County School of the Arts

PROPERTY

Enterprise Group, Inc.

Benton County School of the Arts

Project Location Beaton Co. School of the Affondergoing Abatement Ff + moshic
EEG Representative Kendall Shelby Hours Worked 9.10 pm TO Y.00 am D
Contractor Gerken Englowmental Superintendent Armendo Gerico
Number of Abatement Personnel 3 Hours Worked 9100 and pm TO 4120 am pm
Type of Work in Progress Pre-Clean Prep Gross Removal Gross Clean Glovebag Other
Number of PCM Work Area Samples Taken 4 Analyzed 4
Number of PCM Personal Samples Taken
Number of (PCM, TEM) Clearance Samples Taken /3 Analyzed 5
Number of Field Blank Samples Analyzed 2 QA/QC /
Respirator Type in Use Half-Face Full-Face PAPR Type Brand
Protective Clothing Procedures Tyvek So. 1
Asbestos Wetting Procedures Amended water
Use of Warning Signs and Labels Confess
Loads of Debris to Landfill Praire View Landfill per NOI
Status of Decon Towels Privacy Shower Drainage Filtration Unit Hot Water Soap Other
Status of Poly Barriers Good
Status of Compressed Air Supply
Cleanliness of Objects Removed from Work Area
Status of Neg Air Equipment and Manometer Readings 400
General Notes Elly crives on site at the Benton Co. School of the Arts firsken also on site mobilizing equipment and will segin preposite the hallown to remove approx 900 ft? at floor ble t mobile. It so Gester Complete prep and will leave site for lunch. 12:00 Gester of the back on si and will begin removal will spend bors. Ell, will begin postic removal which browns, rags and scrappers. 1:00 Carken will begin mostic removal which browns, rags and scrappers. 4:00 Complete removal - Eth visually inspect removal area, Collect Alex Samples - Gester off. Site - Chip begin Ten Clearence Samples. Deep & analyze Alex Samples. 7:20 Collect Clearence Samples - denositive Equipment. 8:00 (the off. Site.
Contractor Representative / Date EEG, Inc. Representative / Date Contractor Representative / Date Contractor Representative / Date

Field Air Sampling and TEM Analysis Reports

Environmental

Enterprise Group, Inc.

Field Air Sampling and Analysis

Page / of 2

07-0111-061

Senton Coun	Senton County School of the Arts	Š	cation	of Con	tainme	nt Cr	20,5	chost 1	Location of Containment Grads, Schill Blok - 1,11	-	el of Resp	Level of Respiratory Protection	ectior
Senton Coun	Senton County School of the Arts	ပိ	ntracto	O	erke	3	dran	Contractor Gerken Environmente	,		1/2 Mask APR	Y.	
ampled By	Kandall Shelly	Da	te Sam	pled	Date Sampled 7.9.07	10					Full Face APR PAPR	~	
Calibration By Kendall	Kendell Shells	Me	thod	Roban	Method Robanele]] 	AR (Deman	SAR (Demand or Continuous Mode	s Mode
Seneral Stateme	senetal Statements - Flow rate is measured in liters of air per minute (LPM). Field rotometers are calibrated routinely by a primary standard.	ninute (L.)	M). Fiel	d rotomet	ers are cal	brated ros	tinely by	a primary st	andard.	NE NE	MFA 00785	SAK (Pressure Demand Mode)	6)
SAMPLE	LOCATION/WORKER/	PUMP	(FLOW	(FLOW RATE/LPM)	PM)	SAMPLING TIME	IG TIME	TOTAL	TOTAL	FIBERS/	HIRER	DETECTION FIRE	FIREP
#	ACTIVITY	No.	START	STOP	U	START	STOP	MINUTES		FIELDS	DENSITY	LIMIT	CONC
1,0	Blank	1								6/100	ς,		$\ $
B.2	13/onk									6/20	2 4		
AD:3	Hallway by RRS	_	7.7	7.7	7.7	12:22 4:08 319	4.08	217	1271. 4	2/20	10.19		1100
140.4	west and of Cartainent	7	7.7	7.7 7.7		11:18 4:06	4.02	716	1341.1	3/00	7/2	18.80	0 / V
Ao -5	Gast and of andainment	7		7.7 7.7		12:28 4:010	4:010	31%	135/11	1/1.00	7		0077
40-6	Hall by Mrs. (10 mond 2 vol (14)	7 7	7.7	7.7	100	12:34	Koch		1321.X	4/100	256	П	75.00
541-7	Rocky Petron	•	20	2.0		12:20 12:50	12:50	8	0.7	1.5%	161		
P. X	Rocky Petron		1.0	2.0		12:50 4:00	4:00	190	380	3/120	3.82	P.C.M.	
	,										22		
							19						
Comments							T cer	dify that the	e above s	I certify that the above samples were Collected	D	ollected	
							with	applicabl	anarysis p e standard	with applicable standards and regulations.	n strict co lations.	mpliance	

