CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that transcript by Administrative Law Judge Barbara Gunning, in the matter of **The Okonite Company, Inc., Docket No. TSCA-02-2010-9104**, was filed on November 18, 2010. I served copies of the transcript as indicated below:

First Class Mail -

Honorable Barbara A. Gunning Administrative Law Judge (1900) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20460

Francis T. Giuliano, Esq. Vice President and Gen. Counsel The Okonite Company 102 Hilltop Road P.O. Box 340 Ramsey, New Jersey 07446

Inter Office Mail -

Karen L. Taylor, Esq. Office of Regional Counsel USEPA - Region II 290 Broadway, 16th Floor New York, New York 10007-1866

US0

Karen Maples Regional Hearing Clerk USEPA - Region II

Dated: November 19, 2010

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Original
 UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTIO REGION 2	N AGENCY
In the Matter of	Docket No. TSCA-02-2010-9104
THE OKONITE COMPANY, INC.	
Respondent.	
Proceeding under Section 16(a) of the Toxic Substances Control Act	
	26 Federal Plaza New York, New York
	October 28, 2010 10:15 o'clock a.m.
BEFORE: HON. BARBARA GUNNING, Administrative Law Judge	
	PROTECTION AGENCY-REG. II 2010 NOV 18 P 3: 04 CT STONAL HEARING CLERN
ESQUIRE IN VIENANDER ESQUIRE	Telephone: 212.347.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152 One Penn Plaza Suite 4715 New York, NY 10119

October 28	, 2010
------------	--------

•			Page 2
1	1		
2	APP	EARANCES:	
์ 3			
4		KAREN TAYLOR, ESQ.	
5	,	COLES PHINIZY, ESQ.	
6		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	,
7		290 Broadway	
8		New York, New York 10007	
9			
10		FRANCIS T. GIULIANO, ESQ.	
11		Vice President and General Counsel	
12		The Okonite Company	
13		102 Hilltop Road	
14		P.O. Box 340	
15		Ramsey, New Jersey 07446	
16	I	,	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	I		
22			
23			
24			
25			
	<u> </u>		





.

Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 3
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE GUNNING: Good morning.
3	My name is Barbara Gunning.
4	I'm a US Administrative Law Judge
5	assigned to the US EPA.
6	Today is oral argument, on October
7	28, 2010, in the matter of Okonite that's
8	O-k-o-n-i-t-e Company, Inc., Docket No.
9	TSCA-02-2010-9104.
10	Today we're having oral argument.
11	And if the parties could please
12	introduce yourselves for the record.
13	MS. TAYLOR: Your Honor, Karen Taylor
14	for the Complainant.
15	MR. GIULIANO: Francis Giuliano
16	I'm sorry, go ahead.
17	MR. PHINIZY: Coles Phinizy,
18	co-counsel for the complainant.
19	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay.
20	MR. GIULIANO: Francis Giuliano, on
21	behalf of the Okonite Company.
22	JUDGE GUNNING: Thank you.
23	Now, will both counsel for the EPA be
24	speaking today, or just one?
25	MR. PHINIZY: It is our intention to

_ _





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

Г

October 28, 2010

	Page 4
l	Proceedings
2	have Ms. Taylor to do all the talking for us
3	today.
4	JUDGE GUNNING: All right.
5	Now, as we spoke last week on the
6	telephone, I have allotted forty-five minutes for
7	each side, but this is not an absolute number like
8	oral argument on appeal. If we go over, that is
9	fine.
10	I have some questions which may delay
11	your forty-five minutes.
12	I have allotted up to ten minutes for
13	rebuttal, which comes out of your forty-five
14	minutes for the EPA.
15	Do you wish to reserve that
16	ten-minute period?
17	MS. TAYLOR: Yes, your Honor, I would
18	like to reserve ten minutes for rebuttal.
19	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. Now,
20	obviously, the IVS here is for trial level. I'm
21	trying to elicit as much information as possible,
22	and understand your arguments fully as possible.
23	Therefore, we're not holding to the
24	firm forty-five-minute mark.
25	Now, any questions before we begin?

. _ ... _ ... _ .. .





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 5
1	Proceedings
2	Any housekeeping matters?
3	MS. TAYLOR: NO.
4	MR. GIULIANO: No.
5	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. So I'll have
6	EPA begin.
7	You will go approximately thirty-five
8	minutes, and then Respondent will have an
9	opportunity to speak, and a ten-minute rebuttal.
10	Okay.
11	MS. TAYLOR: May it please the Court,
12	your Honor, the primary issue in this case is
13	whether Respondent's use of PCB transformers
14	without having timely filed a registration with
15	the EPA is unauthorized.
16	The EPA maintains that failure to
17	register PCB transformers no later than December
18	28, 1998, results in an unauthorized use, in
19	violation of the 1978 PCB ban that began in 1998,
20	and does not cease until the PCB transformers are
21	either removed from service or reclassified.
22	This is a case of first impressions.
23	Ms. JUDGE GUNNING: Let me stop you
24	there.
25	Now, first I want to let you know I'm





,

.

Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

Г

October 28, 2010

	Page 6
1	Proceedings
2	not picking on either side. If my questions seem
3	a bit pointed, do not take it personally.
4	We are here for oral argument.
5	MS. TAYLOR: Okay.
6	JUDGE GUNNING: Now, EPA has alleged
7	this in the Complaint. However, you can possibly
8	construe the Complaint so that it is so broadly
9	worded a charge could also be considered for
10	failure to register.
11	Is it EPA's, or is the EPA willing to
12	stipulate that if they were to fail on their
13	primary argument, that it would not amend the
14	Complaint at this stage to charge for the
15	remaining period from the date of the inspection
16	onward for failure to register?
17	MS. TAYLOR: The charge in the
18	Complaint is an unauthorized use charge, and I'm
19	not sure I understand what you mean by "amend."
20	JUDGE GUNNING: In other words, if
21	you were to seek failure to register,
22	alternatively, as the charge, would that be made
23	by the EPA?
24	MS. TAYLOR: We do not intend to seek
25	failure to register.





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

_--

_

.....

·	Page 7
1	Proceedings
2	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. Please
3	proceed.
4	MS. TAYLOR: This is a case of first
5	impressions.
6	The last decision predates the
7	current registration requirement, and is based on
8	the 1985 Fire Department registration requirement.
9	The other cases cited by respondent,
10	Bunker Hill, decided in 1996, and Standard
11	Fortune, decided in 1997, also involve the Fire
12	Department registration requirement.
13	The Newel case involved a PCB
14	exposure violation.
15	Mays was a record case, and Rocky
16	Well was a safe drinking water case.
17	At most, Lazarus provided and
18	approached the question of regulatory
19	interpretation.
20	The 1985 rule required registration
21	with the Fire Department as of December 1, 1985.
22	The current regulation requires registration with
23	the EPA no later than December 28, 1998.
24	The first is an effective date, the
25	second is a deadline.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October	28	2010
OCCODEL	40,	2010

	Page 8
1	Proceedings
2	This is complaint language
3	interpretation of the regulation.
4	Section 761.20, states that no
5	persons may use any polychlorinated biphenyl, or
6	PCB, or PCB item, unless authorized under Section
7	761.30.
8	Section 761.30 states that a
9	transformer owner must comply with all
10	requirements to continue a PCB transformer
11	authorization for use pursuant to that section,
12	and Subsection 62.
13	JUDGE GUNNING: Don't both
14	regulations, the 85 reg and the amended reg, both
15	use the words "unauthorized use"?
16	MS. TAYLOR: They both contain the
17	authorization sections.
18	What was changed in '98 was the
19	language of the registration requirement.
20	JUDGE GUNNING: Right. And what was
21	that exact change?
22	MS. TAYLOR: In 1985, the regulation
23	read, "As of December 1, 1985, transformers must
24	be registered with the Fire Department."
25	The '98 regulation read, "No later





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

> One Penn Plaza Suite 4715 New York, NY 10119

.

October 28, 2010

	Page 9
1	Proceedings
2	than December 28, 1998."
з	JUDGE GUNNING: But there were no
4	other substantive changes to the wording of the
5	regulation, correct? Only as of and no later
6	than, which is primarily what the EPA is resting
7	its argument on.
8	MS. TAYLOR: And a change from the
9	local Fire Department to a national registration
10	requirement.
11	JUDGE GUNNING: But the use
12	requirement, and the terms "authorized use" remain
13	the same?
14	MS. TAYLOR: Yes, these terms were
15	present in both the 1985 and the 1998 regulation.
16	And this goes to my primary question
17	here, why wasn't that done?
18	And I'll enlarge this entire matter.
19	We look at the preambles for the
20	proposed rules, and for the final rule.
21	First, in the summary, unless I'm
22	missing something and you could educate me on
23	this, I would appreciate it but I saw nowhere
24	in the summary of the preambles any reference to
25	this increase in the ban where it would become a





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Do 10
-	Page 10
1	Proceedings
2	permanent ban.
3	When they discuss the individual
4	sections, there is a sentence and I'd like you
5	to take time to go to this, if you could in the
6	Federal Register now, I believe it's 35394, there
7	is a sentence that EPA, in its brief, bases a fair
8	amount of reliance on, and that is PCB
9	transformers that are not registered are not
10	authorized for use, and must be disposed of.
11	Correct?
12	MS. TAYLOR: Yes.
13	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. And you are
14	placing much reliance on this sentence in this
15	matter as signifying the intent of the rule to
16	change, in addition to the terms "as of" and "no
17	later than."
18	MS. TAYLOR: That is correct.
19	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay.
20	When you look at this sentence, my
21	question to you is, does this sentence qualify the
22	preceding sentences in the paragraph, or does this
23	sentence stand alone?
24	And if it does stand alone, this is a
25	very significant change in the rule to now make it
20	very significant change in the fute to now make it





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

> One Penn Plaza Suite 4715 New York, NY 10119

ĺ

October 28, 2010

	Page 11
1	Proceedings
2	permanent.
3	Why wasn't this discussed in a
4	different manner, where it was emphasized?
5	MS. TAYLOR: What manner are you
6	referring to? In the preamble itself?
7	JUDGE GUNNING: Yes, where it states,
8	for example, this is a change from the previous
9	registration.
10	My understanding is that this change
11	was prompted by the State of Connecticut
12	requesting the right to do this in addition to the
13	lack of compliance and the need to protect the
14	responders.
15	But nowhere in the preamble am I
16	seeing language that, what the EPA sought was a
17	permanent ban on transformers.
18	And if that was their wish, why isn't
19	that sentiment not expressed somewhere?
20	Unless you see it is expressed
21	somewhere.
22	MS. TAYLOR: I read that sentence as
23	meaning that this is the deadline and the cutoff,
24	as opposed to an effective date for the
25	registration requirement, along with the rationale





The Okonite Company, Inc.



•

Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

Γ

October 28, 2010

٦

	Page 12
1	Proceedings
2	provided the massive noncompliance, the desire for
3	the EPA to have PCB location information on a
4	national level, so that they can assess the
5	possible ban on PCB's which is under discussion
6	internationally.
7	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. But you agree
8	that you don't see any specific language here that
9	tells us that they wanted to enlarge this ban?
10	Do you agree that the '85 ban did
11	not, is not of the scope that you now allege?
12	MS. TAYLOR: The '85 registration
13	requirement was an effective date, and the
14	regulation community was permitted to register
15	late.
16	My reading of this, this sentence and
17	the preamble, and that section in its entirety,
18	says that this is meant to be a denial, it must be
19.	disposed of. If it is not authorized for use, it
20	must be disposed of.
21	JUDGE GUNNING: And you don't believe
22	that this sentence pertains to the two previous
23	sentences that discusses transferred PCB's, and
24	taking possession of a PCB transformer after the
25	deadline?





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

Г

October 28, 2010

٦

•	Page 13
1	Proceedings
2	MS. TAYLOR: Transfer and taking
3	possession would give you thirty days to register.
4	If you do not comply with that thirty-day window,
5	you would be required to either remove or
6	reclassify your transformers.
7	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. So that's a
8	carved-out exception that would allow you to have,
9	"late registration."
10	MS. TAYLOR: Newly acquired, I
11	believe the intention was for someone who acquired
12	a building that had a transformer on-site, which
13	would allow that individual to register the
14	transformer.
15	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. Please
16	proceed.
17	I know it's difficult to get back to
18	your train of thought.
19	MS. TAYLOR: That's not a problem.
20	TSCA, Section 6E, Congress banned the
21	use of PCB's in any manner other than unless
22	authorized by EPA.
23	TSCA's legislative history reflects
24	the intent to authorize the EPA to use outright
25	prohibitions. Thus EPA's regulations reflect the

October 28, 2010

	Page 14
1	Proceedings
2	1978 Congressional ban on PCB use when there is a
· 3	failure to comply with all of the use
4	authorization requirements.
5	And the PCB ban was intended to be
6	permanent.
7	The preamble to the 1994 rules
8	advised the regulating community that the
9	registration requirement would be part of the
10	authorization for continued use for each PCB
11	transformer.
12	The preamble to the '98 rule, as we
13	just reviewed, stated that the national
14	registration requirement was a petition for
15	authorized use, for continued authorized use, and
16	that PCB transformers that are not registered are
17	not authorized, and must be disposed of.
18	Recent change to a national
19	registration requirement included the wide scale
20	noncompliance with the Fire Department rule.
21	A review of the regulated community's
22	compliance with the regulation by the Office of
23	Inspector General of EPA, and EPA regional
24	personnel, found that many Fire Departments had
25	not received the registration information.
L	





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 15
1	Proceedings
2	A national registration requirement
3	and PCB transformer database would allow a greater
4	number of emergency response personnel, federal,
5	state, in addition to local, to have information
6	about the location of PCB transformers for
7	emergency planning and preparedness purposes.
8	Also, a national assessment of PCB
9	quantities in use was necessary for international
10	discussions on a potential mandatory ban of PCB
1'1	use.
12	Respondent essentially attacks the
[`] 13	validity of the regulation when it argues that the
14	application did not authorize by statute or is
15	unconstitutional.
16	However, under TSCA, Section 19,
17	challenges to regulations promulgated under TSCA,
18	Section 16, are only permitted within sixty days
19	after promulgation of the rule.
20	Okonite has been in business since
21	1878, and has several locations throughout the
22	United States.
23	Okonite's Ramsey location was
24	constructed in 1969, and the PCB transformers have
25	been in place since that time.

The Okonite Company, Inc.







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

.

r

.

October 28, 2010

٦

,

	Page 16
1	Proceedings
2	The useful life of a PCB transformer
3	is approximately forty years, if maintained.
4	Okonite's PCB transformers have been
5	in service at the Ramsey location for more than
6	forty years.
7	And Okonite did not take steps to
8	comply with the PCB regulations until
9	approximately 2005.
10	The evidence indicates that Okonite's
11	PCB transformers are near or at the end of their
12	useful life. PCB transformers are increasingly
13	vulnerable to leaks the older that they become.
14	Okonite admits that the Ramsey PCB
15	transformers were not in compliance with all of
16	the regulations, including marking, inspection,
17	pad mounting and burning, until the year 2005,
18	after issues arose concerning PCB transformers at
19	its Paterson facility.
20	PCB transformer violations found
21	during the EPA inspection of Respondent Paterson's
22	facility in October of 2004 included failure to
23	mark a PCB transformer, failure to mark a PCB
24	storage area, and improper storage of PCB's
25	waiting for disposal.





.

Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 17
1	Proceedings
2	But they're not charges before us,
3	correct, it's strictly failure to register,
4	unauthorized use.
5	We wanted to address all of the
6	issues raised by Respondent in this matter, so
7	part of that is the previous complaint and
8	settlement for the Paterson facility.
9	Respondent essentially claims that
10	EPA is being arbitrary in bringing this action,
11	and enforcing the disposal, or reclassification
12	requirement for the transformers at the Ramsey
13	location.
14	However, at the time of the
15	inspection in Paterson, the transformers there
16	were also registered late, but at that time they
17	were under contract for removal. And they were
18	removed in January of 2005.
19	So when EPA issued its March, 2005,
20	complaint for the Paterson facility, it did not
21	include an unauthorized use violation or
22	allegation for that facility.
23	JUDGE GUNNING: Getting back to my
24	primary question, this is my understanding, do the
25	regulations before and after the amendment still





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

The Okonite Company, Inc. October 28, 2010 Page 18 Proceedings 1 2 employ the language of unauthorized use? And you 3 seem to be arguing that it's the effective date versus a filing deadline. 4 MS. TAYLOR: Yes. 5 JUDGE GUNNING: Isn't this a matter 6 7 of semantics? 8 MS. TAYLOR: Considering the changes 9 and the reasons that were placed in the preamble 10 for the changes to the regulation, to me, it's 11 clear that it was more stringent, it was intended to be more stringent. 12 13 JUDGE GUNNING: Why not just come out 14 and say it is intended to be more stringent? 15 MS. TAYLOR: We stated the mass noncompliance, the desire to have national 16 17 information on locations, and an expansion in the 18 category of mercy response personnel that have 19 access to the information, plus creating the 20 national database. 21 And, to me, saying that it's not 22 registered, then it must be disposed of, is clear. JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. 23 Now a couple of other questions. 24 25 Am I correct in assuming that EPA





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 19
1	Proceedings
2	does not argue that Lazarus is overruled by this
3	regulation?
4	MS. TAYLOR: It's different language.
5	JUDGE GUNNING: And it is EPA's
6	position that this is a continuing violation?
7	MS. TAYLOR: Not in the sense of a
8	continuing violation doctrine.
9	The continuing violation doctrine
10	allows for the special accrual rate to extend the
11	five-year general statute of limitations.
12	So violation, we say it's continuing
13	because the PCB ban is permanent, which is why
14	this violation does not cease until the
15	transformers are either removed from service or
16	reclassified.
17	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. Now, in this
18	particular case, the parties agree that the PCB
19	transformers in question were registered on April
20	5, 2005, on the form described in the information.
21	I don't know if a registration fee
22	was collected.
23	Do you know if there was money
24	involved?
25	MS. TAYLOR: NO.





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

Page 20 1 Proceedings 2 JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. Now, EPA did accept this registration form, correct, did not 3 4 notify Respondent that it was meaningless? 5 MS. TAYLOR: The December, 2009, inspection was necessary to confirm the violation. 6 7 JUDGE GUNNING: Four years later. MS. TAYLOR: Four years later. 8 9 In part, because there is a window 10 for newly-acquired transformers, or 11 newly-discovered transformers. 12 JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. Now, by 13 possibly being deemed as an acceptance of this 14 regulation, or this application, do you see 15 equitable estoppel coming into play here? MS. TAYLOR: We could not determine 16 17 if there was a violation of registration 18 requirements until after the inspection. Otherwise, to refuse transformer 19 registration forms would not allow an individual 20 to have newly-identified, or newly-acquired 21 transformers to complete their registration. 22 23 JUDGE GUNNING: But don't you think a more timely inquiry would be appropriate? 24 MS. TAYLOR: We don't have the 25





The Okonite Company, Inc.



Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 21
1	Proceedings
2	resources, and I believe that within a five-year
3	period it's not considered untimely to perform an
4	inspection.
5	JUDGE GUNNING: Let's just say it's
6	not, it does not rise to the level of equitable
7	estoppel.
8	Could it be perhaps another factor
9	justice may require consideration in the penalty
10	phase?
11	MS. TAYLOR: The transformers were
12	identified in '98. Okonite hired a Director of
13	Environmental Services in '94.
14	Prior to the issuance of the proposed
15	rule, PCB's were banned in '78.
16	I believe the greater delay here is
17	their August, 2005, filing of the PCB transformer
18	registration form.
19	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. Now, there
20	were the rules on PCB, there were other changes
21	during the same time frame.
22	MS. TAYLOR: Yes.
23	JUDGE GUNNING: Where disposal of now
24	requires a determination that, I believe, that
25	they pose a risk. In other words, the burden





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

Г

October 28, 2010

1

	Page 22
1	Proceedings
2	shifted more to the government in that respect.
3	I interpret such as possibly being a
4	liberalization of some of the rules.
5	And this is a strengthening of the
6	rules.
7	Do you see any contradiction here?
8	MS. TAYLOR: I'm not sure I follow
9	the shifting of the burden to the government.
10	In 1978, transformers were part of
11	the environment.
12	JUDGE GUNNING: Disposal questions of
13	PCB's, which is beyond the scope of this argument,
14	I recognize. But the disposal requirements, I
15	believe, were also altered during the same time
16	frame.
17	MS. TAYLOR: They were in place in
18	1978.
19	That was the first regulation, and
20	that included disposal.
21	JUDGE GUNNING: Yes.
22	MS. TAYLOR: And, as far as I know,
23	all the burden is on the regulated community for
24	proper disposal.
25	JUDGE GUNNING: Correct. The burden







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

يد معمور بالاستعمار

October 28, 2010

٦

	Page 23
1	Proceedings
2	remains, but in terms of demonstrating it, there
3	was a shift in responsibility.
4	Well, it may not apply here, it may
5	not be a good analogy. But you do agree that this
6	was clearly a tightening of the regulation?
7	MS. TAYLOR: Yes.
8	JUDGE GUNNING: Now, when regulations
9	are tightened to cover more of the regulated
10	community, and to be strengthened, don't you think
11	it's incumbent upon the Federal Register notice to
12	clearly and explicitly state this?
13	MS. TAYLOR: PCB's were banned in
14	1978 by Congress. Without EPA's use
15	authorizations, the regulated community would have
16	had to dispose of their transformers in 1978.
17	JUDGE GUNNING: So this was a
18	carved-out exemption, so to speak?
19	MS. TAYLOR: Yes, it is.
20	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. And yet they
21	returned, they realized the lack of compliance,
22	for whatever reason, they wanted to strengthen
23	this rule.
24	But, as I said before, isn't it
25	incumbent upon the agency, when doing so, to

ESQUIRE LA MERIA DE CALLOR CALLOR CALLOR CALLOR



Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

•	Page 24
1	Proceedings
2	provide fair notice to the regulated community
3	that from the text of the rule itself, do you
· 4	believe the text of the rule in the amended form,
5	along with the preamble, provides fair notice of
6	this rather significant more stringent approach?
7	MS. TAYLOR: Your Honor, yes, I do.
8	No later than December 28, 1998, is
9	clear. It is plain language.
10	We did not alter some of the other
11	sections of the regulation, it still reads "as
12	of."
13	JUDGE GUNNING: Some of the other
14	sections remained in place?
15	MS. TAYLOR: Yes.
16	JUDGE GUNNING: Thank you.
17	Once again, if you want to continue.
18	MS. TAYLOR: With respect to the May
19	7, 2009, inspection date, Okonite argues that May
20	7, 2009, is the only relevant date in the present
21	matter.
22	The May 7, 2009, Ramsey facility
23	confirmed the PCB transfer violations in that the
24	PCB transfers were identified from information,
25	and should have been registered in 1998.

___ . ____





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

Г

October 28, 2010

٦.

i	Page 25
1	Proceedings
2	The EPA contends that the period of
3	violation began in 1998, and continues until the
4	unauthorized PCB transformers are either removed
5	from service or reclassified.
6	This is a time period before the
7	Court for adjudication.
8	The selection of the May 7, 2009,
9	inspection date in EPA's exchange is a point of
10	reference for the Paperwork Production Act
11	analysis.
12	The PCB penalty policy does not
13	associate a time period for violations to the
14	assessment of the penalty.
15	Although TSCA's statutory maximum
16	allows for a curving penalty, the PCB penalty
17	policy graduates a one-day penalty based on a
18	gravity matrix.
19	Given that the PCB penalty policy
20	does not associate a time period for the
21	violations to the penalty assessment, and that the
22	violation began in 1998, an action for penalty
23	could be sustained by any day within the violation
24	period.
25	Your Honor, the 1978 PCB ban, the





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

•	Page 26
1	Proceedings
2	1994 proposed rule, and the 1998 final rule,
3	clearly puts Respondent on notice of the EPA's
4	national registration requirement.
5	Respondent's transformers were
6	identifiable as PCB transformers, and Respondent
7	should have been able to comply with the rule.
8	And yet, Respondent failed to meet the
9	requirement, and thus is strictly liable under
10	TSCA.
11	Thank you.
12	JUDGE GUNNING: Just give me a
13	moment. I just want to go over my notes and make
14	sure that I didn't miss anything.
15	(Pause.)
16	JUDGE GUNNING: You're relying on the
17	language of the rules, the preambles.
18	Is there any other guidance document
19	and/or directive that you are relying on to make
20	your case?
21	I want to make sure I have a full
22	record before me.
23	Was there any guidance document
24	published on this matter, to the best of your
25	knowledge?
Ì	





Tankoos Reporting

Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 27
1	Proceedings
2	MS. TAYLOR: By the records, I'm
3	assuming you mean something from the headquarter's
4	office.
5	JUDGE GUNNING: Yes, anything that a
6	reference or authority for the position that
7	you're taking; or an exculpatory document.
8	Is there any other document that you
9	are aware of that discusses this topic?
10	MS. TAYLOR: The regulatory
11	interpretation of the 1998 registration
12	requirement.
13	Is that what you're referring to, the
14	guidance document for that?
15	JUDGE GUNNING: Yes, anything of that
16	nature.
17	I don't mean internal work documents,
18	or product, I'm talking about guidance documents
19	which are sometimes published, some type of
20	rulings, some type of directive from headquarters.
21	MS. TAYLOR: I have not located a
22	headquarters directive.
23	I have seen press releases from the
24	1998 time period emphasizing the requirement that
25	transformers must be registered or removed, for



.





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 28
1	Proceedings
2	some of the cases out in Region 9.
3	JUDGE GUNNING: But no official
4	guidance document, a press release versus a
5	guidance document?
6	MS. TAYLOR: To this date, I have not
7	seen a headquarters statement.
8	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. Thank you.
9	Give me just a minute.
10	Just out of curiosity, what do you
11	see as the Lazarus role in this matter?
12	MS. TAYLOR: Lazarus gives the
13	framework for regulatory interpretation in
14	determining the continuing nature of violations.
15	However, with the amendment to the
16	1998 regulation, it imposes the PCB ban, 1978 PCB
17	ban, somewhat different from the violation
18	discussed in Lazarus.
19	JUDGE GUNNING: So you still see it
20	as a viable decision for the continuing obligation
21	doctrine?
22	MS. TAYLOR: The continuing nature of
23	violations.
24	JUDGE GUNNING: You don't see it
25	modified, so to speak, by this amended rule?



• :



.

Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

Γ

October 28, 2010

	Page 29
1	Proceedings
2	MS. TAYLOR: Lazarus did not have the
3	1998 regulation. That's not the regulation that
4	was at issue.
5	JUDGE GUNNING: Right. It was issued
6	well before that.
7	But what happens to Lazarus now, if
8	this were the interpretation attached to the rule?
9	Do you see any modification of Lazarus?
10	MS. TAYLOR: The legislative history
11	is still the same because TSCA was enacted in
12	1976, and you still have the indication that
13	Congress intended the violations to be continuing
14	in nature, which tracks the discussion in Lazarus.
15	The point at which the analysis
16	diverges is the language, the wording of the
17	regulatory test.
18	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay. Thank you very
19	much.
20	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.
21	MR. GIULIANO: May it please the
22	Court, based on the directive we received from
23	your Honor, indicating that we would have this
24	argument today, based on the comments in that
25	directive that your Honor gleaned from the







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

Г

October 28, 2010

	Page 30
1	Proceedings
2	prehearing exchange documents, it is very clear to
3	me that the last thing we need to do today is to
4	repeat what is in the brief.
5	There is no question your Honor has
6	digested entirely what has been presented in both
7	briefs. And so I don't propose to go over again
8	what I said in the brief, and I'll simply rely on
9	the contents of the brief for responses to a
10	number of the things in the Complainant's papers,
11	in the Complainant's brief.
12	However, that having been said, I
13	would like to go forward with certain points that
14	may not have been made in my brief as clearly as
15	they might have, or as thoroughly as they might
16	have.
17	And so to that end, your Honor, I'm
18	going to start exactly with the language that your
19	Honor first referred to in your questioning of the
20	Complainant's counsel.
21	So if, again, we could go to the
22	promulgation of the final rule, page 35394.
23	Your Honor asked what did the EPA
24	understand to be the meaning of that sentence,
25	"PCB transformers that are not registered are not

.





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 31
1	Proceedings
2	authorized for use, and must be disposed of."
3	So with all due respect, I may answer
4	that question as I see it, as we see it, then I'd
5	like to do that.
6	JUDGE GUNNING: That was my first
7	question to you.
8	MR. GIULIANO: Well, maybe I should
9	have waited. But I think clearly the first part
10	of the answer is that that sentence modifies what
11	comes before.
12	I think there's very little question
13	but that it's intended to relate to a situation
14	where maybe a company buys another company or
15	facility, and that facility has PCB transformers,
16	and if it should turn out that they're not
17	registered, well, then, you make a decision, you
18	register them or you don't.
19	If you don't, you can't use them, you
20	take them out.
21	JUDGE GUNNING: Why should they be
22	different than other PCB transformers?
22	MR. GIULIANO: I don't think they
23	should be.
24 25	JUDGE GUNNING: But you're saying it
20	GODGE GOMMING: BUL YOU're saying it





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 32
1	Proceedings
2	applies to the two preceding sentences, but it
3	does say they are not authorized to produce and
4	must be disposed of.
5	Why would those transformers be
6	treated differently than other transformers that
7	are not registered?
8	MR. GIULIANO: I don't think they
9	would be, your Honor.
10	I think if a PCB transformer owner
11	has PCB transformers, there's an obligation under
12	that rule to register them.
13	And if you make a decision not to do
14	so, then I have to agree, you can't keep them, you
15	can't use them.
16	This is not something that the EPA
17	was playing games about. They had a serious
18	purpose behind their rules.
19	What Okonite has been trying to
20	convey from the beginning is that we, frankly,
21	agree with that premise.
22	The purpose was, you register the PCB
23	transformer, and you bring it into the daylight.
24	And now it becomes subject to all the
25	other, the entire panoply of the rules that EPA







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 33
1	Proceedings
2	can enforce.
3	JUDGE GUNNING: So you're saying if
4	they had come to conduct the inspection before
5	your registration, you agree it should have been
6	removed?
7	MR. GIULIANO: No. No, your Honor.
8	I think a company, as happened in
9	Lazarus, the EPA made the inspection, and then,
10	after that, they registered the transformers.
11	JUDGE GUNNING: Yes.
12	MR. GIULIANO: After that.
13	I don't think it matters either way.
14	Okonite's situation, the registration
15	was not provoked by an EPA inspection. So we made
16	the decision we'll get into that in a moment,
17	the circumstances but we made a decision to
18	register.
19	I think the company had the option,
20	if you intend to use them, if you intend to keep
21	using PCB transformers, you've got to register
22	them.
23	If you make a decision not to do so,
24	then I don't see any basis.
25	JUDGE GUNNING: It's still

••••

.....

