FILED

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCALISTARING CLERK REGION 6 REGIONAL STARING CLERK

#### I. Statutory Authority

This Complaint is issued under the authority vested in the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") by Section 309(g) of the Clean Water Act ("Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g). The Administrator of EPA has delegated the authority to issue this Complaint to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 6, who has delegated this authority to the Director of the Compliance Assurance and Enforcement Division of EPA Region 6 ("Complainant"). This Class I Administrative Complaint is issued in accordance with, and this action will be conducted under, the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits," including rules related to administrative proceedings not governed by Section 554 of the Administrative Procedures Act, 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.50 through 22.52.

Based on the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, Complainant finds that the Respondent has violated the Act and the regulations promulgated under the Act and should be ordered to pay a civil penalty.

#### II. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

- 1. Territory Resources, LLC ("Respondent") is a limited liability company, operating under the laws of the State of Oklahoma, and as such, Respondent is a "person," as that term is defined at Section 502(5) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5), and 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.
- 2. At all times relevant, the Respondent owned or operated two oil field production facilities known as the Guame Tank Battery, located in the Northeast Quarter of Section 2, Township 24 North, Range 1 West, Noble County, Oklahoma; and the Nemaha Tank Battery, located in the Southwest Quarter of Section 35, Township 25 North, Range 1 West, Kay County, Oklahoma (collectively, "facilities"), and was therefore an "owner or operator" within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.
- 3. At all relevant times, the facilities were "point sources" of a "discharge" of "pollutants," specifically, oil field brine and produced wastewater, to receiving waters of a tributary, Birds Nest Creek, which is a "water of the United States" within the meaning of Section 502 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362, and 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.
- 4. Because the Respondent owned or operated facilities which acted as point sources of a discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States, the Respondent and the facility were subject to the Act and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") program.
- 5. Under Section 301 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311, it is unlawful for any person to discharge any pollutant from a point source to waters of the United States, except with the authorization of, and in compliance with, an NPDES permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. According to the NPDES program, the discharge of oil field brine to "waters of the United States" is a non-permitted discharge.

- 6. On March 25, 2010, the Guame Tank Battery was inspected by an EPA field inspector. The inspector observed that oil field brine and produced wastewater had been discharged (via a siphon hose to keep the tank battery containment empty) from the facility located at Latitude 36° 35.80' North and Longitude 97° 72.95' West, to a tributary of Birds Nest Creek, located at Latitude 36° 35.75' North and Longitude 97° 16.49' West. The inspector determined that the water at the point of entry ("POE") contained 10,000 parts-per-million ("ppm") total soluble salts ("TSS").
- 7. On March 25, 2010, the Nemaha Tank Battery was inspected by an EPA field inspector. The inspector observed a drain pipe used to empty containment fluids at the tank battery located at 36° 35.6208' North and Longitude 97° 16.3627' West. Water at the POE to the tributary of Birds Nest Creek contained 4,000 ppm TSS.
- Each day of unauthorized discharge was a violation of Section 301 of the Act,
   U.S.C. § 1311.
- 9. Under Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(A), the Respondent is liable for a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed sixteen thousand dollars (\$16,000.00) per day for each day during which a violation occurs or continues, up to a maximum of thirty-seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$37,500.00).
- 10. EPA has notified the Oklahoma Corporation Commission of the issuance of this Complaint and has afforded the State Agency an opportunity to consult with EPA regarding the assessment of an administrative penalty against the Respondent as required by Section 309(g)(1) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1).

11. EPA has notified the public of the filing of this Complaint and has afforded the public thirty (30) days in which to comment on the Complaint and on the proposed penalty as required by Section 309(g)(4)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(4)(A). At the expiration of the notice period, EPA will consider any comments filed by the public.

# III. Proposed Penalty

- 12. Based on the foregoing Findings, and pursuant to the authority of Sections 309(g)(1) and (g)(2)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(g)(1) and (g)(2)(A), EPA Region 6 hereby proposes to assess against the Respondent a civil penalty of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00).
- 13. The proposed penalty amount was determined based on the statutory factors specified in Section 309(g)(3) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(3), which includes such factors as the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violations, economic benefits, if any, prior history of such violations, if any, degree of culpability, and such matters as justice may require.
- 14. Complainant has specified that the administrative procedures specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 22, Subpart I, shall apply to this matter, and the administrative proceedings shall not be governed by Section 554 of the Administrative Practice Act.