CORPORATE OFFICE 220 North Knoxville, Suite 200 Russellville, AR 72801

(800) 530-7968

FAYETTEVILLE OFFICE 2209 Main Drive Fayetteville, AR 72704

Signature

07-0111-061

mental Page C of A	2 of 2	
Se Orough, me.		
		1

7 1/2 Mask APR Full Face APR	8	SAR (Demand or Continuous Mode)	nre De	25 MIM C	FIBER DETECTION FIBER DENSITY LIMIT CONC														I certify that the above samples were	suici computance	7.9.07	Date
	PAPR	SAR	True C	LY.	FIBERS/ FIELDS D			3											mples were	Sand regular	Kunkell shotle	
			ındard.		TOTAL				1854	13/54	1854	1854	1854	1854	1884	1843.1	1833.4	1720.1	e above sa	e standarde	the full	ture of
talal			t brimary sta		TOTAL				170	981	9.81	0,81	ax1	0,81	180	661	RLI	171	ufy that th	applicable	200	Signature
L'rann		•	outinely by	0	NG TIME				7:12	7:14	7:H	7:16	7:10	7:16		7:12	7:23	7:17	I cer	with	73	
5	40.6		calibrated		_				4:12	4:14	4:14	4:10	4:00			4.23	4:25	4.30		N.		
Contractor Gentler to warmenful	, C ps	Stonet	tometers are		(FLOW RATE/LPM)				10.3 10.3	3 1013	3 10.3		3 10.3	5 103		3 10.3	10:3 10.3	3 10.3				
ntractor	Date Sampled 7.9.07	Method Roboneh	oM). Field n		(FLOW RATE/				10.3 10	10.3 10.3	10.3 10.3	10.3 10.3	10.3 10.3	C.31 6:31		10.3 10.3	10.3 10:	6.3/ 6.0/	C.11 - C-14			
ပိ	Da _	, Me	minute (L)		NO.	ı													C.11			
	Lendall Shelly	Calibration By Kendall Shellby	General Statements - Flow rate is measured in liters of air per minute (LPM). Field rotometers are calibrated routinely by a trimary standard.	The same of the sa	LOCATION/WORKER/ ACTIVITY	Blook	Black	Dle_k	Inzide confedence	<i>11</i> 11	: :	., .,	,, n	West and Hallway	Esst and Hallamy	Classen - Passmort	Classem. Possmure	west and Hallway	Hadyse De Allera		24. hr Turnaround	
Benton Count	Sampled By	Calibration By	General Statemes	CANCELL	SAMPLE #	13-9	13-10	13.11	C-12	C+13	514	C-15	0-16	A0-(7	140-18	140-17	yo. 20	A0.21	Comments			

CORPORATE OFFICE 220 North Knoxville, Suite 200 Russellville, AR 72801

FAYETTEVILLE OFFICE 2209 Main Drive Fayetteville, AR 72704

(800) 530-7968

TEM REPORT

AIHA PAT ID No. 102577 TDH License No. 30-0084 NVLAP Lab No. 102056

Report Date: 07/11/2007 Sample Date: 07/09/2007

Lab Job No.: x7T-06426

Steve Moody Micro Services, Inc.

