

# UNITED STATED ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY-REGION 7 REGION 7 11201 RENNER BOULEVARD LENEXA, KANSAS 66219

# **EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (ESA)**

# DOCKET NO.: CAA-07-2015-0041

**This ESA is issued to**: City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant At: 22705 South Moonlight Road, Spring Hill, Kansas 66083 for violating Section 112(r)(7) of the Clean Air Act.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 (EPA) and City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant (Respondent), have agreed to a settlement of this action before filing of a complaint, and thus this action is simultaneously commenced and concluded pursuant to Rules 22.13(b) and 22.18(B)(2) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders, and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits (Consolidated Rules), 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b), 22.18(b)(2).

The Complainant, by delegation of the Administrator of the EPA, is the Director of the Air and Waste Management Division. The Respondent is City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant, 22705 South Moonlight Road, Spring Hill, Kansas 66083.

This is an administrative action for the assessment of civil penalties instituted pursuant to Section 113(d) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). Pursuant to Section 113(d) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d), the Administrator and the Attorney General jointly determined that cases which meet the criteria set forth in EPA's policy entitled "Use of Expedited Settlements in Addressing Violations of the Clean Air Act Chemical Accident Prevention Provision, 40 C.F.R. Part 68," dated January 5, 2004, are appropriate for administrative penalty action.

# ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

On February 20, 2013, an authorized representative of the EPA conducted a compliance inspection of the Respondent's facility located at 22705 South Moonlight Road, Spring Hill, Kansas, to determine compliance with the Risk Management Plan (RMP) regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 68 under Section 112(r) of the CAA. The EPA found that the Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 112(r) of the CAA by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the enclosed Risk Management Program Inspection Findings (RMP Findings), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

# **SETTLEMENT**

In consideration of Respondent's size of business, its full compliance history, its good faith effort to comply, and other factors as justice may require, and upon consideration of the

In the Matter of City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant Docket No. CAA-07-2015-0041 Page 2 of 6

entire record, the parties enter into the ESA in order to settle the violations, described in the enclosed RMP Findings, for the total penalty amount of **\$3,000**.

This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

The Respondent by signing below waives any objections that it may have regarding jurisdiction, neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations contained herein and in the RMP Findings, and consents to the assessment of the penalty as stated above. Respondent waives its rights to a hearing afforded by Section 113(d)(2)(A) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d)(2)(A), and to appeal this ESA. Each party to this action shall bear its own costs and fees, if any. Respondent also certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the Respondent has corrected the violations listed in the enclosed RMP Findings and has sent a cashier's check or certified check (payable to the "United States Treasury") in the amount of **\$3,000** in payment of the full penalty amount to the following address:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Fines and Penalties Cincinnati Finance Center P.O. Box 979077 St. Louis, Missouri 63197-9000

The Docket Number of this ESA is CAA-07-2015-0041, and must be included on the check.

This original ESA, a copy of the completed RMP Findings, and <u>a copy of the check must</u> be sent by certified mail to:

Christine Hoard Chemical Risk Information Branch U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 11201 Renner Boulevard Lenexa, Kansas 66219.

A copy of the check must also be sent to:

Kathy M. Robinson Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 11201 Renner Boulevard Lenexa, Kansas 66219.

Upon Respondent's submission of the signed original ESA, the EPA will take no further civil action against Respondent for the alleged violations of the CAA referenced in the RMP

In the Matter of City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant Docket No. CAA-07-2015-0041 Page 3 of 6

Findings. The EPA does not waive any other enforcement action for any other violations of the CAA or any other statute.

If the signed original ESA with an attached copy of the check is not returned to the <u>EPA</u> <u>Region 7 office</u> at the above address in correct form by the Respondent within 45 days of the date of Respondent's receipt of it (90 days if an extension is granted), the proposed ESA is withdrawn, without prejudice to EPA's ability to file an enforcement action for the violations identified herein and in the RMP Findings.

This ESA is binding on the parties signing below.

This ESA is effective upon filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

In the Matter of City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant Docket No. CAA-07-2015-0041 Page 4 of 6

FOR RESPONDENT:

10/7/15 Date:

Name (print): <u>GONZALO A. GARCIA</u>

Title (print): UTILITIES DIRECTOR City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant In the Matter of City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant Docket No. CAA-07-2015-0041 Page 5 of 6

FOR COMPLAINANT:

Becky Weber

Director Air and Waste Management Division EPA Region 7

unde Bozh - Kent Johnson

Assistant Regional Counsel Office of Regional Counsel EPA Region 7

Date: 10/21/15

Date: 10/22/15

In the Matter of City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant Docket No. CAA-07-2015-0041 Page 6 of 6

I hereby ratify the ESA and incorporate it herein by reference. It is so ORDERED.

Karin monio

Date: 10 - 26 - 15

Karina Borromeo Regional Judicial Officer

# Risk Management Program Inspection Findings CAA § 112(r) Violations

#### City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant 22705 South Moonlight Road Spring Hill, Kansas 66083 Docket No. CAA-07-2015-0041

# COMPLETE THIS FORM AND RETURN IT WITH THE ESA.

#### VIOLATIONS

#### PENALTY AMOUNT

Management [68.15(a)] \$1,200 The owner or operator shall develop a management system to oversee the implementation of the risk management program elements. Facility addressed this post inspection.