- -----

October 28, 2010

	Page 34
l	Proceedings
2	unauthorized use.
3	MR. GIULIANO: Sure.
4	If a company decides not to register
5	its PCB transformers, that is a condition of use.
6	What I'm saying is it's not a matter
7	of fortuitous circumstance whether that's driven
8	by EPA inspection or not.
9	Let assume that an EPA inspection
10	does take place, and by that means, the company
11	becomes aware of its obligation to register. Then
12	it has to do so.
13	All I'm saying is
14	JUDGE GUNNING: But you registered
15	these transformers before the inspection.
16	MR. GIULIANO: Yes.
17	JUDGE GUNNING: So my question to you
18	is, had EPA appeared and observed that they were
19	not registered.
20	MR. GIULIANO: Yes.
21	JUDGE GUNNING: What should have
22	happened to Okonite then? What should they have
23	been charged with?
24	MR. GIULIANO: We could have been
25	fined.



.





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

Г

October 28, 2010

٦

	Page 35
1	Proceedings
2	That would be a violation of the
3	registration requirement.
4	And then we had the option to
5	register them immediately. Having learned of our
6	failure to comply with the law, then we should
7	have had the option to register them.
8	So a fine would be clearly
9	appropriate.
10	It's the construction well, your
11	Honor is well aware, the issue before you is the
12	construction of the regulation, does it mean you
13	have not forfeited, and we'll get to that, as
14	well.
15	I think we would have had the option
16	to register, and we should have registered. But
17	this language means if we don't.
18	Even if you want to look at the
19	language in the abstract, even if in some way you
20	can justify what EPA wants to do with this
21	language, which is to take it out of the context,
22	which is to say it doesn't relate to the sentences
23	before, even if you do that, for the sake of
24	argument, it doesn't say what they want it to say.
25	It doesn't say the language





0--- D---- DI----
	The Okonite Company, Inc. October 28, 2010
	Page 36
1	Proceedings
2	doesn't say PCB's this is the way it reads.
3	"PCB transformers that are not registered are not
4	authorized for use and must be disposed of."
5	It doesn't say PCB transformers that
6	have not been registered by December 28, 1998, are
7	not authorized for use. It doesn't say that.
8	And if your Honor asked that question
9	once or twice of EPA counsel, again, I'd like an
10	opportunity to answer that question. And it's
11	critical.
12	They are saying that the world
13	changed after December 28, 1998, in a big way.
14	I was, quite frankly, very surprised
15	to hear counsel concede, and I'm happy to hear it,
16	extremely happy, because it, again, indicates a
17	certain amount of candor and professionalism on
18	the part of the EPA which Okonite has been
19	seeking, and which, frankly, was expressed in the
20	first prehearing exchange, when they indicated
21	Lazarus applied.
22	Let me approach it from a different
23	direction.
24	JUDGE GUNNING: I guess this is a
25	good time for me to jump in.
l	







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

~

October 28, 2010

	Page 37
1	Proceedings
2	Based upon my reading of your
3	pleadings and brief, my understanding is you are
4	arguing that the EPA claims that Lazarus has been
5	aggregate, reversed, overruled.
6	I did not see that in the pleadings.
7	MR. GIULIANO: Okay. If I didn't say
8	it clearly enough, then I stand corrected.
9	What I'm saying is that was the point
10	I was trying to make a minute ago.
11	If they want this Court to adopt a
12	view of the regulation that once December 28,
13	1998, came and went, that violation is fixed, and
14	it's hopeless from that point on to correct your
15	mistake.
16	If that's what they want, then, of
17	necessity, they're arguing that Lazarus changed.
18	I'm not saying that they explicitly
19	said, oh, Lazarus is overruled, and I'm happy to
20	hear today that they say it wasn't.
21	JUDGE GUNNING: Right. And they're
22	saying that it's a continuing violation still.
23	MR. GIULIANO: You can't divorce
24	Lazarus didn't say continuing violation, Lazarus'
2'5	analysis proceeded on the basis of the document's





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 38
1	Proceedings
2	special accrual.
3	And in that context, Lazarus said two
4	things, and you can't divorce the one from the
5	other.
6	Lazarus said, "We find that the
7	obligation to register was a continuing
8	obligation." And then, "If it's a continuing
9	obligation, the failure to meet it is a continuing
10	violation."
11	There is no problem with that. We
12	fully agree with that.
13	But you can't take out "continuing
14	obligation."
15	And that's the problem before your
16	Honor today. The EPA's position is, oh, we like
17	the continuing violation part, we don't like the
18	continuing obligation part. That's what you're
19	being told.
20	But if the law doesn't say that.
21	Not only did Lazarus make that
22	holding, but every EPA decision since validated
23	the approach of Lazarus.
24	So with all due respect, when the EPA
25	today says to your Honor that they don't feel that





Tankoos Reporting

Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

One Penn Plaza Suite 4715 New York, NY 10119

ł

October 28, 2010

.

	Page 39
1	Proceedings
2	Lazarus is overruled, then that means that the
3	document continuing obligation was not overruled.
4	And so, just as Lazarus held then
5	because the goals are the same, the registration
6	requirement's goals are all the same today, that
7	they were on the regulation, before the regulation
8	was amended.
9	Counsel said that again today, and
10	she's right to say that.
11	She specifically made reference to
12	the goals that there hadn't been compliance with
13	the registration requirement as broadly as they
14	should be. Of course.
15	And so the EPA decided to address
16	that.
17	And one way that they addressed that
18	was to strengthen, as they said themselves in the
19	preamble, to strengthen the registration
20	requirement by making you're going to register
21	with the EPA, we're going to have control over
22	this whole process.
23	That gets me to maybe the completion
24	of the answer to your Honor's question about that
25	sentence in the preamble.

,

Tankaas Danasting

October 28, 2010

	Page 40
1	Proceedings
2	If I may, with all due respect, I
3	want to refer the Court and the EPA to a much
4	clearer indication in the preamble of the EPA's
5	intent about maximizing registration, maximizing
6	compliance, with the registration requirement.
7	And it's on the same page, 35394,
8	it's in the left-hand column, and it reads like
9	this:
10	In addition, changes to the rule that
11	will make it easier to enforce, such as requiring
12	that proof of registration be kept with the annual
13	log, should assist in abating the risk from fires
14	involving PCB's.
15	And now this is the important
16	language.
17	. By increasing the rate of compliance,
18	therefore, providing emergency response personnel
19	with information about more PCB transformers.
20	There's only one way to read that
21	language, I think.
22	Without appearing presumptuous. It
23	says "by increasing the rate of compliance."
24	That is in direct contradiction of
25	EPA's position that December 28, 1998, was the all

ESQUIRE ESQUIRE



,

Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

Γ

October 28, 2010

	Page 41
1	Proceedings
2	powerful date by which you were consigned to
3	perdition if you didn't comply.
4	But it says just the opposite. They
5	wanted to maximize compliance, and increasing the
6	rate of compliance is language of continuity.
7	To paraphrase Lazarus and its
8	project, that's language of continuity. And this
9	is the EPA itself, by increasing the rate of
10	compliance.
11	They're telling us, we want to
12	maximum compliance, and rightfully so.
13	JUDGE GUNNING: You don't interpret
14	the word "compliance" to encompass a ban?
15	MR. GIULIANO: No, because when your
16	Honor says "ban," I think you mean it in the
17	sense please, I don't want to seem in any way
18	presumptuous, but when you say "ban," I think you
19	mean that once December
20	JUDGE GUNNING: Requiring disposal.
21	MR. GIULIANO: Yes.
22	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay.
23	MR. GIULIANO: That's what you mean
24	by that?
25	JUDGE GUNNING: Yes.





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

٦

	Page 42
1	Proceedings
2	MR. GIULIANO: No.
3	No, because increasing rate of
4	compliance means it's a direct contradiction.
5	You had this up until this date. Once that date
6	came and went, too bad, you can't do it.
7	So then all these thousands of
8	transformers that are out there remain in the
9	dark, remain not subject to the EPA's review?
10	This is a very unusual situation that
11	is presented to your Honor, because the Respondent
12	is not fighting the EPA, the Respondent has as
13	much interest in the EPA being able to accomplish
14	its goals as anybody, if not more.
15	We like the idea that the EPA has all
16	those standards about having burns, and having
17	markings, and having inspections, and so on and so
18	forth.
19	Not that we have a choice, but it is
20	the law, but frankly, it's a good law, and it
21	should be enforced.
22	As opposed to the interpretation that
23	they're taking now, which is, don't worry about
24	it, if you didn't do it, your situation is
25	hopeless, so you may as well keep them hidden. If





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 43
1	Proceedings
2	you haven't registered them, keep them hidden,
3	because if we find out, you're going to forfeit.
4	That brings me to the very next
5	point, if I may.
6	In my brief, page 22, I cited two
7	cases. Those two cases were in the matter of
8	Russell Electric Plant Board. I don't understand
9	it, either.
10	And the other one was Weekly
11	Utilities.
12	In both of those cases I'm not
13	going to dwell on them a long time but in both
14	those cases, if your Honor please, there was a
15	failure to register. These are post-1998 cases,
16	Post-1998 cases.
17	There was a failure to register.
18	And in most cases, a fine was paid,
19	appropriately so.
20	But then both cases involved SEP.
21	And the SEP was removal of the offending
22	transformers.
23	Now, your Honor, in your position as
24	the Administrative Law Judge assigned to the EPA,
25	will know better than I ever will that you do not

Г

October 28, 2010

٦

	Page 44
1	Proceedings
2	get to do an SEP if what you are otherwise
3	obligated to do, what you're otherwise obligated
4	to do, is saying the SEP.
5	This is proof now by the EPA entering
6	into these capitals. Both of these cases are
7	capitals.
8	JUDGE GUNNING: Just for
9	clarification for the Court Reporter, the terms
10	that we are using here, SEP, is an acronym for
11	Supplemental Environmental Project.
12	And the word "CAFO" is an acronym for
13	Consent Agreement and Final Order.
14	Now you're saying both of these
15	cases, do you know which region they are from?
16	MR. GIULIANO: Yes, I have them here.
17	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay.
18	MR. GIULIANO: They're both Region 4.
19	JUDGE GUNNING: And you're saying
20	that the removal was contained within a SEP, and
21	that a SEP, by its policy, would not provide for
22	credit, so to speak, for removal if it were a
23	requirement under the rules?
24	MR. GIULIANO: Yés.
25	Let me answer that question this way.
L	





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

· [

٠

October 28, 2010

٦

	Page 45	
1	Proceedings	
2	I have never been involved in this	
3	kind of a case before. So as I said, your Honor	
4	would know much more than I ever would about this.	
5	But my understanding of an SEP or a	
6	SEP is that you cannot enter into it if the action	
7	you are agreeing to undertake is otherwise a legal	
8	obligation.	
9	So by this is the EPA, maybe	
10	region four, but it's the EPA entering into a	
11	CAFO, saying that the SEP that you will engage in	
12	is removal of the transformers.	
13	Which is, I submit to your Honor that	
14	this is a practical construction of the very	
15	regulation that they're trying to enforce, which	
16	means that it was not required.	
17	By violating the 1998 regulation, by	
18	late registration, it was not required that you	
19	remove the transformers, because otherwise,	
20	removal could not be part of an SEP.	
21	That's my point.	
22	Now, along that same line, the	
23	preamble to the promulgation of the final rule	
24	makes clear that EPA intends to put its database	
25	on its website. It says that.	





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 46
1	Proceedings
2	So now I respectfully invite the
3	Court to look at the EPA's website.
4	And if the Court were to do that,
5	what the Court is going to find, again, from the
6	standpoint of the EPA's own construction of this
7	regulation, or the regulation requirement, what
8	the Court is going to find is the registration
9	form.
10	Today, twelve years after the
11	regulation was enacted, there is still the
12	registration form on the EPA's website.
13	Maybe I don't get it, but this is an
14	invitation, it would seem to me, to the regulated
15	community, by the EPA itself, to register a PCB
16	transformer, if you have one.
17	JUDGE GUNNING: Didn't counsel
18	indicate that that form was there so that if you
19	have a newly-discovered PCB transformer, or one
20	that was transferred in, the form was for that
21	purpose, not necessarily to elicit new
22	registrations?
23	MR. GIULIANO: If counsel said that,
24	I missed it. But if counsel did say that, fine.
25	But the website doesn't say that.
	-





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 47
1	Proceedings
2	The EPA doesn't say this form is only
3	to be used if you fail if you just happen to
4	notice now, thirty days ago, that you had a PCB
5	transformer. The website doesn't say that.
6	That goes out to the country, go
7	ahead and register transformers.
8	Let's continue along that line of
9	inquiry.
10	If you look at the website, which
11	reflects, which contains the database for
12	registered PCB transformers, since December 28,
13	1998, since, there have been over 2,000
14	registrations of transformers.
15	This is not 2,000 transformers, this
16	is 2,000 registrations.
17	I don't know how many thousands of
18	individual PCB transformers that encompasses.
19	But this is again, the EPA by its
20	actions, not by its words, by its actions,
21	reflecting its own construction of the
22	registration requirement, which is go ahead and
23	register, even if it's late.
24	Over 2,000 of them since.
25	And they provide I'd be willing to





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 48
1	Proceedings
2	hand over to the Court, just to facilitate, if the
3	Court would be inclined to do that, I have with me
4	both the length of the registration application,
5	and the database itself, which reflects ongoing
6	registration right up until, I think, the site was
7	current until 2007, up until then, well after
8	1998.
. 9	So this is again the EPA, by its
10	actions, just like those two CAFO cases,
11	reflecting its own understanding of the
12	regulation, as opposed to the arguments of this
13	particular case.
14	Now, in your Honor's questioning of
15	counsel, there was a good deal of discussion
16	about which I found somewhat surprising, not
17	your Honor's questions, but the answers of the EPA
18	to the involvement of the Paterson factory, and
19	their inspection of the Okonite facility in
20	Ramsey, the headquarters. I would like to address
21	that, if I may.
22	I didn't think that we should,
23	because I thought that I didn't really expect
24	counsel to be testifying, and that's really what
25	happened in the brief, when they talk about why
L	





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 49
1	Proceedings
2	they did the inspection, and when they say things
3	like, "Well, we needed to do the inspection in
4	order to see if there was really a violation."
5	So if I may, your Honor, I'd like to
6	address that. And I will go back to Paterson.
7	And since they brought it up, I would like to
8	answer that.
9	The very same people at EPA who made
10	the inspection in Ramsey in May of 2009 were the
11	very same people dealing with Mr. Groome,
12	Okonite's Director of Environmental, in connection
13	with the Paterson factory.
14	Mr. Groome was hired, as counsel
15	said, in 1994, having been a bureau chief at the
16	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection.
17	And Mr. Groome made the decision,
18	after the involvement with Paterson, after
19	So when he decided to take the job at
20	Okonite, sometimes thankless, and putting Okonite
21	on an environmentally righteous path, he didn't.
22	He came to Paterson, we had a problem, there's no
23	question we had a problem in Paterson, and we
24	ponied up, so to speak.
25	There were violations, we paid the