2051 Valley View Lane

Farmers Branch, TX 75234 (972) 241-8460

EEG, Inc. Client:

Benton County School of the Arts, Grade School Building Project:

07-0111-061 Project No.: Identification: Asbestos, Air Filter Analysis

Test Method: Transmission Electron Microscopy/X-Ray Analysis (TEM/EDX)

EPA 40 CFR 763

Page 1 of 1

On 7/11/07, thirteen (13) 25mm air cassette samples were submitted by a representative of EEG, Inc. for asbestos analysis by TEM/EDX. Copies of the lab data sheets are attached; additional information may be found therein. The results are summarized below:

C-12 Inside Containment C-13 Inside Containment C-14 Inside Containment	Sample Description / Location Volume (liters)	Area Analyzed (mm²)	Asbestos Structures	Detection Limit	Asbestos Concentration	Asbestos Concentration
	1854	0.044	1	0.005	0.005	22.7
	1854	0.044	0	0.005	<0.005	<22.7
	1854	0.044	0	0.005	<0.005	<22.7
C-15 Inside Containment	1854	0.044	. 2	0.005	0.009	45.5
C-16 Inside Containment	1854	0.044	0	0.005	<0.005	<22.7

Results may not be reproduced except in full. This test report relates only to the samples tested. These test results do not imply endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S. Government. Accredited by the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program for Airborne Asbestos Fiber Analysis under Lab Code 102056.

Average Asbestos Concentration = 27.3 s/mm²

James Blankenship Analyst:

Steve Moody Laboratory Director:

Approved Signatory:

	NVLAP Lab No. 102056 1 (>5µm) 0.005 s/cc : 0.005 s/cc : 22.7 s/mm²	Spectra ID 26*C-12					
	es: es: ration	Spectra II x7T-06426*C-12			90		1ship
		EDX 0:7	2 B 2		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		nalyst: James Blankenship ate Analyzed: 07/11/07 Lab Job #: x7T-06426 Sample No.:
	5 mm² 1854 l 4 0.0110 mm² 0.044 mm²	Si:Mg 10		in the second se	= ,	j.	Analyst: Jar Date Analyzed: Lab Job #: x7T
	Filter: .45 µm/MCE/385 mm² Sample Volume / Area: 18£ No. of Squares: Square Field Area: 0.011 Total Area Examined: 0.04	2207	eg f		e s,		Ana Date
TEM Analysis Sheet	Filter: .45 µm/MC Sample Volume / A. No. of Squares: Square Field Area: Total Area Examine	SAED 5.3 A	\$ 8 8		E		
TEM An	-	L (μm) W (μm)				2 2	
	ilding	L (μm) >5			2 8		
	EEG, Inc. Benton County School of the Arts, Grade School Building x7T-06426 Client Sample No.: C-12 ion: Inside Containment	Structure ID Chrysotile					g: 15,405
	School of the Arts, Gr Client Sample No. :	Struct # Struct Type 1 Fiber 0 0 0					100 Mag:
	nc. n County : :426 Inside Cor						KV: 100
Steve Moody Micro Services, Inc. TDH License No. 30-0084	i t	1 A01 A03 2 F01 F03				<u>.</u>	JEOL100
Steve Moo	Client: Project: Lab Job No.: Sample Descri	Sqr #. Grid No. 2 2 3 2 4				Comments .	Scope:

