FILED

NANCY J. MARVEL Regional Counsel

(415) 972-3898

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

EDGAR P. CORAL Assistant Regional Counsel U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 2617 HIY 15 PH 2: 50

Ethina in a marketic

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX

In the matter of:

Docket No. EPCRA-9-2007 0 0 2

Silpak, Inc.,

CONSENT AGREEMENT
AND FINAL ORDER
pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b),
22.18(b)(2), and 22.18(b)(3)

## I. CONSENT AGREEMENT

The United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX ("EPA"), and Silpak, Inc. (the "Respondent") agree to settle this matter and consent to the entry of this Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO").

#### A. AUTHORITY AND PARTIES

- This is a civil administrative action brought under Section 325(c) of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act ("EPCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c), for assessment of a civil administrative penalty against Respondent for its failure to submit a timely, complete and correct Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Form for calendar years 2003 and 2004 in violation of Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and the implementing regulations set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 372.
- Complainant is the Director of the Communities and Ecosystems Division in EPA,
   Region IX (the "Complainant"). Pursuant to EPA Delegation Order Number 22-3-A, dated May
   11, 1994, the Administrator of EPA has delegated the authority to file this action under EPCRA

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region IX, and pursuant to EPA Regional Order Number R1260.14B, dated May 19, 2005, the Regional Administrator re-delegated that authority to Complainant.

# B. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BASIS

- 3. Pursuant to Sections 313 and 328 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11023 and 11048, EPA promulgated regulations on February 16, 1988 (53 Fed. Reg. 4525), setting forth requirements for the submission of information relating to the release of toxic chemicals under EPCRA Section 313. These regulations, as amended, are presently codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 372.
- 4. Sections 313(a) and (b) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11023(a) and (b), and 40 C.F.R. §§ 372.22 and 372.30, provide that the owner or operator of a facility must submit to EPA and the State in which the facility is located a chemical release form published under Section 313(g) of EPCRA for each toxic chemical listed under Section 313(c) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.65 that it manufactured, processed or otherwise used if: (i) the facility has ten or more full-time employees; (ii) the facility is in Standard Industrial Classification Code 2821; and (iii) the facility manufactured, processed or otherwise used during the calendar year the listed toxic chemical in excess of the threshold quantity established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.
- 5. Pursuant to Section 313(g) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(g), EPA published a uniform Toxic Chemical Release Inventory Form (hereinafter referred to as a "Form R") for facilities that are subject to the reporting requirements of Section 313. Sections 313(a) and (b) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11023(a) and (b), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.30(d), provide that each Form R for activities involving a toxic chemical that occurred during a calendar year must be submitted on or before July 1 of the next year.

#### C. ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

- Respondent is a corporation and therefore fits within the definition of a "person," as provided in Section 329(7) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(7).
- 7. At all times relevant to this matter, Respondent owned and operated a facility (the "Facility") in the business of plastic and resin manufacturing for industrial arts, located at 470

East Bonita Avenue in Pomona, California, that fits within the definition of a "facility," as provided in Section 329(4) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(4).

- At all times relevant to this matter, the Facility had 10 or more "full-time employees," as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 372.3.
- At all times relevant to this matter, the Facility was in Standard Industrial Classification Code 2821.
- 10. During the calendar year 2003, Respondent "processed," as that term is defined in 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 57,125 pounds of diisocyanates, a toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65. This quantity exceeded the 25,000 pound threshold for reporting "processing" of that chemical established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.
- 11. During the calendar year 2004, Respondent "processed," as that term is defined in 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, approximately 47,678 pounds of diisocyanates, a toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65. This quantity exceeded the 25,000 pound threshold for reporting "processing" of that chemical established under Section 313(f) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023(f), and 40 C.F.R. § 372.25.
- Respondent was required to submit a Form R for diisocyanates to EPA and the State of California for calendar year 2003 on or before July 1, 2004.
- Respondent was required to submit a Form R for diisocyanates to EPA and the State of California for calendar year 2004 on or before July 1, 2005.
- 14. Respondent failed to timely submit the Form Rs required of it to EPA and the State of California for calendar years 2003 and 2004, and thus violated Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and 40 C.F.R. Part 372.
- 15. Section 325(c) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c), and the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 19, provide that any person who violates any requirement of Section 313 shall be liable to the United States for a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed \$32,500 for each such violation occurring on or after March 15, 2004. Under the Enforcement Response Policy for Section 313 of EPCRA, dated August 10, 1992, and the Civil

	ı	
1	İ	
2		
	ı	
3		
4	ı	
5	ı	
6	۱	
7	۱	
8		
9	ı	
10		
11		(
12		
13	l	
	ı	
14	ı	]
15	l	
16	ı	(
17	l	1
18	ı	1
19	١	
20	I	
21	ı	
22	١	
23		(
24		]
25		

27

28

Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, the violations cited above would merit a total gravity-based civil penalty of TWELVE THOUSAND AND NINE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$12,900), given the nature, circumstances, and extent of the violations alleged.

## D. RESPONDENT'S ADMISSIONS

16. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(2) and for the purpose of this proceeding, Respondent: (i) admits that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this CAFO and over Respondent; (ii) admits the specific factual allegations contained in Section I.C of this CAFO; (iii) consents to any and all conditions specified in this CAFO and to the assessment of the civil administrative penalty under Section I.E of this CAFO; (iv) waives any right to contest the allegations contained in this CAFO; and (v) waives the right to appeal the proposed Final Order contained in this CAFO.