I



Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

Page 50 1 Proceedings 2 fee, and the transformers were taken out. 3 But that is what induced Mr. Groome then to look at what he had been told. 4 He was newly hired and he had been 5 6 put through a training session, and he was told 7 certain things, and so we missed the deadline for 8 Ramsey. 9 When he saw the problems in Ramsey he 10 reacted, and he looked at the situation in Ramsey, and then he cured that problem. 11 He determined there were PCB transformers. 12 But for the EPA to say to your Honor, 13 as they did in their brief -- and I say this with 14 15 all due respect -- it's preposterous to say that 16 they needed to do the inspection four years later, 17 four years later, in order to find out there was a violation, when they knew in 2005, according to 18 them, that the regulation meant that you forfeited 19 your transformers by not registering in 1998. 20 21 For them to say, "Well, we didn't 22 really know there was a violation," we had to come 23 up there and look to make sure that Mr. Groome 24 didn't make a mistake, after having dealt with him 25 several months on the very issues where we had

SQUIRE



Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28	, 2010
------------	--------

	Page 51
1	Proceedings
2.	made a mistake in Paterson, and we paid the price
3	for it.
4	It's mind boggling, it's beyond
5	preposterous there would be that explanation, that
6	we needed to see there was a mistake.
7	I'm not talking EPA.
8	I can imagine how busy they are. And
9	as a citizen, I'd like to see that they are
10	enforcing the rules, so when they made a
11	surprise and not just me, I'm not talking about
12	me personally, but as a company. We don't exist
13	in a vacuum.
14	If something happened in that
15	transformer that's outside our ability, my office
16	isn't far from there, I don't want to breathe the
17	PCB's, I don't want the people in the company to
18	breathe the PCB's.
19	It would be foolish in this day and
20	age to think that there's this dichotomy between a
21	company's best interest and EPA's best interest.
22	I have difficulty, if your Honor
23	please, understanding that dichotomy in people's
24	minds.
25	You see very often this fight, well,





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 52
1	Proceedings
2	if you're for business and industry, you have to
3	be against environmental protection. It makes no
4	sense.
5	What good does it do for the Okonite
6	company if we're profitable and our employees are
7	getting sick?
8	To me, it never made any sense.
9	That's why Mr. Groome is there.
10	They had all the information.
11	There was a violation in 2005, they
12	had all the information they needed then.
13	When Mr. Groome filed that
14	registration document, it said, we have PCB
15	transformers. It said they were subject to the
16	regulations.
17	That's why they registered them.
18	JUDGE GUNNING: Is Respondent willing
19	to stipulate that the transformers here are PCB
20	transformers, as alleged in the complaint that are
21	covered by EPA?
22	Can we dispose of that question?
23	MR. GIULIANO: Absolutely.
24	JUDGE GUNNING: Okay.
25	MR. GIULIANO: I thought I admitted





Tankoos Reporting

Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 53
1	Proceedings
2	that in the answer, and the prehearing exchanges.
3	JUDGE GUNNING: I just wanted to
4	confirm that.
5	MR. GIULIANO: Absolutely,
6	absolutely, there's no question, they're PCB
7	transformers.
8	And that brings up another question
9	that your Honor posed to the EPA that I think I
10	should address briefly.
11	Once again, we're getting testimony
12	from EPA that, well, transformers have a useful
13	life of forty years.
14	Well, maybe there's some statistic
15	that says that, and there's no question again that
16	when Okonite built that facility, that those were
17	the transformers that were put there.
18	But if this weren't an evidential
19	hearing, then we would spend two or three days
20	with expert testimony about the fact that those
21	transformers don't age the way maybe they would
22	normally age in forty years, because they probably
23	weren't even necessary, in the first place.
24	I know that this is subject to expert
25	testimony.







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

.

ESQUIRE E A INDAGET GALÍO L'ARDER October 28, 2010

	r
•	Page 54
1	Proceedings
2	Again, I didn't really anticipate
3	getting into this until EPA opened up the subject
4	of forty-year-old transformers.
5	There was a legal question.
6	Now that they opened it up, I just
7	have to say in response, we know the age of those
8	transformers, and as I said in my brief, it is
9	critical to the Okonite Company that those
10	transformers not fail.
11	We don't need to rely on the EPA's
12	enforcement or inspections to tell us not that we
13	have a problem with it. The EPA would be welcome
14	to come up once a month. They're very nice
15	people. We've been dealing with them for a
16	year-and-a-half.
17	They're welcome to come up every
18	month and take a look at it.
19	We need those transformers to
20	function. We cannot afford for those transformers
21	to fail.
22	That is the reason why, when we
23	inspect them monthly, not the quarterly
24	requirement that the regulations say, not just
25	quarterly, we inspect them monthly. And that's

Tankoos Reporting

Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

-

	Page 55
. 1	Proceedings
2	just a form of inspection. We need them to work.
3	When we get the sense, the slightest
4	evidence that they are no longer in a condition
5	that is sound, they're going to get changed. It's
6	that simple.
7	JUDGE GUNNING: Returning to the
8	language of the amended rule.
9	MR. GIULIANO: Yes.
10	JUDGE GUNNING: My understanding is
11	that you're attaching little, if no, significance
12	to the change from "as of," rather than versus "no
13	later than."
14	Why use different language? Why not
15	use the language of the prior regs?
16	MR. GIULIANO: The answer to that is
17	in the preamble. I don't have an answer in the
18	sense that I would know what the EPA had in its
19	mind.
20	I can't really answer that, because I
21	can't speak for them.
22	But your Honor put your finger right
23	on the pulse when you asked me if it's going to
24	make such a fundamental change, why, in fifty or
25	sixty pages of preamble, is there no mention of





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

•

October 28, 2010

	Page 56
1	Proceedings
2	that fundamental change?
3	So that's the answer to your Honor's
4	question.
5	They changed the language. Okay. I
6	don't make light of it. And I don't know why.
7	Maybe it's a little clearer to say
8	"no later than." Maybe it was intended to
9	encourage maybe recalcitrant PCB transformer
10	owners. I don't know.
11	But for heaven's sake, if they
12	intended to change what by then had been it
13	would have been a simple matter.
14	Somewhere in these, about sixty pages
15	of preamble to say, and by the way, if you do not
16	register by December 28, 1999, you have done so at
17	your peril. That registration requirement is time
18	of the essence.
19	That registration requirement is not
20	subject to being bent, it's not subject to late
21	compliance.
22	All throughout you know we, in the
23	business we're in, we have a great many
24	environmental rules.
25	We have factories, and the factories







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 57
1	Proceedings
2	have to comply with air, they have to comply with
3	emissions, water, and so on and so on.
4	Yes, your Honor, I mean, in all
5	candor sometimes we miss a deadline. We don't
6	want to, but we do.
7	Once in awhile we do. We have never,
8	ever been told now, you missed a deadline, you
9	forfeited your permit, or you missed a deadline,
10	shut the factory, because you can't let out
11	emissions.
12	This is the only time where we faced
13	this kind of a suggestion. But on what basis?
14	Nothing, in sixty pages of preamble,
15	nothing in the notice of proposed rule-making,
16	another sixty or seventy pages, nothing, not one
17	word that this is a draconian requirement, either
18	you read it or you lose the transformer.
19	At the very least, to answer your
20	Honor's question posed to EPA, that shouldn't be.
21	So yes, sure, they changed the
22	language, but to ask, as counsel suggested, the
23	regulated community to parse those sixty pages of
24	preambles, and parse the language changes from "as
25	of" to "no later than," and then reach a







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

-

_ ..

October 28, 2010

	Page 58
1	Proceedings
2	conclusion from all that parsing that they mean
3	something here that they didn't mean before, I
4	don't know.
5	But, to me, that sounds unrealistic
6	in the extreme. It, frankly, sounds impossible.
7	It has nothing to do with the way
8	business is conducted.
9	We're a small company, and our
10	Environmental Department is a department of one.
11	A very capable one. But it's a department of one.
12	So what the EPA is saying our burden
13	was to sit there with the preamble, and parse it
14	word for word, sit there with the language of the
15	registration requirement, and parse that against
16	the 1985 requirement as of, and then determine
17	that it's not an effective date, it's a cut-off
18	date.
19	The law shouldn't require that if you
20	intend for that kind of fundamental change, say
21	it. And it's not said there.
22	And, frankly, it was never really
23	intended.
24	So that basically concludes the
25	comments that I have.





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

Γ

October 28, 2010

1

٠

	Page 59
1	Proceedings
2	I just was going to quote from the
3	Complainant's brief, on page 29, where they
4	concede the ability of Lazarus at some point.
5	But their responses to your Honor's
6	questions today, if I understood them correctly,
7	contain exactly that concession, that the Lazarus
8	analysis applies because they want to rely on the
9	document of continuing violation.
10	But you can't do that without the
11	document of continuing obligation.
12	As long as that is the case, then
13	this I know this one sounds really
14	presumptuous but as long as that is the case,
15	once they concede that the document of continuing
16	obligation does not apply, then this case is over.
17	I respectfully ask your Honor we
18	will go forward in a cooperative way with the EPA,
19	as we always have. And we welcome them every time
20	they come, and give them anything they want, just
21	as we did in the past.
22	And they will not be disappointed
23	with, as they said, themselves, the exemplary
24	nature of our compliance.
25	But this one, this particular





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 60
1	Proceedings
2	endorsement, is overreaching in respect of
3	Okonite.
4	Thank you.
5	JUDGE GUNNING: Thank you.
6	MS. TAYLOR: Your Honor, I would like
7	to just address a couple of issues raised by the
8	Respondent.
9	We differentiate between the
10	permanency of the PCB ban and the continuing
11	nature of the violation, and the continuing
12	violation doctrine discussed in Lazarus.
13	Here we're saying that the PCB ban is
14	permanent. This is not the same as saying that
15	the continuing violations doctrine applies.
16	And with respect to the SEP's that
17	Respondent referenced, removal of a PCB
18	contaminated transformer would be acceptable as a
19	supplemental environmental project.
20	However, removal of a PCB transformer
21	is not permitted, and if that was done, it was
22	done so in error on the part of EPA personnel.
23	EPA headquarters has made it clear
24	that late registration is not permitted for PCB
25	transformers, and that under the 1998 rule,
ĺ	

(

.



Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 61
1	Proceedings
2	transformers must be removed.
3	And they cannot be included in an
4	SEP.
5	With respect to the regulated
6	community keeping quiet, EPA performs unannounced
7	multimedia inspections, which, in the past, have
8	revealed the existence of unregistered PCB
9	transformers.
10	That, in part, was one of the reasons
11	for the change in the regulation, was the
12	discovery of the noncompliance.
13	So we expect that these multimedia
14	inspections will continue to reveal the existence
15	of PCB transformers that have not been registered.
16	Any further questions?
17	JUDGE GUNNING: No. I believe we
18	have thoroughly covered this subject.
19	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.
20	MR. GIULIANO: Thank you, your Honor.
21	Thank you very much.
22	JUDGE GUNNING: Thank you.
23	Actually, today was most
24	illuminating, and both sides did an excellent job
25	at presenting this question, briefing, oral





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

One Penn Plaza Suite 4715 New York, NY 10119

.

October 28, 2010

	Page 62
1	Proceedings
2	argument.
3	My job is not easy, I will be quite
4	honest. This is a difficult task.
5	But I think oral argument was well
6	worth the effort and the expenditure of resources
7	today, and I found it to be most helpful.
8	So I thank you.
9	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.
10	MR. GIULIANO: Thank you.
11	JUDGE GUNNING: Have a good day.
12	Also, for the record, I don't believe
13	that I included, or identified the individual to
14	my right.
15	Jennifer Wolf is a staff attorney
16	within the Office of Administrative Law Judges,
17	and a valuable member of our team.
18	So I apologize.
19	Thank you.
20	(Time noted: 11:15 o'clock a.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	•





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

	Page 63
1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, STEVEN KLEIN, a Certified
5	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, within and
6	for the State of New York, do hereby certify that
7	I reported the proceedings in the within-entitled
8	matter, on October 28, 2010, at 26 Federal Plaza,
9	New York, New York, and that this is an accurate
10	transcription of these proceedings.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
12	set my hand this 17th day of <u>MOVEMBER</u> ,
13	2010.
14	
15	Steven Bleinn
16	STEVEN KLEIN
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

		I		1
Α	admits 16:14	36:17	argue 19:2	14:15,15,17
abating 40:13	admitted 52:25	analogy 23:5	argues 15:13	31:2 32:3 36:4
ability 51:15	adopt 37:11	analysis 25:11	24:19	36:7
59:4	advised 14:8	29:15 37:25	arguing 18:3	aware 27:9
able 26:7 42:13	afford 54:20	59:8	37:4,17	34:11 35:11
absolute 4:7	age 51:20 53:21	and/or 26:19	argument 3:6,10	awhile 57:7
absolutely 52:23	53:22 54:7	annual 40:12	4:8 6:4,13 9:7	a.m 1:14 62:20
53:5,6	agency 1:3 2:6	answer 31:3,10	22:13 29:24	
abstract 35:19	23:25	36:10 39:24	35:24 62:2,5	B B
accept 20:3	aggregate 37:5	44:25 49:8	arguments 4:22	B 1:17
acceptable 60:18	ago 37:10 47:4	53:2 55:16,17	48:12	back 13:17
acceptance	agree 12:7,10	55:20 56:3	arose 16:18	17:23 49:6
20:13	19:18 23:5	57:19	asked 30:23 36:8	bad 42:6
access 18:19	32:14,21 33:5	answers 48:17	55:23	ban 5:19 9:25
accomplish	38:12	anticipate 54:2	assess 12:4	10:2 11:17
42:13	agreeing 45:7	anybody 42:14	assessment 15:8	12:5,9,10 14:2
accrual 19:10	Agreement	apologize 62:18	25:14,21	14:5 15:10
38:2	44:13	appeal 4:8	assigned 3:5	19:13 25:25
accurate 63:9	ahead 3:16 47:7	appeared 34:18	43:24	28:16,17 41:14
acquired 13:10	47:22	appearing 40:22	assist 40:13	41:16,18 60:10
13:11	air 57:2	application	associate 25:13	60:13
acronym 44:10	allegation 17:22	15:14 20:14	25:20	banned 13:20
44:12	allege 12:11	48:4	assume 34:9	21:15 23:13
Act 1:10 25:10	alleged 6:6	applied 36:21	assuming 18:25	Barbara 1:18
action 17:10	52:20	applies 32:2	27:3	3:3
25:22 45:6	allotted 4:6,12	59:8 60:15	attached 29:8	based 7:7 25:17
actions 47:20,20	allow 13:8,13	apply 23:4 59:16	attaching 55:11	29:22,24 37:2
48:10	15:3 20:20	appreciate 9:23	attacks 15:12	bases 10:7
addition 10:16	allows 19:10	approach 24:6	attorney 62:15	basically 58:24
11:12 15:5	25:16	36:22 38:23	August 21:17	basis 33:24
40:10	alter [•] 24:10	approached	authority 27:6	37:25 57:13
address 17:5	altered 22:15	7:18	authorization	began 5:19 25:3
39:15 48:20	alternatively	appropriate	8:11,17 14:4	25:22
49:6 53:10	6:22	20:24 35:9	14:10	beginning 32:20
60:7	amend 6:13,19	appropriately	authorizations	behalf 3:21
addressed 39:17	amended 8:14	43:19	23:15	believe 10:6
adjudication	24:4 28:25	approximately	authorize 13:24	12:21 13:11
25:7	39:8 55:8	5:7 16:3,9	15:14	21:2,16,24
Administrative	amendment	April 19:19	authorized 8:6	22:15 24:4
1:18 3:4 43:24	17:25 28:15	arbitrary 17:10	9:12 10:10	61:17 62:12
62:16	amount 10:8	area 16:24	12:19 13:22	bent 56:20
			l	