5 5	Steve Moody Micro Services, Inc. TDH License No. 30-0084	rvices, Inc. 084				TEM Anal	TEM Analysis Sheet			2	NVI AP I de IVN
### Analyses Standards		G. Inc.					Eile AG	TO COLL		1	LAF Lab No. 102036
1		nton Co	unty Scho	ool of the Arts	s, Grade School Buildir	Bu	Sample Volume No. of Squares:	##ICE/365 mm* 2 / Area : 183 :	1 4	Asbestos Structures : Asbestos Structures ; Detection Limit :	0 0 (>5μm) 0.005 slee
Inside Containment Sqr ID Struct # Struct Type Structure ID L (µm) W (µm) SAED Photo ID EDX		r-06426		Client Sample ?	4o.: C-13		Square Field At Total Area Exar			Asbestos Concentration : Asbestos Concentration :	<0.005 s/cc <22.7 s/mm²
Sqr ID Struct # Struct Type Structure ID L (µm) W (µm) SAED Photo ID EDX	Sample Description	: Insid	e Contair	nment		15					
No. Nag. 15,405 Lab Lob #: x77-06426 Sumple No. Col.			Struct # S	Struct Type	ID	L (µm) W (µm)	SAED	Photo ID	ED		ectra ID
Namber State Sta	-	B03	0								Or man
	•	B05	0				,				
Analyst James Blankenship Date Analyzed Sample No. Lab Job #: x7T-06426 Sample No.	2	G04	0								
Ambyst: James Blankenship Dute Analyzad: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Lab Job #: x7T-06426 [Sample No.]		909	0					•			
Analyst: James Blankonship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV:100 Mag: 15,405 Lab Job #: x7T-06426 Sample No.:											
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/107 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Lab Job #: x7T-06426 Sample No.:											
Analyst											
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analysed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Sample No.:								74			
Analyst : James Blankenship Date Analysed : 07/11/107 KV : 100 Mag : 15,405 Sample No :											
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/107 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Lab Job #: XTT-06426 Sumple No.:											
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Lab Job #: X7T-06426 Sample No.:											
Analyst: James Blankenship Ev: 100 Mag: 15,405 Lab Job#: x7T-06426 Sample No.:											
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Sample No.:								*			
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzd: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Lab Job #: x7T-06426 Sample No.:	eranto:										
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Lab Job #: x7T-06426 Sample No.:							15 58				
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Sample No.								٠			
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Lab Job #: x7T-06426 Sample No.							*	*	*		
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Lab Job #: x7T-06426 Sample No.	econo.										
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Sample No.:								2			
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Lab Job #: x7T-06426 Sample No.											
Analyst: James Blankenship Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/107 Ev: 100 Mag: 15,405 Lab Job #: x7T-06426 Sample No.:											
Analyst : James Blankenship Date Analyzed : 07/11/07 KV : 100 Mag : 15,405 Sample No :										252	
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analysced: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Sample No.											
Analyst : James Blankenship Date Analyzed : 07/11/07 KV : 100 Mag : 15,405 Sample No :							•				
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Sample No.:											
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Sample No.:	77 St. 100				*:						
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Sample No.:									50		
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Sample No.:	3200							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		i	
Analyst : James Blankenship Date Analyzed : 07/11/07 KV : 100 Mag : 15,405 Sample No :							e - ::	3		0 0 202	
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Sample No.:	3.1										
KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Sample No.:	Comments:						70	Analyst : Date Analyz	James	Blankenship	
NA : 100 Mag : 19,405 Sample No :	Scone . IEOI 400		77. 400		45 405						
	scope: 3EOLIO		NV: 100		15,405			Lab Job#	×7T-06	Sample No.:	

を発き込

教育されて

2056	(u ,			
NVLAP Lab No. 102056	0 (>5µm) 0.005 s/cc <0.005 s/cc <22.7 s/mm³	Spectra ID		
Z	Asbestos Structures: Asbestos Structures: Detection Limit: Asbestos Concentration: Asbestos Concentration:	Sp	2	gihip
		EDX		James Blankenship
	, mm ² 1854 ₁ 4 0.0110 mm ²	.		Alaka
	Filter: .45 µm/MCE/385 mm² Sample Volume / Area: 18E No. of Squares: 0.011 Square Field Area: 0.001 Total Area Examined: 0.00	Photo ID		Analyst: Date Ana
TEM Analysis Sheet	Filter: .45 µm/MCE/3 Sample Volume / Area: No. of Squares: Square Field Area: Total Area Examined:	SAED		
TEM Ana		Г (µm) W (µm)		
	Building			
	srade School	Structure ID		5 1
	ool of the Arts, Gr. Client Sample No. :	t Type		
	EEG, Inc. Benton County School of the Arts, Grade School Building x7T-06426 Client Sample No.: C-14	Sample Description: Inside Containment Sqr #. Grid No. Sqr ID Struct # Struct Type 1 1 C01 0 2 C03 0 3 2 HO2 0 4 1.04 1.04 0		
30-0084	EEG, Inc. Benton Cou x7T-06426	Sqr ID C01 C03 H02		
Sieve Moody Micro Services, Inc. TDH License No. 30-0084	Client: Project: Lab Job No.:	Sample Description Sqr #. Grid No.	+	Comments:

