## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 6, 1445 ROSS AVENUE, DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-2733

## EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

2016 OCT 27 AM 9: 22

DOCKET NO. CWA-06-2016-4326

On: June 14, 2016

UNITED STATES

WAL PROTEC

At: Central Crude, Inc., Raceland Terminal, On Louisiana State Road 182, Raceland, Lafourche Parish, LA, . Owned or operated by: Central Crude, Inc., 4187 Hwy 3059, Lake Charles, LA 70615-3310 (Respondent).

An authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 USC § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached SPCC INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS AND PROPOSED PENALTY FORM (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

The parties are authorized to enter into this Expedited Settlement under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 USC § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 CFR § 22.13(b). The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$1,250.00.

This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

EPA finds the Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 CFR Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. The Respondent admits he/she is subject to 40 CFR Part 112 and that EPA has jurisdiction over the Respondent and the Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. The Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above. Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of

\$1,250.00, payable to the "Environmental Protection Agency," to: "USEPA, Fines & Penalties, P.O. Box 979077, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000," and Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check "Spill Fund-311" and the docket number of this case, "CWA-06-2016-4326."

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

Failure by the Respondent to pay the penalty assessed by the Final Order in full by its due date may subject Respondent to a civil action to collect the assessed penalty, plus interest,

attorney's fees, costs and an additional quarterly nonpayment penalty pursuant to Section 311(b)(6)(H) of the Act, 33 USC §1321(b)(6)(H). In any such collection action, the validity, amount and appropriateness of the penalty agreed to herein shall not be subject to review.

If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement as presented within 30 days of the date of its receipt, the proposed Expedited Settlement is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other enforcement action for the violations identified in the Form.

After this Expedited Settlement becomes effective, EPA will take no further action against the Respondent for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by the Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon EPA's filing of the document with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

APPROVED BY EPA:

. Chris Pitersen Date: 9/15/16 Ronald D. Crossland Associate Director Emergency Management Branch Superfund Division

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Name (print): Joe

Title (print): Director of HSSE

Date: 9/22/16 Signature

Estimated cost for correcting the violation(s) is \$ / 80,000

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Carl E. Edlund, J.E.

Ottne Date: 10/23/16.

Director

Superfund Division

## Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 6 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Ço	mpany N	ame	Docket Number:	
Central Crude, Inc.		de, Inc.	CWA-06-2016-4326	UNITED STAKES
Fac	cility Nar	ne	Date	* * *
Raceland Terminal		erminal	6/14/2016	* CNAP * CONECTON * CO
Address			Inspection Number	Sy S
P.	O. Box 1	863	SPCC-LA-2016-0290	The protection
Cit	ty:		Inspectors Name:	S FROM
La	ke Charle	es	Chris Perry	
Sta	ite:	Zip Code:	EPA Approving Official:	-
LΛ	<u> </u>	70602	Donald P. Smith	
Co	ntact:		Enforcement Contacts:	
Ms	s, Donna	Sicole, (337) 436-1000	Misty Ward (214) 665-6418	
		Sum	mary of Findings	
		(Bul	k Storage Facilities)	
<u></u>		GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a) (When the SPCC Plan review penalty ex	e, (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (cccds \$1,500.00 enter only the maximum allowa	(c), (d) able of \$1,500.00.)
	·No Sp	(When the SPCC Plan review penalty ex	e, (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c); 15.7 (a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a),	able of \$1,500.00.)
	-	(When the SPCC Plan review penalty ex ill Prevention Control and Countermeasure	cccds \$1,500.00 enter only the maximum allows	able of \$1,500.00.)
	Plan n	(When the SPCC Plan review penalty exit ill Prevention Control and Countermeasure of certified by a professional engineer- 112.	Plan-112.3	\$1,500.00 \$1,500.00 \$1,500.00 \$2,000
	Plan n Certifi	(When the SPCC Plan review penalty exit ill Prevention Control and Countermeasure of certified by a professional engineer- 112.)	Plan-112.3	\$1,500.00 \$1,500.00 \$1,00.00
	Plan n Certifi	(When the SPCC Plan review penalty exitle Prevention Control and Countermeasure of certified by a professional engineer- 112 cation lacks one or more required elements magement approval of plan- 112.7	Plan-112.3  3(d)  - 112.3(d)(1)	\$1,500.00 \$1,500.00 \$1,500.00 \$1,00.00 \$1,500.00 \$1,500.00
	Plan n Certifi No ma	(When the SPCC Plan review penalty exitil Prevention Control and Countermeasure of certified by a professional engineer- 112 cation lacks one or more required elements magement approval of plan- 112.7	ceeds \$1,500.00 enter only the maximum allows  Plan-112.3  3(d)	\$1,500.00 \$1,500.00 \$1,500.00 \$1,00.00 \$1,00.00 \$1,00.00 \$1,00.00 \$1,00.00 \$1,00.00 \$1,00.00
	Plan n Certifi No ma Plan n No evi	(When the SPCC Plan review penalty ex- ill Prevention Control and Countermeasure of certified by a professional engineer- 112. cation lacks one or more required elements magement approval of plan- 112.7 of maintained on site (if manned at least fou dence of five-year review of plan by owner on amendment(s) if the facility has had a cha	Plan-1/2.3  Plan-1/2.3  (d)  - 1/2.3(d)(1)  r (4) hrs/day) or not available for review - 1  /operator-1/2.5(b)	\$1,500.00 \$1,500.00 \$1,500.00 \$1,00.00 \$1,00.00 \$1,500.00 \$1
	Plan n Certifi No ma Plan n No evi No pla or mai	(When the SPCC Plan review penalty ex- ill Prevention Control and Countermeasure of certified by a professional engineer- 112 cation lacks one or more required elements magement approval of plan- 112.7 of maintained on site (if manned at least foundence of five-year review of plan by owner on amendment(s) if the facility has had a chantenance which affects the facility's dischar	Plan-1/2.3  Plan-1/2.3  (d)  - 1/2.3(d)(1)  r (4) hrs/day) or not available for review - 1  /operator- 1/2.5(b)  inge in: design, construction, operation,	\$1,500.00.)\$1,500.00
	Plan n Certifi No ma Plan n No evi . No pla or mai	(When the SPCC Plan review penalty ex- ill Prevention Control and Countermeasure of certified by a professional engineer- 112. cation lacks one or more required elements magement approval of plan- 112.7 of maintained on site (if manned at least foundence of five-year review of plan by owner on amendment(s) if the facility has had a characteristic which affects the facility's discharacteristics.	Plan-1/2.3  Plan-1/2.3  (d)  - 1/2.3(d)(1)  r (4) hrs/day) or not available for review - 1  /operator- 1/2.5(b)  inge in: design, construction, operation, ge potential- 1/2.5(a)	\$1,500.00.)\$1,500.00\$1,500.00\$1,500.00\$1,500.00\$1,500.00\$1,500.00\$1,500.00\$1,500.00\$1,500.00\$1,500.00
	Plan n Certifi No ma Plan n No evi No pla or mai Ameno	(When the SPCC Plan review penalty exitle Prevention Control and Countermeasure of certified by a professional engineer- 112.3 cation lacks one or more required elements magement approval of plan- 112.7	Plan-1/2.3  Plan-1/2.3  (d)  - 1/2.3(d)(1)  r (4) hrs/day) or not available for review - 1  /operator- 1/2.5(b)  inge in: design, construction, operation, ge potential- 1/2.5(a)	100.00

Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram- 112.7(a)(3)
Inadequate or no listing of type of oil and storage capacity layout of containers- 1/2.7(a)(3)(i)
Inadequate or no discharge prevention measures- 112.7(a)(3)(ii)
Inadequate or no description of drainage controls- 112.7(a)(3)(iii)
Inadequate or no description of countermeasures for discharge discovery, response and cleanup- 112.7(a)(3)(iv) 50.00
Recovered materials not disposed of in accordance with legal requirements- 112.7(a)(3)(v)
No contact list & phone numbers for response & reporting discharges- 112.7(a)(3)(vi)
Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge- 1/2.7(a)(4)
Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur- 112.7(a)(5)
Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges- 112.7(b)
Plan does not discuss and facility does not implement appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipment-112.7(c)
- If claiming impracticability of appropriate containment/diversionary structures:
Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated in plan- 112:7(d)
No contingency plan- 112.7(d)(1)
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)
No periodic integrity and leak testing, if impracticability is claimed - 112.7(d) .150.00
Plan has no or inadequate discussion of general requirements not already specified-112.7(j)
QUALIFIED FACILITY REQUIREMENTS: 112.6
Qualified Facility: No Self certification- 112.6(a) 450.00
Qualified Facility: Self certification lacks required elements- 112.6(a)
Qualified Facility: Technical amendments not certified- 112.6(b)
Qualified Facility: Un-allowed deviations from requirements- 112.6(c)
Qualified Facility: Environmental Equivalence or Impracticability not certified by PE- 112.6(d)
 WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)
Plan does not include inspections and test procedures in accordance with 40 CFR Part 112 - 112.7(e)
Inspections and tests required are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e) . 75.00
No Inspection records were available for review - 112.7(e)

	- Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records:	
	Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e)	75.00
	Are not maintained for three years- 112.7(e)	75.00
<del></del>	PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)	
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges and or facility operations - 112.7(f)(1)	75.00
	No training on discharge procedure protocols- 112.7(f)(1)	75.00
	No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules, and regulations and/or SPCC plan- 112.7(f)(1)	75.00
	Training records not maintained for 3 years- 112.7(f)(1)	75.00
	No designated person accountable for spill prevention- 112.7(f)(2)	75.00
	Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted at least annually- 112.7(f)(3)	75.00
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures-112.7(a)(1)	.75.00
	SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(g)	
	Facility not fully fenced and entrance gates are not locked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production- 112.7(g)(1).	150.00
	Master flow and drain valves that permit direct outward flow to the surface are not secured in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status- $112.7(g)(2)$ .	300,00
	Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status- 112.7(g)(3)	.75.00
	Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status- 112.7(g)(4)	.75.00
	Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism- 112.7(g)(5)	150.00
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security-112.7(a)(1)	.75.00
	FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING 112.7(c) and/or (h-j)	<del></del>
	Inadequate containment for Loading Area (not consistent with 112.7(c)) - 112.7(c) - 4	00.00
	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quick drainage system- 112.7(h)(1)	750.00
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(1)	l50.00
	There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake	
	0.00	

	interlock system to prevent vehicular departu	re before complete disconnect from transfer lines- 112.7(h)(2).	300.00
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains an of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(3)	d all outlets prior to filling and departure	150,00
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facilit	y tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack-112.7(a)(1)	75.00
	QUALIFIED	OIL OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT 112.7(k)	
		for inspections or a monitoring program to detect equipment f	failure 150.00
	Failure to provide an oil spill contingency pla	m- 112.7(h)(2)(ii)(A)	150.00
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment	nent, and materials- 112.7(k)(2)(ii)(B)	150.00
	FACI	LITY DRAINAGE 112.8(b) & (c)	
		containment bypass valves left open and/or pumps and ejector 2.8(b)(1)&(2) and 112.8(c)3)(i).	rs not 600.00
	Dike water is not inspected prior to discharge 112.8(c)(3)(ii)&(iii)	and/or valves not open & resealed under responsible supervis	ion- 450.00
	Adequate records (or NPDES permit records)	of drainage from diked areas not maintained-112.8(e)(3)(îv)	75.00
	Drainage from undiked areas do not flow into no diversion systems to retain or return a disc	catchment basins ponds, or lagoons, or harge to the facility- 112.8(b)(3)&(4)	450.00
	Two "lift" pumps are not provided for more the	hat one treatment unit- 112.8(b)(5)	50,00
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facilit	y drainage-112.7(a)(1)	75.00
	BULK S	STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.8(c)	
	Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or tanks for brittle fracture- 112.7(i)	evaluation of field-constructed aboveground	75.00
	Failure to conduct evaluation of field-construc	cted aboveground tanks for brittle fracture- 112.7(i)	300.00
		tible to the oil stored and the conditions of storage	450.00
	Secondary containment appears to be inadequ	ate- 112.8(c)(2)	750.00
	Containment systems, including walls and flo	ors are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil- 112.8(c)(2)	375.00
	Excessive vegetation which affects the integri	ty	150.00
	Walls of containment system slightly eroded of	or have low areas	300.00
	Completely buried tanks are not protected from regular pressure testing- 112.8(c)(4)	m corrosion or are not subjected to	150.00
	Partially buried tanks do not have buried secti	ons protected from corrosion- 112.8(c)(5).	150.00
SPC	CC Insp.#: FY-INSP- 4	of 5 Version 2, 11/16/2009	

	Aboveground tanks are not subject to visual inspections- 112.8(c)(6)
	Aboveground tanks are not subject to periodic integrity testing, such as hydrostatic, nondestructive methods, etc 112.8(c)(6)
	Records of inspections (or customary business records) do not include inspections of tank supports/foundation, deterioration, discharges and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas- 112.8(c)(6)
	Steam return /exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course are not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
	Tank battery installations are not in accordance with good engineering practice because <u>none</u> of the following are present- 112.8(c)(8)
	No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation- 112.8(c)(8)(v)
	Effluent treatment facilities which discharge directly to navigable waters are not observed frequently to detect oil spills- 112.8(c)(9)
	Causes of leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected- 112.8(c)(10)
	Mobile or portable storage containers are not positioned to prevent discharged oil from reaching navigable water- 112.8(c)(11)
. [	Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks- 112.8(e)(11)
L	
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks-112.7(a)(1)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks-112.7(a)(1)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks-112.7(a)(1)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks-112.7(a)(1)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks-112.7(a)(1)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks-112.7(a)(1)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks-112.7(a)(1)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks-112.7(a)(1)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks-112.7(a)(1)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks-112.7(a)(1)

TOTAL <u>\$1250.00</u>.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original and one copy of the foregoing "Consent Agreement and Final Order," issued pursuant to 40 C.F.R. 22.13(b), was filed on 10-27, 2016, with the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, TX 75202-2733; and that on the same date a copy of the same was sent to the following, in the manner specified below:

NAME:

Donna Steele

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 1863

Lake Charles, LA 70615-3310

Frankie Markham

**OPA Enforcement Administrative Assistant**