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

Page 65

· · · · ·				
best 26:24 51:21	buys 31:14	charge 6:9,14,17	company 1:7	conducted 58:8
51:21		6:18,22	2:12 3:8,21	confirm 20:6
better 43:25	<u> </u>	charged 34:23	31:14,14 33:8	53:4
beyond 22:13	C 2:2 3:1 63:2,2	charges 17:2	33:19 34:4,10	confirmed 24:23
51:4	CAFO 44:12	chief 49:15	51:12,17 52:6	Congress 13:20
big 36:13	45:11 48:10	choice 42:19	54:9 58:9	23:14 29:13
biphenyl 8:5	candor 36:17	circumstance	company's	Congressional
bit 6:3	57:5	34:7	51:21	14:2
Board 43:8	capable 58:11	circumstances	complainant	Connecticut
boggling 51:4	capitals 44:6,7	33:17	3:14,18	11:11
Box 2:14	carved-out 13:8	cited 7:9 43:6	Complainant's	connection
breathe 51:16	23:18	citizen 51:9	30:10,11,20	49:12
51:18	case 5:12,22 7:4	claims 17:9 37:4	59:3	Consent 44:13
brief 10:7 30:4,8	7:13,15,16	clarification	complaint 6:7,8	consideration
30:9,11,14	19:18 26:20	44:9	6:14,18 8:2	21:9
37:3 43:6	45:3 48:13	clear 18:11,22	17:7,20 52:20	considered 6:9
48:25 50:14	59:12,14,16	24:9 30:2	complete 20:22	21:3
54:8 59:3	cases 7:9 28:2	45:24 60:23	completion	Considering
briefing 61:25	43:7,7,12,14	clearer 40:4	39:23	18:8
briefly 53:10	43:15,16,18,20	56:7	compliance	consigned 41:2
briefs 30:7	44:6,15 48:10	clearly 23:6,12	11:13 14:22	constructed
bring 32:23	category 18:18	26:3 30:14	16:15 23:21	15:24
bringing 17:10	cease 5:20 19:14	31:9 35:8 37:8	39:12 40:6,17	construction
brings 43:4 53:8	certain 30:13	Coles 2:5 3:17	40:23 41:5,6	35:10,12 45:14
broadly 6:8	36:17 50:7	collected 19:22	41:10,12,14	46:6 47:21
39:13	Certified 63:4	column 40:8	42:4 56:21	construe 6:8
Broadway 2:7	certify 63:6	come 18:13 33:4	59:24	contain 8:16
brought 49:7	challenges 15:17	50:22 54:14,17	comply 8:9 13:4	59:7
building 13:12	change 8:21 9:8	59:20	14:3 16:8 26:7	contained 44:20
built 53:16	10:16,25 11:8	comes 4:13	35:6 41:3 57:2	contains 47:11
Bunker 7:10	11:10 14:18	31:11	57:2	contaminated
burden 21:25	55:12,24 56:2	coming 20:15	concede 36:15	60:18
22:9,23,25	56:12 58:20	comments 29:24	59:4,15	contends 25:2
58:12	61:11	58:25	concerning	contents 30:9
• bureau 49:15	changed 8:18	community	16:18	context 35:21
burning 16:17	36:13 37:17	12:14 14:8	concession 59:7	38:3
burns 42:16	55:5 56:5	22:23 23:10,15	concludes 58:24	continue 8:10
business 15:20	57:21	24:2 46:15	conclusion 58:2	24:17 47:8
52:2 56:23	changes 9:4 18:8	57:23 61:6	condition 34:5	61:14
58:8	18:10 21:20	community's	55:4	continued 14:10
busy 51:8	40:10 57:24	14:21	conduct 33:4	14:15
			l	l .







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

continues 25:3	covered 52:21	7:21,23 8:23	55:14	Docket 1:6 3:8
continuing 19:6	61:18	9:2 20:5 24:8	differentiate	doctrine 19:8,9
19:8,9,12	co-counsel 3:18	36:6,13 37:12	60:9	28:21 60:12,15
28:14,20,22	creating 18:19	40:25 41:19	differently 32:6	document 26:18
29:13 37:22,24	credit 44:22	47:12 56:16	difficult 13:17	26:23 27:7,8
38:7,8,9,13,17	critical 36:11	decided 7:10,11	62:4	27:14 28:4,5
38:18 39:3	54:9	39:15 49:19	difficulty 51:22	39:3 52:14
59:9,11,15	cured 50:11	decides 34:4	digested 30:6	59:9,11,15
60:10,11,15	curiosity 28:10	decision 7:6	direct 40:24	documents
continuity 41:6	current 7:7,22	28:20 31:17	42:4	27:17,18 30:2
41:8	48:7	32:13 33:16,17	direction 36:23	document's
contract 17:17	curving 25:16	33:23 38:22	directive 26:19	37:25
contradiction	cutoff 11:23	49:17	27:20,22 29:22	doing 23:25
22:7 40:24	cut-off 58:17	deemed 20:13	29:25	draconian 57:17
42:4		delay 4:10 21:16	Director 21:12	drinking 7:16
control 1:10	D	demonstrating	49:12	driven 34:7
39:21	D 3:1	23:2	disappointed	due 31:3 38:24
convey 32:20	dark 42:9	denial 12:18	59:22	40:2 50:15
cooperative	database 15:3	department 7:8	discovery 61:12	dwell 43:13
59:18	18:20 45:24	7:12,21 8:24	discuss 10:3	
correct 9:5	47:11 48:5	9:9 14:20	discussed 11:3	E
10:11,18 17:3	date 6:15 7:24	49:16 58:10,10	28:18 60:12	E 1:17,17 2:2,2
18:25 20:3	11:24 12:13	58:11	discusses 12:23	3:1,1 63:2
22:25 37:14	18:3 24:19,20	Departments	27:9	easier 40:11
corrected 37:8	25:9 28:6 41:2	14:24	discussion 12:5	easy 62:3
correctly 59:6	42:5,5 58:17	described 19:20	29:14 48:15	educate 9:22
counsel 2:11	58:18	desire 12:2	discussions	effective 7:24
3:23 30:20	day 25:23 51:19	18:16	15:10	11:24 12:13
36:9,15 39:9	62:11 63:12	determination	disposal 16:25	18:3 58:17
46:17,23,24	daylight 32:23	21:24	17:11 21:23	effort 62:6
48:15,24 49:14	days 13:3 15:18	determine 20:16	22:12,14,20,24	either 5:21 6:2
57:22	47:4 53:19	58:16	41:20	13:5 19:15
country 47:6	deadline 7:25	determined	dispose 23:16	25:4 33:13
couple 18:23	11:23 12:25	50:11	52:22	43:9 57:17
60:7	18:4 50:7 57:5	determining	disposed 10:10	Electric 43:8
course 39:14	57:8,9	28:14	12:19,20 14:17	elicit 4:21 46:21
Court 5:11 25:7	deal 48:15	dichotomy 51:20	18:22 31:2	emergency 15:4
29:22 37:11	dealing 49:11	51:23	32:4 36:4	15:7 40:18
40:3 44:9 46:3	54:15	different 11:4	diverges 29:16	emissions 57:3
46:4,5,8 48:2,3	dealt 50:24	19:4 28:17	diverges 29:10 divorce 37:23	57:11
cover 23:9	December 5:17	31:22 36:22	38:4	emphasized 11:4

۰,





.



Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

Page 67

<u>`</u>			-	
emphasizing	6:11,23 7:23	55:4	24:22 31:15,15	firm 4:24
27:24	9:6 10:7 11:16	evidential 53:18	48:19 53:16	first 5:22,25 7:4
employ 18:2	12:3 13:22,24	exact 8:21	fact 53:20	7:24 9:21
employees 52:6	14:23,23 16:21	exactly 30:18	factor 21:8	22:19 30:19
enacted 29:11	17:10,19 18:25	59:7	factories 56:25	31:6,9 36:20
46:11	20:2 25:2	example 11:8	56:25	53:23
encompass	30:23 32:16,25	excellent 61:24	factory 48:18	five-year 19:11
41:14	33:9,15 34:8,9	exception 13:8	49:13 57:10	21:2
encompasses	34:18 35:20	exchange 25:9	fail 6:12 47:3	fixed 37:13
47:18	36:9,18 37:4	30:2 36:20	54:10,21	follow 22:8
encourage 56:9	38:22,24 39:15	exchanges 53:2	failed 26:8	foolish 51:19
endorsement	39:21 40:3	exculpatory	failure 5:16 6:10	forfeit 43:3
60:2	41:9 42:12,13	27:7	6:16,21,25	forfeited 35:13
enforce 33:2	42:15 43:24	exemplary 59:23	14:3 16:22,23	50:19 57:9
40:11 45:15	44:5 45:9,10	exemption 23:18	17:3 35:6 38:9	form 19:20 20:3
enforced 42:21	45:24 46:15	exist 51:12	43:15,17	21:18 24:4
enforcement	47:2,19 48:9	existence 61:8	fair 10:7 24:2,5	46:9,12,18,20
54:12	48:17 49:9	61:14	far 22:22 51:16	47:2 55:2
enforcing 17:11	50:13 51:7	expansion 18:17	federal 1:12	forms 20:20
51:10	52:21 53:9,12	expect 48:23	10:6 15:4	forth 42:18
engage 45:11	54:3,13 55:18	61:13	23:11 63:8	fortuitous 34:7
enlarge 9:18	57:20 58:12	expenditure	fee 19:21 50:2	Fortune 7:11
12:9	59:18 60:22,23	62:6	feel 38:25	forty 16:3,6
enter 45:6	61:6	expert 53:20,24	fifty 55:24	53:13,22
entering 44:5	EPA's 6:11	explanation	fight 51:25	forty-five 4:6,11
45:10	13:25 19:5	51:5	fighting 42:12	4:13
entire 9:18	23:14 25:9	explicitly 23:12	filed 5:14 52:13	forty-five-min
32:25	26:3 38:16	37:18	filing 18:4 21:17	4:24
entirely 30:6	40:4,25 42:9	exposure 7:14	final 9:20 26:2	forty-year-old
entirety 12:17	46:3,6,12	expressed 11:19	30:22 44:13	54:4
environment	51:21 54:11	11:20 36:19	45:23	forward 30:13
22:11	equitable 20:15	extend 19:10	find 38:6 43:3	59:18
environmental	21:6	extreme 58:6	46:5,8 50:17	found 14:24
1:3 2:6 21:13	error 60:22	extremely 36:16	fine 4:9 35:8	16:20 48:16
44:11 49:12,16	ESQ 2:4,5,10		43:18 46:24	62:7
52:3 56:24	essence 56:18	F	fined 34:25	four 20:7,8
58:10 60:19	essentially 15:12	F 1:17 63:2	finger 55:22	45:10 50:16,17
environmental	17:9	faced 57:12	Fire 7:8,11,21	frame 21:21
49:21	estoppel 20:15	facilitate 48:2	8:24 9:9 14:20	22:16
EPA 3:5,23 4:14	21:7	facility 16:19,22	14:24	framework
5:6,15,16 6:6	evidence 16:10	17:8,20,22	fires 40:13	28:13
			-	







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Francis 2:10	47:6,22 49:6	24:16 26:12,16	history 13:23	5:22 7:5
3:15,20	59:18	27:5,15 28:3,8	29:10	improper 16:24
frankly 32:20	goals 39:5,6,12	28:19,24 29:5	holding 4:23	inclined 48:3
36:14,19 42:20	42:14	29:18 31:6,21	38:22	include 17:21
58:6,22	goes 9:16 47:6	31:25 33:3,11	HON 1:18	included 14:19
full 26:21	going 30:18	33:25 34:14,17	honest 62:4	16:22 22:20
fully 4:22 38:12	39:20,21 43:3	34:21 36:24	Honor 3:13 4:17	61:3 62:13
function 54:20	43:13 46:5,8	37:21 41:13,20	5:12 24:7	including 16:16
fundamental	55:5,23 59:2	41:22,25 44:8	25:25 29:23,25	increase 9:25
55:24 56:2	good 3:2 23:5	44:17,19 46:17	30:5,17,19,23	increasing 40:17
58:20	36:25 42:20	52:18,24 53:3	32:9 33:7	40:23 41:5,9
further 61:16	48:15 52:5	55:7,10 60:5	35:11 36:8	42:3
	62:11	61:17,22 62:11	38:16,25 41:16	increasingly
G	government		42:11 43:14,23	16:12
G 3:1	22:2,9	<u> </u>	45:3,13 49:5	incumbent
games 32:17	graduates 25:17	hand 48:2 63:12	50:13 51:22	23:11,25
general 2:11	gravity 25:18	happen 47:3	53:9 55:22	indicate 46:18
14:23 19:11	great 56:23	happened 33:8	57:4 59:17	indicated 36:20
getting 17:23	greater 15:3	34:22 48:25	60:6 61:20	indicates 16:10
52:7 53:11	21:16	51:14	Honor's 39:24	36:16
54:3	Groome 49:11	happens 29:7	48:14,17 56:3	indicating 29:23
Giuliano 2:10	49:14,17 50:3	happy 36:15,16	57:20 59:5	indication 29:12
3:15,15,20,20	50:23 52:9,13	37:19	hopeless 37:14	40:4
5:4 29:21 31:8	guess 36:24	headquarters	42:25	individual 10:3
31:23 32:8	guidance 26:18	27:20,22 28:7	housekeeping	13:13 20:20
33:7,12 34:3	26:23 27:14,18	48:20 60:23	5:2	47:18 62:13
34:16,20,24	28:4,5	headquarter's		induced 50:3
37:7,23 41:15	Gunning 1:18	27:3	I	industry 52:2
41:21,23 42:2	3:2,3,19,22 4:4	hear 36:15,15	idea 42:15	information
44:16,18,24	4:19 5:5,23 6:6	37:20	identifiable 26:6	4:21 12:3
46:23 52:23,25	6:20 7:2 8:13	hearing 53:19	identified 21:12	14:25 15:5
53:5 55:9,16	8:20 9:3,11	heaven's 56:11	24:24 62:13	18:17,19 19:20
61:20 62:10	10:13,19 11:7	held 39:4	illuminating	24:24 40:19
give 13:3 26:12	12:7,21 13:7	helpful 62:7	61:24	52:10,12
28:9 59:20	13:15 17:23	hereunto 63:11	imagine 51:8	inquiry 20:24
Given 25:19	18:6,13,23	hidden 42:25	immediately	47:9
gives 28:12	19:5,17 20:2,7	43:2	35:5	inspect 54:23,25
gleaned 29:25	20:12,23 21:5	Hill 7:10	important 40:15	inspection 6:15
go 3:16 4:8 5:7	21:19,23 22:12	Hilltop 2:13	imposes 28:16	16:16,21 17:15
10:5 26:13	22:21,25 23:8	hired 21:12	impossible 58:6	20:6,18 21:4
30:7,13,21	23:17,20 24:13	49:14 50:5	impressions	24:19 25:9
, , ,	23.17,20 27.13		L	
		l	l	l