Filer : .45 pm/MCE/ Benton County School of the Arts, Grade School Building Sample Volume / Area Sample Volume / Area Sample Volume / Area Sample Volume Square Field Area Sample Volume Square Field Area Square Field Area Sample Volume Square Field Area Sample Volume Square Field Area Square Field Area Sample Volume Square Field Area Sample Volume Square Field Area Sample Volume Sample	Steve Moody Micro Services, Inc. TDH License No. 30-0084	Micro Servi No. 30-008	ices, Inc. 4					TEM Ana	TEM Analysis Sheet			AN.	NVI AP I sh No. 102056
District Containment	Client: Project: Lab Job No.		, Inc. on Cou	nty Sch	ool of the A	۱۸۲۶, Grade School Bui او ۲۵۰: C-15	ilding	a ^{FE}	Filter: .45 µm Sample Volume No. of Squares Square Field Ar Total Area Exar	385	mm² 1854 l 4 0.0110 mm² 0.044 mm²	Asbestos Structures : Asbestos Structures : Detection Limit : Asbestos Concentration : Asbestos Concentration :	2 0 (>5µm) 0.005 s/cc 0.009 s/cc 45.5 s/mm²
DO2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Sample Des Sqr #. Gric	scription:	Inside	Contai	inment Struct Tyne		(min) I	(min) M	SAED	Dhoto ID			ļ.
1 Fiber Chrysotile <5 NA 2 Fiber Chrysotile <5 NA 3 2 Fiber Chrysotile <5 NA 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-		1	0	246		(1111) 2	1	SAED	LIIOIO ID	<u> </u>	EDA	Spectra ID
Fiber Chrysotile <5 NA	7 6	1	40 5	0									
2 Fiber Chrysottie <5 NA	0 4	+	03	T	Fiber	Chrysotile	7.5	S N		*			
W V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V					Fiber	Chrysotile	\$ \$	X X		8			
					7257				18			8	
4 A										17			
< Q													
					•								
A D D				*1					2	: 1) ?	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	* s	
V Q									×				
A DD									54		20		
A CO	1027												
A DD										•		5.2	
A D D													
A O D													
A D D							91		1628	20			
A D D										8		: (*)	
A D D													
A D D										9		2	
A D D						72						45	
707 700	Comments										st: Jame	James Blankenship : 07/11/07	
KV: 100 Mag: 15,405	Scope: JE	EOL100	×	KV: 100		: 15,405	٠				Job #: x7T-0	Lab Job #: x7T-06426 Sample No.: C-15	5

1000

を記述さ

ながら

Spirit Spirit

EEG Inc.	Steve Mondy Micro Services, Inc. TDH License No. 30-0084		INV	NVL AP Lab No. 102056
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	unty School of the Arts, Grade School Building Client Sample No.: C-16	Ea: 0	Asbestos Structures : Asbestos Structures : Detection Limit : Asbestos Concentration : Asbestos Concentration :	0 (>5µm) 0.005 s/cc <0.005 s/cc <22.7 s/mm²
2 J02 0	Structure ID Struct # Struct Type Structure ID L (μm) W (μm) Ten E03 O E03			etra ID
Analyst: James Blankenship Date Analyzed: 07/11/07 KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Sample No.:	0 700 0			
KV: 100 Mag: 15,405 Sample No.:	Comments:	Analyst: Jame	s Blankenship	
	KV: 100 Mag:	P	07/11/07 3426 Sample No.: C-16	

Environmental
Enterprise Group, fac.

Field Air Sampling and Analysis

Page 2 of d

07-0111-061

Benton Count Benton Count Sampled By	Benton County School of the Arts Sampled By School of the Arts Calibration By Condes Shelly	វេជិជិ	Contractor Gentainment G Contractor Genters en Date Sampled 7.9.07	of Control	ainmei 7.9	107	Sum	1904.2	Contractor Gentainment Grade School 13/49 Contractor Gentles 60 Sample 1 3007	7 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Level of Respir - 1/2 Mask APR Pull Pace APR PAPR SAR (Demand	Level of Respiratory Protection - 1/2 Mask APR - Full Face APR - PAPR - SAR (Demand or Continuous Mode)	ection s Mode)
General Stateme	General Statements - Flow rate is measured in liters of air per minute (LPM), Field rotometers are calibrated routinely by a primary standard	inute (L)	PM). Field	P.O.H.O.	ne to	iorated ros	itinely by a	primary sta	rdard	S M	SAR (Pressure MFA .00785	SAR (Pressure Denand Mode) FA. 00785 25 MIM Cassette	c) issette
SAMPLE	LOCATION/WORKER/ ACTIVITY	PUMP NO.	(FLOW	(FLOW BATE/LPM)	П	SAMPLING TIME		TOTAL	TOTAL	FIBERS/	PIBER	DETECTION	FIBER
13-9						9		7.		ļ.			
13-10	Black												
B:11	DIEE												
C-12	Ingide conferent		10.3	10.3	6.01	4:12	7:12	JY0	1854				
6-13	11 11		10.3	6.31	8:01	4:14	7:14	9,81	1384				
714	,, ,,		10.3		5.01	4:14	7:H	120	1854				
C-15	,, n		10.3		10.3	4:16	7:16	021	1854				
2-16	n		10.3	6.01	6.01	4:20	71.12	180	1854				
No-[7	West and Hallway		70.3	6:4	601	V:4	7:16	180	1854				
10-11	fest and Halling		63	64	10.3	4:20	21.20	180	1854				
Mo-19	Clessen - Prssmie		18:3	6.0	18.3	4.13 7.12	71.12	173	IY45.7	N.			
10.20	Classem. Pussione		10.2	100.5		4.25 7.23	7123	178	1833.4				
A0.21	west and Hallung		12.3		19.3	4:30	7:17	17	1.0221				
Comments	Anolyze per AHEEA	7.0	0-11-016	3			I cen	ify that the	analysis	erformed	in strict of	I certify that the above samples were Collected AND/OR analysis performed in strict compliance	
	34. hr Tuineramd						1	LO	Kull shell	1	1	7.9.07	1
					1			Signature	ture g			Date	