Emergency Response [68.90(b)(3)] \$900 The owner or operator failed to have the appropriate mechanisms in place to notify emergency responders when there is need for a response. *Facility addressed this post inspection*.

Hazard Assessment

Documentation [68.39(a)]

The owner or operator failed to maintain records on the offsite consequence analyses that included documentation of estimated quantity released, release rate, and duration of release and the data used to estimate population and environmental receptors potentially affected. *Facility addressed this post inspection.* 

Prevention Program Safety Information [68.48(a)(2)] \$300 The owner or operator failed to compiled and maintain the maximum intended inventory of equipment in which the regulated substances are stored or processed. Facility addressed this post inspection.

Safety Information [68.48(a)(3)] \$300 The owner or operator failed to compiled and maintain the safe upper and lower temperatures, pressures, flow and compositions. Facility addressed this post inspection.

# Hazard Review [68.50(c)] \$300 The owner or operator failed to document the results of the review and ensure that problems identified are resolved in a timely manner. *Facility addressed this post inspection*.

# \$600

# and the second s

the strength of the second ball in the second second

10.00

# **Prevention Program**

Operating Procedures [68.52(b)(1)(3-6)]

# \$1,500

The owner or operator failed to address Initial startup, temporary operations, emergency shutdown and operations and normal shutdown in their Operating procedures. *How was this addressed:* 

Operatin	g proced	ures wer	e updat	ed f	rom the	2000	RMP	manual
to be cu	irrent an	d accura	te for	the	covered	proc	ess.	

Operating Procedures [68.52(b)(7)]

No penalty assessed

The owner or operator failed to address the following in their operating procedures; Consequences of deviation and steps required to correct or avoid deviation. *How was this addressed:* 

A table was	added to the	operating pr	rocedures to	ensure
consequences	s of deviation	n of operatio	ng parameters	s were
addressed an	nd steps were	added to ave	oid the devia	ations.

Prevention Program

Maintenance [68.56(a)]

68.56(a) - The owner or operator shall prepare and implement procedures to maintain the ongoing mechanical integrity of the process equipment. *Facility addressed this post inspection.* 

Prevention Program

Compliance Audits [68.58(a)]

# \$1,200

\$1,200

The owner or operator failed to certify it has evaluated compliance with the provisions of this subpart at least every three years to verify that the procedures and practices developed under the rule are adequate and are being followed.

How was this addressed:

The November 2012 Risk Management Compliance au	dit record
was found which contains certification page for	r the audit.
An update was made to the RMP in February 2013	to address
audit findings.	

Compliance Audits [68.58(e)]

No penalty assessed

The owner or operator failed to retain the two most recent compliance audit reports, unless more than five years old.

How was this addressed:

The	Novembe	er 201	2 R	lisk	Manag	ement	Compl	iance	audit	record
was	found.	This	is	the	only	compl	iance	audit	less	than
five	e years	old.						-		

\$7,500

# Calculation of Adjusted Penalty

 $1^{st}$  Reference the Multipliers for calculating proposed penalties for violations found during RMP inspection matrix. Finding the column for 10,001 to 100,000 population and the row for 1-5 times the threshold quantity of 2,500 pounds of chlorine as listed in 40 C.F.R. Part 68.130 for the amount in a process gives a multiplier factor of 0.4. Therefore, the multiplier for City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant = 0.4.

2<sup>nd</sup> Adjusted Penalty = \$7,500 (Unadjusted Penalty) X 0.4 (Size-Threshold Multiplier) Adjusted Penalty = \$3,000

3<sup>rd</sup> An Adjusted Penalty of \$3,000 would be assessed to City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant Violations found during the RMP Compliance Inspection. This amount will be found in the Expedited Settlement Agreement (ESA)

# **Total Adjusted Penalty**

#### \$3,000

This section must be also be completed and signed by City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant:

The approximate cost to correct the above items: \$\_\_\_\_\_000

Compliance staff name:	GONZALO	Д.	GARCIA
Signed: Jap		Date:	10/7/15

And the state of the second second

1.0

period by the grant framework in the

a de la servición de la construcción A de las desemboras de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcci

All here is that all a property a point contract of the formation of the second sec

Part in the second

100022

(b) making incohole take were metabled under respondent act of the plane. Multi-by: Nork distribution from the Device of the plane.

(-joje- "nices a caecia

IN THE MATTER OF City of Gardner, Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant, Respondent Docket No. CAA-07-2015-0041

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Order was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy by email to Attorney for Complainant:

bosch.raymond@epa.gov

Copy by email to:

Gonzalo A. Garcia Utilities Director City of Gardner Hillsdale Water Treatment Plant 22705 Sough Moonlight Road Spring Hill, Kansas 66083

10/26/15

4 Rovinson

Kathy Robinson Hearing Clerk, Region 7