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

33:4,9,15 34:8	involving 40:14	46:17 52:18,24	58:14	location 12:3
34:9,15 48:19	issuance 21:14	53:3 55:7.10	late 12:15 13:9	15:6,23 16:5
49:2,3,10	issue 5:12 29:4	60:5 61:17,22	17:16 45:18	17:13
50:16 55:2	35:11	62:11	47:23 56:20	locations 15:21
inspections	issued 17:19	Judges 62:16	60:24	18:17
42:17 54:12	29:5	jump 36:25	law 1:18 3:4	log 40:13
61:7,14	issues 16:18 17:6	justice 21:9	35:6 38:20	long 43:13 59:12
Inspector 14:23	50:25 60:7	justify 35:20	42:20,20 43:24	59:14
intend 6:24	item 8:6		58:19 62:16	longer 55:4
33:20,20 58:20	IVS 4:20	K	Lazarus 7:17	look 9:19 10:20
intended 14:5		Karen 2:4 3:13	19:2 28:11,12	35:18 46:3
18:11,14 29:13	J	keep 32:14	28:18 29:2,7,9	47:10 50:4,23
31:13 56:8,12	January 17:18	33:20 42:25	29:14 33:9	54:18
58:23	Jennifer 62:15	43:2	36:21 37:4,17	looked 50:10
intends 45:24	Jersey 2:15	keeping 61:6	37:19,24,24	lose 57:18
intent 10:15	49:16	kept 40:12	38:3,6,21,23	
13:24 40:5	job 49:19 61:24	kind 45:3 57:13	39:2,4 41:7	<u> </u>
intention 3:25	62:3	58:20	59:4,7 60:12	maintained 16:3
13:11	Judge 1:18 3:2,4	KLEIN 63:4,16	leaks 16:13	maintains 5:16
interest 42:13	3:19,22 4:4,19	knew 50 :18	learned 35:5	making 39:20
51:21,21	5:5,23 6:6,20	know 5:25 13:17	left-hand 40:8	mandatory
internal 27:17	7:2 8:13,20 9:3	19:21,23 22:22	legal 45:7 54:5	15:10
international	9:11 10:13,19	43:25 44:15	legislative 13:23	manner 11:4,5
15:9	11:7 12:7,21	45:4 47:17	29:10	13:21
internationally	13:7,15 17:23	50:22 53:24	length 48:4	March 17:19
12:6	18:6,13,23	54:7 55:18	Let's 21:5 47:8	mark 4:24 16:23
interpret 22:3	19:5,17 20:2,7	56:6,10,22	level 4:20 12:4	16:23
41:13	20:12,23 21:5	58:4 59:13	21:6	marking 16:16
interpretation	21:19,23 22:12	knowledge	liable 26:9	markings 42:17
7:19 8:3 27:11	22:21,25 23:8	26:25	liberalization	mass 18:15
28:13 29:8	23:17,20 24:13	<u> </u>	22:4	massive 12:2
42:22	24:16 26:12,16	$\frac{L}{L}$	life 16:2,12	matrix 25:18
introduce 3:12	27:5,15 28:3,8	lack 11:13 23:21	53:13	matter 1:6 3:7
invitation 46:14	28:19,24 29:5	language 8:2,19	light 56:6	9:18 10:15
invite 46:2	29:18 31:6,21	11:16 12:8	limitations	17:6 18:6
involve 7:11	31:25 33:3,11	18:2 19:4 24:9	19:11	24:21 26:24
involved 7:13	33:25 34:14,17	26:17 29:16	line 45:22 47:8	28:11 34:6
19:24 43:20	34:21 36:24	30:18 35:17,19	little 31:12 55:11	43:7 56:13
45:2	37:21 41:13,20	35:21,25 40:16	56:7	63:8
involvement	41:22,25 43:24	40:21 41:6,8	local 9:9 15:5	matters 5:2
48:18 49:18	44:8,17,19	55:8,14,15 56:5 57:22,24	located 27:21	33:13
		50.557.22,24		







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

maximize 41:5	month 54:14,18	20:11 46:19	28:8 29:18	overruled 19:2
maximizing 40:5	monthly 54:23	newly-identified	37:7 41:22	37:5,19 39:2,3
40:5	54:25	20:21	44:17 52:24	owner 8:9 32:10
maximum 25:15	months 50:25	nice 54:14	56:5	owners 56:10
41:12	morning 3:2	noncompliance	Okonite 1:7 2:12	o'clock 1:14
Mays 7:15	mounting 16:17	12:2 14:20	3:7,21 15:20	62:20
mean 6:19 27:3	multimedia 61:7	18:16 61:12	16:7,14 21:12	O-k-o-n-i-t-e 3:8
27:17 35:12	61:13	normally 53:22	24:19 32:19	P
41:16,19,23		Notary 63:5	34:22 36:18	
57:4 58:2,3	$\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{N} + \mathbf{N}}$	noted 62:20	48:19 49:20,20	P 2:2,2 3:1
meaning 11:23	N 2:2 3:1 63:2	notes 26:13	52:5 53:16	pad 16:17
30:24	name 3:3	notice 23:11	54:9 60:3	page 30:22 40:7
meaningless	national 9:9	24:2,5 26:3	Okonite's 15:23	43:6 59:3
20:4	12:4 14:13,18	47:4 57:15	16:4,10 33:14	pages 55:25
means 34:10	15:2,8 18:16	notify 20:4	49:12	56:14 57:14,16
35:17 39:2	18:20 26:4	number 4:7 15:4	older 16:13	57:23
42:4 45:16	nature 27:16	30:10	once 24:17 36:9	paid 43:18 49:25
meant 12:18	28:14,22 29:14		37:12 41:19	51:2
50:19	59:24 60:11		42:5 53:11	panoply 32:25
meet 26:8 38:9	near 16:11	O 1:17 3:1 63:2	54:14 57:7	papers 30:10
member 62:17	necessarily	obligated 44:3,3	59:15	Paperwork
mention 55:25	46:21	obligation 28:20	one-day 25:17	25:10
mercy 18:18	necessary 15:9	32:11 34:11	ongoing 48:5	paragraph
mind 51:4 55:19	20:6 53:23	38:7,8,9,14,18	onward 6:16	10:22
minds 51:24	necessity 37:17	39:3 45:8	on-site 13:12	paraphrase 41:7
minute 28:9	need 11:13 30:3	59:11,16	opened 54:3,6	parse 57:23,24
37:10	54:11,19 55:2	observed 34:18	opportunity 5:9	58:13,15
minutes 4:6,11	needed 49:3	obviously 4:20	36:10	parsing 58:2
4:12,14,18 5:8	50:16 51:6	October 1:14	opposed 11:24	part 14:9 17:7
missed 46:24	52:12	3:6 16:22 63:8	42:22 48:12	20:9 22:10
50:7 57:8,9	never 45:2 52:8	offending 43:21	opposite 41:4	31:9 36:18
missing 9:22	57:7 58:22	office 14:22 27:4	option 33:19	38:17,18 45:20
mistake 37:15	new 1:13,13 2:8	51:15 62:16	35:4,7,15	60:22 61:10
50:24 51:2,6	2:8,15 46:21	official 28:3	oral 3:6,10 4:8	particular 19:18
modification	49:16 63:6,9,9	oh 37:19 38:16	6:4 61:25 62:5	48:13 59:25
29:9	Newel 7:13	Okay 3:19 4:19	order 44:13 49:4	parties 3:11
modified 28:25	newly 13:10	5:5,10 6:5 7:2	50:17	19:18
modifies 31:10	50:5	10:13,19 12:7	outright 13:24	Paterson 16:19
moment 26:13	newly-acquired	13:7,15 18:23	outside 51:15	17:8,15,20
33:16	20:10,21	19:17 20:2,12	overreaching	48:18 49:6,13
money 19:23	newly-discove	21:19 23:20	60:2	49:18,22,23
				<u> </u>







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

.

October 28, 2010

Page 71

51:2	peril 56:17	point 25:9 29:15	premise 32:21	24:1 25:1 26:1
Paterson's 16:21	period 4:16 6:15	37:9,14 43:5	preparedness	27:1 28:1 29:1
path 49:21	21:3 25:2,6,13	45:21 59:4	15:7	30:1 31:1 32:1
Pause 26:15	25:20,24 27:24	pointed 6:3	preposterous	33:1 34:1 35:1
PCB 5:13,17,19	permanency	points 30:13	50:15 51:5	36:1 37:1 38:1
5:20 7:13 8:6,6	60:10	policy 25:12,17	present 9:15	39:1 40:1 41:1
8:10 10:8 12:3	permanent 10:2	25:19 44:21	24:20	42:1 43:1 44:1
12:24 14:2,5	11:2,17 14:6	polychlorinated	presented 30:6	45:1 46:1 47:1
14:10,16 15:3	19:13 60:14	8:5	42: 11	48:1 49:1 50:1
15:6,8,10,24	permit 57:9	ponied 49:24	presenting 61:25	51:1 52:1 53:1
16:2,4,8,11,12	permitted 12:14	poneu 49.24 pose 21:25	President 2:11	54:1 55:1 56:1
16:14,18,20,23	15:18 60:21,24	posed 53:9 57:20	press 27:23 28:4	57:1 58:1 59:1
16:23 19:13,18	personally 6:3	position 19:6	presumptuous	60:1 61:1 62:1
21:17,20 24:23	51:12	27:6 38:16	40:22 41:18	63:7,10
24:24 25:4,12	personnel 14:24	40:25 43:23	40:22 41:18 59:14	process 39:22
24.24 25:4,12 25:16,19,25	15:4 18:18	possession 12:24	previous 11:8	process 39:22 produce 32:3
26:6 28:16,16	40:18 60:22	13:3	12:22 17:7	produce 32.3 product 27:18
30:25 31:15,22	persons 8:5		price 51:2	Production
32:10,11,22	persons 8:5 pertains 12:22	possible 4:21,22 12:5	price 51:2 primarily 9:6	25:10
33:21 34:5	pertains 12:22 petition 14:14		primarny 9:8 primary 5:12	professionalism
36:3,5 40:19	perition 14:14 phase 21:10	possibly 6:7 20:13 22:3	6:13 9:16	36:17
46:15,19 47:4	Phinizy 2:5 3:17		17:24	profitable 52:6
47:12,18 50:12	3:17,25	post-1998 43:15 43:16	prior 21:14	prohibitions
47.12,18 50.12 52:14,19 53:6	,	-	55:15	13:25
56:9 60:10,13	picking 6:2	potential 15:10	-	
,	place 15:25	powerful 41:2	probably 53:22	project 41:8 44:11 60:19
60:17,20,24 61:8-15	22:17 24:14	practical 45:14	problem 13:19	
61:8,15 PCP 's 12:5 23	34:10 53:23	preamble 11:6	38:11,15 49:22	prompted 11:11
PCB's 12:5,23 13:21 16:24	placed 18:9	11:15 12:17	49:23 50:11	promulgated 15:17
21:15 22:13	placing 10:14	14:7,12 18:9	54:13	
23:13 36:2	plain 24:9	24:5 39:19,25	problems 50:9	promulgation 15:19 30:22
	planning 15:7	40:4 45:23	proceed 7:3	
40:14 51:17,18	Plant 43:8	55:17,25 56:15	13:16	45:23
penalty 21:9	play 20:15	57:14 58:13	proceeded 37:25	proof 40:12 44:5
25:12,14,16,16	playing 32:17	preambles 9:19	Proceeding 1:9	proper 22:24
25:17,19,21,22	Plaza 1:12 63:8	9:24 26:17	proceedings 4:1	propose 30:7
people 49:9,11	pleadings 37:3,6	57:24	5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1	proposed 9:20
51:17 54:15	please 3:11 5:11	preceding 10:22	9:1 10:1 11:1	21:14 26:2
people's 51:23	7:2 13:15	32:2	12:1 13:1 14:1	57:15
perdition 41:3	29:21 41:17	predates 7:6	15:1 16:1 17:1	protect 11:13
perform 21:3	43:14 51:23	prehearing 30:2	18:1 19:1 20:1	protection 1:3
performs 61:6	plus 18:19	36:20 53:2	21:1 22:1 23:1	2:6 49:16 52:3







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

Page 72

provide 24:2	59:6 61:16	reclassification	17:16 18:22	28:16 29:3,3
44:21 47:25	quiet 61:6	17:11	19:19 24:25	35:12 37:12
provided 7:17	quite 36:14 62:3	reclassified 5:21	27:25 30:25	39:7,7 45:15
12:2	quote 59:2	19:16 25:5	31:17 32:7	45:17 46:7,7
provides 24:5		reclassify 13:6	33:10 34:14,19	46:11 48:12
providing 40:18		recognize 22:14	35:16 36:3,6	50:19 61:11
provoked 33:15	R 1:17 2:2 3:1	record 3:12 7:15	43:2 47:12	regulations 8:14
Public 63:5	63:2	26:22 62:12	52:17 61:15	13:25 15:17
published 26:24	raised 17:6 60:7	records 27:2	registering	16:8,16 17:25
27:19	Ramsey 2:15	refer 40:3	50:20	23:8 52:16
pulse 55:23	15:23 16:5,14	reference 9:24	registration 5:14	54:24
purpose 32:18	17:12 24:22	25:10 27:6	7:7,8,12,20,22	regulatory 7:18
32:22 46:21	48:20 49:10	39:11	8:19 9:9 11:9	27:10 28:13
purposes 15:7	50:8,9,10	referenced	11:25 12:12	29:17
pursuant 8:11	rate 19:10 40:17	60:17	13:9 14:9,14	relate 31:13
put 45:24 50:6	40:23 41:6,9	referred 30:19	14:19,25 15:2	35:22
53:17 55:22	42:3	referring 11:6	19:21 20:3,17	release 28:4
puts 26:3	rationale 11:25	27:13	20:20,22 21:18	releases 27:23
putting 49:20	reach 57:25	reflect 13:25	26:4 27:11	relevant 24:20
P.O 2:14	reacted 50:10	reflecting 47:21	33:5,14 35:3	reliance 10:8,14
	read 8:23,25	48:11	39:5,13,19	rely 30:8 54:11
Q	11:22 40:20	reflects 13:23	40:5,6,12	59:8
qualify 10:21	57:18	47:11 48:5	45:18 46:8,12	relying 26:16,19
quantities 15:9	reading 12:16	refuse 20:19	47:22 48:4,6	remain 9:12
quarterly 54:23	37:2	reg 8:14,14	52:14 56:17,19	42:8,9
54:25	reads 24:11 36:2	region 1:4 28:2	58:15 60:24	remained 24:14
question 7:18	40:8	44:15,18 45:10	registrations	remaining 6:15
9:16 10:21	realized 23:21	regional 14:23	46:22 47:14,16	remains 23:2
17:24 19:19	really 48:23,24	register 5:17	regs 55:15	removal 17:17
30:5 31:4,7,12	49:4 50:22	6:10,16,21,25	regulated 14:21	43:21 44:20,22
34:17 36:8,10	54:2 55:20	10:6 12:14	22:23 23:9,15	45:12,20 60:17
39:24 44:25	58:22 59:13	13:3,13 17:3	24:2 46:14	60:20
49:23 52:22	reason 23:22	23:11 31:18	57:23 61:5	remove 13:5
53:6,8,15 54:5	54:22	32:12,22 33:18	regulating 14:8	45:19
56:4 57:20	reasons 18:9	33:21 34:4,11	regulation 7:22	removed 5:21
61:25	61:10	35:5,7,16 38:7	8:3,22,25 9:5	17:18 19:15
questioning	rebuttal 4:13,18	39:20 43:15,17	9:15 12:14	25:4 27:25
30:19 48:14	5:9	46:15 47:7,23	14:22 15:13	33:6 61:2
questions 4:10	recalcitrant 56:9	56:16	18:10 19:3	repeat 30:4
4:25 6:2 18:24	received 14:25	registered 8:24	20:14 22:19	reported 63:7
22:12 48:17	29:22	10:9 14:16	23:6 24:11	Reporter 44:9
				l