#### E. CIVIL ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY

17. In settlement of the violations specifically alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO, Respondent shall pay a civil administrative penalty of NINE THOUSAND AND THIRTY DOLLARS (\$9,030). Respondent shall pay this civil penalty within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this CAFO, shall make this payment by cashier's or certified check payable to the "Treasurer, United States of America," and shall send the check by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the following address:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX P.O. Box 371099M Pittsburgh, PA 15251

Respondent shall accompany its payment with a transmittal letter identifying the case name, the case docket number, and this CAFO. Concurrent with delivery of the payment of the penalty, Respondent shall send a copy of the check and transmittal letter to the following addresses:

Regional Hearing Clerk Office of Regional Counsel (ORC-1) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Russell Frazer Communities and Ecosystems Division (CED-4)

Consent Agreement and Final Order In re Silpak, Inc.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Edgar Coral
Office of Regional Counsel (ORC-2)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

- 18. Respondent shall not use payment of any penalty under this CAFO as a tax deduction from Respondent's federal, state, or local taxes, nor shall Respondent allow any other person to use such payment as a tax deduction.
- 19. If Respondent fails to pay the assessed civil administrative penalty of NINE THOUSAND AND THIRTY DOLLARS (\$9,030), as identified in Paragraph 17, by the deadline specified in that Paragraph, then Respondent shall also pay a stipulated penalty to EPA. The amount of the stipulated penalty will be THREE THOUSAND, EIGHT HUNDRED, AND SEVENTY DOLLARS (\$3,870), and will be immediately due and payable on the day following the deadline specified in Paragraph 17, together with the initially assessed civil administrative penalty of NINE THOUSAND AND THIRTY DOLLARS (\$9,030), resulting in a total penalty due of TWELVE THOUSAND AND NINE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$12,900). Failure to pay the civil administrative penalty specified in Paragraph 17 by the deadline specified in that Paragraph may also lead to any or all of the following actions:
- (1) EPA may refer the debt to a credit reporting agency, a collection agency, or to the Department of Justice for filing of a collection action in the appropriate United States District Court. 40 C.F.R. §§ 13.13, 13.14 and 13.33. The validity, amount, and appropriateness of the assessed penalty or of this CAFO is not subject to review in any such collection proceeding.
- (2) The U.S. Government may collect the debt by administrative offset (i.e., the withholding of money payable by the United States to, or held by the United States for, a person to satisfy the debt the person owes the U.S. Government), which includes, but is not limited to, referral to the Internal Revenue Service for offset against income tax refunds. 40 C.F.R. §§ 13(C) and 13(H).

Page 6

Consent Agreement and Final Order

In re Silpak, Inc.

13

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

24 25

26

27

28

liability for violations of any provision of any federal, state, or local law, statute, regulation, rule, ordinance, or permit not specifically alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO; or (ii) any criminal liability. EPA specifically reserves any and all authorities, rights, and remedies available to it (including, but not limited to, injunctive or other equitable relief or criminal sanctions) to address any violation of this CAFO or any violation not specifically alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO.

22. This CAFO does not exempt, relieve, modify, or affect in any way Respondent's duties to comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, regulations, rules, ordinances, and permits.

### H. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

 Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements incurred in this proceeding.

#### I. EFFECTIVE DATE

24. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.18(b)(3) and 22.31(b), this CAFO shall be effective on the date that the Final Order contained in this CAFO, having been approved and issued by either the Regional Judicial Officer or Regional Administrator, is filed.

### J. BINDING EFFECT

- 25. The undersigned representative of Complainant and the undersigned representative of Respondent each certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this CAFO and to bind the party he or she represents to this CAFO.
- 26. The provisions of this CAFO shall apply to and be binding upon Respondent and its officers, directors, employees, agents, trustees, servants, authorized representatives, successors, and assigns.

#### FOR RESPONDENT SILPAK, INC .:

FOR COMPLAINANT EPA:

PHIL GALARNEAU

President

Silpak, Inc. 470 E. Bonita Ave.

Pomona, CA 91767

**ENRIQUE MANZANILLA** 

Director, Communities and Ecosystems Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street

San Francisco, California 94105

# II. FINAL ORDER

EPA and Silpak, Inc. having entered into the foregoing Consent Agreement,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this CAFO (Docket No. EPCRA-9-2007- 2 be

entered, and Respondent shall pay a civil administrative penalty in the amount of NINE

THOUSAND AND THIRTY DOLLARS (\$9,030), and comply with the terms and conditions set

forth in the Consent Agreement.

05/15/07 DATE

STEVEN JAWGIEL

Regional Judicial Officer

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX

Consent Agreement and Final Order In re Silpak, Inc.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original fully executed Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO"),

Docket Number EPCRA-09-2007-00, Qua2 filed this day with the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S.

EPA, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California, 94105, and that a true and correct copy of the CAFO was sent to Respondent at the following address:

Mr. Phil Galarneau President Silpak, Inc. 470 East Bonita Avenue Pomona, CA 91767

Certified Mail No:7005 3110 0002 8247 1773

Danielle E. Carr

Regional Hearing Clerk

Region IX, EPA

Office of Regional Counsel

5-16-07

Date