#### IV. Failure to File an Answer

15. If the Respondent wishes to deny or explain any material allegation listed in the above Findings or to contest the amount of the penalty proposed, the Respondent must file an Answer to this Complaint within thirty (30) days after service of this Complaint whether or not the Respondent requests a hearing as discussed below.

16. The requirements for such an Answer are set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 22.15 (copy enclosed). Failure to file an Answer to this Complaint within thirty (30) days of service of the Complaint shall constitute an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of the right to hearing. Failure to deny or contest any individual material allegation contained in the Complaint will constitute an admission as to that finding or conclusion under 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(d).

17. If the Respondent does not file an Answer to this Complaint within thirty (30) days after service, a Default Order may be issued against the Respondent pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.17. A Default Order, if issued, would constitute a finding of liability, and could make the full amount of the penalty proposed in this Complaint due and payable by the Respondent without further proceedings thirty (30) days after a final Default Order is issued.

18. The Respondent must send its Answer to this Complaint, including any request for Hearing, and all other pleadings to:

Regional Hearing Clerk (6RC-D) U.S. EPA, Region 6 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, TX 75202-2733

19. The Respondent shall also send a copy of its Answer to this Complaint to the following EPA attorney assigned to this case:

Mr. Russell Murdock (6RC-EW) U.S. EPA, Region 6 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, TX 75202-2733 20. The Answer must be signed by the Respondent, the Respondent's counsel, or other representative on behalf of the Respondent and must contain all information required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.05 and 22.15, including the name, address, and telephone number of the Respondent and the Respondent's counsel. All other pleadings must be similarly signed and filed.

## V. Notice of Opportunity to Request a Hearing

- 21. The Respondent may request a hearing to contest any material allegation contained in this Complaint, or to contest the appropriateness of the amount of the proposed penalty, pursuant to Section 309(g) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g). The procedures for hearings are set out at 40 C.F.R. Part 22, including 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.50 through 22.52.
- 22. Any request for hearing should be included in the Respondent's Answer to this Complaint; however, as discussed above, the Respondent must file an Answer meeting the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 22.15 in order to preserve the right to a hearing or to pursue other relief.
- 23. Should a hearing be requested, members of the public who commented on the issuance of the Complaint during the public comment period will have a right to be heard and to present evidence at such hearing under Section 309(g)(4)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(4)(B).

#### VI. Settlement

- 24. EPA encourages all parties against whom civil penalties are proposed to pursue the possibility of settlement through informal meetings with EPA. Regardless of whether a formal hearing is requested, the Respondent may confer informally with EPA about the alleged violations or the amount of the proposed penalty. The Respondent may wish to appear at any informal conference or formal hearing personally, by counsel or other representative, or both. To request an informal conference on the matters described in this Complaint, please contact Mr. Matt Rudolph, of my staff, at (214) 665-6434.
- 25. If this action is settled without a formal hearing and issuance of an opinion by the Presiding Officer pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.27, this action will be concluded by issuance of a Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO") pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b). The issuance of a CAFO would waive the Respondent's right to a hearing on any matter stipulated therein or alleged in the Complaint. Any person who commented on this Complaint would be notified and given an additional thirty (30) days to petition EPA to set aside any such CAFO and to hold a hearing on the issues raised in the Complaint. Such a petition would be granted and a hearing held only if the evidence presented by the petitioner's comment was material and was not considered by EPA in the issuance of the CAFO.

26. Neither assessment nor payment of a penalty in resolution of this action will affect the Respondent's continuing obligation to comply with all requirements of the Act, the applicable regulations and permits, and any separate Compliance Order issued under Section 309(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(a), including one relating to the violations alleged herein.

Date

25/11

John Blevins

Director

Compliance Assurance and Enforcement Division

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that the foregoing Class I Administrative Complaint was sent to the following persons, in the manner specified, on the date below:

Original hand-delivered:

Regional Hearing Clerk (6RC-D)

U.S. EPA, Region 6

1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200

Dallas, TX 75202-2733

Copy by certified mail,

return receipt requested:

Mr. Ed Gallegos

Territory Resources, LLC 1511 S. Sangre Road

Stillwater, OK 74074-1869

Copy by mail:

Mr. Terry Grooms, District Manager

Oklahoma Corporation Commission, District 1

115 W. 6<sup>th</sup> Street, Box 779 Bristow, OK 74010-0779

Copy hand-delivered:

Mr. Russell Murdock (6RC-EW)

U.S. EPA, Region 6

1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200

Dallas, TX 75202-2733

Dated: 1/27/11 Jackie aller