,







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

				Page 73
(2.5	40.11.10.50.10		22.24.27.6	55.11
63:5	42:11,12 52:18	30:22 32:12	33:24 37:6 49:4 51:6,9,25	55:11 significant 10:25
requesting 11:12	60:8,17	40:10 45:23		24:6
require 21:9 58:19	Respondent's 5:13 26:5	55:8 60:25 rules 9:20 14:7	seeing 11:16 seek 6:21,24	
required 7:20		21:20 22:4,6	seeking 36:19	signifying 10:15 simple 55:6
13:5 45:16,18	responders 11:14	,	seen 27:23 28:7	56:13
1 .		26:17 32:18,25 44:23 51:10	selection 25:8	simply 30:8
requirement 7:7 7:8,12 8:19	response 15:4 18:18 40:18	56:24	semantics 18:7	sit 58:13,14
9:10,12 11:25	54:7	rule-making	sense 19:7 41:17	site 48:6
12:13 14:9,14	responses 30:9	57:15	52:4,8 55:3,18	situation 31:13
14:19 15:2	59:5	rulings 27:20	sentence 10:4,7	33:14 42:10,24
17:12 26:4,9	responsibility	Russell 43:8	10:14,20,21,23	50:10
27:12,24 35:3	23:3	Russen 45.0	11:22 12:16,22	sixty 15:18
39:13,20 40:6	resting 9:6	<u> </u>	30:24 31:10	55:25 56:14
44:23 46:7	results 5:18	S 2:2 3:1	39:25	57:14,16,23
47:22 54:24	returned 23:21	safe 7:16	sentences 10:22	slightest 55:3
56:17,19 57:17	Returning 55:7	sake 35:23 56:11	12:23 32:2	signest 55.5
58:15,16	reveal 61:14	saw 9:23 50:9	35:22	somewhat 28:17
requirements	revealed 61:8	saying 18:21	sentiment 11:19	48:16
8:10 14:4	reversed 37:5	31:25 33:3	SEP 43:20,21	sorry 3:16
20:18 22:14	review 14:21	34:6,13 36:12	3EF 43.20,21 44:2,4,10,20	sought 11:16
requirement's	42:9	37:9,18,22	44:21 45:5,6	sound 55:5
39:6	reviewed 14:13	44:4,14,19	45:11,20 61:4	sounds 58:5,6
requires 7:22	right 4:4 8:20	45:11 58:12	SEP's 60:16	59:13
21:24	11:12 29:5	60:13,14	serious 32:17	speak 5:9 23:18
requiring 40:11	37:21 39:10	says 12:18 38:25	service 5:21 16:5	28:25 44:22
41:20	48:6 55:22	40:23 41:4,16	19:15 25:5	49:24 55:21
reserve 4:15,18	62:14	45:25 53:15	Services 21:13	speaking 3:24
resources 21:2	righteous 49:21	scale 14:19	session 50:6	special 19:10
62:6	rightfully 41:12	scope 12:11	set 63:12	38:2
respect 22:2	rise 21:6	22:13	settlement 17:8	specific 12:8
24:18 31:3	risk 21:25 40:13	second 7:25	seventy 57:16	specifically
38:24 40:2	Road 2:13	section 1:9 8:4,6	shift 23:3	39:11
50:15 60:2,16	Rocky 7:15	8:8,11 12:17	shifted 22:2	spend 53:19
61:5	role 28:11	13:20 15:16,18	shifting 22:9	spoke 4:5
respectfully 46:2	rule 7:20 9:20	sections 8:17	Shorthand 63:5	staff 62:15
59:17	10:15,25 14:12	10:4 24:11,14	shut 57:10	stage 6:14
respondent 1:8	14:20 15:19	see 11:20 12:8	sick 52:7	stand 10:23,24
5:8 7:9 15:12	21:15 23:23	20:14 22:7	side 4:7 6:2	37:8
16:21 17:6,9	24:3,4 26:2,2,7	28:11,19,24	sides 61:24	Standard 7:10
20:4 26:3,6,8	28:25 29:8	29:9 31:4,4	significance	standards 42:16
20.7 20.3,0,0	20.23 27.0		Significance	5-411 US 72.10
			l 	l







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

standpoint 46:6	9:24	team 62:17	thousands 42:7	51:15 56:9
start 30:18	supplemental	telephone 4:6	47:17	57:18 60:18,20
state 11:11 15:5	44:11 60:19	tell 54:12	three 53:19	transformers
23:12 63:6	sure 6:19 22:8	telling 41:11	tightened 23:9	5:13,17,20
stated 14:13	26:14,21 34:3	tells 12:9	tightening 23:6	8:23 10:9
18:15	50:23 57:21	ten 4:12,18	time 10:5 15:25	11:17 13:6
statement 28:7	surprise 51:11	ten-minute 4:16	17:14,16 21:21	14:16 15:6,24
states 1:3 8:4,8	surprised 36:14	5:9	22:15 25:6,13	16:4,11,12,15
11:7 15:22	surprising 48:16	terms 9:12,14	25:20 27:24	16:18 17:12,15
statistic 53:14	sustained 25:23	10:16 23:2	36:25 43:13	19:15,19 20:10
statute 15:14		44:9	56:17 57:12	20:11,22 21:11
19:11	T	test 29:17	59:19 62:20	22:10 23:16
statutory 25:15	T 2:10 63:2,2	testifying 48:24	timely 5:14	25:4 26:5,6
steps 16:7	take 6:3 10:5	testimony 53:11	20:24	27:25 30:25
STEVEN 63:4	16:7 31:20	53:20,25	today 3:6,10,24	31:15,22 32:5
63:16	34:10 35:21	text 24:3,4	4:3 29:24 30:3	32:6,11 33:10
stipulate 6:12	38:13 49:19	thank 3:22	37:20 38:16,25	33:21 34:5,15
52:19	54:18	24:16 26:11	39:6,9 46:10	36:3,5 40:19
stop 5:23	taken 50:2	28:8 29:18,20	59:6 61:23	42:8 43:22
storage 16:24,24	talk 48:25	60:4,5 61:19 ⁻	62:7	45:12,19 47:7
strengthen	talking 4:2	61:20,21,22	told 38:19 50:4,6	47:12,14,15,18
23:22 39:18,19	27:18 51:7,11	62:8,9,10,19	57:8	50:2,12,20
strengthened	task 62:4	thankless 49:20	topic 27:9	52:15,19,20
23:10	Taylor 2:4 3:13	thing 30:3	Toxic 1:10	53:7,12,17,21
strengthening	3:13 4:2,17 5:3	things 30:10	tracks 29:14	54:4,8,10,19
22:5	5:11 6:5,17,24	38:4 49:2 50:7	train 13:18	54:20 60:25
strictly 17:3	7:4 8:16,22 9:8	think 20:23	training 50:6	61:2,9,15
26:9	9:14 10:12,18	23:10 31:9,12	transcription	treated 32:6
stringent 18:11	11:5,22 12:12	31:23 32:8,10	63:10	trial 4:20
18:12,14 24:6	13:2,10,19	33:8,13,19	transfer 13:2	trying 4:21
subject 32:24	18:5,8,15 19:4	35:15 40:21	24:23	32:19 37:10
42:9 52:15	19:7,25 20:5,8	41:16,18 48:6	transferred	45:15
53:24 54:3	20:16,25 21:11	48:22 51:20	12:23 46:20	TSCA 13:20
56:20,20 61:18	21:22 22:8,17	53:9 62:5	transfers 24:24	15:16,17 26:10
submit 45:13	22:22 23:7,13	thirty 13:3 47:4	transformer 8:9	29:11
Subsection 8:12	23:19 24:7,15	thirty-day 13:4	8:10 12:24	TSCA's 13:23
Substances 1:10	24:18 27:2,10	thirty-five 5:7	13:12,14 14:11	25:15
substantive 9:4	27:21 28:6,12	thoroughly	15:3 16:2,20	TSCA-02-201
suggested 57:22	28:22 29:2,10	30:15 61:18	16:23 20:19	1:7 3:9
suggestion 57:13	29:20 60:6	thought 13:18	21:17 32:10,23	turn 31:16
summary 9:21	61:19 62:9	48:23 52:25	46:16,19 47:5	twelve 46:10







Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

October 28, 2010

Page 75

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					Fage /:
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	twice 36:9	55:14.15	59:8.20	63:11	1969 15:24
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		· ·			1976 29:12
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				word 41:14	1978 5:19 14:2
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	•		41:5 53:3	44:12 57:17	22:10,18 23:14
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				58:14,14	23:16 25:25
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	wasn't 9:17 11:3	worded 6:9	28:16
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	U			wording 9:4	1985 7:8,20,21
unauthorized 5:15,18 6:18 8:15 17:4,21valuable 62:17 versus 18:4 28:4 55:12valuable 62:17 40:20 41:17 40:20 41:17 40:20 41:17 work 27:17 55:2 world 36:121994 14:7 26: 49:1518:2 25:4 34:255:12 $40:20 41:17$ versus 18:4 28:4 tis:15 17:4,21work 27:17 55:2 world 36:121996 7:10 1996 7:1015:15viable 28:2059:18worry 42:23 violation 5:19 $yorry 42:23$ worth 62:61998 5:18,19 7:23 9:2,15understand 4:22viabling 45:17 violation 5:19violation 5:19 violation 5:19week 4:5 Weekly 43:10 week 4:5 $year 16:17$ year 16:17 years 16:3,6 20:7,8 46:107:14 17:21 20:7,8 46:1011:10 17:24 37:3 45:520:6,17 25:3 35:2 37:13,22 $yeekly 43:10$ week 4:5 $year 16:17$ years 16:3,6 20:7,8 46:10understood 59:6 61:8 unregistered 61:8 unsual 42:10 12:19 13:21,24 $29:2 32:17$ 49:25 60:15we'l' 33:10 39:21 52:6 53:11 56:23 $yeich 33:12$ 58:9 60:13 39:21 52:6 $00007:13,11$ 47:16;2411:10 12:19 13:21,24 14:15 15:9,11 14:15 15:9,11 $Wei wait 61:19$ waiting 16:25 $10007:2:8$ 20:9 $10007:2:8$ 20:911:15 62:20waited 31:9 waiting 16:25 $10007:2:8$ 20:9 $24:20,22:25$	unannounced	vacuum 51:13		•	8:22,23 9:15
unauthorized $5:15,18 6:18$ validity 15:13 valuable 62:17 versus 18:4 28:4 $36:2,13 39:17$ $40:20 41:17$ $40:20 41:17$ $40:20 41:17$ work 27:17 55:2 word 36:121994 14:7 26: 49:158:15 17:4,21 unconstitutional 15:15viable 28:20 viable 28:2059:18 versus 7:12 violation 5:19 violation 5:1921:25 47:20 work 27:17 55:2 word 36:121994 14:7 26: 49:1548:10 17:24 37:3 45:5violating 45:17 violation 5:19 25:10violating 45:17 violation 5:19 7:14 17:2146:3,12,25 47:5,10 week 4:5x 1:5,111998 5:18,19 7:23 9:2,15 24:8,25 25:148:11 51:23 55:1025:22,23 28:17 35:23 7:13,22week 4:5 Weekly 43:10 weit 37:13 42:6 35:13year 16:17 years 16:36 20:7,8 46:1020:7,8 46:10 50:16,17 53:13 53:22 year-and-a-half 54:161999 56:16understade 45:7 0 minely 21:3 9:11,12 10:10 12:19 13:21,2429:23 60:13 49:25 60:13we're 3:10 4:23 39:21 52:6 53:11 56:23999 56:16untenely 21:3 9:11,12 10:10 12:19 13:21,24We're 54:15 waited 31:9 waited 31:9 waiting 16:25We're 54:15 47:25 52:18 window 13:4 20:910007 2:8 21:15 62:202007 48:7 24:20,22 2517.14 18:2waited 31:9 waiting 16:25violations 13:4 20:920:2 21:1120:2:13 21:15 62:2020:2:13 21:15 62:20	61:6	validated 38:22	way 33:13 35:19	words 6:20 8:15	58:16
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	unauthorized		•	21:25 47:20	1994 14:7 26:2
$8:15\ 17:4,21$ $18:2\ 25:4\ 34:2$ versus $18:4\ 28:4$ $55:12$ $44:25\ 53:21$ $56:15\ 58:7$ $59:18$ word $36:12$ worry $42:23$ worth $62:6$ $1996\ 7:10$ $1997\ 7:11$ $1998\ 5:18,19$ $7:23\ 9:2,152$ $24:8,25\ 25:22$ $25:22\ 26:22$ $25:22\ 26:22$ $27:11,24\ 28:23$ $29:3\ 36:6,17$ $29:3\ 36:6,17\ 27:13\ 42:6$ $9eer the transmitted the transmi$	5:15,18 6:18	valuable 62:17	· ·	work 27:17 55:2	49:15
unconstitutional 15:15viable 28:20 $59:18$ worth $62:6$ $19985:18,19$ understand 4:22view 37:12website 45:25 $46:3,12,25$ x $19985:18,19$ 43:8violating 45:17violation 5:19 $46:3,12,25$ x x $25:22,23:22:15$ $43:8$ violation 5:19 $7:14$ 17:21week 4:5 x x $25:22,23:28:17$ $48:11:51:23$ $25:22,23:28:17$ x $54:17:59:19$ y y $27:11,24:28$ $48:11:51:23$ $25:22,23:28:17$ $54:17:59:19$ y y $37:24:38:10,17$ $35:13:13:22$ understood 59:6 $37:24:38:10,17$ y $35:13:13:6$ $20:7,8:46:10$ $48:8:50:20$ unrealistic 58:5 $60:11,12$ y $35:13:13:6$ $35:13:15:6:23$ $55:13:13:6:23$ $99:25:6:16$ untimely 21:3 $24:23:25:13,21$ $28:14,23:29:13$ $We're:54:15$ 0 0 $2000:47:13,11:13:13:2:8$ $9:11,12:10:10$ $49:25:60:15$ $WHEREOF$ $07446:2:15$ $2000:47:13,11:15:1:14$ $17:18,19:19:22:11:17:50:18:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:$	8:15 17:4,21	versus 18:4 28:4		world 36:12	1996 7:10
unconstitutional 15:15viable 28:2059:18worth 62:61998 5:18,19understand 4:22violating 45:17violating 45:1746:3,12,25 \overline{X} 24:8,25 25:43:8violation 5:19violation 5:1946:3,12,25 \overline{X} 24:8,25 25:understanding7:14 17:21week 4:5 \overline{Y} 29:3 36:6,1711:10 17:2419:6,8,9,12,14welcome 54:13year 16:1729:3 36:6,1237:3 45:520:6,17 25:325:22,23 28:17weren't 53:18,23years 16:3,620:7,8 46:1035:2 37:13,22weren't 53:18,2353:1250:16,17 53:13understood 59:637:24 38:10,17we're 3:10 4:2353:12 52:61999 56:16unregisteredviolations 16:2053:11 56:2353:11 56:2353:12 52:6untimely 21:328:14,23 29:13We're 54:15020:7446 2:15untimely 21:328:14,23 29:13We're 54:15020:04 16:2201:12:19 13:21,2449:25 60:15WHEREOF63:11117:18,19 1914:15 15:9,11waited 31:9waited 31:9willing 6:1110:15 1:142007 48:714:15 15:9,11waited 31:9waited 31:9willing 6:1110:07 2:82009 20:5 24:17:4 118:2waiteg 16:2520:921:15 62:2024:20,22 25:17:4 2111:25 52:44:1720:921:15 62:2024:20,22 25:11:15 62:2011:15 62:2049:1047:16,24	18:2 25:4 34:2	55:12	56:15 58:7	worry 42:23	1997 7:11
15:15 understand 4:22 6:19 30:24 43:8Vice 2:11 violating 45:17 violation 5:19website 45:25 46:3,12,25 $7:23 9:2,15$ 24:8,25 25:: $x 1:5,11$ understanding 11:10 17:24 37:3 45:5 48:11 51:23 55:10 $7:14 17:21$ 19:6,8,9,12,14 20:6,17 25:3 25:22,23 28:17 $x 1:15,12$ website 45:25 46:3,12,25 $x 1:5,11$ $7:23 9:2,15$ $24:8,25 25::x 1:5,11understood 59:6understood 59:6understeed61:87:24 38:10,17x 9:4 50:18,2252:11 59:9unregistered61:87:24 38:10,1725:211 59:9x 1:3,18 6:18x 2:4:23 25:13,21weil 33:1639:21 52:67:24 38:10,17years 16:3,620:7,8 46:1053:13 53:229:99 56:16unregistered61:8violations 16:2024:23 25:13,2158:9 60:1339:21 52:607:14 13:2828:63:69,907:14 13:2821:17 50:18untimely 21:39:11,12 10:1012:19 13:21,2414:2,3,10,1514:15 15:9,11Weire 31:9waited 31:9waited 31:9waiting 16:2520:907:24 14:20012:19 13:22,2417:42 18:23012:19 13:22,2417:42 18:23021:17 50:1822:1311:15 62:2017:42 18:2311:15 62:2012:1912:14 18:2311:15 62:2049:10$	unconstitutional	viable 28:20			1998 5:18,19
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	15:15	Vice 2:11	website 45:25		7:23 9:2,15
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	understand 4:22	view 37:12	46:3.12.25		24:8,25 25:3
43:8violation 5:19week 4:5 Y 27:11,24 28understanding7:14 17:21Weekly 43:10year 16:1729:3 36:6,1211:10 17:2419:6,8,9,12,14welcome 54:13year 16:1737:13 40:2537:3 45:520:6,17 25:354:17 59:19years 16:3,645:17 47:1348:11 51:2325:22,23 28:17weren't 53:18,2320:7,8 46:1048:8 50:2055:1035:2 37:13,22weren't 53:18,2350:16,17 53:1360:25understood 59:637:24 38:10,17we'le 3:10 4:2353:22999 56:16undertake 45:749:4 50:18,2235:13year-and-a-half54:16unregisteredviolations 16:2053:11 56:2358:9 60:13002004 46:2152000 47:13,111:1210:1049:25 60:15WHEREOF07446 2:159:11,12 10:1016:13weite 14:1917:21 8:2310:15 1:1412:19 13:21,24waited 31:9willing 6:1147:25 52:1810:15 1:1414:15 15:9,11waiting 16:2520:921:324:20,22 25:14:15 15:9,1116:1320:921:11:15 62:2024:20,22 25:	6:19 30:24	violating 45:17		x 1:5,11	· ·
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	43:8	violation 5:19	· ·		27:11,24 28:16
11:10 17:24 37:3 45:519:6,8,9,12,14 20:6,17 25:3welcome 54:13 $54:17 59:19$ went 37:13 42:6 weren't 53:18,23year 16:17 years 16:3,6 20:7,8 46:10 $50:16,17 53:13$ $53:22$ 37:13 40:25 $45:17 47:13$ understood 59:6 undertake 45:7 $35:2 37:13,22$ $49:4 50:18,22$ weren't 53:18,23 $35:13$ year and-a-half $54:16$ $36:20$ $50:16,17 53:13$ $53:22$ unrealistic 58:5 011,12 $60:25$ $999 56:16$ year-and-a-half $54:16$ $20:7,8 46:10$ $50:16,17 53:13$ $53:22$ $48:8 50:20$ $60:25$ unrealistic 58:5 011,12 $60:11,12$ $39:21 52:6$ year-and-a-half $54:16$ $21:4$ $2000 47:13,1$ unregistered 011 $24:23 25:13,21$ $49:25 60:15$ $8:9 60:13$ We've 54:15 0 $07446 2:15$ $2004 16:22$ $2005 16:9,17$ unsual 42:10 12:19 13:21,24 14:2,3,10,15 $16:13$ waited 31:9 waiting 16:25 $114:15 15:9,11$ W waiting 16:25 $20:9$ $11:15 62:20$ $2007 48:7$ $20:9$	understanding	7:14 17:21	•		29:3 36:6,13
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11:10 17:24	19:6,8,9,12,14			37:13 40:25
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37:3 45:5	20:6,17 25:3			45:17 47:13
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	48:11 51:23	25:22,23 28:17		· ·	
understood 59:6 $37:24\ 38:10,17$ $49:4\ 50:18,22$ we'll $33:16$ $35:13$ $53:22$ year-and-a-half $1999\ 56:16$ United 1:3 15:22 $52:11\ 59:9$ $60:11,12$ $35:13$ we're $3:10\ 4:23$ $39:21\ 52:6$ $54:16$ York $1:13,13\ 2:8$ $24:23\ 25:13,21$ $999\ 56:16$ untergistered $61:8$ violations $16:20$ $24:23\ 25:13,21$ $39:21\ 52:6$ $53:11\ 56:23$ $58:9\ 60:13$ $77:446\ 2:15$ $21:4$ $2,000\ 47:13,1$ $47:16,24$ untimely $21:3$ $8:5,11,15,15$ $28:14,23\ 29:13$ $49:25\ 60:15$ $We've\ 54:15$ $WHEREOF63:1147:25\ 52:18window 13:420:907446\ 2:152004\ 16:222005\ 16:9,1717:18,19\ 1921:17\ 50:1852:1111:15\ 62:2007446\ 2:152004\ 16:222005\ 16:9,1717:18,19\ 1921:17\ 50:1852:1114:15\ 15:9,1117:4\ 21\ 18:2314:15\ 15:9,11Willing\ 6:1147:25\ 52:1820:910:15\ 1:1410:07\ 2:810:2\ 2:132007\ 48:72009\ 20:5\ 24:224:20,22\ 25:449:10$	55:10	35:2 37:13,22			
undertake $45:7$ $49:4\ 50:18,22$ $35:13$ year-and-a-halfUnited $1:3\ 15:22$ $52:11\ 59:9$ $35:13$ $35:13$ $24:23\ 25:13,21$ $35:13$ unregisteredviolations $16:20$ $39:21\ 52:6$ $53:11\ 56:23$ $24:23\ 25:13,21$ $35:9\ 60:13$ $24:23\ 25:13,21$ $28:14,23\ 29:13$ $49:25\ 60:15$ $49:25\ 60:15$ $49:25\ 60:15$ $49:25\ 60:15$ $We've\ 54:15$ 0 $2004\ 16:22$ unusual $42:10$ $49:25\ 60:15$ $49:25\ 60:15$ $WHEREOF$ 0 0 $2004\ 16:22$ $9:11,12\ 10:10$ $16:13$ $16:13$ $17:21\ 8:23$ $10:15\ 1:14$ $17:21\ 8:23$ $9:11,12\ 10:10$ $12:19\ 13:21,24$ $49:25\ 52:18$ $willing\ 6:11$ $10:15\ 1:14$ $2007\ 48:7$ $14:15\ 15:9,11$ $47:25\ 52:18$ $102\ 2:13$ $2007\ 48:7$ $17:4\ 21\ 18:2$ $want\ 5:25\ 24:17$ $20:9$ $11:15\ 62:20$ $49:10$	understood 59:6	37:24 38:10,17	· · ·		
United 1:3 15:22 unrealistic 58:5 $52:11 59:9$ $60:11,12$ we're $3:10 4:23$ $39:21 52:6$ $54:16$ York $1:13,13 2:8$ $2:8 63:6,9,9$ $21:4$ $2:8 63:6,9,9$ untimely 21:3 unusual 42:10 use 5:13,18 6:18 $9:11,12 10:10$ $12:19 13:21,24$ $14:2,3,10,15$ $14:15 15:9,11$ we're $3:10 4:23$ $39:21 52:6$ $53:11 56:23$ $58:9 60:13$ $54:16$ York $1:13,13 2:8$ $2:8 63:6,9,9$ $21:4$ $2:000 47:13,1$ $47:16,24$ $07446 2:15$ wide 14:19 willing 6:11 $17:21 8:23$ $14:15 15:9,11$ waited $31:9$ waiting $16:25$ want $5:25 24:17$ we're $3:10 4:23$ $39:21 52:6$ $53:11 56:23$ $53:11 56:23$ $53:11 56:23$ $07446 2:15$ $21:4$ $2004 16:22$ $2005 16:9,17$ $17:18,19 19$ $21:17 50:18$ $52:11$ Unice all $31:9$ waiting $16:25$ want $5:25 24:17$ we're $3:10 4:23$ $39:21 52:6$ $39:21 52:6$ $54:16$ York $1:13,13 2:8$ 0 $07446 2:15$ Unice all $31:9$ waiting $16:25$ want $5:25 24:17$ we're $3:10 4:23$ $2009 20:5 24:20,22 25$ $11:15 62:20$	undertake 45:7	49:4 50:18,22			
unrealistic $58:5$ unregistered $61:8$ $60:11,12$ violations $16:20$ $24:23 25:13,21$ $24:23 25:13,21$ $28:14,23 29:13$ $49:25 60:15$ $39:21 52:6$ $53:11 56:23$ $58:9 60:13$ York $1:13,13 2:8$ $2:8 63:6,9,9$ $21:4$ $2,000 47:13,1$ $47:16,24$ untimely $21:3$ unusual $42:10$ use $5:13,18 6:18$ $8:5,11,15,15$ $9:11,12 10:10$ $12:19 13:21,24$ $14:23,10,15$ $14:15 15:9,11$ $39:21 52:6$ $53:11 56:23$ $58:9 60:13$ We've $54:15$ WHEREOF $63:11$ wide $14:19$ willing $6:11$ $47:25 52:18$ window $13:4$ $20:9$ $21:4$ $2,000 47:13,1$ $47:16,24$ $2005 16:9,17$ $17:18,19 19$ $21:17 50:18$ $52:11$ $2007 48:7$ $2009 20:5 24:17$	United 1:3 15:22	52:11 59:9		-	
unregistered $61:8$ violations 16:20 $24:23 25:13,21$ $53:11 56:23$ $58:9 60:13$ $2:8 63:6,9,9$ $2,000 47:13,1$ untimely 21:3 unusual 42:10 $24:23 25:13,21$ $28:14,23 29:13$ $49:25 60:15$ $58:9 60:13$ We've 54:15 0 $2:000 47:13,1$ use 5:13,18 6:18 $8:5,11,15,15$ $9:11,12 10:10$ $12:19 13:21,24$ $14:15 15:9,11$ vulnerable $16:13$ $53:11 56:23$ $58:9 60:13$ $2:8 63:6,9,9$ $2,000 47:13,1$ wide 14:19 willing 6:11 $47:25 52:18$ window 13:4 $20:9$ willing 6:11 $102 2:13$ $17:21 8:23$ $102 2:13$ $2007 48:7$ $2009 20:5 24:20waiting 16:2549:10waiting 16:2520:915 11 4010007 2:811:15 62:202009 20:5 24:2024:20,22 25$	unrealistic 58:5	60:11,12			2 1:4
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	unregistered	violations 16:20		2:8 63:6,9,9	2,000 47:13,15
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	61:8	24:23 25:13,21	-		47:16,24
unusual $42:10$ $49:25\ 60:15$ WHEREOF $07446\ 2:13$ $2005\ 16:9,17$ use $5:13,18\ 6:18$ vulnerable $63:11$ $17:21\ 8:23$ $17:18,19\ 19$ $9:11,12\ 10:10$ $16:13$ wide $14:19$ $17:21\ 8:23$ $2007\ 48:7$ $12:19\ 13:21,24$ W waited $31:9$ willing $6:11$ $10:15\ 1:14$ $2007\ 48:7$ $14:15\ 15:9,11$ waiting $16:25$ $20:9$ $11:15\ 62:20$ $2009\ 20:5\ 24:25$	untimely 21:3	28:14,23 29:13			2004 16:22
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	unusual 42:10	49:25 60:15		07446 2:15	2005 16:9,17
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	use 5:13,18 6:18	vulnerable		1	17:18,19 19:20
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8:5,11,15,15	16:13		$\frac{1}{17.218.23}$	21:17 50:18
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9:11,12 10:10				52:11
14:2,3,10,15 waited 31:9 window 13:4 102 2:13 2009 20:5 24: 14:15 15:9,11 waiting 16:25 20:9 11:15 62:20 24:20,22 25 17:4 21 18:2 want 5:25 24:17 11:15 62:20 49:10	12:19 13:21,24			í	2007 48:7
14:15 15:9,11 waiting 16:25 20:9 11:15 62:20 24:20,22 25 17:4 21 18:2 want 5:25 24:17 20:9 11:15 62:20 24:20,22 25	14:2,3,10,15				2009 20:5 24:19
$17.4 \ 21 \ 18.2 \ want 5:25 \ 24:17 \ \cdot 11.13 \ 02.20 \ 40.10$	14:15 15:9,11	-			24:20,22 25:8
	17:4,21 18:2	want 5:25 24:17	wish 4:15 11:18		49:10
23:14 31:2,19 26:13,21 35:18 within-entitled 16 15.18 2010 1:14 3:7					2010 1:14 3:7
$32:15\ 33:20$ $35:24\ 37:11,16$ $63:7$ $10(a)\ 1.9$ $63:8,13$ $63:8,13$					63:8,13
34:25 36:47 40:3 41:11 17 17 18/8 13:21 22 43:6		40:3 41:11,17			22 43:6
54.2,5 50.4,7 51:16,17 57:6 WITNESS 19 15:16 22 45.0	· · · ·	51:16,17 57:6		01:51 61	





Tankoos Reporting

Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

_



October 28, 2010

Page 76

	 		Page /6
26 1:12 63:8			
28 1:12 05:8 28 1:14 3:7 5:18			
7:23 9:2 24:8			
36:6,13 37:12			
40:25 47:12			
56:16 63:8			
29 59:3			
290 2:7			
3			
340 2:14			
35394 10:6			
30:22 40:7			
4			
4 44:18			
5			
5 19:20			
6			
6E 13:20			
62 8:12			
7			
7 24:19,20,22 25:8			
761.20 8:4	,		
761.30 8:7,8			
78 21:15			
8			
85 8:14 12:10,12			
9			
9 28:2			
94 21:13			
98 8:18,25 14:12			
21:12			
	 		<u> </u>





Telephone: 212.349.9692 Facsimile: 212.557.2152

.