CORPORATE OFFICE RECEIVED

220 North Knoxville, Suite 200

Russellville, AR 72801

JUL 1 1 2002

FAYETTEVILLE OFFICE 2209 Main Drive Fayetteville, AR 72704

Abatement Project Visual Inspection & Clearance Sampling Report



Abatement Project

Visual Inspection / Clearance Sampling Report

07-0111-061

CLIENT

Benton County School of the Arts

PROPERTY

Benton County School of the Arts

Location of Containment Grade School bi	de Halle Ma	Creder to de	who child
EEG Representative Kendall Shelby	7 // //		and the
Contractor Name Graken Environmental			
Contractor Superintendent Armondo Carcia			
	- 7	9.07	
a, 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			<u>, </u>
1	□ PAPR 🦿 □ Type	Brand North	
Protective Clothing Procedures Tyvek Soit	*	<u> </u>	
. Visual Inspection	© ≤ ≥ 1	\$48 53	
DEFICIENCIES	<u> </u>	CORRECTED	
<u> </u>	197		
None			
	<u> </u>		
	Name of the Control o		
	Carrie Carrier		
17	<u> </u>		
•	Andrea San San San San San San San San San Sa		

II. Clearance Sampling			
A. Aggressive Sampling Method Used Leaf	Slower		
B. Method of Analysis to be Employed	✓ TEM o	r	PCM
Number of Samples Collected /3	Analyzed		
Minimum Total Liters Collected Per Sample		0	
•			
Contractor Representative		Date	97
Therotall Stoolly	******		*
EEG, Inc. Representative		Date	

Clearance of Project



Clearance of Project

07-0111-061

CLIENT

Benton County School of the Arts

PROPERTY

Benton County School of the Arts

On 7.9.07 , EEG, Inc. representative Kandall Shelby				
on 7.9.07, EEG, Inc. representative Kondall Shelby visually inspected the Hollway (confainment Area) on the Benton Co. School of the Hoproject. The area was paperoved disapproved for clearance				
on the Benton Co. School of the Haproject. The area was proved disapproved for clearance				
sampling. Aggressive clearance sampling was performed by Kendall Shelby				
sampling. Aggressive clearance sampling was performed by Kendall Shelly of EEG, Inc. on 7-9.07 from 4:12 am spm				
to am fpm.				
The complete was analyzed by TROM of CO.				
The samples were analyzed by PCM FTEM at Seve Mondy Microselvies laboratory. The results of the analysis for the samples Fmet did not meet the clearance criteria of				
170 s/mm2 . The analysis was performed by Steve movely Microservices				
on 7-12.07				
Based on the visual inspection of the work area and clearance sample results, the project is				
accepted as complete upon submission and acceptance of the project closeout documents.				
V 000 . OOL				
Klarkelly 1-12.07 Date				
Signature				

Accreditations



State of Arkansas Department of Anvironmental Quality



011484 KENDALL SHELBY

having satisfied the requirements necessary to meet the provisions of AHERA/ASHARA under TSCA Title II and the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission's Regulation 21 and is hereby certified in the State of Arkansas in the discipline(s) of Asbestos

Air Monitor 12/31/2007

Project Designer 10/31/2007

Inspector 7/31/2007

Management Planner 11/30/2007

Issue Date: 19-Dec-2006

Denise Chiaringio